NHTSA'S 2013 LIGHT VEHICLE CIB/DBS PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Garrick J. Forkenbrock, NHTSA





Validate test methods developed to objectively evaluate crash imminent braking (CIB) and dynamic brake support (DBS) technologies on the test track

Specific areas of interest

- Test procedure performability
- The effect of different brake application strategies (DBS)
- The effect of test targets
- Ability to satisfy the assessment factors

Evaluate test vehicles equipped with contemporary production systems

- Performed from July 15 November 19, 2013
- Seven diverse light vehicles
- Two test targets
- Seven test maneuvers
- Two brake application techniques (for DBS tests)

2013 Test Track Evaluation Vehicles and Sensors

Vehicle	RAI	DAR	Cam	ieras		Pedestrian Detection
	SRR (24 GHz)	LRR (77 GHz)	Mono	Stereo	LIDAR	
А		2	~			
В	2	1	~			
С		1				
D	2	1		~		~
E		1				
F		1		~		~
G				✓		~

2013 Test Track Evaluation Surrogate Vehicles

NHTSA SSV



ADAC AEBS Target (Euro NCAP Vehicle Target, EVT)





SAE INTERNATIONAL

2013 Test Track Evaluation Test Maneuvers (Applicable to CIB and DBS)

B.d. con constant	Speed (mph)		O o mum o mt				
Maneuver	SV	POV	Comment				
LVS_25_0	25	0	Stopped lead vehicle.				
LVM_45_20	45	20	Slower moving lead vehicle. Test also used in the FCW NCAP.				
LVM_25_10	25	10	Slower moving lead vehicle. Least severe test in the matrix.				
LVD_35_35	35	35	Decelerating lead vehicle. POV brakes at 0.3g. Avoidance or impact occurs while the POV is moving .				
LVD_25_25	25	25	Decelerating lead vehicle. POV brakes at 0.3g. Avoidance or impact occurs while the POV is at rest .				
STP_45	45		Higher speed false positive test. SV is driven over a 8' x 12' x 1" steel trench plate.				
STP_25	25		Slower speed false positive test. SV is driven over a 8' x 12' x 1" steel trench plate.				

Added after the 07/03/12 Request for Comment

2013 Test Track Evaluation Brake Applications Used For DBS

- Displacement feedback maintains constant brake pedal position for the duration of the application
- Hybrid applications use displacement feedback to establish the initial pedal position, then switches to force-based control



Hybrid braking added in response to the 07/03/12 Request for Comment

Conceptual comparison of displacement versus hybrid feedback brake applications (for a vehicle whose brake pedal falls towards the floor during a DBS intervention).

Maneuver Performability

• Can tests be repeatably and accurately performed within the tolerances provided in the draft test procedures?

Test Track Performance

• Can the performance levels presently under consideration be achieved by contemporary production vehicles?

```
SV and POV speed: ±1 mph (1.6 km/h)
```

```
SV and POV lateral position from road center: \pm 2 ft (0.6 m)
SV-to-POV lateral orientation relative to each other : \pm 1 ft (0.3 m)
SV-to-POV headway: \pm 8 ft (2.4 m)
SV yaw rate: \pm 2 deg/s
SV brake application range to POV: \pm 2 ft (0.6 m)
SV throttle release timing: >1 s prior to brake application
SV brake application rate: \pm 1 in/s (25.4 mm/s)*
POV deceleration: \pm 0.03g (nominally, applicable to LVD tests)*
```

*Data not discussed in this presentation

n = number of all trials performed with a validity violation, per maneuver Of the "n" trials, the cause of the violation is presented.



n = number of all trials performed with a validity violation, per maneuver Of the "n" trials, the cause of the violation is presented.



