**U.S. Department of Transportation - National Highway Traffic Safety Administration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NHTSA Grant Application</td>
<td>KANSAS - Highway Safety Plan - FY 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Office</td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Status</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Highway Safety Plan**

**1 Summary information**

**APPLICATION INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highway Safety Plan Name</th>
<th>KANSAS - Highway Safety Plan - FY 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application Version</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INCENTIVE GRANTS** - The State is eligible to apply for the following grants. Check the grant(s) for which the State is applying.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Description</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. 405(b) Occupant Protection</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 405(c) State Traffic Safety Information System Improvements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 405(d) Impaired Driving Countermeasures</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 405(d) Alcohol-Ignition Interlock Law</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 405(d) 24-7 Sobriety Programs</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 405(e) Distracted Driving</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 405(f) Motorcyclist Safety Grants</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 405(g) State Graduated Driver Licensing Incentive</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 1906 Racial Profiling Data Collection</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STATUS INFORMATION**

2 Highway safety planning process

Enter description of the data sources and processes used by the State to identify its highway safety problems, describe its highway safety performance measures, establish its performance targets, and develop and select evidence-based countermeasure strategies and projects to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Highway Safety Planning Process

The Kansas Department of Transportation, Traffic Safety Section, (TSS) utilized information from various data sources to identify general traffic safety problems and specific locations when planning programs and allocating resources. Outcome and behavior performance measures developed by NHTSA and GHSA (Governor’s Highway Safety Administration) were used to plan and evaluate the overall effectiveness of the highway safety program, see table 1. The state of Kansas used a combination of annual and five-year moving averages to determine baseline and development of data driven goals.

Data Sources

The TSS is responsible for preparation and execution of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Highway Safety Plan. Problem identification, performance goals and strategies are derived by utilization of Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS), the Kansas Crash Analysis and Reporting System (KCARS), Kansas Vehicle Miles Traveled, observational occupant protection surveys, court data and Department of Motor Vehicle data.

The TSS is also actively involved in several Emphasis Area Teams that support the Kansas Strategic Highway Safety Plan. Each team is tasked with identifying solutions to curb the instance of their respective team. Currently, a member of the TSS is chairing the Occupant Protection, Impaired Driving and Older Driver teams. This collaboration between the HSP and SHSP has led to similar strategies outlined in both plans. The Emphasis Area Teams are diversified and include representatives from private and public entities. The entities include, KDOT, Kansas Highway Patrol, Kansas Department of Health and Environment, Kansas Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV), law enforcement liaisons, Kansas Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor, Kansas Traffic Safety Resource Office, AAA of Kansas and the Mid-America Regional Council. The Highway Safety Plan and Strategic Highway Safety Plan both utilize data from FARS, KCARS, observation belt use survey, courts and the Kansas Department of Motor Vehicles to develop problem identification, strategies and allocate resources.

FARS
The State of Kansas utilizes the core performance measures outlined in “Traffic Safety Performance Measures for States and Federal Agencies” (DOT HS 811 025), as developed by NHTSA and GHSA. The FARS database provides ten of the twelve performance measures. These performance measures are not only used to address problem areas, but to gauge recent success or need for improvement at the statewide level.

**KCARS**

The state of Kansas, Department of Transportation, compiles crash reports submitted by law enforcement that meet or exceed the minimum standards of:

- Crash occurred on public roadway
- Crash involved at least one motor vehicle
- Crash had at least one fatality, injury or property damage exceeding $1,000

The state receives around 60,000 crash reports annually. This extensive database allows KDOT to target problem areas by gender, age of driver, BAC levels, contributing circumstances, time of day, crash type, crash severity, city or by county. This database also contains one of the twelve mandated performance measures, number of serious injuries and our Kansas Specific Performance Measure, distracted driving crashes.

A brief sampling of other data segments available in KCARS include: teen crashes by location and statewide, teen crashes by age by location or statewide, unbelted drivers and passengers by location and statewide, roadway departure crashes by location or statewide, roll-over crashes by location or statewide and motorcycle crashes by location or statewide.

The FAST act also requires states to target efforts centered on unsecured load crashes and fatalities. The following tables shows the previous five years of this data, projections and target number. The state will work with law enforcement on addressing this issue.

Drowsy driving has also been identified as a contributing circumstance to crashes. The following tables shows the previous five years of crash and fatality data. The KDOT crash report tracks this issue under the terms “Fell Asleep or Fatigued.” The TSS will work with law enforcement on addressing this issue. Additionally, this issue will be addressed in our annual perception survey designed to get feedback from the citizens in the state on many of the issues surrounding traffic safety.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fell Asleep or Fatigued Driving</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>817</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>923</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vehicle Miles Traveled and Traffic Counts

KDOT maintains vehicle miles traveled charts and figures as well as traffic counts for a great majority of public roads in the state. This is another data source available to the TSS that can be utilized to assist in developing problem identification, identifying resources and allocating funding.

Direct Observational Occupant Protection Surveys

As required, the state of Kansas annually performs a statewide observational survey to gauge seat belt use for adults. Additionally, we perform an observational survey for children. The adult survey is conducted in 26 counties and not only tracks belt use, but gender of front seat occupants, vehicle type and driver distractions. The 2017 study measured nearly 68,000 vehicles and more than 91,000 front seat occupants. Beyond the core statewide observational survey number, the adult survey tells us that females are more likely to buckle up than males and pickup truck drivers are the most likely to not be buckled.

Excerpts from the 2017 Adult Study are:

*Trucks, which account for about one in five vehicles observed, produce a substantially lower belt use rate (76%) than other vehicles (90%-91%), and male truck drivers are the lowest single category of belt users (75%). Rural counties tend to produce a lower belt use rate than urban counties. And, finally, the more “local” the trip, the less likely occupants are to be buckled up.*

Among all drivers, about 6% were observed using a cell phone, about 2% are texting, dialing, or are otherwise looking at a cell phone while driving, and about 2% are displaying other forms of distracted driving, including eating, looking for something, adjusting the sound system, etc. About 90% of drivers displayed no distraction while observed. Even among the younger drivers – the most distracted group, about 87% of drivers display no distraction.

*Law Enforcement produced a belt use rate of about 99%.*

As previously mentioned, Kansas also conducts a child observational survey. This survey is broken down into four age groups, 0-4, 5-9, 10-14 and 15-17. Beyond belt use of the child, the survey also gathers driver gender,
vehicle type, driver distraction and restraint type. The 2017 survey captured more than 33,000 children in 20 diversified counties. Excerpts from the 2017 child survey are:

*Children are much more likely to be buckled up if the driver is also belted. If the driver is belted, about 96% of the children are also belted. If the driver is not belted, only about 23% of the observed children were also belted. This may be the most important finding in the study.*

*The state-wide estimate of belt use among Kansas children (0-17) as observed in 2016-2017 is about 88%. The 0-4 age group is buckled up at the highest rate, about 98%, followed by the 5-9 age group, with about 85% belt use rate. Among 10-14 year olds, about 85% were observed to be buckled up. And the 15-17 year olds were buckled up in about 85% of the observed cases.*

**Court Data**

While not as easy to gather and evaluate, the TSS does receive conviction data from the courts. Specific data sets include DUI fillings, DUI diversions, and DUI dismissals. This information is tracked by municipal and district court. While not a great amount of data, it is used in support of problem identification and when coupled with other data sources can really support the identification of a traffic safety problem.

**Department of Motor Vehicles**

The TSS receives driver’s license information from the DMV. Data elements include number of driver’s license by age and gender. This information is important as we address teen drivers and will assist when we begin addressing older drivers in the future.

**Identify the participants in the processes (e.g., highway safety committees, program stakeholders, community and constituent groups).**

**Highway Safety Participants**

Interaction with diversified groups of professionals and teams throughout the planning process leads to increased traffic safety awareness around the state and leads to new and innovative ideas geared toward saving lives. Periodically, the TSS staff will meet to discuss new ideas, determine if the idea is part of a proven countermeasure, assess resources and collaboratively, decide as to whether or not to implement. Below are some examples of the constant interaction with other traffic safety professionals.

Annually, the TSS conducts recruitment lunches around the state. The recruitment lunches are designed to engage law enforcement and other safety advocates on upcoming mobilizations and other traffic safety initiatives. These meetings also give the TSS an opportunity to engage local law enforcement and other safety advocates about potential traffic safety problems and or solutions.

Every year, KDOT hosts the Kansas Transportation Safety Conference. This conference attracts more than 300 professionals and over 50 teens and sponsors. The conference has four emphasis area tracks: Youth, Law Enforcement, Roadway Safety and Injury Control. While participants will gain a better understanding on
current programs, new and innovative solutions, it also provides an opportunity to discuss problems and new ideas. This conference will continue in 2019.

Beginning in FFY 18, KDOT hosted a Teen Traffic Safety Conference. The conference attracted 150 teens and an additional 50 teen sponsors. Belt use, underage drinking, impaired driving and distractions were the central topics of discussion. This conference will continue in 2019.

The TSS is also actively involved in several Emphasis Area Teams that support the Strategic Highway Safety Plan. Each team is tasked with identifying solutions to curb the instance of their respective team. Currently, a member of the SHSO is leading the Occupant Protection, Impaired Driving and Older Driver teams. The Emphasis Area Teams are diversified and include representatives from private and public entities. The entities include KDOT, Kansas Highway Patrol, Kansas Department of Health and Environment, Department of Motor Vehicles, law enforcement liaisons, Kansas Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor, AAA of Kansas, MADD Kansas and the Mid-America Regional Council. The outcome from the coordination of these plans has led to increased awareness of behavioral safety issues and a general collaborative effort in the state.

Monthly, SHSO staff, the KDOT Strategic Highway Safety Plan owner, law enforcement liaisons, the Kansas Traffic Safety Resource Office, the Kansas Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor, the Traffic Safety media contractor, NHTSA and other safety advocates meet to discuss upcoming activities, potential problem identification and possible solutions to problems.

Enter description and analysis of the State’s overall highway safety problems as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets, selecting countermeasure strategies, and developing projects.

Performance Measures and Targets

Developing performance measures and targets is done collaboratively by the TSS staff and Strategic Highway Safety Plan staff. Armed with the most current data, this group meets to examine the core performance measures and evaluate progress towards the goals established in the most recent Highway Safety Plan. Additionally, as a group, we decide upon targets/goals for the upcoming Highway Safety Plan and ensure these targets are in-line with current goals/targets in the SHSP and Highway Safety Improvement Plan (HSIP). The group has focused on developing goals based upon historical data from the data sources listed above, trend-lines of established performance measures, ensuring goals are realistic, achievable and resources are available.

Enter discussion of the methods for project selection (e.g., constituent outreach, public meetings, solicitation of proposals).

Project Selection

Once the group has settled on performance measures and targets, project selection comes next. Project site selection supports the priority emphasis areas identified in the problem identification. The ranking of priority problem areas in the
state, similar to the Highway Safety Strategies and Projects section of the plan coupled with the crash database and other relevant data sources, leads the traffic safety staff to begin formulating a program to address the specific issue. The next step involves engaging the local partner or entity that is best equipped to positively impact the specific countermeasure that is being addressed. Traffic safety staff will then work with the partner on a grant that will define the project, establish performance measures and mutually agree on the outcomes of the project. Another way project selection can occur is through solicitation from local entities or advocacy groups. After the solicitation is received in the traffic safety office, the office will review the proposal, reference available data sources targeting problem identification, to determine if it provides support to reach the ultimate goal of reducing death and injury on Kansas roads. The next step involves input about the project from the traffic safety office staff and other traffic safety advocates including our law enforcement liaisons, our resource prosecutors and the KTSRO. Once the project is deemed appropriate of grant funding, a traffic safety staff person will work with the vendor to formulate the grant. This process forms the basis of the primary criterion for project site selection. Whichever method is used, the SHSO has worked with established resources/contractors on implementing a program that mirrors a solution listed in the most recent “Countermeasures that Work” book.

Prior to award, each entity will receive a Risk Assessment. The Risk Assessment will at a minimum, address the following issues: financial stability, quality of management systems, history of performance, reporting timeliness, percent of grant funds expended, reports and findings from audits, ability to conform to statutory requirements, disbarment or suspension. Through the contract period, the SHSO constantly monitors grantee performance as well as timeliness and completeness of financial documents and is able to provide feedback to current grantees as needed.

Enter list of information and data sources consulted.

Data Sources

The TSS is responsible for preparation and execution of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Highway Safety Plan. Problem identification, performance goals and strategies are derived by utilization of Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS), the Kansas Crash Analysis and Reporting System (KCARS), Kansas Vehicle Miles Traveled, observational occupant protection surveys, court data and Department of Motor Vehicle data.

The TSS is also actively involved in several Emphasis Area Teams that support the Kansas Strategic Highway Safety Plan. Each team is tasked with identifying solutions to curb the instance of their respective team. Currently, a member of the TSS is chairing the Occupant Protection, Impaired Driving and Older Driver teams. This collaboration between the HSP and SHSP has led to similar strategies outlined in both plans. The Emphasis Area Teams are diversified and include representatives from private and public entities. The entities include, KDOT, Kansas Highway Patrol, Kansas Department of Health and Environment, Kansas Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV), law enforcement liaisons, Kansas Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor, Kansas Traffic Safety Resource Office, AAA of Kansas and the Mid-America Regional Council. The Highway Safety Plan and Strategic Highway Safety Plan both utilize data from FARS, KCARS, observation belt use survey, courts and the Kansas Department of Motor Vehicles to develop problem identification, strategies and allocate resources.

FARS
The State of Kansas utilizes the core performance measures outlined in “Traffic Safety Performance Measures for States and Federal Agencies” (DOT HS 811 025), as developed by NHTSA and GHSA. The FARS database provides ten of the twelve performance measures. These performance measures are not only used to address problem areas, but to gauge recent success or need for improvement at the statewide level.

**KCARS**

The state of Kansas, Department of Transportation, compiles crash reports submitted by law enforcement that meet or exceed the minimum standards of:

- Crash occurred on public roadway
- Crash involved at least one motor vehicle
- Crash had at least one fatality, injury or property damage exceeding $1,000

The state receives around 60,000 crash reports annually. This extensive database allows KDOT to target problem areas by gender, age of driver, BAC levels, contributing circumstances, time of day, crash type, crash severity, city or by county. This database also contains one of the twelve mandated performance measures, number of serious injuries and our Kansas Specific Performance Measure, distracted driving crashes.

A brief sampling of other data segments available in KCARS include: teen crashes by location and statewide, teen crashes by age by location or statewide, unbelted drivers and passengers by location and statewide, roadway departure crashes by location or statewide, roll-over crashes by location or statewide and motorcycle crashes by location or statewide.

The FAST act also requires states to target efforts centered on unsecured load crashes and fatalities. The following tables shows the previous five years of this data, projections and target number. The state will work with law enforcement on addressing this issue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crashes – Problems with or loss of Cargo</strong></td>
<td>131</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>266</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projections</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>265</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>295</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Drowsy driving has also been identified as a contributing circumstance to crashes. The following tables show the previous five years of crash and fatality data. The KDOT crash report tracks this issue under the terms “Fell Asleep or Fatigued.” The TSS will work with law enforcement on addressing this issue. Additionally, this issue will be addressed in our annual perception survey designed to get feedback from the citizens in the state on many of the issues surrounding traffic safety.

KDOT maintains vehicle miles traveled charts and figures as well as traffic counts for a great majority of public roads in the state. This is another data source available to the TSS that can be utilized to assist in developing problem identification, identifying resources and allocating funding.

**Direct Observational Occupant Protection Surveys**
As required, the state of Kansas annually performs a statewide observational survey to gauge seat belt use for adults. Additionally, we perform an observational survey for children. The adult survey is conducted in 26 counties and not only tracks belt use, but gender of front seat occupants, vehicle type and driver distractions. The 2017 study measured nearly 68,000 vehicles and more than 91,000 front seat occupants. Beyond the core statewide observational survey number, the adult survey tells us that females are more likely to buckle up than males and pickup truck drivers are the most likely to not be buckled.

