

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

DOT HS 812 225



December 2015

Acoustic Data for Hybrid and Electric Heavy-Duty Vehicles and Electric Motorcycles

Disclaimer

This publication is distributed by the U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, in the interest of information exchange. The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Department of Transportation or the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. If trade or manufacturers' names or products are mentioned, it is because they are considered essential to the object of the publication and should not be construed as an endorsement. The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers.

Suggested APA Format Citation:

Hastings, A., Ahearn, M., Guthy-McInnis, C., Riley Garrott, R., & Garay-Vega, L. (2015, December). Acoustic data for hybrid and electric heavy-duty vehicles and electric motorcycles. (Report No. DOT HS 812 225). Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. Report No. DOT HS 812 225	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.							
4. Title and Subtitle Acoustic Data for Hybrid and Ele Motorcycles	ectric Heavy-Duty Vehicles and Ele	5. Report Date December 20	15						
National Transportation Systems		-							
Riley Garrott –NHTSA's Vehicle Lisandra Garay-Vega –NHTSA		8. Performing Organizatio	n Report No.						
	9. Performing Organization Name and Address Volpe National Transportation Systems Center								
55 Broadway Cambridge, MA 02142	11. Contract or Grant No.								
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Add National Highway Traffic Safety		13. Type of Report and Pe	riod Covered						
1200 New Jersey Avenue SE. Washington, DC 20590	14. Sponsoring Agency Co NHTSA/NVS-300	ode							
	llection support from NHTSA's Ve TRC); and the NHTSA Quiet Vehic		RTC); the						
16. Abstract									
The Pedestrian Safety Enhancement Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Stand vehicles that are electric or hybrid. I vehicles (gross vehicle weight rating conducted to support activities relat	lard requiring an alert sound for ped NHTSA completed acoustic measur g over 10,000 lb.) and for electric m	estrians to be emitted by all types e ements for hybrid and electric hear	of motor vy-duty						
The measurements protocol used fo Emitted by Road Vehicles, May 20 Columbus, Ohio. The test surface co was tested at Navistar's Fort Wayne surface that is suitable for heavy veh	12. Two electric motorcycles were to onforms to ISO 10844:2011 specific e, Indiana, proving grounds. The test	ested at the Transportation Researce ations. One electric vehicle delive	ch Center in ry truck						
In addition, screening data for four test was to obtain raw descriptions of measurements protocol used for the Minimum Noise Emitted by Road V fulfill all the parameters stated in SA operating conditions, test surface, m may deviate appreciably from the rest	of the sound characteristics for a sub- screening tests follows general pro- Vehicles. However the measurement AE J 2889/1. Several aspects are dif- umber of microphones, and microph	set of heavy-duty vehicles. The cedures in SAE J 2889/1, Measured protocol used for screening tests of ferent, including the acoustic envir ione position. Therefore the results	ment of loes not conment,						
17. Key Words	Act of 2010 DEEA SAE 1 2000/1	18. Distribution Statement	ublic from						
ISO 362, ISO 10844, electric veh	Act of 2010, PSEA, SAE J 2889/1, icle, hybrid vehicle		ument is available to the public from National Technical Information vice <u>www.ntis.gov</u>						
19. Security Classify. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price						
Unclassified	Unclassified	31							

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

Reproduction of completed page authorized

TABLE OF CONTENTS

١.	Introduction1
II.	Crash Data for Motorcycles and Heavy Vehicles1
	Low-Speed Crashes Involving Pedestrians1
	Pedestrians Injured by Crash Year and Vehicle Type2
Ш	Acoustic Measurements3
	Test Methods
	Start-Up3
	Stationary3
	Constant Speed Pass-By3
	Acceleration3
	Deceleration3
	Test Vehicles
	Electric Motorcycles4
	Heavy Vehicles4
	Test Facilities
	TRC ISO 10844 Surface6
	Navistar ISO 10844 Surface7
	Data Analysis and Results7
	Corrections for Background Noise7
	Electric Motorcycles
	Electric Heavy Vehicle12
IV	Other Screening Tests
	Test Methods
	Test Vehicles
	Dayton Transit Hybrid Bus15
	Dayton Transit Electric Trolley15
	2013 New Flyer Allison Xcelsior XDE40 (Diesel-Electric)16
	Neoplan AN440LF (Electric Trackless Trolley)16
	Data Analysis and Results

V. Summary	20
Crash Data	20
Acoustic Data	20
VI. References	21
Appendix A: Acoustic Data for Electric Motorcycles	22
Appendix B: Acoustic Data for Electric Heavy Vehicle	23
Appendix C: Screening Data for Electric and Hybrid Heavy Vehicles	24

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Crash Data for Light-Duty Vehicles, Motorcycles, and Heavy-Duty Vehicles	2
Table 2. Estimate of Pedestrians Injured in Motor Vehicle Traffic Crashes by Crash Year and	
Striking Vehicle Type General Estimates System 2000-2011	2
Table 3. A-Weighted Sound Levels, Electric Motorcycles (corrected for minimum ambient)	22
Table 4. A-Weighted Sound Levels, Electric Heavy Truck (corrected for minimum ambient)	23
Table 5. A-Weighted Sound Pressure Levels for Electric and Hybrid Heavy Vehicles for	
One-Third Octave Bands in the NPRM14 15	24