2013 Test Track Evaluation Assessment Reference Values

FCAM Technology	LVS 25_0	LVM 45_20	LVM 25_10	LVD 35_35	LVD 25_25	STP_45 (FP)	STP_25 (FP)	
CIB	9.8 mph	9.8 mph	Crash Avoidance	10.5 mph	9.8 mph	No Activation		
DBS		(No Ac	tivation			

Assessment factors:

- Assessment reference values must be realized during at least <u>7 of 8</u> trials per condition, for each condition
- CIB speed reductions achieved by 0.6g braking from TTC = 0.6s
- LVS and LVM speed reductions were introduced in a 2012 RFC
- LVD speed reductions are new, but are intended to be the same stringency

Ability to Satisfy <u>CIB</u> Assessment Reference Values (Data not screened for test validity)

Vahiala	LVS	25_0	LVM	LVM	LVD	LVD	STP_45	STP_25
venicie	SSV	ADAC	45_20	25_10	35_35	25_25	(CIB FP)	(CIB FP)
A			8/8	0/9	12/12	7/8	8/8	9/9
В			8/8 8/8 ⁶	6/13 ¹ 7/9 ²	0/10 ²	8/8 ²	11/11	9/9
C ²	9/9 ⁴	8/84	9/9 ⁴	5/10 ³ 8/9 ⁴	3/8 ⁴	9/9 ⁴	9/9	8/8
D	9/9	8/8	8/8	9/9	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8
E			10/10	10/10	9/9	8/8	9/9	8/8
F	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8	9/9	9/9	13/13	10/10
G	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8	10/10	14/14	8/8	9/9

-- = test not performed

¹Minimum range was less than 1-ft for all tests where no impact occurred

²Result achieved with full FMVSS 135 burnish

³Driver attempted to maintain constant throttle position before and after FCW-based throttle pedal pushback

⁴Result achieved with throttle release after FCW-based throttle pedal pushback

Ability to Satisfy <u>CIB</u> Assessment Reference Values (Valid* tests shown)

Vahiala	LVS 25_0 LVM LVM LV	LVD	LVD	STP_45	STP_25			
venicie	SSV	ADAC	45_20	25_10	35_35	25_25	(CIB FP)	(CIB FP)
А			8/8	0/7	8/8	6/7	8/8	7/7
В			8/8	6/8 ¹	0/7 ¹	7/7	8/8	8/8
C ¹	8/8 ²	8/8 ²	8/8 ²	7/8 ²	3/8 ²	8/8 ²	8/8	7/7
D	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8
E			8/8	8/8	8/8	7/7	8/8	8/8
F	8/8	8/8	7/7	6/6	6/6	5/5	8/8	7/7
G	8/8	8/8	7/7	3/3	2/2	4/4	8/8	8/8

-- = test not performed

¹Result achieved with full FMVSS 135 burnish

²Result achieved with throttle release after FCW-based throttle pedal pushback

*Validity assessment does not consider POV deceleration

Ability to Satisfy <u>DBS</u> Assessment Reference Values (Displacement-based brake applications; no validity screen)

Vahiala	LVS	25_0	LVM	LVM	LVD	LVD	STP_45	STP_25
venicie	SSV	ADAC	45_20	25_10	35_35	25_25	(DBS FP)	(DBS FP)
А	0/8	2/12	8/9	0/8	5/9	8/8	8/8	8/8
B ²	11/11	7/8	8/8	9/9	1/12	7/8	8/8	8/8
C ²	8/8	8/8	9/9	9/9	11/13	9/9	9/9	8/9
D	9/9	10/10	8/8	10/10	10/10	8/8	3/10	2/8
E	10/10	8/8	9/9	9/9	11/11	10/10	3/3 ¹	8/8
F	8/9	4/8	9/9	10/10	4/12	8/8	9/9	9/9
G	8/8	11/11	9/9	9/9	14/14	15/16	9/9	9/9

¹Only three tests performed due to weather and vehicle lease constraints

²All DBS tests performed after a full FMVSS 135 burnish

Ability to Satisfy <u>DBS</u> Assessment Reference Values (Valid* displacement-based brake applications)

Vahiala	LVS	25_0	LVM	LVM	LVD	LVD	STP_45	STP_25
venicie	SSV	ADAC	45_20	25_10	35_35	25_25	(DBS FP)	(DBS FP)
А	0/8	1/8	7/8	0/7	4/7	8/8	1/1	8/8
B ¹	8/8	7/8	8/8	8/8	1/8	6/7	6/6	8/8
C ¹	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8	5/5	6/6	7/7	4/5
D	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8	3/8	2/7
E	8/8	8/8	6/6	6/6	4/4	no valid tests	no valid tests	7/7
F	1/2	4/5	5/5	6/6	no valid tests	no valid tests	3/3	2/2
G	8/8	8/8	2/2	8/8	8/8	7/8	7/7	8/8