Excerpts from the 2017 Adult Study are:

- **Trucks, which account for about one in five vehicles observed, produce a substantially lower belt use rate (76%) than other vehicles (90%-91%), and male truck drivers are the lowest single category of belt users (75%).** Rural counties tend to produce a lower belt use rate than urban counties. And, finally, the more “local” the trip, the less likely occupants are to be buckled up.

- Among all drivers, about 6% were observed using a cell phone, about 2% are texting, dialing, or are otherwise looking at a cell phone while driving, and about 2% are displaying other forms of distracted driving, including eating, looking for something, adjusting the sound system, etc. About 90% of drivers displayed no distraction while observed. Even among the younger drivers – the most distracted group, about 87% of drivers display no distraction.

- **Law Enforcement produced a belt use rate of about 99%.

As previously mentioned, Kansas also conducts a child observational survey. This survey is broken down into four age groups, 0-4, 5-9, 10-14 and 15-17. Beyond belt use of the child, the survey also gathers driver gender, vehicle type, driver distraction and restraint type. The 2017 survey captured more than 33,000 children in 20 diversified counties. Excerpts from the 2017 child survey are:

- **Children are much more likely to be buckled up if the driver is also belted. If the driver is belted, about 96% of the children are also belted. If the driver is not belted, only about 23% of the observed children were also belted. This may be the most important finding in the study.

- The state-wide estimate of belt use among Kansas children (0-17) as observed in 2016-2017 is about 88%. The 0-4 age group is buckled up at the highest rate, about 98%, followed by the 5-9 age group, with about 85% belt use rate. Among 10-14 year olds, about 85% were observed to be buckled up. And the 15-17 year olds were buckled up in about 85% of the observed cases.

**Court Data**

While not as easy to gather and evaluate, the TSS does receive conviction data from the courts. Specific data sets include DUI fillings, DUI diversions, and DUI dismssals. This information is tracked by municipal and district court. While not a great amount of data, it is used in support of problem identification and when coupled with other data sources can really support the identification of a traffic safety problem.

**Department of Motor Vehicles**

The TSS receives driver’s license information from the DMV. Data elements include number of driver’s license by age and gender. This information is important as we address teen drivers and will assist when we begin addressing older drivers in the future.

Enter description of the outcomes from the coordination of the Highway Safety Plan (HSP), data collection, and information systems with the State Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP).

Strategic Highway Safety Plan and Highway Safety Plan Coordination

The state of Kansas is fortunate in that the SHSP and HSP administrators are in the same Bureau inside of the KDOT Bureau of Transportation Safety and Technology. Calculations of the four common performance targets used the five-year moving average data to plan programs, establish goals and track progress. Both plans rely heavily on the same data sources to establish strategies and goals. These data sources include, but are not limited to: FARS, the statewide crash database, court data and observational surveys. Both plans are similar in that fatalities, urban and rural fatalities, impaired driving, seat belt use, teen driver fatalities, motorcycles and pedestrians are used as performance measures and are used when developing Emphasis Area Teams. The four identified performance measures – fatalities, fatality rate, serious injuries and serious injury rate – have the same definition and goals.

3 Performance report

Open each performance measure listed below or click Add New to create additional non-core performance measures to provide a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year's HSP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6) Number of speeding-related fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Program Area Level Report C-1

In reviewing the most recent data, fatalities are static and progressing towards target.

C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Program Area Level Report C-2

In reviewing the most recent data, serious injuries are decreasing and progressing towards target.

C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Program Area Level Report C-3

In reviewing the most recent data, the fatality rate is decreasing and progressing towards target.
C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State's progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year's HSP.

Program Area Level Report C-4

In reviewing the most recent data, the number of persons unrestrained is decreasing and progressing towards target.

C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State's progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year's HSP.

Program Area Level Report C-5

In reviewing the most recent data, the number of impaired fatalities is decreasing and progressing towards target.

C-6) Number of speeding-related fatalities (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State's progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year's HSP.

Program Area Level Report C-6

In reviewing the most recent data, the number of speed related fatalities is flat and progressing towards target.

C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State's progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year's HSP.

Program Area Level Report C-7

In reviewing the most recent data, the number of motorcycle fatalities is increasing and progressing towards target.

C-8) Number of unhelmeted motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State's progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year's HSP.
Program Area Level Report C-8

In reviewing the most recent data, the number of unhelmeted motorcycle fatalities are slightly increasing and progressing towards target.

C-9) Number of drivers age 20 or younger involved in fatal crashes (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Program Area Level Report C-9

In reviewing the most recent data, the number of drivers age 20 and younger involved in a fatal crash is decreasing and progressing towards target.

C-10) Number of pedestrian fatalities (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Program Area Level Report C-10

In reviewing the most recent data, the number of pedestrian fatalities are increasing and progressing towards target.

C-11) Number of bicyclists fatalities (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Program Area Level Report C-11

In reviewing the most recent data, the number of bicycle fatalities are slightly decreasing and progressing towards target.

B-1) Observed seat belt use for passenger vehicles, front seat outboard occupants (survey)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Program Area Level Report B-1

In reviewing the most recent data, the observed seat belt rate is slightly increasing and progressing towards target.
Distracted Driving Crashes

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Program Area Level Report Distracted Driving Crashes

In reviewing the most recent data, the number of distracted driving crashes are slightly increasing and progressing towards target.

4 Performance plan

Open each performance measure listed below or click Add New to create additional non-core performance measures to provide a list of quantifiable and measurable highway safety performance targets that are data-driven, consistent with the Uniform Guidelines for Highway Safety Programs and based on highway safety problems identified by the State during the planning process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period (Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target Start Year (Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year (Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target Value (Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>389.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>980.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>123.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>79.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6) Number of speeding-related fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>113.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-8) Number of unhelmeted motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-9) Number of drivers age 20 or</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>47.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Metric Type: Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Value: 389.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Period: 5 Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Start Year: 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

**Goal Statement**

**Number of Traffic Fatalities:** The 2019 five-year moving average projection based upon the trend line indicates 389 total fatalities. A zero percent reduction in this projection would derive our goal of 389 total fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history, the trend line of the target, the zero percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable. The 2019 HSP and 2019 HSIP five-year moving average targets are equal.

C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Metric Type: Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Value: 980.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Period: 5 Year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal Statement

**Number of Serious Injuries:** The 2019 five-year moving average projection based upon the trend line indicates 990 serious injuries. A one percent reduction in this projection would derive our goal of 980 serious injuries in 2019. Based upon recent history, the trend line of the target, the one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable. The 2019 HSP and 2019 HSIP five-year moving average targets are equal.

The data in this table reflect serious injuries as defined by the NHTSA/FHWA conversion table. In Kansas, that equates to the number of disabling injuries as recorded in our state crash database.

**C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)**

**Is this a traffic records system performance measure?**

No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Metric Type: Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Value: 1.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Period: 5 Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Start Year: 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal Statement

**Fatality Rate per 100 million VMT:** The 2019 five-year moving average projection based upon the trend line indicates 1.21 fatalities per 100 million VMT. A one percent reduction in this projection will produce our goal of 1.20 fatalities per 100 million VMT in 2019. Based upon recent history, the trend line of the target, the one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable. The 2019 HSP and 2019 HSIP five-year moving average targets are equal.
C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Metric Type: Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Value: 123.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Period: Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Start Year: 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Goal Statement

**Unrestrained Fatalities all Positions:** The five-year 2019 projection based upon the trend line indicates 125 unrestrained fatalities. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 123 unrestrained fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history, the recent moderate gains in the number of observed persons wearing their seatbelt, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Metric Type: Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Value: 79.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Period: Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Start Year: 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

**Goal Statements**

**Number of Fatalities in Crashes Involving a Driver or Motorcycle Operator, with BAC of .08 or higher (FARS):** The five-year 2019 projection based upon the trend line indicates 80 alcohol-impaired fatalities. A
one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 79 alcohol impaired fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history, the recent achievements in impaired driving legislation, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

C-6) Number of speeding-related fatalities (FARS)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C-6) Number of speeding-related fatalities (FARS)-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Metric Type: Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Value: 113.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Period: Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Start Year: 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Goal Statement

Speeding Fatalities: The annual 2019 projection based upon the trend line indicates 114 speeding fatalities. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 113 speeding fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history and absent any recent law changes, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Metric Type: Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Value: 53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Period: Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Start Year: 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Goal Statement
**Motorcycle Fatalities:** The annual 2019 projection based upon the trend line indicates 54 motorcyclist fatalities. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 53 motorcyclist fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

**C-8) Number of unhelmeted motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)**

*Is this a traffic records system performance measure?*

No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C-8) Number of unhelmeted motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Metric Type: Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Value: 28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Period: Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Start Year: 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

**Goal Statement**

**Un-helmeted Motorcycle Fatalities:** The annual 2019 projection based upon the trend line indicates 29 un-helmeted motorcyclist fatalities. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 28 un-helmeted motorcyclist fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history, and absent a universal helmet law, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

**C-9) Number of drivers age 20 or younger involved in fatal crashes (FARS)**

*Is this a traffic records system performance measure?*

No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C-9) Number of drivers age 20 or younger involved in fatal crashes (FARS)-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Metric Type: Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Value: 47.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Period: Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Start Year: 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

**Goal Statement**

**Fatalities with Driver 20 or Under:** The 2019 annual projection based upon the trend line indicates 48 fatalities with a driver age 20 or under. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 47 fatalities with a driver age 20 or under in 2019. Based upon recent history, the recent legislative achievements in our GDL law and expansion of the SAFE program, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

**C-10) Number of pedestrian fatalities (FARS)**

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C-10) Number of pedestrian fatalities (FARS)-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Metric Type: Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Value: 41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Period: Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Start Year: 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

**Goal Statement**

**Pedestrian Fatalities:** The 2019 annual projection based upon the trend line indicates 42 pedestrian fatalities. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 41 pedestrian fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history, and relatively small number of pedestrian fatalities, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

**C-11) Number of bicyclists fatalities (FARS)**

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No
Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

**Goal Statement**

**Bicycle Fatalities:** The 2019 annual projection based upon the trend line indicates two bicycle fatalities. A fifty percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of one bicycle fatality in 2019. Based upon recent history, and relatively small number of bicycle fatalities, a fifty percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

**Seat Belt Usage:** The 2019 annual projection based upon the trend line indicates an 86 percent observed belt use rate. A five percent increase in this projection would equal our goal of an 87 percent observed belt use rate in 2019. Based upon recent history, and recent gains in the number of observed persons wearing seat belts, a five percent increase is realistic and attainable.
Distracted Driving Crashes

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distracted Driving Crashes-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Metric Type: Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Value: 18,827.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Period: Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Start Year: 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Goal Statement

**Distracted Driving Crashes**: The 2019 annual projection based upon the trend line indicates 19,017 distracted driving crashes. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 18,827 distracted driving crashes in 2019. Based upon recent history, and increased number of distractions in our vehicles, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

State HSP performance targets are identical to the State DOT targets for common performance measures (fatality, fatality rate, and serious injuries) reported in the HSIP annual report, as coordinated through the State SHSP.

Check the box if the statement is correct. 

Yes

Enter grant-funded enforcement activity measure information related to seat belt citations, impaired driving arrests and speeding citations.

**A-1) Number of seat belt citations issued during grant-funded enforcement activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal year</th>
<th>Seat belt citations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>20356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A-2) Number of impaired driving arrests made during grant-funded enforcement activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal year</th>
<th>Impaired driving arrests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A-3) Number of speeding citations issued during grant-funded enforcement activities*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal year</th>
<th>Speeding citations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6335</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Program areas

Program Area Hierarchy

1. Motorcycle Safety
   - MC Awareness Media
     - MC Awareness Media
     - FAST Act 405f Motorcycle Programs
   - Alcohol Impairment: Detection, Enforcement and Sanctions
     - Motorcycle Enforcement
     - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int

2. Non-motorized (Pedestrians and Bicyclist)
   - Conspicuity Enhancement
     - Ped and Bike Conspicuity
     - FAST Act NHTSA 402
   - Communication Campaign
     - Ped and Bike Education
     - FAST Act NHTSA 402

3. Distracted Driving
   - High Visibility Cellphone/Text Messaging Enforcement
     - Distracted Driving Awareness
     - FAST Act 405e Special Distracted Driving
     - Distracted Driving Enforcement
     - FAST Act 405e Special Distracted Driving
   - Communication Campaign

4. Communications (Media)
   - Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness
     - Mass Media PM
     - FAST Act 405b OP Low
     - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int
     - FAST Act NHTSA 402

5. Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)
   - Prosecutor Training
     - Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor
     - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int
   - Ignition Interlocks
     - DWI Offender Monitoring
     - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int
   - High Visibility Enforcement
- Impaired Driving Enforcement
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int
- Court Monitoring
  - MADD Court Monitoring
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int
- Communication Campaign
  - Communication Campaign Impaired Driving
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int
- Alcohol Problem Assessment/Treatment
  - 24/7/Judge's Training
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int

6. Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)
- Short-term, High Visibility Seat Belt Law Enforcement
  - Night Time Seat Belt Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405b OP Low
- Observational Survey
  - Observational Survey
    - FAST Act 405b OP Low
- Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)
  - Child Seat Distribution and Inspection Stations
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402

7. Police Traffic Services
- Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement
  - Overtime Grants for Law Enforcement
    - NHTSA 402
- Communications and Outreach
  - PT Communication and Outreach
  - Safe Communities Communication and Outreach
    - NHTSA 402

8. Roadway Safety/Traffic Engineering
- Training for Public Works
  - Roadway Safety
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402

9. Traffic Records
- Improves integration between one or more core highway safety databases
  - TRCC Program Management
    - FAST Act 405c Data Program
  - GIS Mapping Integration
    - FAST Act 405c Data Program
- Improves completeness of a core highway safety database
  - Electronic Citation Reporting
    - MAP 21 405c Data Program
  - eCitation Management
    - MAP 21 405c Data Program
  - Crash Reporting Form Updates
    - MAP 21 405c Data Program
  - eCitation Vendors
    - MAP 21 405c Data Program
- Improves accuracy of a core highway safety database
5.1 Program Area: Motorcycle Safety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area type</th>
<th>Motorcycle Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No
Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

Motorcycle Safety

The state of Kansas has established a multi-disciplined task force to address the issue of motorcycle safety. The task force meets quarterly and gives the state direction on ways to combat the problem. This number has remained relatively stagnant over the past several years. KDOT will continue to utilize a comprehensive statewide media campaign to remind drivers and motorcyclists to Share the Road. An enforcement campaign will be conducted in the summer of 2019 in the Kansas City, Wichita and Topeka areas targeting impaired driving and riding. Law enforcement partners will include the Highway Patrol and several local agencies.

Core Performance Measure Goals:

**Motorcycle Fatalities**: The five-year trend for 2019 projection based upon the trend line indicates 54 motorcyclist fatalities. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 53 motorcyclist fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

**Un-helmeted Motorcycle Fatalities**: The five-year trend for 2019 projection based upon the trend line indicates 29 un-helmeted motorcyclist fatalities. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 28 un-helmeted motorcyclist fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history, and absent a universal helmet law, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period (Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value (Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>MC Awareness Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Impairment: Detection, Enforcement and Sanctions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.1 Countermeasure Strategy: MC Awareness Media

Program area  | Motorcycle Safety
---|---
Countermeasure strategy | MC Awareness Media

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State's problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State's unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description
To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Communication/Media campaign coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, Motorcycle Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Communication/Media campaign coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, Motorcycle Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Communication Campaign is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4803-19</td>
<td>MC Awareness Media</td>
<td>MC Awareness Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.1.1 Planned Activity: MC Awareness Media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>MC Awareness Media</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-4803-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>MC Awareness Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
**Motorcycle Crashes involving other vehicles:** There were 1095 motorcycle/moped crashes/53 fatalities in 2016, with 511 of those crashes involving a motorcycle and at least one other vehicle, resulting in 27 multi-vehicle fatalities. This data shows the state should target “Share the Road” education and enforcement resources in Sedgwick, Johnson and Shawnee Counties, which comprise more than half (56%) of all multi-vehicle motorcycle crashes and fatalities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016 Motorcycle/Moped Crashes involving another motor vehicle, by County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEDGWICK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHNSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAWNEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALINE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RILEY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOUGLAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WYANDOTTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRAWFORD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAVENWORTH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEFFERSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherokee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McPherson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nemaha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neosho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://nhtsagmss.crm9.dynamics.com/main.aspx?area=Nav_Application&etc=10046&page=Applications_HQ&pagetype=entitylist&web=true#955... 33/254
**Total number of crashes involving another vehicle**  
511

50% of total = 256 (Top 3 counties = 284)

Enter intended subrecipients.