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Pass-By Microphone Locations	
Figure 2. 2012 Brammo Enertia Electric Motorcycle Tested	. 5
Figure 3. 2012 Zero S Electric Motorcycle Tested	5
Figure 4. TRC Test Facility	6
Figure 5. TRC ISO 10844 Surface	
Figure 6. Navistar Fort Wayne Noise Test Facility	
Figure 7. Navistar Fort Wayne ISO 10844 Surface	. 7
Figure 8. Correction for Background Noise in NHTSA's Proposed Rulemaking, January 14, 2013	. 8
Figure 9. One-Third Octave Band Levels for 2012 Brammo Enertia at 10 km/h	. 9
Figure 10. One-Third Octave Band Levels for 2012 Brammo Enertia at 20 km/h	. 9
Figure 11. One-Third Octave Band Levels for 2012 Brammo Enertia at 30 km/h	10
Figure 12. One-Third Octave Band Levels for 2012 Zero S at 10 km/h	
Figure 13. One-Third Octave Band Levels for 2012 Zero S at 20 km/h	
Figure 14. One-Third Octave Band Levels for 2012 Zero S at 30 km/h	
Figure 15. Overall Sound Pressure Level for Electric Motorcycles Tested	
Figure 16. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Navistar eStar at 0 km/h	
Figure 17. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Navistar eStar at 10 km/h	13
Figure 18. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Navistar eStar at 20 km/h	
Figure 19. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Navistar eStar at 30 km/h	14
Figure 20. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Heavy Vehicles Screened at Stationary	17
Figure 21. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Heavy Vehicles Screened at 10 km/h	17
Figure 22. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Heavy Vehicles Screened at 20 km/h	18
Figure 23. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Heavy Vehicles Screened at 30 km/h	18
Figure 24. Overall Sound Pressure Level for Heavy-Duty Vehicles Screened. (Data not	
available for some vehicle-scenario combinations)	19

I. Introduction

The research presented in this report supports the Pedestrian Safety Enhancement Act (PSEA) of 2010. The purpose of this research was to conduct a thorough investigation into the growing industry of hybrid/electric-propelled vehicles (passenger automobiles, commercial trucks, buses, motorcycles, electric scooters, recreational vehicles, etc.) and the sound pressure level (SPL) emitted. PSEA requires NHTSA to conduct a rulemaking to establish a Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) requiring an alert sound to be emitted by all types of motor vehicles that are electric or hybrid (EVs and HVs) for pedestrian safety. The goal is to establish performance requirements for an alert sound that allows blind and other pedestrians to reasonably detect a nearby electric or hybrid vehicle (Public Law 111-373, 124 Stat 4086, 2011).

NHTSA's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (DOT Docket No. NHTSA-2011-0148-0075) proposes that the acoustic specifications apply to all hybrid and electric motor vehicles covered by the PSEA, including all hybrid and electric passenger cars, multipurpose vehicles, trucks, buses, low-speed vehicles, and motorcycles.¹

This report includes an overview of crashes involving pedestrians and an estimate of pedestrians injured in motor vehicle crashes by crash year and striking vehicle type. The report then focuses on acoustic measurements for two electric motorcycles and one electric heavy-duty vehicle (delivery truck). The measurements protocol follows general procedures in SAE J 2889/1, Measurement of Minimum Noise Emitted by Road Vehicles. These measurements were completed on ISO 10844 certified test surfaces. Screening tests were also completed to obtain a raw description of the sound characteristics for a subset of hybrid and electric heavy-duty vehicles, and are documented in this report. The measurements protocol used for the screening tests follows general procedures in SAE J 2889/1 with several differences including the acoustic environment, operating conditions, test surface, number of microphones, and microphone position. Since the screening test does not fulfill all the parameters of SAE J 2889/1, the results obtained may deviate appreciably from the results obtained using specified conditions and should be considered illustrative. Even though the screening tests deviate from the SAE J 2889/1 guidance, they provide information related to general levels, sound sources, and operations that may need augmentation.

II. Crash Data for Motorcycles and Heavy Vehicles

Low-Speed Crashes Involving Pedestrians

NHTSA examined data available in the State Data System to determine the pedestrian crash rate for light duty vehicles, motorcycles, and heavy-duty vehicles (Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (DOT Docket No. NHTSA-2011-0148-0075). The analysis includes data for 16 States and crashes reported from 2000 to 2008. Data are presented for all crashes involving pedestrians and for low-speed crashes involving pedestrians (Table 1). The term low speed is used to categorize crashes that potentially have occurred at very low speed such as when a vehicle is making a turn, slowing or stopping, backing up, entering or leaving a parking space, or starting in traffic. The crash rate incidence of pedestrians for low-speed crashes tends to be higher among hybrid and electric vehicles when compared to internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles (Wu, Austin, & Chen , 2011). Due to the available data parameters, the incidence rate of collisions between pedestrians and vehicle type (i.e., motorcycle, heavy-duty vehicles) could not be evaluated by propulsion system (i.e., ICE, hybrid). The analysis shows that, across the entire fleet (ICE, hybrid, and electric vehicles included):

• 0.59 percent of all light vehicle crashes and 0.80 percent of all low-speed light vehicle crashes involved pedestrians;

¹ PSEA specifically excludes trailers from the scope of the required rulemaking.

- 0.44 percent of all motorcycle crashes and 0.27 percent of all motorcycle crashes at low speeds involved pedestrians; and
- 0.30 percent of all heavy-duty vehicle crashes and 0.42 percent of all heavy-duty vehicle crashes at low speeds involved pedestrians.