¹All DBS tests performed after a full FMVSS 135 burnish

*Validity assessment does not consider POV deceleration

Ability to Satisfy <u>DBS</u> Assessment Reference Values (Hybrid-based brake applications; no validity screen)

Vahiala	LVS 25_0		LVM	LVM	LVD	LVD	STP_45	STP_25
venicie	SSV	ADAC	45_20	25_10	35_35	25_25	(DBS FP)	(DBS FP)
A ¹								
B ²	10/11	7/8	8/8	9/9		8/8		
C ²	9/9	8/8	8/8	9/9				
D	10/10	8/8	8/8	8/8				
E	0/9	0/9	9/9	8/8				
F	7/9	7/8	9/9	11/12			10/10	9/9
G	1/11	5/5 ³	8/8	9/9				

-- = test not performed

¹Hybrid brake applications were not performed correctly (fallback force was 6.1N rather than 6.1 lbf)

²All DBS tests performed after a full FMVSS 135 burnish

³Only five tests performed due to instrumentation issues

Ability to Satisfy <u>DBS</u> Assessment Reference Values (Valid* hybrid-based brake applications)

Vahiala	LVS	LVS 25_0		LVM	LVD	LVD	STP_45	STP_25
venicie	SSV	ADAC	45_20	25_10	35_35	25_25	(DBS FP)	(DBS FP)
A ¹								
B ²	7/8	7/8	8/8	8/8		6/6		
C ²	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8				
D	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8				
E	0/4	0/8	8/8	8/8				
F	3/3	4/5	8/8	6/7			2/2	4/4
G	0/8	5/5 ³	2/2	8/8				

-- = test not performed

¹Hybrid brake applications were not performed correctly (fallback force was 6.1N rather than 6.1 lbf)

²All DBS tests performed after a full FMVSS 135 burnish

³Only five tests performed due to instrumentation issues

*Validity assessment does not consider POV deceleration

2013 Test Track Evaluation Steel Trench Plate

- Used to temporally cover pavement during road repairs, construction, etc.
- ASTM A36 steel
- Nominal dimensions: 8' x 12' x 1" (2.4 m x 3.7 m x 25 mm)



2013 Test Track Evaluation DBS False Positives Produced In Response to the STP



SAE INTERNATIONAL

Concluding Remarks

System performance

- The assessment reference values are achievable
 - CIB speed reduction criteria: 3 vehicles
 - DBS speed reduction criteria: 3 vehicles*
 - CIB false positive suppression: 7 vehicles (all)
 - DBS false positives: 6 vehicles
- The vehicles equipped with the largest number of sensors did not necessarily perform better than those equipped with a single sensing technology
- CIB performance was not always indicative of DBS performance
- No consistent test target responses differences were observed
- With few exceptions, the vehicles achieved better DBS performance with displacement feedback-based brake applications

Concluding Remarks

Test procedures

- Inclusive of real-world rear-end crashes
- The 35 mph LVD tests are the most complicated to perform, but the additional vehicle response data are valuable
- STP test results indicate false positives remain a challenge, and that the tests should be retained
- Multiple brake application options may not be necessary

NHTSA's Anticipated 2014 Work

Research dissemination

- Available through docket NHTSA-2012-0057
- Updated CIB / DBS draft test procedures
- Technical reports describing 2013 test track work
- Updated NHTSA SSV design specifications

Complete SSV durability tests

Perform repeatability tests

• Tests repeated twice a month for six months using the same vehicle(s)

Key Test Procedures Updates for 2014

- Additional surrogate vehicle details
- Inclusion of the two LVD and steel trench plate test scenarios
- Revised throttle release specifications
- Multiple brake application options (for DBS evaluations)
- Reduced yaw rate and lateral position tolerances
- Subject vehicle test weight specifications
- Inclusion of applicable FMVSS 135 brake burnish and temperature specifications

Questions?



SAE INTERNATIONAL