Advertising mediums and Advertising Contractors

**Countermeasure strategies**

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>MC Awareness Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAST Act 405f Motorcycle Programs</td>
<td>405f Motorcycle Safety (FAST)</td>
<td>$130,000.00</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Alcohol Impairment: Detection, Enforcement and Sanctions

Program area: Motorcycle Safety

Countermeasure strategy: Alcohol Impairment: Detection, Enforcement and Sanctions

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural
Identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

High Visibility Enforcement coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

High Visibility Enforcement coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

High Visibility Enforcement coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.
Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

High Visibility Enforcement is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1300-19</td>
<td>Motorcycle Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Impairment: Detection, Enforcement and Sanctions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.2.1 Planned Activity: Motorcycle Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Motorcycle Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-1300-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Alcohol Impairment: Detection, Enforcement and Sanctions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

High Visibility Enforcement

Funding will be provided to fund overtime to law enforcement in Ford, Johnson, Jackson, Leavenworth, Meade, Sedgwick, Shawnee and Wyandotte Counties which, represent over 50 percent of the state’s impaired motorcycle fatalities. The enforcement program will consist of two weekend mobilizations, and others as local need dictates, in the summer of 2019 aimed at deterring impaired driving behaviors for all vehicle operators.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Local and state law enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Impairment: Detection, Enforcement and Sanctions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Int (FAST)</td>
<td>$240,000.00</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.2 Program Area: Non-motorized (Pedestrians and Bicyclist)

Program area type Non-motorized (Pedestrians and Bicyclist)

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification
Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

Compared with national statistics, the state of Kansas doesn't have a significant pedestrian or bicycle fatality problem. Efforts in the state are centered on education through production and distribution of brochures and bike helmets at community events.

Core Performance Goals:

**Pedestrian Fatalities:** The 2019 annual projection based upon the trend line indicates 42 pedestrian fatalities. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 41 pedestrian fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history, and relatively small number of pedestrian fatalities, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

**Bicycle Fatalities:** The 2019 annual projection based upon the trend line indicates two bicycle fatalities. A fifty percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of one bicycle fatality in 2019. Based upon recent history, and relatively small number of bicycle fatalities, a fifty percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period (Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value (Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-10) Number of pedestrian fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-11) Number of bicyclists fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Conspicuity Enhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Conspicuity Enhancement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area</th>
<th>Non-motorized (Pedestrians and Bicyclist)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Conspicuity Enhancement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of
the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State's problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State's unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred] 

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.
Conspicuity enhancement is identified in the Countermeasures That Work document when addressing pedestrian and bicycle crashes and fatalities and will be implemented in two of the largest communities in the state.

**Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.**

Conspicuity enhancement coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures C-10 and C-11. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

**Evidence of effectiveness**

**Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.**

Conspicuity enhancement is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

**Planned activities**

**Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.**

**Planned activities in countermeasure strategy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1601-19 and SP-1602-19</td>
<td>Ped and Bike Conspicuity</td>
<td>Conspicuity Enhancement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**5.2.1.1 Planned Activity: Ped and Bike Conspicuity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Ped and Bike Conspicuity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-1601-19 and SP-1602-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Conspicuity Enhancement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]**

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Through distribution of bike helmets, earned and paid media, the pedestrian and bicycle rider will become better educated on the importance of being seen by the traveling public.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Kansas Department of Health and Environment, City of Wichita and City of Topeka.

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Conspicuity Enhancement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety (FAST)</td>
<td>$80,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$80,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.2.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Communication Campaign

Program area: Non-motorized (Pedestrians and Bicyclist)

Countermeasure strategy: Communication Campaign

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Ped and Bike Public Information and Education: This project funds enable Traffic Safety staff to produce and distribute printed materials, other educational items and support bicycle and pedestrian safety. Most prominent is the Tips for Fun and Safe Biking hang tag card which is distributed to bicycle rodeo sponsors, retailers, cycling clubs, families, and events like the spring Kansas Kids Fitness and Safety Day. Geared to motorists is a downloadable poster, available in two versions, which features share-the-road messaging highlighting bicyclists and pedestrians. In addition, this program also supports the International Walk Your Child to School Day with the purchase and distribution of educational materials.

Bike Helmets: This grant is with the Safe Kids Kansas coalition. Safe Kids Kansas promotes bicycle education and the proper fit and operation of helmets and bicycles. The program will purchase around 1,600 bicycle helmets for distribution around the state at child safety events.

Wichita and Topeka Pedestrian and Bicycle Education: These grants will aid two of the largest cities in the state to address pedestrian and bicycle crashes and fatalities. Efforts tied to these grants will consist of educational and support items, bike helmets and paid media.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Communication campaign coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-10 and C-11. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.
Communication Campaign is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1600-19</td>
<td>Ped and Bike Education</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.2.1 Planned Activity: Ped and Bike Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Ped and Bike Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-1600-19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary countermeasure strategy Communication Campaign

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Pedestrian and Bicycle Public Information and Education: These project funds enable Traffic Safety staff to produce and distribute printed materials, other educational items and support bicycle and pedestrian safety. Most prominent is the Tips for Fun and Safe Biking hang tag card which is distributed to bicycle rodeo sponsors, retailers, cycling clubs, families, and events like the spring Kansas Kids Fitness and Safety Day. Geared to motorists is a downloadable poster, available in two versions, which features share-the-road messaging highlighting bicyclists and pedestrians. In addition, this program also supports the International Walk Your Child to School Day with the purchase and distribution of educational materials.

Bike Helmets: This grant is with the Safe Kids Kansas coalition. Safe Kids Kansas promotes bicycle education and the proper fit and operation of helmets and bicycles. The program will purchase around 1,600 bicycle helmets for distribution around the state at child safety events.

Wichita and Topeka Pedestrian and Bike: These grants will aid two of the largest cities in the state to address pedestrian and bicycle crashes and fatalities. Efforts tied to these grants will consist of educational and support items, bike helmets and paid media.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety (FAST)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 Program Area: Distracted Driving

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area type</th>
<th>Distracted Driving</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and
targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

Distracted Driving

Distracted driving is listed as a contributing circumstance for about 25 percent of all reported crashes in the state. The state of Kansas does have a graduated driver’s license law addressing wireless communication devices. A driver in the learners or restricted portion of the law is prohibited from using a wireless device while driving. Typically, this restriction which applies to any wireless communication device is lifted around the age of 17 when the individual reaches full, unrestricted license status. Additionally, the state of Kansas passed a texting ban for all drivers in 2012.

Goal:

Distracted Driving Crashes: The 2019 annual projection based upon the trend line indicates 19,017 distracted driving crashes. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 18,827 distracted driving crashes in 2019. Based upon recent history, and increased number of distractions in our vehicles, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period(Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value(Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Distracted Driving Crashes</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>18,827.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Cellphone/Text Messaging Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.1 Countermeasure Strategy: High Visibility Cellphone/Text Messaging Enforcement

Program area: Distracted Driving

Countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Cellphone/Text Messaging Enforcement

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:
Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

High visibility coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and performance measure Distracted Driving Crashes. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

High visibility coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and performance measure Distracted Driving Crashes. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

High Visibility Enforcement is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4901-19</td>
<td>Distracted Driving Awareness</td>
<td>High Visibility Cellphone/Text Messaging Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-4901-19</td>
<td>Distracted Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Cellphone/Text Messaging Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.1.1 Planned Activity: Distracted Driving Awareness

Planned activity name: Distracted Driving Awareness
Planned activity number: SP-4901-19
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Cellphone/Text Messaging Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification] 

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)] 

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment] 

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts] 

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest] 

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest] 

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)] 

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
This program will work with local and state law enforcement groups on enforcing our Texting While Driving statute.

**Enter intended subrecipients.**

State and local law enforcement

**Countermeasure strategies**

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

**Countermeasure strategies in planned activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Cellphone/Text Messaging Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>FAST Act 405e Special Distracted Driving</td>
<td>405e Public Education (FAST)</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

**5.3.1.2 Planned Activity: Distracted Driving Enforcement**

**Planned activity name**  Distracted Driving Enforcement

**Planned activity number**  SP-4901-19

**Primary countermeasure strategy**  High Visibility Cellphone/Text Messaging Enforcement
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Enforcement of no texting while driving statute.

Enter intended subrecipients.

State and local law enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Cellphone/Text Messaging Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405e Special Distracted Driving</td>
<td>405e DD Law Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.3.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Communication Campaign

Program area

Distracted Driving

Countermeasure strategy

Communication Campaign
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication,
policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

The state of Kansas will develop creative assets to be utilized through a paid and earned media campaign focused on the perils surrounding distracted driving.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Communication campaign coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and performance measure Distracted Driving Crashes. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate. This countermeasure is part of our incentive grant application.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.
Communication Campaign is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.4 Program Area: Communications (Media)

Program area type  Communications (Media)

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

Changing driver behavior requires a diversified approach including education and enforcement. Paid media plays a critical role in educating the public, specifically the 18 to 35-year-old male. KDOT will continue to partner with universities in promoting seat belt usage and deterring impaired driving. Campaigns will also be developed and implemented around the national enforcement campaigns. KDOT plans to increase utilization of non-traditional mediums to reach the target audience. While paid media will still be utilized to promote improving the driver behavior, earned media still plays a large role in changing the culture. KDOT will partner with our media contractor to plan and execute a minimum of three statewide press events focused on the kick-off of Click it or Ticket and Alcohol Crackdown and New Year’s Eve mobilizations.
Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period (Performance End Year)</th>
<th>Target Value (Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019 123.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019 79.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness

Program area Community Traffic Safety Program

Countermeasure strategy Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-4, Unbelted Fatalities and C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-4, Unbelted Fatalities and C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Mass Media is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy
5.4.1.1 Planned Activity: Mass Media PM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1500-19</td>
<td>Mass Media PM</td>
<td>Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a

majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Changing driver behavior requires a diversified approach including education and enforcement. Paid media plays a critical role in educating the public, specifically the 18 to 35-year-old male. KDOT will continue to partner with universities in promoting seat belt usage and deterring impaired driving. Campaigns will also be developed and implemented around the national enforcement campaigns. KDOT plans to increase utilization of non-traditional mediums to reach the target audience. While paid media will still be utilized to promote improving the driver behavior, earned media still plays a large role in changing the culture. KDOT will partner with our media contractor to plan and execute a minimum of three statewide press events focused on the kick-off of Click it or Ticket and Alcohol Crackdown and New Year’s Eve mobilizations. The mass media contractor will also administer the motorcycle awareness paid media targeting the counties that represent more than 50 percent of motorcycle crashes in the state.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Media Consultants, TV, Radio and Social media mediums and Metropolitan Planning Organization

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b OP Low (FAST)</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Int (FAST)</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Paid Advertising (FAST)</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5 Program Area: Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Program area type  Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

Alcohol
Tackling the impaired driving problem in the state requires a combination of education and enforcement. The state of Kansas will dedicate considerable resources to reduce the number of impaired driving crashes and fatalities. Included in this effort is the continuation of the Impaired Driving Taskforce. The Taskforce contains representatives from many state and local agencies including non-profits. Colorado’s legalization of recreational marijuana in 2012 has been one of the main topics the task force is addressing because of the increase of marijuana found in Kansas. Additionally, the Task Force will continue to look at proven methods of addressing recidivism including implementation of a 24/7 program in a mid to large municipal or district court and Roadside Oral Fluids Testing for law enforcement to test for drugs during a traffic stop.

KDOT will continue its grant with a media contractor to develop and increase traditional and non-traditional media opportunities targeting the 18-34-year-old male and high school students. Educating court personnel will also be addressed through training from our Kansas Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutors. Education school professionals with the KHP BAU will continue to occur via “Drugs in the Educational Environment” curriculum. The state has a significant investment in the DRE program and will continue to fund training and provide education opportunities for these officers through the KHP Breath Alcohol Unit.

As referenced in the Problem Identification Section of the plan, Kansas ranked all counties by alcohol-involved crashes as a percent of all crashes. The state of Kansas has, and will continue to offer and support overtime enforcement-based DUI reduction grant opportunities to all counties, with special emphasis on those identified in our problem identification. KDOT will continue to equip and utilize our law enforcement liaisons in this effort.

Core Performance Measure Goal:

Number of Fatalities in Crashes Involving a Driver or Motorcycle Operator, with BAC of .08 or higher (FARS): The five-year annual trend for 2019 projection based upon the trend line indicates 80 alcohol-impaired fatalities. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 79 alcohol impaired fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history, the recent achievements in impaired driving legislation, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

The Kansas Department of Transportation is the Lead State Agency for any Maintenance of Effort administration in support of 405(d) projects. This amount will be determined at a later date.

Authority and Basis for Operation of Task Force

The Statewide Impaired Driving Task Force has the authority as promulgated by the Secretary of Transportation to set the priorities for impaired driving initiatives for Kansas in support of the Strategic Highway Safety Plan and the Highway Safety Plan. This is accomplished by majority rule and each member has one vote for setting goals, initiatives, priorities, and determine problem statements based upon data
Administrative functions of this task force remain with the Kansas Department of Transportation Traffic Safety Section with general oversite from the State Highway Safety Engineer.

## Impaired Driving Task Force

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>E-MAIL</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION &amp; TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steven Buckley</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Steven.Buckley@ks.gov">Steven.Buckley@ks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- State Highway Safety Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bortz</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Chris.Bortz@ks.gov">Chris.Bortz@ks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- Manager State Highway Safety Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Herman</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Gary.Herman@ks.gov">Gary.Herman@ks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- Traffic Safety Assistant Program Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Corp</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Dcorp1@cox.net">Dcorp1@cox.net</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- Law Enforcement Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troy Wells</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Wellsd0537@cox.net">Wellsd0537@cox.net</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- Law Enforcement Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Ackerman</td>
<td><a href="mailto:alackerman491@gmail.com">alackerman491@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- Law Enforcement Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Hamilton</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bobhlel@gmail.com">bobhlel@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- Law Enforcement Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Halloran</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Jeff.Halloran@dot.gov">Jeff.Halloran@dot.gov</a></td>
<td>NHTSA REGION 7 Office- Deputy Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lori Marshall</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Lori.Marshall@madd.org">Lori.Marshall@madd.org</a></td>
<td>Director, MADD KANSAS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deputy Aaron Miller  admiller@sedgwick.gov  SEDGWICK County Sheriff Office  DUI Supervisor

Lt. Mark French  MFrench@rileycountypolice.org  Riley County Police Department  Supervisor

Capt. Jimmy Atkinson  Jimmy.Atkinson@ks.gov  Kansas Highway Patrol  Breath Alcohol Unit Troop Commander

Lt. Chris Bauer  christopher.bauer@ks.gov  Kansas Highway Patrol  Breath Alcohol Supervisor

Ted Smith  ted.smith@kdor.ks.gov  Kansas Department of Revenue  Driver’s License Attorney

Lt. Matt Payne  matthew.payne@ks.gov  Kansas Highway Patrol  Breath Alcohol Unit

Carrie Hodges  Carrie.Hodges@KBI.STATE.KS.US  Kansas Bureau of Investigation Director Forensics Laboratory

Leslie Moore  Leslie.Moore@kbi.state.ks.us  Kansas Bureau of Investigation  Forensics Laboratory

Jennifer Haugh  jhaugh@aaa-alliedgroup.com  AAA KANSAS  Director

Laurie Martinez  Laurie.Martinez@kdor.gov  Kansas Department of Revenue  Ignition Interlock Program

Christine Houston  CHouston@kdheks.gov  Kansas Department of Health and Environment
Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period</th>
<th>Target Year</th>
<th>Target Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>79.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.
Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Prosecutor Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Ignition Interlocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Court Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Problem Assessment/Treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Prosecutor Training

Program area: Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy: Prosecutor Training

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.21(e)(3), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.21(e)(4), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.25(f), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.25(h)(2), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.28(b)(2), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Prosecutor and Law Enforcement Training coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Prosecutor and Law Enforcement Training coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Prosecutor and Law Enforcement Training is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4710-19</td>
<td>Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor</td>
<td>Prosecutor Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.1.1 Planned Activity: Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-4710-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Prosecutor Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required...
under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutors (TSRP) will assist prosecutors in the adjudication of the traffic laws with an emphasis on “impaired driving.” These two positions will provide continuing legal education...
programs, technical assistance and other services to the Criminal Justice Community to improve their ability to prosecute violations of traffic laws. The TSRP’s will also offer specific training to law enforcement agencies concerning the proper documentation of a DUI arrest to ensure the strongest case possible.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Kansas Attorney General’s Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Prosecutor Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Int (FAST)</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Ignition Interlocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area</th>
<th>Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Ignition Interlocks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Ignition Interlock Compliance coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Ignition Interlock Compliance coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Ignition Interlock Compliance is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities
Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-9999-19</td>
<td>DWI Offender Monitoring</td>
<td>Ignition Interlocks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.2.1 Planned Activity: DWI Offender Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>DWI Offender Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-9999-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Ignition Interlocks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]  

No  

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]  

No  

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]  

No  

Enter description of the planned activity.  