Table 1. Clash Data for Light-Duty Venicles, Motorcycles, and Heavy-Duty Venicles											
Data Element	Light-Duty Vehicles	Motorcycles	Heavy-Duty Vehicles								
% of all crashes involving pedestrians	0.59	0.44	0.30								
% of low-speed crashes involving pedestrians	0.80	0.27	0.42								

Table 1. Crash Data for Light-Duty Vehicles, Motorcycles, and Heavy-Duty Vehicles

Pedestrians Injured by Crash Year and Vehicle Type

This section shows an estimate of the pedestrians injured in motor vehicle traffic crashes by crash year and striking vehicle type from 2000 to 2011 (Table 2). Data are from the General Estimates Systems (GES). The data show that, across the entire fleet (ICE, hybrid, and electric vehicles included) the vehicle type most frequently involved in motor vehicle traffic crashes with pedestrians is the passenger car. On average, the percentage of pedestrians injured in motor vehicle crashes by passenger cars each year is 61.5 percent while incidents involving motorcycles, medium trucks, and heavy trucks, can be attributed to approximately 1.0 percent each of total incidents. Note that this means that there is a higher frequency of incidents with passenger cars than heavy trucks – not that pedestrians can withstand being struck by a heavy truck better than a passenger car. See Table 2 for the collected data for all vehicle types.

Crash Year	Passenger Car	Light Truck	Medium Truck	Heavy Truck	' Motorcycle		Other/ Unknown	Total
2000	55,498	18,870	1,176	570	548	479	484	77,625
2001	48,814	26,612	1,018	387	537	207	44	77,619
2002	44,432	22,484	1,836	687	318	379	527	70,664
2003	44,245	22,555	1,576	320	506	296	451	69,949
2004	41,461	21,714	1,882	651	791	738	748	67,985
2005	38,781	23,840	576	348	329	528	43	64,446
2006	36,848	21,489	1,224	304	653	309	96	60,924
2007	45,662	21,425	789	283	1240	775	114	70,286
2008	38,674	27,742	523	823	324	651	95	68,832
2009	32,846	23,872	369	164	900	461	34	58,647
2010	40,450	27,326	350	646	805	455	43	70,076
2011	42,171	24,105	358	376	661	991	171	68,833

Table 2. Estimate of Pedestrians Injured in Motor Vehicle Traffic Crashes by Crash Year and Striking Vehicle Type General
Estimates System (GES) 2000-2011

III. Acoustic Measurements

Test Methods

The measurements protocol used follows general procedures in SAE J 2889/1. However, test scenarios include start-up, stationary, 10, 20, and 30 km/h constant speed pass-by, 0-10 km/h forward acceleration, and 30-10 km/h deceleration. A detailed description of the test scenarios can be found in NHTSA's report *Quieter Vehicle Test Report – 2012: Comparison of Sound Pressure Levels* (Harris, Gerdus, Evans, & Garrott, 2012). Each vehicle was tested in as many of the scenarios as possible, due to vehicle limitations this was often not a complete set. For example, the motorcycles did not have reverse capabilities and therefore were not tested in the reverse scenarios. Figure 1 shows the location of the two microphones used for pass-by testing. These microphone locations are identical to those specified by SAE J 2889/1.

<u>Start-up</u>

• Start-up² (eight microphones; produced vehicle profile of sound levels and satisfied the requirements of SAE J 2889/1)

Stationary

 Modified Stationary but Active² (eight microphones; produced vehicle profile of sound levels and satisfied the requirements of SAE J 2889/1)

Constant Speed Pass-By

Sound emitted during constant speed (pass-by) operation as the vehicle passed the microphone position using:

- 10 km/h Forward Constant Speed (two microphones; produced result from two microphones and satisfied the requirements of SAE J 2889/1.)
- 20 km/h and 30 km/h Forward Constant Speed (two microphones; analogous to the requirements of SAE J 2889/1.)

Acceleration

 0 to 10 km/h Forward Acceleration to Constant Speed (two microphones. The vehicle's frontmost point was positioned 2 m before the PP' line and accelerated from 0 to 10 km/h. Pass-by sound test data were recorded as the vehicle was accelerated though the remainder of the sound test pad.)

Deceleration

• 30 to 10 km/h Forward Deceleration to Constant Speed (two microphones. The vehicle was driven at 30 km/h into the test zone and began to decelerate approximately 14 m before the PP' line and reached 10 km/h at the PP' line).

² Modified by adding six more microphones than the two required by SAE J 2889/1_201205. Eight microphones were used for directivity measurements. These data were not used for acoustic analyses.



Figure 1. Pass-by Microphone Locations (Hastings, Pollard, Garay-Vega, Stearns, & Guthy, 2011)

Test Vehicles

Below is a list of the motorcycles and heavy vehicles that were tested. For each of the vehicles a test date and list of scenarios tested are given. Other hybrid and electric heavy-duty vehicles (e.g., different size, configuration) were not available for this test because heavy electric vehicles were difficult to find and procure for testing.

Electric Motorcycles

- 2012 Brammo Enertia Electric Motorcycle (Figure 2)– Tested on July 16, 2013
 - o Startup
 - o 10 km/h Pass-by
 - o 20 km/h Pass-by
 - o 30 km/h Pass-by
 - 0-10 km/h Forward Acceleration
- 2012 Zero S Electric Motorcycle (Figure 3) Tested on June 17, 2013
 - o 10 km/h Pass-by
 - o 20 km/h Pass-by
 - o 30 km/h Pass-by
 - 0-10 km/h Forward Acceleration
 - o 30-10 km/h Deceleration

Heavy Vehicles

- Navistar eStar Electric Heavy Vehicle Tested on September 25, 2013
 - o Startup
 - o Stationary
 - o 10 km/h Pass-by
 - o 20 km/h Pass-by
 - o 30 km/h Pass-by
 - o 0-10 km/h Forward Acceleration
 - o 30-10 km/h Deceleration



Figure 2. 2012 Brammo Enertia Electric Motorcycle Tested (Source: VRTC)



Figure 3. 2012 Zero S Electric Motorcycle Tested (Source: VRTC)

Test Facilities

Test surfaces conform to ISO 10844:2011 and ISO 10844:1994 specifications.³

- Motorcycles measurements were conducted at the Transportation Research Center (TRC) in Columbus, Ohio.
- Heavy vehicle measurements were conducted at the Navistar proving grounds in Fort Wayne, Indiana.

