### NCAP BRAKING

# CONSUMER BRAKING INFORMATION

JEFF WOODS

NHTSA SAFETY
PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

SAE GOVERNMENT INDUSTRY MEETING WASHINGTON, DC
May 14, 2001

# INTRODUCTION

- Concerns about developing brake system rating focus on variability of:
  - Vehicle
  - Test driver
  - Test surface

# PROGRAM SUMMARY

 1998 – 1999 Initial testing at Aberdeen Test Center

 1999 – 2000 Round-robin testing at Aberdeen, MGA, TRC

2001-2002 Additional vehicle testing, to be determined

# 1998 ABERDEEN TEST PROGRAM

### OBJECTIVES

- Test a variety of light vehicles
- Limit test conditions to reduce variability
- Use only ABS-equipped vehicles
- Perform statistical analyses of stopping distance results

# VEHICLES TESTED

- 10 ABS-EQUIPPED VEHICLES
  - 5 Passenger cars (including control vehicle)
  - 2 Minivans
  - 1 Sport Utility Vehicle
  - 1 Full-Size Van
  - 1 Full-size Pickup (Rear wheel only ABS)

### VEHICLE TEST CONDITIONS

- Straight line stops
- Dry Asphalt
- Wet Asphalt
- Test Speed 100 km/h (62 mph)
- Loaded and unloaded conditions
- 10 brake stops per test condition

# TEST RESULTS

- Pedal forces higher than target (112 lbs) by 3X
- Higher pedal forces did not affect stopping distance results
- Rate of pedal application seems most important
- On Control Vehicle
  - Shortest stop: 139 ft with 237 lbs pedal force
  - Longest stop: 150 ft with 309 lbs pedal force

# BRAKE PEDAL FORCE

#### PEDAL FORCE VS. STOPPING DISTANCE



**Pedal Force/Stopdist Pairs** 

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS METHOD

Average of 10 braking stops

Standard Deviation

 95th percentile: 95% of the time vehicle would stop within this distance. Also measures stopping performance consistency.

# STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Vehicle A Vehicle B

- Ave: 171.5 ft 174.1 ft

– SD: 8.5 ft 1.5 ft

- 95th: 185.5 ft 176.6 ft

- Vehicle A: better braking using average
- Vehicle B: shorter 95th percentile, hence is more consistent in stopping performance.
- Agency has not determined if rating system can be applied.

# TEST SURFACE PFC

- Dry Asphalt PFC: 0.89 0.95
- Wet Asphalt PFC: 0.85 0.88
- Variability low, magnitude high
- PFC measured with skid trailer using:
  - ASTM Method E1337-90
  - ASTM E1136 Standard Reference
     Test Tire

# VARIABILITY OF PFC

#### PEAK FRICTION COEFFICIENT



# 1999 ROUND-ROBIN TEST PROGRAM

- Further evaluate the effects of surface variability
- 4 vehicles tested at 3 different test sites, and again at first site
- Surface friction measured at each site during testing
- Analyzed and compared vehicle stopping distance performance at each test site

# 1999 ROUND-ROBIN TESTING Results Summary

- PFCs are different at each test track.
  - Some wet surfaces have friction as high as some dry surfaces
  - TRC had "ideal" PFCs, Aberdeen and MGA had aggressive pavements due to weathering and little use
- Brake application rate is important -100 lbs in 0.2 seconds is achievable.

# NON-ABS VEHICLES

# Problems with testing non-ABS vehicles:

- Stopping distance is dependent on driver skill
- Driver brake pedal modulation results in larger deviations between test runs
- These stopping distance values would be less useful to consumers

# TEST REPORTS AVAILABLE

- www.nhtsa.dot.gov
- Car Safety
  - Problems and Issues
    - Safety Studies
      - »Consumer Braking
        Information Initiative

# U.S./JAPAN TEST CONDITIONS COMPARISON

#### U.S. NCAP Research

- Test speed: 100 km/h
- Lane width: 3.7 m
- IBT: >65°C <100°C
- Transmission: In gear
- Pedal force: 500 N in 0.25 sec.
- Number of stops: 10
- 180 kg load

#### Japan NCAP

- Test speed: 100 km/h
- Lane width: 3.5 m
- IBT: >65°C <100°C
- Transmission: In neutral
- Pedal force: 500 N in 0.25 sec. for ABS
- Number of stops: 5
- 110 kg load

# ROAD SURFACE CONDITIONS COMPARISON

#### U.S. NCAP Research

- Dry PFC 0.90-0.95
- Wet PFC 0.80-0.85
- Water depth:< 3 mm</li>
- PFC measured using ASTM 1337-90 with SRTT ASTM 1136-93
- Specify surface temperature

#### Japan NCAP

- Surface specified as a flat, clean, asphaltpaved road
  - dry road surface,temperature of25 45 C
  - wet road surface,temperature of22 32 C

### RECOMMENDATIONS

- ABS-equipped vehicles only
- Test Surface
  - Dry PFC 0.90 0.95
  - Wet PFC 0.80 0.85 (water depth < 3 mm)
- Loading: Lightly-loaded weight with 180 kg
- Pedal Force 500 Newtons in 0.25 sec.
- Number of stops 10 per vehicle
- Surface Temperature:
  - Dry: 25°C 45°C (77°F 113°F)
  - Wet: 22°C 32°C (72°F 90°F)
- Data: Average and/or 95<sup>th</sup> percentile

# Near-Term Action

- Publish Request for Comments in Federal Register
  - Test Procedure
  - Request Comments on Test
     Procedure, Presenting Data to
     Consumers
  - Public Meeting Announcement

# Near-Term Action

- Determine suitability of using NHTSA's San Angelo UTQG facility for NCAP Braking
  - NHTSA would provide test area and skid trailer measurements
  - Contract testing of NCAP vehicles
  - Open for testing as for UTQG
  - Ideal for winter testing





# Near-Term Action

### Surface Temperature Issue

Limited information indicates lower surface temperature may provide higher PFC

- Round 1 vs. Round 4 of Aberdeen Testing
- Notation in Japan NCAP Brochure

# In Conclusion...

 Driver and surface variability should be minimized to make the program viable

- Minimize driver variability by:
  - Testing ABS-equipped vehicles
  - Specifying brake pedal apply rate, steady-state force
  - Performing straight-line stops only

### In Conclusion...

- Minimize surface variability by:
  - Specifying moderately-high coefficient of friction; narrow range for PFC
    - Dry PFC 0.90 0.95
    - Wet PFC 0.80 0.85
- Investigate surface temperature range specification

# In Conclusion...

 NHTSA expects that NCAP braking will provide requested braking information to consumers

 Vehicle manufacturers will improve foundation brakes, tires, and ABS to minimize variability and provide good results under NCAP braking