KDOT will partner with the Kansas Department of Revenue on a program designed to hold impaired drivers accountable. This program will provide tools for testing and resources to ensure impaired drivers are following the terms of their conviction with an emphasis on ignition interlock compliance.  

Enter intended subrecipients.  

Kansas Department of Revenue  

Countermeasure strategies  

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.  

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Ignition Interlocks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources  

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.3 Countermeasure Strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

**Program area**  Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

**Countermeasure strategy**  High Visibility Enforcement

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]  
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]  
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]  
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]  
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]  
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]  
No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:
Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

High Visibility Enforcement coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

High Visibility Enforcement coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

High Visibility Enforcement is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4704-19</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.3.1 Planned Activity: Impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-4704-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required
under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project provides overtime funding and equipment for approximately twenty local law enforcement agencies to conduct an agreed upon number of saturation patrols and sobriety checkpoints throughout the grant
year. These agencies were identified through crash data analysis. An allowance is also provided for traffic safety commodities needed to conduct impaired driving traffic activities.

Provides support resources for local sobriety checkpoints and saturation patrols. In addition, provides support for SFST (Standardized Field Sobriety Testing) and ARIDE (Advanced Roadside Impaired Driving Enforcement) programs. The unit also coordinates the DRE (Drug Recognition Expert) program, targeting metropolitan and major corridor areas. Another function of the unit is to present/train at educational institutions on drugs in the academic environment. The BAU (Breath Alcohol Unit) will work to teach the “Drugs in the Academic Environment” curriculum.

The Kansas Highway Patrol supports impaired driving prevention through Roving Aggressive Violation Enforcement (RAVE). Very often this is done in concert with scheduled patrols or checkpoints conducted by local law enforcement agencies. This program is directed to schedule their efforts in areas of the state with identified impaired driving problems.

This project provides overtime funding for the Alcoholic Beverage Control and the Pottawatomie County Sheriff’s office to enforcement the underage drinking laws at the Country Stampede. This three-day event draws more than 150,000 concert goers annually. Funding through this grant also enables the Alcoholic Beverage Control to enforce the underage drinking laws at other venues or events around the state throughout the year.

The Overland Park Police Department will utilize this grant to educate and enforce the underage drinking laws in the state. Through a coordinated effort, this agency will focus on reducing access, provide education and enforce the underage drinking laws in their jurisdiction.

Impaired Driving Deterrence Program

| Impaired Driving Deterrence Program (IDDP) Grantees, by County |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Funding Source | County | Grantee |
| 405(d) SP-4704-19 | DG | Lawrence Police Department |
| 405(d) SP-4704-19 | EL | Hays Police Department |
| 405(d) SP-4704-19 | FO | Dodge City Police Department |
| 405(d) SP-4704-19 | JO | Johnson County Sheriff's Office |
| 405(d) SP-4704-19 | JO | Mission Police Department |
Enter intended subrecipients.

Local and state law enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Int (FAST)</td>
<td>$2,900,000.00</td>
<td>$2,900,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.4 Countermeasure Strategy: Court Monitoring

Program area: Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy: Court Monitoring

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned...
activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Court Monitoring coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Court Monitoring coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Court Monitoring is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4703-19</td>
<td>MADD Court Monitoring</td>
<td>Court Monitoring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.4.1 Planned Activity: MADD Court Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>MADD Court Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-4703-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Court Monitoring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
Enter description of the planned activity.

This contract will assist the Kansas MADD to maintain and expand a durable, volunteer/student intern-based program for monitoring felony DUI trials and driver license suspension hearings in the state. These programs are aimed at, in the first case, reducing DUI recidivism and, in the second case, improving DUI prosecution and adjudication, and removing impairment-prone drivers from the roadways.

Enter intended subrecipients.

MADD, state of Kansas Chapter

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Court Monitoring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Int (FAST)</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.5 Countermeasure Strategy: Communication Campaign
Program area | Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)
--- | ---
Countermeasure strategy | Communication Campaign

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Communication campaign coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Communication campaign coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.
Communication Campaign is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

**Planned activities**

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

### Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4700-19</td>
<td>Communication Campaign Impaired Driving</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.5.5.1 Planned Activity: Communication Campaign Impaired Driving

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Communication Campaign Impaired Driving</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-4700-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Printing of selected impaired driving materials, coordinate public information activities, conduct or assist with special events and support other activities related to prevention of impaired driving fatalities and crashes. Assist the Kansas Department of Health and Environment with training supplies and training of law enforcement on the operation of breath testing equipment. Funding will be utilized to provide support for Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutors and their mission to train law enforcement and court personnel on proper arrest and prosecution procedures. Funding will be provided to develop and reach out to Judge's on the role of a Drug Recognition Expert and other court proceedings centered on impaired driving. These funds will allow KDOT to maintain the underage drinking tip-line, 1-866-MUSTBE-21. This line allows for anonymous callers to report underage drinking parties. This project will also allow for the purchase of educational materials focused on reducing underage drinking in the state.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Media contractors, Kansas Attorney General's Office and Office of Judicial Administration

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year | Countermeasure Strategy Name
--- | ---
2019 | Communication Campaign

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Int (FAST)</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.6 Countermeasure Strategy: Alcohol Problem Assessment/Treatment

Program area | Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)
---|---
Countermeasure strategy | Alcohol Problem Assessment/Treatment

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State
will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Alcohol Problem Assessment/Treatment coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Alcohol Problem Assessment/Treatment coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Alcohol Problem Assessment/Treatment is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4710-19</td>
<td>24/7/Judge's Training</td>
<td>Alcohol Problem Assessment/Treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.5.6.1 Planned Activity: 24/7/Judge's Training

Planned activity name: 24/7/Judge's Training
Planned activity number: SP-4710-19
Primary countermeasure strategy: Alcohol Problem Assessment/Treatment

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs
designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project will establish a 24/7 program in the state and support training for judges. The 24/7 program has been proven in other states and KDOT will reach out to a medium size municipal or district court for implementation. The training enhances and provides information to municipal and district court judges and court personnel on new laws and the current techniques and resources utilized to remove impaired drivers from Kansas roadways. The 24/7 Program will be managed from the Kansas Attorney General’s Office. The Judicial Training program will work in conjunction with the Kansas Office of Judicial Administration and administered by KDOT.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Office of Judicial Administration and Local Law Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Problem Assessment/Treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Int (FAST)</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6 Program Area: Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)

Program area type Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

Yes

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

The state of Kansas has experienced a steady gain in seat belt usage over the past ten years, from 64% of adults in 2005 to 82% in 2017. This increase is due in large part to the adoption and implementation, in much of our programming, of the “Click it or Ticket Model” with its emphasis on high visibility education and enforcement, followed by reporting and evaluation. As such, there has been a steady increase in the level and diversity of media opportunities utilizing a trend we expect will continue in 2019.

Providing teeth to our educational efforts has been a healthy increase in participation by the law enforcement community in our Special Traffic Enforcement Program (STEP), which has grown from just 16 participating agencies in FFY 2000 to the point where, in 2018, participation has surpassed 170 police agencies. Over that period, participating agencies have issued 219,726 occupant restraint citations. Supplementing STEP has been the 2012 creation of a close derivative, the Nighttime Seatbelt Enforcement Program (NSEP) and the voluntary, no-cost, school day (30 minutes before & after classes) High School and Middle School
Enforcement Campaigns (March and September), begun in 2013. In FFY 2017, nine NSEP participating agencies generated 1,106 tickets, while the statewide spring and fall school campaigns engaged the efforts of 131 agencies, which collectively issued 1,518 restraint citations.

The direct observational survey also provides the state with specific county data. This data is used to program resources including media and enforcement. The following table depicts the statewide observed rate in the 26 counties where the new fatality based survey is conducted. Additional information in this survey includes rural vs. urban rates and confirms that male pick-up truck drivers have the lowest observed rate.

All motor vehicle occupants age 17 and under are required by law to be buckled up or be in an age appropriate child safety seat. These laws are primary in the state, apply to any seating position, and carry a fine of $60.

### Direct Observational Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018*</th>
<th>2019*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Unrestrained Fatalities (FARS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017*</th>
<th>2018*</th>
<th>2019*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Core Performance Measure Goals:

**Seat Belt Usage:** The 2019 annual projection based upon the trend line indicates an 86 percent observed belt use rate. A five percent increase in this projection would equal our goal of an 87 percent observed belt use rate in 2019. Based upon recent history, and recent gains in the number of observed persons wearing seat belts, a five percent increase is realistic and attainable.
Unrestrained Fatalities all Positions: The five-year 2019 projection based upon the trend line indicates 125 unrestrained fatalities. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 123 unrestrained fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history, the recent moderate gains in the number of observed persons wearing their seatbelt, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

The Kansas Department of Transportation is the Lead State Agency for any Maintenance of Effort administration in support of 405(b) projects. This amount will be determined at a later date.

Child Restraint Inspection Stations and Child Passenger Safety Technicians

Inspection stations are located throughout the state and reach over 90 percent of the population. While the goal is to meet the needs of every driver/caregiver in the state, special emphasis is placed in reaching those in the high-risk population, with specific emphasis on providing seats to children in low income families.

The state of Kansas currently has nearly 650 CPS Technicians to meet the needs of each inspection station and check-up event. Included in this number, the Kansas Highway Patrol has a certified technician in each of the troop locations and can reach out to assist counties with current inspection stations and the small number of counties that currently don’t have an inspection station. To meet the needs, each inspection station may either be available by appointment or have regularly-scheduled hours.

The Kansas Traffic Safety Resource Office recruits and maintains a list of all CPS technicians and instructors around the state. Through correspondence, the KTSRO keeps this group of specialized individuals apprised of upcoming trainings, seat recalls and other important information relating to child passenger safety. Each year, the KTSRO hosts and/or assists with the 3-day CPS Technician Certification Course, the 1-day Renewal Course, and the Tech Update Trainings, as well as other special certification trainings. Additionally, KTSRO maintains several continuing education training opportunities on their website. Through the KTSRO newsletter, promotion of the CPS program at our annual Transportation Safety Conference, Safe Kids events, medical and law enforcement communities, and check lanes conducted around the state, the instructors and technicians will identify new professionals to be recruited. Special effort is given to plan trainings in areas of the state where there are no techs or inspection stations.

In 2010, the state passed a primary seat belt law for all front seat occupants age 18 and over. This law is actively enforced throughout the state throughout the year. Back seat occupants over the age of 18 are covered with a secondary law. A bill was passed in 2017 which raised the $10 fine for not wearing a seat belt to $30. The bill also established a seat belt safety fund, administered by the Secretary of Transportation, to be used for education of occupant protection among children.

Teen Drivers
During the 2008-09 school year, the state of Kansas implemented the Seatbelts Are for Everyone (SAFE) program in six schools in one county. By the end of the 2017-18 school year, the state had expanded SAFE into 160 schools in 72 counties. KDOT will continue to promote and expand the program in FFY 2019 and beyond. In 2009, the observed 15-17-year-old seat belt use rate was 61 percent. By 2017, that rate had improved to 85 percent. It is believed that the expansion and vitality of the SAFE program has been a principal ingredient in the improvement in teen seat belt use, teen fatalities and teen driver involvement in fatal and serious injury crashes over the past several years. Our projected traffic safety impact from this chosen strategy is to increase belt use for this high-risk population.

SAFE is a program led by students with guidance and participation from law enforcement and school administration. Monthly, students receive reminders of the importance of seat belt use and are eligible for a gift card drawing if they sign a pledge card stating they will wear their seat belt. Anually, local and state law enforcement conduct seat belt enforcement centered on the teen driver. Each school conducts an observational use survey administered by the students, one in the fall and one in the late spring. These surveys not only give baseline data, but also aid in determining the rate change for the year. Students at the school with the highest use rate and largest increase per county are eligible for the grand prizes. Grants with the Kansas Department of Health and Environment, AAA Kansas, State Farm Insurance, local prosecutors and local businesses fund the monthly and grand prizes. Through the KTSRO grant, KDOT funds a full-time coordinator and full-time assistant for this program.

Recruitment for schools to participate in the SAFE program has been done through a combination of efforts by KTSRO staff and KDOT LELs, with the goal of having the program in every county across the state.

**Night Time Enforcement**

The Nighttime Seatbelt Enforcement Program, initiated in FFY 2012, is projected to fund overtime enforcement efforts of 10 local law enforcement agencies consisting primarily of after-dark saturation patrols and spotter call-out activities during the year (excluding STEP campaign dates). Efforts are made to partner with agencies in the counties with the lowest seat belt usage rates and the highest number of unbelted fatalities and serious injuries. Our projected traffic safety impact from this chosen strategy is to increase belt use for this high-risk population.

**Performance measures**

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

**Performance Measures in Program Area**
GMSS Target) Year Target)

2019 C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS) Annual 2019 123.0

2019 B-1) Observed seat belt use for passenger vehicles, front seat outboard occupants (survey) Annual 2019 87.0

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Seat Belt Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Observational Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Short-term, High Visibility Seat Belt Law Enforcement

Program area: Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)

Countermeasure strategy: Short-term, High Visibility Seat Belt Law Enforcement

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail
required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Short term high visibility enforcement targeting night time seat belt use coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-4, Unrestrained Fatalities and B-1, Observational Survey. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Short term high visibility enforcement targeting night time seat belt use coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-4, Unrestrained Fatalities and B-1, Observational Survey. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Short term high visibility enforcement is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4505-19</td>
<td>Night Time Seat Belt Enforcement</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Seat Belt Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.1.1 Planned Activity: Night Time Seat Belt Enforcement
Planned activity name | Night Time Seat Belt Enforcement
---|---
Planned activity number | SP-4505-19
Primary countermeasure strategy | Short-term, High Visibility Seat Belt Law Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Vehicle Occupant Fatalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daytime (6am to 5:59pm)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Nighttime Seatbelt Enforcement Program, initiated in FFY 2012, is projected to fund overtime enforcement efforts of 10 local law enforcement agencies consisting primarily of after-dark saturation patrols and spotter call-out activities during the year (excluding STEP campaign dates). Efforts are made to partner with agencies in the counties with the lowest seat belt usage rates and the highest number of unbelted fatalities and serious injuries. Our projected traffic safety impact from this chosen strategy is to increase belt use for this high-risk population.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Local law enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Seat Belt Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.6.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Observational Survey

Program area Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)

Countermeasure strategy Observational Survey

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State
will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

An observational seat belt survey is required and data from the survey will assist in problem identification.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

An observational seat belt survey is required and data from the survey will assist in problem identification.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

An observational seat belt survey is required and data from the survey will assist in problem identification.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4506-19</td>
<td>Observational Survey</td>
<td>Observational Survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.6.2.1 Planned Activity: Observational Survey

Planned activity name: Observational Survey

Planned activity number: SP-4506-19

Primary countermeasure strategy: Observational Survey

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs
designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This contract is responsible for conducting a direct observational occupant protection survey in 26 counties in the state using the current NHTSA uniform criteria. The adult survey has a total of 552 sites. In addition, this contract will administer an observational survey for ages 0 to 18 in 20 identified counties encompassing 391 sites.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Survey Contractor

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Observational Survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b OP Low (FAST)</td>
<td>$295,000.00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
### 5.6.3 Countermeasure Strategy: Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)

**Program area**  
Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)

**Countermeasure strategy**  
Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

**Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]**

Yes

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]**

Yes

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]**

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Child Restraint System Inspection Stations and seat distribution coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-4, Unrestrained Fatalities and B-1, Observed Belt Use. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.
Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Child Restraint System Inspection Stations and seat distribution coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-4, Unrestrained Fatalities and B-1, Observed Belt Use. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Child Restraint System Inspection Stations and seat distribution are proven strategies identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1304-19</td>
<td>Child Seat Distribution and Inspection Stations</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.1 Planned Activity: Child Seat Distribution and Inspection Stations

Planned activity name: Child Seat Distribution and Inspection Stations
Planned activity number: SP-1304-19
Primary countermeasure strategy: Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This program will distribute more than 1500 child safety seats across the state to active and certified fitting stations. This program will also support training of child passenger safety technicians.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Local law enforcement, fire stations and county health departments.