TRC ISO 10844 surface

The TRC ISO 10844 test facility (Figure 4 and 5) was constructed in 2005, and conforms to ISO $10844:2011^4$ specifications (last certified in May 2012). The surface is located at 40°18'11.01" N 83°32'37.66" W at an elevation of 332 m above sea level. This ISO 10844 surface is substantially level and free of reflecting surfaces within a 50 m radius of the centerline of the track. The ISO 10844 track layout is in a NW – SE orientation at approximately 328 degrees to true north. The motorcycles were run just to the right of the center of the lane with respect to the direction of travel. This was done such the motorcycles' tires were not rolling on the painted center line.



Figure 4. TRC Test Facility (Image from Google Earth)



Figure 5. TRC ISO 10844 Surface (Source: VRTC)

³ The difference between ISO 10844:2011 and ISO 10844:1994 is that the 2011 track is required to be more repeatable and that the average levels should not change.

⁴ ISO 10844:2011 was the current standard when the measurements were conducted in 2013.

Navistar ISO 10844 surface

The Navistar ISO 10844 test facility is shown in Figure 6. The surface (Figure 7) is located at 41°03'31.29" N, 85°04'12.96" W at an elevation of 225 m above sea level. The ISO 10844:1994 surface is substantially level and free of reflecting surfaces within a 50 m radius of the centerline of the track. The ISO 10844 track layout is in a west-east orientation at approximately 86 degrees to true north. The microphones were positioned with #1 on the right hand side and #2 on the left hand side of vehicle travel (north was actually to the east for recording purposes).



Figure 6. Navistar Fort Wayne Noise Test Facility (Image from Google Earth)



Figure 7. Navistar Fort Wayne ISO 10844 Surface (Source: VRTC)

Data Analysis and Results

Pass-by tests were analyzed with the methods specified in SAE J 2889/1, where the lower maximum sound values from the two microphones were compared within sets of four specified tests to determine a value, as well as for overall consistency. The maximum A-weighted sound pressure level in one-third octave bands from 315 to 5000 Hz are reported. The maximum overall sound pressure levels are also reported.

Corrections for Background Noise

Ambient corrections were made following the procedure summarized in NHTSA's Proposed Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (2013, see Figure 8 below). In short, if the ambient in a given one-third octave band was at least 10 dB less than the signal level in the one-third octave band, then no correction was made. If the ambient level was in the range (10, 3) dB less than the signal level in the one-third octave band, then the corrections in Table 6 of the NPRM were applied. If the ambient level in the one-third octave band was not more than 3 dB lower than the signal level in the one-third octave band, then a correction was not possible and the data were not used. In most cases ambient corrections were not required.

1/3 Octave band noise level OBL _{bgn,fc}	* Peak-to-Peak 1/3 octave band background noise level OBL _{bgn,fc,p-p}	1/3 Octave band level of jth test result, ith frequency, minus 1/3 octave band noise level DL = OBL _{test,j,fc} -OBL _{bgn,fc}	Correction L _{corr}
≥ 25 dB(A)	** < 8 dB < 6 dB	> 10 dB > 8–10 dB > 6–8 dB > 4.5–6 dB > 3–4.5 dB	0 dB. 0.5 dB. 1.0 dB. 1.5 dB. 2.5 dB.
< 25 dB(A)		≤ 3 dB	Do not correct, but report OBL _{testcorr,j} < OBL _{testj} . Do not correct, but report:
< 20 UD(A)	**	> 10 dB	OBL _{testcorr,j} < OBL _{testj} . 0 dB.

Figure 8. Correction for Background Noise in NHTSA's Proposed Rulemaking, January 14, 2013

Electric Motorcycles

This section shows the maximum A-weighted sound pressure level in one-third octave bands measured between 315 to 5000 Hz for the two electric motorcycles (Brammo Enertia and Zero S). Tabulated data for these and other test scenarios, if applicable, are available in Appendix A: Acoustic Data for Electric Motorcycles. Measured levels were compared to the minimum levels for detection as determined by Hastings & McInnis (n.d.).

Results for the 2012 Brammo Enertia are presented for 10 km/h (Figure 9), 20 km/h (Figure 10), and 30 km/h pass-by (Figure 11). Sound levels for the 2012 Brammo Enertia were equal or greater than the minimum levels for detection in two and three of the 13 bands for 10 km/h pass-by and 20 km/h pass- by, respectively. Sound levels for the 30 km/h pass-by were below the minimum levels for detection in each of the 13 one-third octave bands from 315 Hz to 5000 Hz.

Results for the 2012 Zero S are presented for 10 km/h (Figure 12), 20 km/h (Figure 13), and 30 km/h pass-by (Figure 14). Sound levels for the 2012 Zero S tested were below the minimum levels for detection in each of the 13 one-third octave bands for all test conditions (i.e., 10, 20, and 30 km/h).