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Child Restraint (FAST)</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.7 Program Area: Police Traffic Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area type</th>
<th>Police Traffic Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

Yes
Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

Law enforcement plays a crucial role in changing poor driver behavior by putting teeth to Kansas traffic laws and education activities. To make a significant impact requires additional enforcement resources beyond those available for day-to-day police operations. In Kansas, the TSS will continue to make programs and funds available for overtime traffic enforcement activities, traffic enforcement training, and traffic enforcement equipment and commodities. A key support component is its LEL (law enforcement liaison) program which utilizes four retired law enforcement officers to liaison with each of the 300+ law enforcement agencies in the state. These individuals function to retain and recruit additional law enforcement partners and aid in identifying and addressing traffic enforcement problem areas in their jurisdictions. Other enforcement efforts include a grant with the Kansas Highway Patrol designed to target aggressive driving behaviors.

Core Performance Goal

Speeding Fatalities: The annual 2019 projection based upon the trend line indicates 114 speeding fatalities. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 113 speeding fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history and absent any recent law changes, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period (Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value (Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-6) Number of speeding-related fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>113.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area
5.7.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement

**Program area**  
Police Traffic Services

**Countermeasure strategy**  
Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Short term high visibility enforcement coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-6, Speeding Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate. The agencies listed in the Section 405(b) application represent a population coverage of more than 90 percent.
Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Short term high visibility enforcement coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-6, Speeding Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Short term high visibility enforcement is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1300-19</td>
<td>Overtime Grants for Law Enforcement</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.1.1 Planned Activity: Overtime Grants for Law Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Overtime Grants for Law Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-1300-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger
safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This program will provide funds for about 180 local police agencies and the Kansas Highway Patrol to participate in four reimbursable overtime traffic enforcement campaigns in FFY 2019: Thanksgiving Safe Arrival, New Year’s DUI Crackdown, Click It Or Ticket and the Alcohol Crackdown. Depending on location, several of these agencies may also participate in other overtime enforcement activities targeting specific corridors. A list of grantees, the population they serve and collectively, reach more than 90 percent of the state population will be provided in the 405(b) application.
In conjunction with our STEP contractors, SP-1300-19, this project supports law enforcement agency activities by funding needed traffic safety equipment to fulfill individual law enforcement contracts. Utilizing past performance, data driven problem identification and agency needs are considered when awarding the grants. The amount of funding and type of equipment is based on project requirements, need and activities conducted to fulfill KDOT contracts. All equipment purchases will meet State and Federal procurement requirements.

The Kansas Highway Patrol will use this overtime funding for enforcement efforts centered on reducing the overall number of fatalities in the state. Special emphasis will be placed on speeding and impaired driving in locations shown to have a high fatality number or incidence of impaired driving.

**Enter intended subrecipients.**

Local and state law enforcement.

**Countermeasure strategies**

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

**Countermeasure strategies in planned activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,300,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.7.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Communications and Outreach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area</th>
<th>Community Traffic Safety Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Communication and Outreach campaign coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, Speeding C-6. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Communication and Outreach campaign coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, Speeding C-6. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness
Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Communication and Outreach is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1906-19</td>
<td>Safe Communities Communication and Outreach</td>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1906-19</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.2.1 Planned Activity: Safe Communities Communication and Outreach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Safe Communities Communication and Outreach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-1906-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned

activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Support Operation Impact programs in Kansas City and Sedgwick County. Funds may also be utilized to expand the program to other regions of the state and provide support for the annual spring law enforcement recruitment lunches. These funds enable KDOT to reimburse local jurisdictions for special traffic-related training opportunities.

KDOT has a complement of four LELs – each an independent contractor. These retired traffic enforcement veterans represent KDOT Traffic Safety and its programming to a diverse group of over 300 law enforcement agencies scattered over 82,000 square miles. They are actively involved in the promotion of traffic enforcement as the most efficient way to reduce serious roadway injury, while at the same time reducing the incidence of multiple types of crime. In this pursuit, they are available to consult with any police agency. Not only do they promote the value and tactics of effective traffic enforcement to police agencies, but they also represent the TSS traffic enforcement programming and the other resources which are available to them (e.g.,
SAFE – Seatbelts Are for Everyone – STEP, IDDP, and NSEP). The LEL’s also promote the Seatbelts Are For Everyone Program, targeting teen seatbelt use.

Support the Kansas Highway Patrol crash reconstruction training. This training will assist the KHP and local law enforcement on the latest training and equipment utilized to conduct crash reconstruction.

Provide a driving simulator to the Kansas Law Enforcement Training Center. This simulator will high-light the importance of traffic safety and provide officers the tools necessary to navigate a motor vehicle in a safe and instructional environment. This project will continue the partnership between KDOT and the KLETC on providing educational opportunities targeting law enforcement and traffic safety.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Retired law enforcement, Kansas Law Enforcement Training Center, media contractors

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Employer Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

5.7.2.2 Planned Activity: PT Communication and Outreach

Planned activity name: PT Communication and Outreach
Planned activity number: SP-1701-19
Primary countermeasure strategy: Communications and Outreach

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.
5.8 Program Area: Roadway Safety/Traffic Engineering

**Program area type**  Roadway Safety/Traffic Engineering

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

**Roadside Safety**

Partnering with the KDOT Bureau of Local Projects, NHTSA funding will continue to support training of local roadway engineers.

**Goal Statement**

**Rural Fatalities per VMT Goal Statement**: The five-year 2019 projection based upon the trend line indicates 1.787 rural fatalities per 100 million VMT. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 1.751 rural fatalities per 100 million VMT in 2019. This trend has stayed relatively flat and therefore a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

**Performance measures**

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

**Performance Measures in Program Area**
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Training for Public Works</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.8.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Training for Public Works

**Program area**  
Roadway Safety/Traffic Engineering

**Countermeasure strategy**  
Training for Public Works

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining...
a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Provide training for Kansas local and state public works employees who have traffic safety responsibilities. A secondary objective is to develop and/or update workshop materials and handbooks to be used in these trainings and on-the-job activities. This training provides additional opportunities to incorporate data into their traffic safety problem identification.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Training for local public works coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-4. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Training for local public works officials should enhance their knowledge and potential safety strategies when addressing intersections and other high crash locations.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1402-19</td>
<td>Roadway Safety</td>
<td>Training for Public Works</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.8.1.1 Planned Activity: Roadway Safety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Roadway Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-1402-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Training for Public Works</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
Enter description of the planned activity.

Train local public works employees.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Kansas State University

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year  Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019  Training for Public Works

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Roadway Safety (FAST)</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.9 Program Area: Traffic Records

Program area type  Traffic Records
Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

Traffic Records

Development, coordination and availability of current traffic records is vital to problem identification. This program will support the Traffic Records Coordinating Committee (TRCC) and provide an avenue to promote sharing of relevant traffic safety data. The TRCC has representatives from many state and local entities all striving to breakdown the silos of information that currently exist. The TRCC Strategic Plan and Performance Measures documents can be found in the state of Kansas Section 405(c) application.

TRCC Individuals, Agency, Title, Duties and Core Data set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Duties/ Responsibilities</th>
<th>Core Data Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Bartlett</td>
<td>Mid-America Regional Council</td>
<td>Senior Transportation Planner</td>
<td>Regional Planner</td>
<td>Local Roadway/Local Crash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KC/Technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Todd Ackerman</td>
<td>Marysville Police Department</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>Crime Prevention/Data Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local Crash/Local Crime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Sass</td>
<td>KDHE, Trauma Program</td>
<td>Epidemiologist EMS Program</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local Crash/EMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Program/Data Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bortz</td>
<td>KDOT, Bureau of Safety &amp; Technology</td>
<td>Traffic Safety Program Manager</td>
<td>Traffic Safety/Data User</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Crash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David LaRoche</td>
<td>Federal Highway Administration/US DOT</td>
<td>Safety Specialist</td>
<td>FHWA Data User</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VMT/Roadway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Marshall</td>
<td>Kansas Criminal Justice Information Systems</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>KCJIS Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Crash/Citation/EMS Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaine Adkins</td>
<td>Iteris, Inc.</td>
<td>Program Manager</td>
<td>Technology/Planner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Consultant/Crash/Citation/EMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Klumpp</td>
<td>Kansas Legislative Committee of Chiefs of Police</td>
<td>Law Enforcement/Data Local Law Support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enforcement/Citation/DUI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Herman</td>
<td>KDOT, Bureau of Safety &amp; Technology</td>
<td>Asst. Traffic Safety Program Manager</td>
<td>Traffic Safety/Data User</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Crash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Yancey</td>
<td>Kansas Health &amp; Environment</td>
<td>IT Director</td>
<td>Health-Wellness/Data Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EMS Trauma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold Sass</td>
<td>Kansas Department of Corrections</td>
<td>Chief Information Officer</td>
<td>Data/Technology Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Citation/Driver/Vehicle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Bonar</td>
<td>KDOT Transportation Planning</td>
<td>Application Developer</td>
<td>Technology/Data Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Technology Support/Crash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Halloran</td>
<td>NHTSA, Region 7</td>
<td>Regional Program Manager</td>
<td>Fed. Rep.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FARS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Role</td>
<td>Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Neal</td>
<td>KDOT Information Technology</td>
<td>Chief Information Officer</td>
<td>Data/Technology Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe House</td>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>Deputy Director</td>
<td>Medical/Data Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Mandala</td>
<td>Kansas Bureau of Investigation</td>
<td>Chief Information Officer</td>
<td>Data/Technology Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Ostenson</td>
<td>Shawnee County Sheriff’s Office</td>
<td>Lieutenant</td>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Payne</td>
<td>Kansas Department of Revenue</td>
<td>Chief Information Officer</td>
<td>Data/Technology Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly O’Brien</td>
<td>Office of Judicial Administration</td>
<td>Director, Information Systems</td>
<td>Data/Technology Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Johnson</td>
<td>Kansas Highway Patrol</td>
<td>Director, Information Systems</td>
<td>Data/Technology Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Nelson</td>
<td>KU Dept. of Education/KS Geological Survey</td>
<td>Section Manager/DASC Manager</td>
<td>Program Manager Roadway/GIS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyle Gonterwitz</td>
<td>KDOT, Transportation Planning</td>
<td>GIS Manager</td>
<td>Data Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Position/Role</th>
<th>Specialty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lacey Hane</td>
<td>KDOR, Driver Solutions</td>
<td>Resource Specialist Program Manager</td>
<td>Driver/Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Bohnenkemper</td>
<td>Kansas Bureau of Investigation</td>
<td>IT Project Analyst Program Manager</td>
<td>Technology Crime and Criminal History/Citation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Moore</td>
<td>Kansas Bureau of Investigation</td>
<td>Director, Information Serv. Data/Technology Administrator</td>
<td>Crime and Criminal History/Citation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Soper</td>
<td>Kansas Department of Revenue</td>
<td>Business Analyst Data/Technology Support</td>
<td>Technology Support/Roadway/Driver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanie Waters</td>
<td>Office of Judicial Administration</td>
<td>OJA Administrator Data/Technology Administrator</td>
<td>Courts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Ronin</td>
<td>KDOT, Bureau of Trans. Safety &amp; Technology</td>
<td>Crash Data Unit Data/Technology Support</td>
<td>Technology Support/ Crash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitch Beemer</td>
<td>Kansas Bureau of Investigation</td>
<td>Manager, IBR Unit Data/Technology Manager</td>
<td>Technology Support/Citation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Ekberg</td>
<td>KS 911 Coordinating Council</td>
<td>NG 911 Administrator Program Administrator</td>
<td>Next Generation 911/EMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawn Brown</td>
<td>KDOT, Information Technology Services</td>
<td>Infrastructure Support Mgr. Data/Technology Administrator</td>
<td>Crash/Roadway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Buckley</td>
<td>KDOT, Bureau of Safety &amp; Technology</td>
<td>Traffic Safety Engineer Traffic Safety/Data User</td>
<td>Crash/Roadway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Kansas Department of Transportation is the Lead State Agency for any Maintenance of Effort administration in support of 405(c) projects. This amount will be determined at a later date.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period (Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value (Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>389.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Improves integration between one or more core highway safety databases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Improves completeness of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.9.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Improves integration between one or more core highway safety databases

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

GIS Mapping Integration is an efficient method to display crash locations to an internet audience with the context of a map. This has long been a goal for the TRCC, local public works, KDOT, NHSTA, FHWA and other safety advocates.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.
Integration of data coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Integration of data is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4200-19</td>
<td>GIS Mapping Integration</td>
<td>Improves integration between one or more core highway safety databases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.9.1.1 Planned Activity: GIS Mapping Integration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>GIS Mapping Integration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-4200-19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary countermeasure strategy: Improves integration between one or more core highway safety databases

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger
safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

GIS Mapping Integration is an efficient method to display crash locations to an internet audience with the context of a map. This has long been a goal for the TRCC, local public works, KDOT, NHSTA, FHWA and other safety advocates.

Enter intended subrecipients.

The University of Kansas Research Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Improves integration between one or more core highway safety databases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.9.1.2 Planned Activity: TRCC Program Management

Planned activity name: TRCC Program Management

Planned activity number: SP-4200-19

Primary countermeasure strategy: Improves integration between one or more core highway safety databases

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Program management of data projects is an important component in providing complete, timely and accurate data to assist in problem identification.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Iteris IT Consultant
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Highway Safety Office Program Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.9.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Improves completeness of a core highway safety database

Program area Traffic Records

Countermeasure strategy Improves completeness of a core highway safety database

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State's problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State's unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State
will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Improved completeness of data sets coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Improved completeness of data is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Completeness of traffic safety data is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4604-19</td>
<td>Electronic Citation Reporting</td>
<td>Improves completeness of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-4602-19</td>
<td>eCitation Management</td>
<td>Improves completeness of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-2150-19</td>
<td>Crash Reporting Form Updates</td>
<td>Improves completeness of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-4210-19</td>
<td>eCitation Vendors</td>
<td>Improves completeness of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.9.2.1 Planned Activity: Electronic Citation Reporting

Planned activity name: Electronic Citation Reporting
Planned activity number: SP-4604-19

Primary countermeasure strategy: Improves completeness of a core highway safety database

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project will enable the KBI to hire a person to manage the e-Citation repository. This position will be responsible for questions from local users or other citation data consumers and will coordinate law enforcement token privileges.

Countermeasure Selection/Impact Assessment:

Completeness

Enter intended subrecipients.

The Kansas Bureau of Investigation

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>MAP 21 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (MAP-21)</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.9.2.2 Planned Activity: eCitation Management

Planned activity name: eCitation Management

Planned activity number: SP-4602-19

Primary countermeasure strategy: Improves completeness of a core highway safety database

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger...
safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project will enable the KBI to hire a person to manage the e-Citation repository. This position will be responsible for questions from local users or other citation data consumers and will coordinate law enforcement token privileges.

Countermeasure Selection/Impact Assessment:

Completeness

Enter intended subrecipients.
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Improves completeness of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>MAP 21 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (MAP-21)</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.9.2.3 Planned Activity: Crash Reporting Form Updates

Planned activity name: Crash Reporting Form Updates
Planned activity number: SP-2150-19
Primary countermeasure strategy: Improves completeness of a core highway safety database

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
KDOT in conjunction with the TRCC and our Federal partners will work to add additional elements to the current crash report.

Countermeasure Selection/Impact Assessment:

Completeness

Enter intended subrecipients.

Technology Vendor

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Improves completeness of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>MAP 21 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (MAP-21)</td>
<td>$900,000.00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.9.2.4 Planned Activity: eCitation Vendors
Planned activity name: eCitation Vendors
Planned activity number: SP-4210-19
Primary countermeasure strategy: Improves completeness of a core highway safety database

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project will engage vendors currently supporting law enforcement electronic citation management. Project will center on receiving electronic citations from local law enforcement and populating this data into a central repository.

Countermeasure Selection/Impact Assessment:

Completeness

Enter intended subrecipients.

Information Technology Vendors providing records management systems for local law enforcement.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Improves completeness of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>MAP 21 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (MAP-21)</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.9.3 Countermeasure Strategy: Improves accuracy of a core highway safety database

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Improved accuracy of data coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.
Improved accuracy of data coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Improved accuracy of data is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4606-19</td>
<td>Statewide Aerial Imagery</td>
<td>Improves accuracy of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-4200-19</td>
<td>TIRES Software</td>
<td>Improves accuracy of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.9.3.1 Planned Activity: Statewide Aerial Imagery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Statewide Aerial Imagery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-4606-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Improves accuracy of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger
safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]  

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The Data Access & Support Center began mapping crash location across the state in 2015 and this information has become dated and in need of refresh. The primary resource used to determine the crash locations was the Kansas Next Generation 911 road centerline file. This imagery supports the maintenance of the road centerline data, which improves the match rate and accuracy of the crash mapping data base.

Countermeasure Selection/Impact Assessment:

Accuracy
Enter intended subrecipients.

Kansas Next Generation 911 Council

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Improves accuracy of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.9.3.2 Planned Activity: TIRES Software

Planned activity name: TIRES Software
Planned activity number: SP-4200-19
Primary countermeasure strategy: Improves accuracy of a core highway safety database

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Image Trend is tasked with maintaining the TIRES software to provide seamless transition of electronically submitted crash reports. As directed by TRCC, the vendor will also provide technical support as needed.

Countermeasure Selection/Impact Assessment:

- Accuracy

Enter intended subrecipients.

Image Trend IT Consultant

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Improves accuracy of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.9.4 Countermeasure Strategy: Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(iii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Improved accessibility of data coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Improved accessibility of data coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.
Improved data accessibility is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4601-19</td>
<td>KCJIS Security Architecture</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.9.4.1 Planned Activity: KCJIS Security Architecture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>KCJIS Security Architecture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-4601-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project will execute, in a phased manner, KBI’s strategic plan adopted by the Kansas Criminal Justice Information System (KCJIS) Committee for the modernization of the KCJIS Security Architecture. It will provide flexibility to our stakeholders, gain the ability to include a broader range of users, regain our footing as a leading security domain, and maintain our strong security footing.

Countermeasure Selection/Impact Assessment:

- Accessibility

Enter intended subrecipients.

Information Technology Vendor

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>MAP 21 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (MAP-21)</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.9.5 Countermeasure Strategy: Highway Safety Office Program Management

Program area: Traffic Records

Countermeasure strategy: Highway Safety Office Program Management

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State

GMSS will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest.

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

These funds support a Project Management Consultant. This consultant assists KDOT in management of the traffic records contracts and development of the Strategic Plan and Performance Measures documents.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Program Management coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Program Management is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4200-19</td>
<td>TRCC Program Management</td>
<td>Improves integration between one or more core highway safety databases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.10 Program Area: Community Traffic Safety Program

**Program area type**  
Community Traffic Safety Program

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

Community Traffic Safety Program grants are implemented in support of reducing death and injury on Kansas roads and are focused on educating the public on recent traffic safety trends and identifying resources around the state. This program area also includes planning of media campaigns, research opportunities, administration of an adult and youth conference and implementing a safe community’s grant in Wyandotte County.

Goal Statement

**Speeding Fatalities**: The annual 2019 projection based upon the trend line indicates 114 speeding fatalities. A one percent reduction in this projection would equal our goal of 113 speeding fatalities in 2019. Based upon recent history and absent any recent law changes, a one percent reduction goal is realistic and attainable.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period(Performance)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value(Performance)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

https://nhtsagmss.crm9.dynamics.com/main.aspx?area=Nav_Application&etc=10046&page=Applications_HQ&pagetype=entitylist&web=true#95...
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Formal Courses for Older Drivers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Electronic Grant Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.1 Countermeasure Strategy: School Programs

Program area: Community Traffic Safety Program

Countermeasure strategy: School Programs

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of
child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

School Programs, specifically teens, coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-6, Speeding Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

School Programs, specifically teens, coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-6, Speeding Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

School Programs is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1906-19</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.1.1 Planned Activity: SAFE
Planned activity name: SAFE
Planned activity number: SP-1906-19
Primary countermeasure strategy: School Programs

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Enforcement and Education targeting high school age teen drivers on the importance of belt use.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Teen age drivers and the Kansas Traffic Safety Resource Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Teen Safety Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.
5.10.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness

Program area: Community Traffic Safety Program

Countermeasure strategy: Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcyclist and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-4, Unbelted Fatalities and C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-4, Unbelted Fatalities and C-5, Impaired Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness
Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Mass Media is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1500-19</td>
<td>Mass Media PM</td>
<td>Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.2.1 Planned Activity: Mass Media PM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Mass Media PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-1500-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

---

https://nhtsgmss.crm9.dynamics.com/main.aspx?area=Nav_Application&etc=10046&page=Applications_HQ&pagetype=entitylist&web=true#95... 184/254
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Changing driver behavior requires a diversified approach including education and enforcement. Paid media plays a critical role in educating the public, specifically the 18 to 35-year-old male. KDOT will continue to partner with universities in promoting seat belt usage and deterring impaired driving. Campaigns will also be developed and implemented around the national enforcement campaigns. KDOT plans to increase utilization of non-traditional mediums to reach the target audience. While paid media will still be utilized to promote improving the driver behavior, earned media still plays a large role in changing the culture. KDOT will partner with our media contractor to plan and execute a minimum of three statewide press events focused on the kick-off of Click it or Ticket and Alcohol Crackdown and New Year’s Eve mobilizations. The mass media contractor will also administer the motorcycle awareness paid media targeting the counties that represent more than 50 percent of motorcycle crashes in the state.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Media Consultants, TV, Radio and Social media mediums and Metropolitan Planning Organization

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b OP Low (FAST)</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Int</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Int (FAST)</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Paid Advertising (FAST)</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.3 Countermeasure Strategy: Formal Courses for Older Drivers

Program area: Community Traffic Safety Program

Countermeasure strategy: Formal Courses for Older Drivers

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State
will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Formal Courses for Older Drivers coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-6, Speeding Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Formal Courses for Older Drivers coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, C-6, Speeding Fatalities. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Formal Courses for Older Drivers is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy
5.10.3.1 Planned Activity: Car Fit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1906-19</td>
<td>Car Fit</td>
<td>Formal Courses for Older Drivers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a...
majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Partnering with AAA, these funds will educate older drivers on the importance of all the safety features of their vehicle and the importance of occupant protection.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Kansas Traffic Safety Resource Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Formal Courses for Older Drivers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Safe Communities (FAST)</td>
<td>$70,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$70,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.4 Countermeasure Strategy: Electronic Grant Management

Program area: Community Traffic Safety Program

Countermeasure strategy: Electronic Grant Management

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State's problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement...
in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4)**

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B)**

[Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f)**

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2)**

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2)**

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

**Countermeasure strategy description**

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.
Electronic Grant Management coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Electronic Grant Management coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Electronic Grant Management will streamline our data gathering and interaction with grantees. This project was approved in FFY 18 and training has begun, procurement and other delays have pushed the completion of the completion to FFY 19.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1907-19</td>
<td>Electronic Grant Management</td>
<td>Electronic Grant Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.4.1 Planned Activity: Electronic Grant Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Electronic Grant Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-1907-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Electronic Grant Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required
under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Provide an electronic grant management system. These system will streamline many of the paper based operations that currently exist. Timely contract processing and reporting will be the main benefits of an online system.
Enter intended subrecipients.

IT Consultant

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Electronic Grant Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Safe Communities (FAST)</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.5 Countermeasure Strategy: Communications and Outreach

Program area

Community Traffic Safety Program

Countermeasure strategy

Communications and Outreach

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.
Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(iii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and
planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Communication and Outreach campaign coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, Speeding C-6. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Communication and Outreach campaign coupled with selected planned activities will positively impact demonstrated problem identification and core performance measures, Speeding C-6. Based on problem identification weighted with overall fatalities and other measures, the funds allocated are appropriate.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Communication and Outreach is a proven strategy identified in the Countermeasures That Work document and funds allocated are appropriate.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.
Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1906-19</td>
<td>Safe Communities Communication and Outreach</td>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1906-19</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.5.1 Planned Activity: Safe Communities Communication and Outreach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Safe Communities Communication and Outreach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-1906-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Support Operation Impact programs in Kansas City and Sedgwick County. Funds may also be utilized to expand the program to other regions of the state and provide support for the annual spring law enforcement recruitment lunches. These funds enable KDOT to reimburse local jurisdictions for special traffic-related training opportunities.

KDOT has a complement of four LELs – each an independent contractor. These retired traffic enforcement veterans represent KDOT Traffic Safety and its programming to a diverse group of over 300 law enforcement agencies scattered over 82,000 square miles. They are actively involved in the promotion of traffic enforcement as the most efficient way to reduce serious roadway injury, while at the same time reducing the incidence of multiple types of crime. In this pursuit, they are available to consult with any police agency. Not only do they promote the value and tactics of effective traffic enforcement to police agencies, but they also represent the TSS traffic enforcement programming and the other resources which are available to them (e.g., SAFE – Seatbelts Are for Everyone – STEP, IDDP, and NSEP). The LEL’s also promote the Seatbelts Are For Everyone Program, targeting teen seatbelt use.

Support the Kansas Highway Patrol crash reconstruction training. This training will assist the KHP and local law enforcement on the latest training and equipment utilized to conduct crash reconstruction.

Provide a driving simulator to the Kansas Law Enforcement Training Center. This simulator will high-light the importance of traffic safety and provide officers the tools necessary to navigate a motor vehicle in a safe and instructional environment. This project will continue the partnership between KDOT and the KLETC on providing educational opportunities targeting law enforcement and traffic safety.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Employer Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
No records found.

5.10.5.2 Planned Activity: PT Communication and Outreach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>PT Communication and Outreach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SP-1701-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Enter intended subrecipients.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No records found.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.11 Program Area: Planning & Administration

Program area type  Planning & Administration

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

No

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?
Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

Planning and Administration

Program staff needs resources to receive additional training and travel opportunities to further the existing programs and potentially implement new strategies to address Traffic Safety in the state. This program area will also allow new and current staff to attend NHTSA required training, including: Program Management, Managing Federal Finances and Data Evaluation. SHSO personnel costs are 100% state funded.

Planned Activities in the Planning & Administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1400-19</td>
<td>Planning and Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.11.1 Planned Activity: Planning and Administration

Planned activity name: Planning and Administration

Planned activity number: SP-1400-19

Primary countermeasure strategy

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project enables Section staff to obtain training, attend key conferences in other states and travel to monitor grantees. This will allow new staff training on the Grant Management Solutions Suite, Program Management and individual program area specialties.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Kansas Department of Transportation, State Highway Safety Office Staff

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Planning &amp; Administration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 (FAST)</td>
<td>Planning and Administration</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 Evidence-based Traffic Safety Enforcement Program (TSEP)

Evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP) information

Identify the planned activities that collectively constitute an evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP).

Planned activities in the TSEP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1300-19</td>
<td>Motorcycle Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Impairment: Detection, Enforcement and Sanctions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1300-19</td>
<td>Overtime Grants for Law Enforcement</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1500-19</td>
<td>Mass Media PM</td>
<td>Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis

Enter analysis of crashes, crash fatalities, and injuries in areas of highest risk.

The state of Kansas relies upon proven countermeasures when implementing programs. Kansas participates in the national STEP enforcement campaigns – Click it or Ticket and DUI crackdown centered on Labor Day and Holiday DUI crackdown focused on New Year’s Eve. Additionally, the state provides overtime grants for the Thanksgiving week occupant restraint-DUI campaign. Each of the mobilizations follows the proven “Click it or Ticket” formula of high visibility education/media, paid media and enforcement. The Seatbelts Are For Everyone (SAFE) program, targeting teen seat belt use, uses the same Click it or Ticket methodology. When implementing new programs, staff utilizes other proven programs and can reference the latest countermeasures that work document prepared by NHTSA. Collaboration with the SHSP has led to new programs in support of their proven or new strategies. As part of their contract, each grantee is required to report activity. This activity allows KDOT to evaluate the individual program and determine effectiveness toward reaching not only an individual performance measure, but examine the effectiveness towards reaching our statewide performance measures. The TSS has and will continue to constantly monitor the implemented programs and will deploy new countermeasures as problems change and/or shift in the state. Annually, KDOT examines crash data and this analysis influences the deployment of law enforcement resources in locations represented in the counties referenced in our problem identification.

The TSEP plan was developed using the most current data available. Throughout the year, existing enforcement activities through our current contractors and new data may emerge that could lead to change in target groups, geographic location or deployment strategies. The SHSO constantly reviews the activity reports from law enforcement contractors including enforcement data and contacts per hour. In the event significant circumstances change, the program and/or enforcement plans will be altered to meet the current need. Through this data gathering, the SHSO updates the countermeasures strategies and projects in the HSP. When the state has identified a problem, further research and data gathering are the next step to determining appropriate proven countermeasures. As referenced in several of the problem identification data tables, KDOT has and will continue to engage partners in the counties that make up the largest percentage of total crashes, fatal crashes and impaired crashes. Seat belt observational data will also be used to engage and target partners focused on increasing the seat belt rate in a specific county and statewide.

The TSS is also actively involved in several Emphasis Area Teams that support the SHSP. Each team is tasked with identifying solutions to curb the instance of their respective team. Currently, a member of the TSS is chairing the Occupant Protection, Impaired Driving and Older Driver teams. The Emphasis Area Teams meet at least twice a year, are diversified and include representatives from private and public entities and are...
common advocates when it comes to identifying strategies and resources to address traffic safety problems in the HSP and SHSP. The entities involved in the emphasis area teams include: KDOT, Kansas Highway Patrol, Kansas Department of Health and Environment, Kansas Department of Motor Vehicles, law enforcement liaisons, Kansas Traffic Safety Resource Office, Kansas Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutors, AAA of Kansas and the Mid-America Regional Council. These entities or organizations represent the key stakeholders in reducing death and injury on Kansas roads. Therefore, an examination of the HSP and SHSP will show many similar strategies, objectives and needed resources utilized to implement both plans.