Figure 9. One-Third Octave Band Levels for 2012 Brammo Enertia at 10 km/h



Figure 10. One-Third Octave Band Levels for 2012 Brammo Enertia at 20 km/h



Figure 11. One-Third Octave Band Levels for 2012 Brammo Enertia at 30 km/h



Figure 12. One-Third Octave Band Levels for 2012 Zero S at 10 km/h



Figure 13. One-Third Octave Band Levels for 2012 Zero S at 20 km/h



Figure 14. One-Third Octave Band Levels for 2012 Zero S at 30 km/h



Figure 15. Overall Sound Pressure Level for Electric Motorcycles Tested

Figure 15 shows the overall sound pressure level for the two electric motorcycles tested. The overall sound pressure levels for the 2012 Brammo Enertia were 57.0, 63.2 and 66.5 dB (A) for the 10, 20, and 30 km/h pass-by, respectively. The overall sound pressure levels for the 2012 Zero S were between 6.2 to 7.9 dB lower with 49.1, 57.0 and 59.6 dB (A) for the 10, 20, and 30 km/h pass-by, respectively.

Electric Heavy Vehicle

This section shows the maximum A-weighted sound pressure level in one-third octave bands measured between 315 to 5000 Hz for the Navistar eStar. Measured levels were compared to the minimum levels for detection as determined by Hastings and McInnis (n.d.). Results are presented for 0 km/h (Figure 16), 10 km/h (Figure 17), 20 km/h (Figure 18), and 30 km/h pass-by (Figure 19). Tabulated data for these and other scenarios tested, if applicable, are available in Appendix B: Acoustic Data for Electric Heavy Vehicles.

The data showed that the measured levels for the Navistar eStar tested were equal or greater than the minimum levels in:

- 7 of the 13 bands for stationary;
- 9 of the 13 bands for 10 km/h pass-by;
- 8 of the 13 bands for 20 km/h pass-by; and
- 7 of the 13 bands for 30 km/h pass-by.



The overall sound pressure levels for the Navistar eStar were 55.4, 64.5, 73.4 and 75.2 dB (A) for the stationary, 10, 20, and 30 km/h pass-by scenarios, respectively.

Figure 16. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Navistar eStar at 0 km/h



Figure 17. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Navistar eStar at 10 km/h



Figure 18. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Navistar eStar at 20 km/h



Figure 19. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Navistar eStar at 30 km/h

IV. Other Screening Tests

Test Methods

Screening data were also collected. The purpose of screening tests was to obtain a rough estimate of the sound characteristics for a subset of heavy-duty vehicles. The measurements protocol used for the screening tests follows general procedures in SAE J 2889/1. The measurement protocol used does not fulfill all the parameters stated in SAE J 2889/1 and therefore the results obtained may deviate appreciably from the results obtained using specified conditions. This is because these vehicles could not be transported to sites that met the SAE ambient requirements. Several aspects are different including the acoustic environment, operating conditions, test surface, number of microphones, and microphone position.

Test Vehicles

NHTSA collected data for four hybrid or electric heavy-duty vehicles at three locations: Dayton, Ohio; Washington, DC; and Cambridge, Massachusetts. A list of the vehicles, scenarios, and other relevant notes from the screening tests are given below. Each vehicle was tested in as many of the scenarios as possible, due to vehicle or site limitations this was often not a complete set.

Dayton Transit Hybrid Bus

- Tested on: 7/24/2013
- Site: Dayton, Ohio
- Scenarios: Stationary; 10 km/h pass-by; 20 km/h pass-by; 30 km/h pass-by
- Notes: The overall level and one-third octave levels were measured when the front bumper of the bus passed the microphone (PP') line. The maximum level was reached when the engine of the transit bus passed the PP' line – about 1.5 to 4 seconds later depending on speed. The onethird octave values are uncorrected.

Dayton Transit Electric Trolley

- Tested on: 7/30/2013
- Site: Dayton, Ohio
- Scenarios: 10 km/h pass-by; 20 km/h pass-by; 10 km/h on battery power
- Notes: Due to testing location the Dayton Electric Trolley was run through a very limited set of tests, also due to the testing location only one microphone was used. The overall level and one-third octave levels were measured when the front bumper of the motor of the trolley passed the microphone (PP') line. The maximum level was reached when the engine of the transit bus or motor of the trolley passed the PP' line about 1.5 to 4 seconds later depending on speed. The trolley was measure on a public street in front of the Dayton Transit garage which imposed the following limitations:
 - Trolley could only run past one way (E to W);
 - Could only use a single microphone on the edge of the curb, ~ 2.3 meters from the centerline of travel lane;
 - Trolley could not reach 30 km/h between the switches on the overhead lines. Trolley could only reach 10 km/h on battery backup.

- Only a few runs could be made since the trolley had to drive several blocks on city streets to return to the start position.
- Even with the noise of the city street background only the trolley on battery needed correction to the overall sound level. The one-third octave values are uncorrected.

2013 New Flyer Allison Xcelsior XDE40 (Diesel Electric)

- Tested on: 8/8/2013
- Site: Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMTA), FedEx North Parking Lot, Brightseat Road
- Scenarios: Stationary ; 10 km/h pass-by; 20 km/h pass-by; Reverse

Neoplan AN440LF (Electric Trackless Trolley)

- Tested on: 11/20/2013
- Site: MBTA Cambridge Bus Depot was shielded from road traffic but otherwise urban ambient with slightly elevated high-frequency content.
- Scenarios: Stationary; 10 km/h pass-by
- Notes: Measurements could only be made at stationary and 10 km/h due to facility constraints. Ambient was sufficiently low that most measurements did not require corrections. Stationary measurements between 2500 and 4000 Hz required corrections. Stationary measurements at 5000 Hz could not be corrected.

Data Analysis and Results

This section shows the maximum A-weighted sound pressure level in one-third octave bands measured between 315 to 5000 Hz for four hybrid or electric heavy-duty vehicles. Measured levels were compared to the minimum levels for detection as determined by Hastings and McInnis (n.d.) in the eight bands included in the NPRM. Results are presented for 0 km/h (Figure 20), 10 km/h (Figure 21), 20 km/h (Figure 22), and 30 km/h pass-by (Figure 23). Tabulated data for these and other scenarios tested, if applicable, are available in Appendix C: Screening Data for Electric and Hybrid Heavy Vehicle. Overall sound pressure levels for the four vehicles are shown in Figure 24 for the stationary, 10, 20, and 30 km/h pass-by. The acoustic measurements for these vehicles were computed and compared to the minimum levels needed for detection in the frequency range from 315 Hz to 5000 Hz, for the eight bands included in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

The data showed that the measured levels for the heavy vehicle screened are equal or greater than the minimum levels in five to seven bands for stationary; five to eight bands for the 10 km/h passby; two to five bands for the 20 km/h pass-by; and seven bands for the 30 km/h pass-by. The overall levels range from 55.9 dB (A) for a stationary test to a high 70.3 for a 20 km/h pass-by test. Note: Measurement protocol used does not fulfill all the requirements stated in SAE J 2889/1 and therefore the results reported in this section may deviate appreciably from the results obtained using specified conditions.









Figure 21. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Heavy Vehicles Screened at 10 km/h



Figure 22. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Heavy Vehicles Screened at 20 km/h



Figure 23. One-Third Octave Band Levels for Heavy Vehicles Screened at 30 km/h



Figure 24. Overall Sound Pressure Level for Heavy-Duty Vehicles Screened. (Data not available for some vehicle-scenario combinations)

V. Summary

Crash Data

A crash data analysis, across the entire fleet, showed that the percentage of low-speed crashes with pedestrians is lower for heavy-duty vehicles (0.42) than for light-duty vehicles (0.80). Similarly, the percentage of low-speed crash with pedestrians is lower for motorcycles (0.27) than for light-duty vehicles (0.80). Pedestrians injured in motor vehicle traffic crashes by crash year and striking vehicle type from 2000 to 2011 were estimated using data from the GES. The data show that on average, the percentage of pedestrians injured in motor vehicle crashes by passenger cars is 61.5 while the corresponding value for motorcycles, medium trucks, and heavy trucks is 1.0 percent each. Statistics for pedestrian collision rates of hybrid and electric vehicles with GVWRs over 4,536 kg (10,000 lb.) and motorcycles were not available because of the limited penetration of these vehicles into the fleet.

Acoustic Data

NHTSA collected acoustic data for two electric motorcycles on an ISO 10844:2011 compliant surface. The measurements protocol used follows general procedures in SAE J 2889/1 'Measurement of Minimum Noise Emitted by Road Vehicles', May 2012 with some exceptions as described in this report. Results were compared to the minimum levels for detection as determined by Hastings and McInnis (n.d.). Results for the 2012 Brammo Enertia show that the measured levels for the motorcycle tests are equal or greater than the minimum levels in:

- 2 of the 13 bands for 10 km/h pass-by; and
- 3 of the 13 bands for 20 km/h pass-by.

Sound levels for the 30 km/h pass-by were below the minimum levels for detection in each of the 13 one-third octave bands from 315 Hz to 5000 Hz. Sound levels for the 2012 Zero S were also below the minimum levels for detection in each of the 13 bands for all pass-by tests (i.e., 10, 20, and 30 km/h).

NHTSA also collected acoustic data for a pure electric heavy vehicle (Navistar eStar) on an ISO 10844: 1994 compliant surface suitable for heavy vehicles. The acoustic measurements for this vehicle were compared to the minimum levels needed for detection. The data showed that the measured levels for the heavy vehicle tested are equal or greater than the minimum levels in:

- 7 of the 13 bands for stationary;
- 9 of the 13 bands for 10 km/h pass-by;
- 8 of the 13 bands for 20 km/h pass-by; and
- 7 of the 13 bands for 30 km/h pass-by.

NHTSA applied the proposed test procedure to motorcycles and heavy vehicles without major issues. One notable change is that that the motorcycles were run just to the right of the center of the lane with respect to the direction of travel. This was done such the motorcycles' tires were not rolling on the painted center line. Also, motorcycles were not tested in the reverse scenarios since they did not have reverse capabilities. No issues were noted while applying the test protocol to the heavy vehicle tested. It is important to note, however, that the research team tested one delivery truck and was unable to find electric or hybrid heavy-duty vehicles with different size and configuration for this test.

VI. References

- Evans, L. R., & Harris, J. R. (2012, June). *Quieter vehicles performance test development research report.* . (Submitted to DOT Docket No. NHTSA-2011-0148-0047). Washington DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- Harris, J. R., Gerdus, E., Evans, L. R., & Garrott, R. W. (n.d.). Quieter vehicle test report 2012: Comparison of sound pressure levels. (Submitted to DOT Docket No. NHTSA-2011-0148). Washington DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- Hastings, A., & McInnis, C. (n.d.). Detectability of alert signals for hybrid and electric vehicles: Acoustic modeling and human subjects experiment. (Submitted to DOT Docket No. NHTSA-2011-0148).
 Washington DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- Hastings, A., Pollard, J., Garay-Vega, L., Stearns, M., & Guthy, C. (2011). Quieter cars and the safety of blind pedestrians, phase 2: Development of potential specifications for vehicle countermeasure sounds. (Report No. DOT HS 811 496). Washington DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Available at www.nhtsa.gov/DOT/NHTSA/NVS/-Crash%20Avoidance/Technical%20Publications/2011/811496.pdf
- International Organization for Standardization. (2011). Acoustics -- Specification of test tracks for measuring noise emitted by road vehicles and their tires. (ISO 108444:2011). Geneva.
- Proposed Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard. (2013, January 14). *Minimum sound requirements for hybrid and electric vehicles.* (Submitted to DOT Docket No. NHTSA-2011-0148-0075). Washington DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Available at www.noticeandcomment.com/Federal-Motor-Vehicle-Safety-Standards-Minimum-Sound-Requirements-for-Hybrid-and-Electric-Vehicles-fn-106.aspx
- S. 841[111th]. (2011, January 4). Pedestrian Safety Enhancement Act of 2010. Public Law No: 111-373.
- SAE International. (2012, May). SAE J 2889-1-2011, Measurement of Minimum Noise Emitted by Road Vehicles. Warrendale, PA: Author.
- Wu, J., Austin, R., & Chen, C.L. (2011) Incidence rates of pedestrian and bicyclist crashes by hybrid electric passenger vehicles: An update. (Report No. DOT HS 811 526). Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Available at www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/Pubs/811526.pdf