**Crash and Data Analysis for TSEP**

**Total Crashes**

The state of Kansas experiences about 60,000 crashes annually. Table 4 ranks Kansas counties by the total number of crashes and a percent of the total number of crashes in the state. These twenty counties represent more than 75 percent of all crashes in 2016. The accumulated percentage column represents that county plus all the counties listed above to determine the percent coverage for the state. Enforcement based strategies are well-proven and recommended by NHTSA as an effective countermeasure. Therefore, the TSS has and will continue to engage law enforcement partners in these counties to establish overtime enforcement grants targeting all crashes, providing training opportunities through our Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutors, and working with the local media to address the problem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Total Crashes</th>
<th>Percent of Total</th>
<th>Accumulated Percent</th>
<th>STEP Agencies*</th>
<th>IDDP Agencies**</th>
<th>NSEP Agencies***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>JOHNSON</td>
<td>12,144</td>
<td>19.77%</td>
<td>19.77%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SEDGWICK</td>
<td>11,363</td>
<td>18.50%</td>
<td>38.28%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SHAWNEE</td>
<td>4,469</td>
<td>7.28%</td>
<td>45.55%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DOUGLAS</td>
<td>2,965</td>
<td>4.83%</td>
<td>50.38%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>WYANDOTTE</td>
<td>2,006</td>
<td>3.27%</td>
<td>53.65%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>BUTLER</td>
<td>1,428</td>
<td>2.33%</td>
<td>55.97%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>RENO</td>
<td>1,409</td>
<td>2.29%</td>
<td>58.26%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>LEAVENWORTH</td>
<td>1,308</td>
<td>2.13%</td>
<td>60.39%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Crashes</th>
<th>Crashes %</th>
<th>Total %</th>
<th>Special</th>
<th>Impaired</th>
<th>Nighttime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SALINE</td>
<td>1,278</td>
<td>2.08%</td>
<td>62.48%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>RILEY</td>
<td>1,277</td>
<td>2.08%</td>
<td>64.55%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>COWLEY</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td>66.05%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>LYON</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>1.41%</td>
<td>67.47%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>MONTGOMERY</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>1.27%</td>
<td>68.74%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>FORD</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>1.27%</td>
<td>70.01%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>ELLIS</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>1.15%</td>
<td>71.16%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>FINNEY</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>1.11%</td>
<td>72.27%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>GEARY</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>1.04%</td>
<td>73.32%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>SUMNER</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>1.03%</td>
<td>74.34%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>CRAWFORD</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>75.34%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>MIAMI</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>0.96%</td>
<td>76.31%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Special Traffic Enforcement Program- conducts overtime enforcement centered on the national Thanksgiving Week; Click it or Ticket, Alcohol Crackdown and December Holiday mobilizations.

**Impaired Driving Deterrence Program-conducts overtime enforcement centered on identifying and removing impaired drivers throughout the year.

***Nighttime Seatbelt Enforcement Program- conducts overtime enforcement targeting unrestrained occupants throughout the year.

**Fatal Crashes**

The state of Kansas experienced 381 fatal crashes in 2016. Table 5 ranks Kansas counties by the total number of crashes and a percent of the total number of crashes in the state. These thirty-six counties represent more than 80 percent of all fatal crashes in 2016. The accumulated percentage column represents that county plus all the counties listed above to determine the percent coverage for the state. Enforcement based strategies are well
proven and recommended by NHTSA as an effective countermeasure. Therefore, the TSS has established
overtime enforcement grants with law enforcement partners in these counties in an effort to reduce crashes,
provide training opportunities through our Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor and work with local media to
address traffic challenges.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016 Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Fatal Crashes</th>
<th>Percent of Total</th>
<th>Accumulated Percent</th>
<th>STEP</th>
<th>IDDP</th>
<th>NSEP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SEDGWICK</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14.44%</td>
<td>14.44%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td>NSEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>JOHNSON</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7.61%</td>
<td>22.05%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td>NSEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SHAWNEE</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.82%</td>
<td>28.87%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td>NSEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>WYANDOTTE</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.72%</td>
<td>33.60%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SALINE</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.41%</td>
<td>37.01%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LEAVENWORTH</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.15%</td>
<td>40.16%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>RENO</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.15%</td>
<td>43.31%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td>NSEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MONTGOMERY</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.89%</td>
<td>46.19%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td>NSEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>BUTLER</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.36%</td>
<td>48.56%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>FRANKLIN</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.36%</td>
<td>50.92%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CRAWFORD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.10%</td>
<td>53.02%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>MCPHERSON</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.84%</td>
<td>54.86%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>FINNEY</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.57%</td>
<td>56.43%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>JEFFERSON</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.57%</td>
<td>58.01%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>SUMNER</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.57%</td>
<td>59.58%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Current</td>
<td>Program</td>
<td>2nd Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>DOUGLAS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.31%</td>
<td>60.89%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>SEWARD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.31%</td>
<td>62.20%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>NSEP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>WABAUNSEE</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.31%</td>
<td>63.52%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>BROWN</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
<td>64.57%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>CHASE</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
<td>65.62%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>CHEROKEE</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>COWLEY</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
<td>67.72%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>FORD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
<td>68.77%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>GEARY</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
<td>69.82%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>LABETTE</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
<td>70.87%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>MIAMI</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
<td>71.92%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>RILEY</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
<td>72.97%</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>ALLEN</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
<td>73.75%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>BARTON</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
<td>74.54%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>CLOUD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
<td>75.33%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>GRAY</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
<td>76.12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>GREENWOOD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
<td>76.90%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>KEARNY</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
<td>77.69%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>LYON</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
<td>78.48%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Impaired Driving Crashes

Impaired driving continues to be a problem in the state. Table 6 ranks Kansas counties by the number of alcohol-related crashes and a percent of the total number of alcohol related crashes in the state. These twenty-two counties represent more than 80 percent of alcohol-related crashes in 2016. The accumulated percentage column represents that county plus all the counties listed above to determine the percent coverage for the state. Enforcement based strategies are well proven and recommended by NHTSA as an effective countermeasure. Therefore, the TSS has engaged law enforcement partners in these counties to establish overtime enforcement grants targeting impaired driving, providing training opportunities through our Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor and worked with the local media to address the problem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016 Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Alcohol-Related Crashes</th>
<th>Percent of Total</th>
<th>Accumulated Percent</th>
<th>STEP</th>
<th>IDDP</th>
<th>NSEP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>JOHNSON</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>18.07%</td>
<td>18.07%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td>NSEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SEDGWICK</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>17.66%</td>
<td>35.72%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td>NSEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SHAWNEE</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6.52%</td>
<td>42.24%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td>NSEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DOUGLAS</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.47%</td>
<td>47.72%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>WYANDOTTE</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.47%</td>
<td>53.19%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LEAVENWORTH</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.97%</td>
<td>56.16%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SALINE</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.55%</td>
<td>58.71%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.51%</td>
<td>61.22%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td>IDDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>RILEY</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.19%</td>
<td>63.41%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>BUTLER</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.01%</td>
<td>65.42%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>LYON</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.01%</td>
<td>67.43%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>RENO</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.01%</td>
<td>69.39%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>COWLEY</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.96%</td>
<td>70.99%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>FINNEY</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.55%</td>
<td>72.54%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>FORD</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.28%</td>
<td>73.81%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>GEARY</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.19%</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>ELLIS</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.09%</td>
<td>76.09%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>MIAMI</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
<td>77.14%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>FRANKLIN</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.96%</td>
<td>78.10%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>MONTGOMERY</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.87%</td>
<td>79.84%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>HARVEY</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
<td>80.61%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>SUMNER</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
<td>80.61%</td>
<td>STEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Special Traffic Enforcement Program- conducts overtime enforcement centered on the national Thanksgiving, Click it or Ticket and Alcohol Crackdown mobilizations.

**Impaired Driving Deterrence Program-conducts overtime enforcement centered on identifying and removing impaired drivers throughout the year.

***Nighttime Seatbelt Enforcement Program-conducts overtime enforcement centered on night time drivers and passengers.

**Belt Use Rates for S1200 Roads Only**
An S1200 road is generally defined as a non-interstate route, considered a main artery and usually a US or state highway. This road type was selected for county comparisons because it is the only road type observed in all 26 observed Kansas Counties of our federally required observational survey. Using the county figures that include interstates, which are only present in some counties, and/or local roads, which are observed also in only a subset of Kansas counties, may make a county look better or worse, as a function of the types of roads observed. Secondary roads are main arteries, usually in the U.S. Highway, State Highway or County Highway system. These roads have one or more lanes of traffic in each direction, may or may not be divided, and usually have at-grade intersections with many other roads and driveways. They often have both a local name and a route number. The belt use numbers by county, by this specific road type, were derived from our 2017, NHTSA approved, adult survey. Utilizing this data, TSS has and will continue to work with our law enforcement liaisons, identify media opportunities and engage law enforcement partners to increase the belt use in these counties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yearly Belt Use Rates, S1200 Road Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017 Belt Use Rate, Descending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffey*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leavenworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawnee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedgwick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellsworth*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haskell*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyandotte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atchison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabaunsee*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gove*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*New to 2017 Site Sample
**Adult Observational Survey**

As Federally required, Kansas performs an adult observational seat belt survey immediately following the national Click it or Ticket mobilization. This data not only gives us our statewide observational use number, but allows us to target counties with low belt use. Coupled with state crash data and the seat belt survey numbers, the SHSO or law enforcement liaisons will reach out to the counties and offer assistance in the form of overtime enforcement, enhanced education, media or other proven countermeasures.

**Belt Use Rates, Ranked by Percent Belted - 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>S1100</th>
<th>S1200</th>
<th>S1400</th>
<th>*Percent Belted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reno</td>
<td>94.81%</td>
<td>92.16%</td>
<td>94.28%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>94.55%</td>
<td>87.90%</td>
<td>93.34%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leavenworth</td>
<td>95.00%</td>
<td>89.83%</td>
<td>83.42%</td>
<td>90.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellsworth</td>
<td>94.87%</td>
<td>82.07%</td>
<td>85.00%</td>
<td>89.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawnee</td>
<td>91.53%</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
<td>88.20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowley</td>
<td>89.26%</td>
<td>83.60%</td>
<td>88.12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
<td>95.83%</td>
<td>84.33%</td>
<td>63.16%</td>
<td>88.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>91.94%</td>
<td>86.82%</td>
<td>76.47%</td>
<td>87.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>86.10%</td>
<td>90.98%</td>
<td>86.85%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffey</td>
<td>82.88%</td>
<td>72.50%</td>
<td>86.38%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gove</td>
<td>94.49%</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>86.12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>87.60%</td>
<td>74.55%</td>
<td>85.89%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>4th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey</td>
<td>84.98%</td>
<td>87.53%</td>
<td>81.82%</td>
<td>85.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedgwick</td>
<td>86.74%</td>
<td>85.87%</td>
<td>78.91%</td>
<td>84.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riley</td>
<td>94.49%</td>
<td>84.39%</td>
<td>77.64%</td>
<td>82.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyandotte</td>
<td>85.11%</td>
<td>80.41%</td>
<td>72.15%</td>
<td>82.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler</td>
<td>78.90%</td>
<td>84.55%</td>
<td>62.07%</td>
<td>80.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase</td>
<td>86.28%</td>
<td>71.39%</td>
<td>44.00%</td>
<td>80.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atchison</td>
<td></td>
<td>78.16%</td>
<td>85.19%</td>
<td>79.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haskell</td>
<td></td>
<td>81.73%</td>
<td>69.77%</td>
<td>79.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabaunsee</td>
<td>82.39%</td>
<td>77.66%</td>
<td>18.75%</td>
<td>79.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyon</td>
<td>82.89%</td>
<td>79.48%</td>
<td>48.39%</td>
<td>78.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seward</td>
<td></td>
<td>85.89%</td>
<td>44.44%</td>
<td>77.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labette</td>
<td></td>
<td>79.03%</td>
<td>60.34%</td>
<td>76.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford</td>
<td></td>
<td>74.03%</td>
<td>53.85%</td>
<td>70.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery</td>
<td></td>
<td>67.78%</td>
<td>48.21%</td>
<td>64.65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Weighted by Road Type

Enter explanation of the deployment of resources based on the analysis performed.

High Visibility Enforcement
Enforcement plays a critical role in changing behavior. Problem identification based upon all the available data, including the information listed above assisted KDOT in planning targeted enforcement programs. These programs are based upon data proven countermeasures that enable KDOT to target cities, counties and specific behavior. A strong enforcement campaign will include an educational component. Enforcement activities follow the proven strategy of: educate, enforce and report in all enforcement programs. All enforcement grants are required to submit activity reports after each mobilization. This data allows KDOT to continuously monitor contractors and update performance of each grantee. This data also provides a baseline for allocating resources in the future. Annually, KDOT examines crash data and targets state and local law enforcement grants in locations represented in the counties as referenced in tables 4-6.

The Special Traffic Enforcement Program (STEP) will support NHTSA’s three high-visibility enforcement campaigns, each of which is tied to a national holiday: Click It or Ticket (Memorial Day) and DUI mobilizations tied to New Year’s Eve and Labor Day. Preceding these, in the program year, will be Kansas’ Thanksgiving Safe Arrival, with its dual focus on occupant protection and DUI. Each of these campaigns will be supported with earned and paid media. Additional information on the media plans and budgets for these HVE mobilizations can be found in the Paid Media program area of the HSP. The current list of grantees can be referenced in the Police Traffic Services section of the HSP. In FFY 19, our planned expansion by at least 10 police agencies includes data driven locations for recruitment efforts. Additional information on Kansas STEP can be found in the Police Traffic Services Program area of the HSP.

KDOT developed an internal rating system for our STEP contractors. This system tracks number of citations, number of contacts, number of hours of enforcement and expenditures. Annually, KDOT evaluates each contract. This evaluation is completed with the assistance of the assigned law enforcement liaison. If an agency is underperforming, KDOT and/or our assigned LEL will reach out to the entity to discuss expectations and re-emphasize the importance of the enforcement focus. Historical enforcement, crash location and man-power data are all used when developing new and renewing existing contracts. Enforcement grants contain a performance measure relating to the number of expected contacts (stops) per hour during grant funded activities and can be rewarded for outstanding performance.

Traffic Safety Impact Assessment

The state of Kansas utilizes the most recent Countermeasures that Work document to develop and implement programs targeting data driven problem areas. Consistent with NHTSA guidelines, the Countermeasures that Work document provides invaluable insight into the types of programs that will positively impact our performance measures. In the Program Area section of this document, each proven countermeasure that relates to a specific program has been identified.
Enter description of how the State plans to monitor the effectiveness of enforcement activities, make ongoing adjustments as warranted by data, and update the countermeasure strategies and projects in the Highway Safety Plan (HSP).

In conjunction with our Law Enforcement Liaison's, KDOT monitors each enforcement grant through reimbursement and activity reporting. After each mobilization, this information is used to evaluate the individual grantee as well as the overall success of the program. In the event a grantee is under-performing, KDOT or our regional Law Enforcement Liaison will reach out to the grantee and discuss crash history and other relevant data that could aid in greater utilization of the funding targeting reducing death and injury on Kansas roads.

7 High Visibility Enforcement

High-visibility enforcement (HVE) strategies

Planned HVE strategies to support national mobilizations:

*Reminder: When associating a countermeasure strategy to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication and Outreach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HVE activities

Select specific HVE planned activities that demonstrate the State's support and participation in the National high-visibility law enforcement mobilizations to reduce alcohol-impaired or drug impaired operation of motor vehicles and increase use of seat belts by occupants of motor vehicles.

HVE Campaigns Selected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1300-19</td>
<td>Overtime Grants for Law Enforcement</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1500-19</td>
<td>Mass Media PM</td>
<td>Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8 405(b) Occupant Protection Grant
Occupant protection information

405(b) qualification status: Lower seat belt use rate State

Occupant protection plan

Submit State occupant protection program area plan that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems.

Program Area

Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)

Police Traffic Services

Participation in Click-it-or-Ticket (CIOT) national mobilization

Select or click Add New to submit the planned participating agencies during the fiscal year of the grant, as required under § 1300.11(d)(6).