Appendix A: Acoustic Data for Electric Motorcycles

	Test	Overall																		
Vehicle	Condition	SPL	100	125	160	200	250	315	400	500	630	800	1000	1250	1600	2000	2500	3150	4000	5000
Brammo	Minimum Ambient	40.3	17.2	19.7	20.2	21.0	20.7	21.2	21.6	22.0	22.3	23.0	24.6	25.3	25.7	26.7	27.5	28.0	28.9	29.3
Brammo	Mean Ambient	41.3	22.7	25.6	23.9	25.3	24.3	28.2	24.8	25.4	25.3	26.6	27.6	28.0	28.0	28.1	29.1	30.4	30.0	30.2
Brammo	Max Ambient	43.5	27.0	30.5	27.4	29.1	27.6	37.5	28.5	29.0	29.3	30.3	31.2	31.5	31.0	30.6	35.2	40.0	33.0	31.0
Brammo	Startup	64.2	20.3	24.3	24.4	23.5	21.9	24.8	26.2	30.4	37.3	37.1	40.8	46.7	48.5	51.8	58.3	56.5	58.6	55.5
Brammo	10 km/h	57.0	26.9	26.8	26.6	31.4	27.9	31.8	39.5	38.2	43.8	49.7	51.9	49.4	47.1	40.7	39.3	42.0	41.6	40.5
Brammo	20 km/h	63.2	25.9	30.8	30.0	44.0	36.5	37.9	50.3	41.5	50.5	53.5	56.2	55.1	55.5	50.2	48.0	49.4	49.7	48.5
Brammo	30 km/h	66.5	30.7	30.2	33.8	39.1	41.8	56.5	42.7	43.0	52.3	52.2	59.3	61.2	57.9	52.0	51.8	53.0	52.2	50.2
Brammo	0-10 km/h Forward Accel	57.0	29.1	34.6	43.3	31.9	29.6	37.4	37.6	39.0	42.2	49.3	51.5	49.7	46.6	39.0	38.9	41.4	41.8	41.9
Zero Electric	Minimum Ambient	28.5	-14.7	-12.2	-7.5	-3.4	-0.1	3.7	6.5	9.1	11.7	13.1	15.1	16.9	17.8	18.6	19.6	20.7	20.4	14.9
Zero Electric	Mean Ambient	38.3	21.4	22.5	23.5	24.3	26.1	28.6	26.4	24.1	22.9	23.7	25.5	24.9	22.6	21.8	27.0	25.3	20.4	23.6
Zero Electric	Max Ambient	46.1	26.2	26.7	29.5	29.0	32.0	37.0	34.6	29.5	26.6	27.0	28.6	27.9	27.6	36.1	45.3	43.6	32.1	31.5
Zero Electric	10 km/h	49.1	20.3	21.5	27.2	31.1	28.1	32.6	39.0	34.5	38.9	42.9	39.1	37.7	38.2	36.3	34.7	34.9	31.9	33.9
Zero Electric	20 km/h	57.0	24.5	24.3	25.2	29.4	31.0	40.6	46.8	38.5	41.8	53.9	45.3	44.7	43.3	42.2	40.7	41.6	37.8	41.1
Zero Electric	30 km/h	59.6	23.6	25.4	29.1	31.9	35.2	39.7	40.7	47.9	52.4	47.5	49.3	52.3	48.8	50.8	46.2	46.3	42.8	44.2
Zero Electric	0-10 km/h Forward Accel	58.3	24.9	32.7	28.4	29.8	34.4	34.2	38.2	39.2	42.8	46.5	46.2	48.8	52.1	48.9	50.0	44.1	40.7	37.6
Zero Electric	30-10 km/h Decel	54.4	21.8	27.2	27.6	30.8	32.8	35.1	37.3	46.1	44.3	47.1	39.2	37.9	37.9	37.7	36.5	36.8	35.9	38.3

Table 3. A-Weighted Sound Levels, Electric Motorcycles (corrected for minimum ambient)

Appendix B: Acoustic Data for Electric Heavy Vehicle

Table 4. A-Weighted Sound Levels, Electric Heavy Truck (corrected for minimum ambient)

Vehicle	Test Condition	Overall							1/3 0	ctave B	and So	und Pr	essure L	evel, dE	BA					
venicie	Test condition	SPL	100	125	160	200	250	315	400	500	630	800	1000	1250	1600	2000	2500	3150	4000	5000
Navistar eStar	Minimum Ambient	42.7	22.8	26.5	26.9	26.4	26.3	25.8	26.2	27.2	30.3	31.8	32.0	30.8	29.2	25.7	21.6	20.4	17.9	15.9
Navistar eStar	Mean Ambient	45.4	29.2	31.9	32.2	31.2	30.3	29.4	28.9	29.8	33.2	34.4	34.6	34.6	34.7	31.6	33.3	37.5	30.2	26.4
Navistar eStar	Max Ambient	51.2	34.8	36.3	36.8	35.1	33.8	33.6	33.2	32.7	36.4	36.6	37.2	40.5	43.5	42.6	45.3	49.2	43.1	40.9
Navistar eStar	Stationary, Idling	55.4	28.2	30.1	37.6	37.6	32.2	38.1	41.8	32.8	38.6	48.0	47.1	48.8	46.2	41.8	40.8	36.8	41.1	35.1
Navistar eStar	Startup ¹³	56.6	31.5	39.7	42.6	42.7	39.8	39.4	42.2	39.8	46.0	50.1	46.6	48.8	44.8	41.7	39.7	35.3	39.3	36.5
Navistar eStar	10 km/h	64.5	34.7	33.9	38.0	40.6	36.9	48.1	49.8	42.3	51.7	53.2	51.8	52.2	58.8	52.3	51.0	45.7	41.5	39.1
Navistar eStar	20 km/h	73.4	37.0	43.7	51.9	47.2	45.8	49.3	48.3	49.9	66.4	61.0	58.3	68.6	65.0	64.4	59.3	54.1	45.6	44.7
Navistar eStar	30 km/h	75.2	39.9	42.8	46.8	52.8	54.4	50.2	52.0	57.1	59.7	64.6	70.8	63.1	62.8	69.5	61.5	57.4	50.7	48.3
Navistar eStar	0-10 km/h Forward Accel	60.9	32.5	31.0	40.4	42.6	44.9	45.0	47.1	44.6	47.9	53.8	50.2	48.7	46.8	45.0	45.3	44.1	43.7	41.3
Navistar eStar	30-10 km/h Decel	64.8	36.6	35.7	39.4	43.8	40.4	47.2	52.1	46.9	52.7	58.9	53.4	51.1	55.7	52.7	53.2	48.6	45.7	43.9

¹³ Average of two events.

Appendix C: Screening Data for Electric and Hybrid Heavy Vehicles

Table 5. A-Weighted Sound Pressure Levels for Electric and Hybrid Heavy Vehicles for One-Third Octave Bands in the Proposed Rulemaking

Vehicle Type	Test Condition	Overall	······································								
		SPL	315	400	500	2000	2500	3150	4000	5000	
Dayton Transit Hybrid Bus	Ambient	51.1	34.0	35.4	34.3	34.5	33.0	30.9	29.8	29.7	
Dayton Transit Hybrid Bus	Stationary, Idling	59	45.1	44.8	53.4	44.4	43.0	38.8	36.1	35.2	
Dayton Transit Hybrid Bus	10 km/h	61.7	49.0	52.1	55.0	48.6	46.0	43.1	42.1	41.9	
Dayton Transit Hybrid Bus	20 km/h	66	51.7	55.3	57.7	54.5	52.7	49.4	47.2	45.8	
Dayton Transit Hybrid Bus	30 km/h	69.5	59.5	63.8	67.7	64.0	62.2	58.9	57.2	58.2	
Dayton Transit Electric Trolley	Ambient	55.1	37.0	34.6	35.1	40.5	38.6	37.3	33.3	32.0	
Dayton Transit Electric Trolley	Stationary, Idling	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Dayton Transit Electric Trolley	10 km/h	68.3	55.3	57.8	58.4	54.7	52.4	49.8	45.4	42.5	
Dayton Transit Electric Trolley	Battery Only (10 km/h	63.6	49.9	54.7	54.7	48.2	47.4	43.1	42.7	39.4	
Dayton Transit Electric Trolley	20 km/h	69.2	57.6	59.0	59.8	55.5	54.5	51.6	49.2	46.1	
Dayton Transit Electric Trolley	30 km/h	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
New Flyer Allison Xcelsior XDE40 (Diesel Electric)	Ambient	49	37.0	37.3	36.7	33.6	32.2	30.4	28.2	25.9	
New Flyer Allison Xcelsior XDE40 (Diesel Electric)	Stationary, Idling	55.9	43.9	49.1	46.9	44.4	42.9	35.6	34.1	30.9	
New Flyer Allison Xcelsior XDE40 (Diesel Electric)	10 km/h	66.2	53.8	54.5	59.6	54.2	50.4	46.8	45.8	43.1	
New Flyer Allison Xcelsior XDE40 (Diesel Electric)	20 km/h	70.3	56.6	60.3	61.2	55.8	56.0	50.9	48.7	46.2	
New Flyer Allison Xcelsior XDE40 (Diesel Electric)	30 km/h	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
New Flyer Allison Xcelsior XDE40 (Diesel Electric)	Reverse	85.4	57.6	62.6	63.5	66.6	67.3	65.1	67.9	68.0	
Neoplan AN440LF (Electric Trackless Trolley)	Ambient	36.9	27.6	27.9	27.4	31.8	32.0	33.0	33.3	34.2	
Neoplan AN440LF (Electric Trackless Trolley)	Stationary, Idling	57.3	51.5	47.0	45.9	44.2	41.2	40.5	36.2	NM	
Neoplan AN440LF (Electric Trackless Trolley)	10 km/h	69.3	60.0	55.5	54.7	56.2	54.3	52.1	57.3	49.0	
Neoplan AN440LF (Electric Trackless Trolley)	20 km/h	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Neoplan AN440LF (Electric Trackless Trolley)	30 km/h	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

¹⁴ The measurement protocol used does not fulfill all the requirements stated in SAE J 2889/1 and therefore the results obtained may deviate appreciably from the results obtained using specified conditions. N/A = not available. N/A = Not Available.

DOT HS 812 225 December 2015



U.S. Department of Transportation

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration



11729-122315-v2