Agencies planning to participate in CIOT

Agency

Iola PD
Moran PD
Garnett PD
Medicine Lodge PD
Fort Scott Dept. of Public Safety
Hiawatha PD
Horton PD
Butler Co SO
El Dorado PD
Cloud Co. SO
Allen Co SO
Anderson Co. SO
Atchison PD
Bourbon Co SO
Brown Co. SO
Barton Co SO
Andover PD
Concordia PD
Baxter Springs PD
Cherokee Co SO
Galena PD
Arkansas City PD
Udall PD
Winfield PD
Crawford Co SO
Frontenac PD
Pittsburg PD
Clay Center PD
Wakefield PD
Baldwin City PD
Douglas Co. SO
Eudora PD
Kansas University Office of Public Safety
Lawrence PD
Chapman PD
Elwood PD
Highland PD
Wathena PD
Ellis Co. SO
Hays PD
Ellsworth PD
Garden City PD
Dodge City PD
Franklin Co. SO
Ottawa PD
Geary Co. SO
Grandview Plaza PD
Junction City PD
Greenwood Co. SO
Halstead PD
Newton PD
Gardner Dept. of Public Safety
Johnson Co. SO
Leawood PD
Lenexa PD
Merriam PD
Mission PD
Olathe PD
Overland Park PD
Prairie Village PD
Roeland Park PD
Shawnee PD
Spring Hill PD
Westwood PD
Labette Co. SO
Parsons PD
Logan Co. SO
Linn Co. SO
Linn Valley PD
Pleasanton PD
Basehor PD
Lansing PD
Leavenworth PD
Leavenworth SO
Tonganoxie PD
Emporia PD
Lyon Co. SO
Meade Police Dept
Caney PD
Coffeyville PD
Independence PD
Louisburg PD
Miami Co. SO
Osawatomie PD
Paola PD
McPherson PD
McPherson Co. SO
Chanute PD
Neosho Co. SO
Norton PD
Osborne PD
Carbondale PD
Overbrook PD
Pratt PD
Wamego PD
Lyons PD
Kansas State University Police
Hutchinson PD
Reno Co. SO
South Hutchinson PD
Plainville PD
Rooks Co. SO
Salina PD
Saline Co. SO
Bel Aire PD
Derby PD
Enter description of the State's planned participation in the Click-it-or-Ticket national mobilization.

The state of Kansas plans to commit $300,000 in paid media and engage more than 150 local and state law enforcement agencies for the Click It or Ticket mobilization. The campaign will kick off with a media event. The entire campaign will encompass a three week period, with paid media weeks one and two and enforcement, weeks two and three. Dates of the campaign will align with the NHTSA communications calendar. The listed agencies planning to participate reaches more than 90 percent of the state's population.

Child restraint inspection stations

Submit countermeasure strategies, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification.

*Reminder: When associating a countermeasure strategy to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.
Submit planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification.

*Reminder: When associating a planned activity to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1304-19</td>
<td>Child Seat Distribution and Inspection</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter the total number of planned inspection stations and/or events in the State.

Planned inspection stations and/or events: 122

Enter the number of planned inspection stations and/or inspection events serving each of the following population categories: urban, rural, and at-risk.

Populations served - urban 35
Populations served - rural 87
Populations served - at risk 30

CERTIFICATION: The inspection stations/events are staffed with at least one current nationally Certified Child Passenger Safety Technician.

Child passenger safety technicians

Submit countermeasure strategies, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification.

*Reminder: When associating a countermeasure strategy to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.
**Countermeasure Strategy Name**

Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)

Submit planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification.

*Reminder: When associating a planned activity to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1304-19</td>
<td>Child Seat Distribution and Inspection Stations</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter an estimate of the total number of classes and the estimated total number of technicians to be trained in the upcoming fiscal year to ensure coverage of child passenger safety inspection stations and inspection events by nationally Certified Child Passenger Safety Technicians.

- Estimated total number of classes: 13
- Estimated total number of technicians: 374

**Maintenance of effort**

**ASSURANCE:** The lead State agency responsible for occupant protection programs shall maintain its aggregate expenditures for occupant protection programs at or above the level of such expenditures in fiscal year 2014 and 2015.

**Qualification criteria for a lower seat belt use rate State**

To qualify for an Occupant Protection Grant in a fiscal year, a lower seat belt use rate State (as determined by NHTSA) must submit, as part of its HSP, documentation demonstrating that it meets at least three of the following additional criteria. Select application criteria from the list below to display the associated requirements.

- Primary enforcement seat belt use statute: No
- Occupant protection statute: Yes
- Seat belt enforcement: Yes
- High risk population countermeasure program: Yes
- Comprehensive occupant protection program: No
Occupant protection statute

Open each requirement below to provide legal citations to demonstrate that the State statute meets the requirement.

- Requirement for occupants to be secured in a seat belt.
  - 8-2501
  - 8-1344
- Requirement for occupants to be secured in an age appropriate child restraint.
  - 8-1344
- Coverage of all passenger motor vehicles.
  - 8-2501
  - 8-1344
- Minimum fine of at least $25.
  - 8-2118

Click Add New to provide legal citations for exemption(s) to the State’s seat belt and child restraint requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Amended Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-2503</td>
<td>5/14/2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seat belt enforcement

Submit countermeasure strategies, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred.

*Reminder: When associating a countermeasure strategy to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Submit planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred.

*Reminder: When associating a planned activity to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1701-19</td>
<td>PT Communication and Outreach</td>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1300-19</td>
<td>Overtime Grants for Law Enforcement</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1500-19</td>
<td>Mass Media PM</td>
<td>Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1301-19</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Communication</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-4505-19</td>
<td>Night Time Seat Belt Enforcement</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Seat Belt Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1903-19</td>
<td>Mass Media SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High risk population countermeasure programs

Submit countermeasure strategies, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan.

*Reminder: When associating a countermeasure strategy to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Seat Belt Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Communication Campaign

Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)

Submit planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan.

*Reminder: When associating a planned activity to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1300-19</td>
<td>Overtime Grants for Law Enforcement</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1301-19</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Communication</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1906-19</td>
<td>Safe Communities Communication and Outreach</td>
<td>Communications and Outreach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1906-19</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Occupant protection program assessment

Enter the date of the NHTSA-facilitated assessment of all elements of its occupant protection program, which must have been conducted within three years prior to the application due date.

Date of the NHTSA-facilitated assessment 4/8/2016

9 405(c) - State Traffic Safety Information System Improvement Grant

Traffic records coordinating committee (TRCC)

Submit at least three meeting dates of the TRCC during the 12 months immediately preceding the application due date.

Meeting Date

8/10/2017

11/9/2017
Name of State's Traffic Records Coordinator: Chris Bortz
Title of State's Traffic Records Coordinator: KDOT Traffic Safety Program Manager

Enter a list of TRCC members by name, title, home organization and the core safety database represented, provided that at least one member represents each of the following core safety databases: (A) Crash; (B) Citation or adjudication; (C) Driver; (D) Emergency medical services or injury surveillance system; (E) Roadway; and (F) Vehicle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Duties/Responsibilities</th>
<th>Core Data Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Bartlett</td>
<td>Mid-America Regional Council</td>
<td>Senior Regional Planner</td>
<td>Regional Planner</td>
<td>Local Roadway/Local Crash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transportation Planner</td>
<td>KC/Technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Todd Ackerman</td>
<td>Marysville Police Department</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>Crime Prevention/Data Administrator</td>
<td>Local Crash/Local Crime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Sass</td>
<td>KDHE, Trauma Epidemiologist Program</td>
<td>Epidemiologist</td>
<td>EMS Program Consultant</td>
<td>Local Crash/EMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bortz</td>
<td>KDOT, Bureau of Safety &amp; Technology</td>
<td>Traffic Safety Program Manager</td>
<td>Traffic Safety/Data User</td>
<td>Crash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David LaRoche</td>
<td>Federal Highway Administration/US DOT</td>
<td>Safety Specialist</td>
<td>FHWA Data User</td>
<td>VMT/Roadway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Marshall</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>KCJIS Administrator</td>
<td>Crash/Citation/EMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Role</td>
<td>Specialty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaine Adkins</td>
<td>Iteris, Inc.</td>
<td>Program Manager</td>
<td>Technology/Planner Consultant/Crash/Citation/EMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Klumpp</td>
<td>Kansas Association of Chiefs of Police</td>
<td>Legislative Committee</td>
<td>Law Enforcement/Data Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local Law Enforcement/Citation/DUI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Herman</td>
<td>KDOT, Bureau of Safety &amp; Technology</td>
<td>Asst. Traffic Safety Program Manager</td>
<td>Traffic Safety/Data User</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Crash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Yancey</td>
<td>Kansas Health &amp; Environment</td>
<td>IT Director</td>
<td>Health-Wellness/Data Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EMS Trauma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold Sass</td>
<td>Kansas Department of Corrections</td>
<td>Chief Information Officer</td>
<td>Data/Technology Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Citation/Driver/Vehicle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Bonar</td>
<td>KDOT Transportation Planning</td>
<td>Application Developer</td>
<td>Technology/Data Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Technology Support/ Crash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Halloran</td>
<td>NHTSA, Region 7</td>
<td>Regional Program Manager</td>
<td>Fed. Rep.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FARS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Neal</td>
<td>KDOT Information Technology</td>
<td>Chief Information Officer</td>
<td>Data/Technology Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Crash and Roadway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe House</td>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>Deputy Director</td>
<td>Medical/Data Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Mandala</td>
<td>Kansas Bureau</td>
<td>Chief Information</td>
<td>Data/Technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Crime and Criminal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer</td>
<td>Administrator</td>
<td>History/Citation/Crash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Ostenson</td>
<td>Shawnee County Sherriff’s Office Lieutenant</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Local Law Enforcement/ Crime/Citation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Payne</td>
<td>Kansas Department of Revenue Chief Information Officer</td>
<td>Data/Technology Technology Administrator/Driver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly O’Brien</td>
<td>Office of Judicial Administration Director, Information Systems</td>
<td>Data/Technology Courts Administrator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Johnson</td>
<td>Kansas Highway Patrol Director, Information Systems</td>
<td>Data/Technology Crime and Criminal History/Crash/Vehicle/Driver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Nelson</td>
<td>KU Dept. of Education/KS Geological Survey Section Manager/DASC Manager</td>
<td>Program Manager Roadway/GIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyle Gonterwitz</td>
<td>KDOT, Transportation Planning GIS Manager</td>
<td>Data Manager Roadway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacey Hane</td>
<td>KDOR, Driver Solutions Resource Specialist</td>
<td>Program Manager Driver/Vehicle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Bohnenkemper</td>
<td>Kansas Bureau of Investigation IT Project Analyst</td>
<td>Program Manager Technology Crime and Criminal History/Citation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Moore</td>
<td>Kansas Bureau of Investigation Director, Information Serv.</td>
<td>Data/Technology Crime and Criminal History/Citation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Department/Agency</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Soper</td>
<td>Kansas Department of Revenue</td>
<td>Business Analyst</td>
<td>Data/Technology Support/Technology Support/Roadway/Driver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanie Waters</td>
<td>Office of Judicial Administration</td>
<td>OJA Administrator</td>
<td>Data/Technology Court Administrator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Ronin</td>
<td>KDOT, Bureau of Trans. Safety &amp; Technology</td>
<td>Crash Data Unit</td>
<td>Data/Technology Technology Support/Crash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitch Beemer</td>
<td>Kansas Bureau of Investigation</td>
<td>Manager, IBR Unit</td>
<td>Data/Technology Technology Support/Citation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Ekberg</td>
<td>KS 911 Coordinating Council</td>
<td>NG 911 Administrator</td>
<td>Program Administrator Next Generation 911/EMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawn Brown</td>
<td>KDOT, Information Technology Services</td>
<td>Infrastructure Support Mgr.</td>
<td>Data/Technology Crash/Roadway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Buckley</td>
<td>KDOT, Bureau of Safety &amp; Technology</td>
<td>Traffic Safety Engineer</td>
<td>Traffic Safety/Data User Crash/Roadway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Zeller</td>
<td>Kansas Highway Patrol</td>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Law Enforcement/Citation/ Crash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tammy Allen</td>
<td>Kansas Department of Revenue</td>
<td>CDL Program Specialist</td>
<td>Program Consultant Driver/Vehicle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terri Slater</td>
<td>KDOT, Transportation OITS</td>
<td>Application Developer</td>
<td>Data/Technology Technology Support/Crash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State traffic records strategic plan

Upload a Strategic Plan, approved by the TRCC, that— (i) Describes specific, quantifiable and measurable improvements, as described in paragraph (b)(3) of this section, that are anticipated in the State’s core safety databases, including crash, citation or adjudication, driver, emergency medical services or injury surveillance system, roadway, and vehicle databases; (ii) Includes a list of all recommendations from its most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment; (iii) Identifies which recommendations identified under paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section the State intends to address in the fiscal year, the countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement each recommendation, and the performance measures to be used to demonstrate quantifiable and measurable progress; and (iv) Identifies which recommendations identified under paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section the State does not intend to address in the fiscal year and explains the reason for not implementing the recommendations.

Documents Uploaded

FY 19 TRCC Performance Measures.pdf

2019 TRCC Strategic Plan_Final.pdf

Enter a direct copy of the section of the State traffic records strategic plan that lists all recommendations from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment.

Improve the procedures / process flows for the Crash data system that reflect best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Improve the interfaces with the Crash data system that reflect best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Improve the data quality program for the Crash data system that reflects best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Improve the data dictionary for the Vehicle data system that reflects best practices identified in the
Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Improve the data quality control program for the Vehicle data system that reflects best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Improve the data dictionary for the Driver data system that reflects best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Improve the data quality control program for the Driver data system that reflects best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Improve the procedures / process flows for the Roadway data system that reflect best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Improve the interfaces with the Roadway data system that reflect best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Improve the data quality program for the Roadway data system that reflects best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Improve the procedures / process flows for the Citation and Adjudication systems that reflect best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.
Improve the data quality control program with the Citation and Adjudication systems that reflects best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Improve the data quality control program for the Injury Surveillance systems that reflects best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Improve the traffic records systems capacity to integrate data that reflects best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Enter a direct copy of the section of the State traffic records strategic plan that identifies which recommendations the State intends to address in the fiscal year, the countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under 23 C.F.R. 1300.11(d), that implement each recommendation, and the performance measures to be used to demonstrate quantifiable and measurable progress.

Improve the interfaces with the Crash data system that reflect best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Progress:

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends that the integration of crash data with other systems be improved. KDOT expects that Crash data for all public roads will be contained within the state’s new LRS, and that LRS will provide improved reporting and interface capabilities.

Project 2.5 in the Strategic Plan will improve reporting and access to Crash information for the public and for agencies throughout the State. It will provide an interface that allows for much more comprehensive Crash analysis and reporting. Projects 7.1, 7.3 and 7.4 will provide the foundation for enhanced geo-locating of crash data for road safety assessment. The scope of project 7.1 was expanded in 2018 to include GIS and NG911 imagery updates scheduled to begin FY2019. As a result, the timeline for Project 2.5 has also been extended into FFY2020 to allow time to develop and integrate new maps based on the 2019 imagery.

Project 7.3 is expected to be completed in FFY2019 with project 7.4 following closely behind in FFY2020.

Quantifiable and Measurable Performance Measures: Improved Accessibility, Accuracy, Completeness.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Project References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve the data dictionary for the Driver data system that reflects best</td>
<td>1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Progress:**

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends that Kansas improve edit checks and the data dictionary for driver data to improve data quality. Project 3.1 has been completed and DMV, as part of the modernization process, is working on integration technologies to provide data for validation routines.

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment also recommends that Kansas link DUI, Crash and citation systems to driver system. The eCitation project (Project 1.1-1.5 in the Strategic Plan) will improve access to and integration of citation data through development of an electronic process.

The eCitation project is scheduled to move to production in FFY 2019.

The Kansas DMV is in the process of developing a modernized system that will provide multiple improvements. The TRCC will revisit these recommendations once the DMV work is done to determine which recommendations are not part of the modernization effort and to determine potential strategy for addressing those recommendations that are not part of the modernization.

Quantifiable and Measurable Performance Measures: Completeness, Timeliness and Integration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Project References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve the procedures / process flows for the Roadway data system that</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reflect best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Progress:

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends that KDOT develop a singular LRS, named K-Hub, for all public roads. As noted in the Assessment, KDOT is in the processing of implementing a new LRS that will contain data on all Kansas public roads. In addition, KDOT is actively participating in a range of activities to improve Roadway data consistency throughout the State including NexGen 911 and MIRE.

In addition to K-Hub, the state also has described Project 2.6 in the Strategic Plan which will create a testbed for new roadway safety analysis and reporting tools using GIS. This effort will use GIS expertise among the Roadway data stakeholders within the state to identify strategies for improving the use of Roadway data in safety analysis and reporting.

The timeline for this project has been extended due to changes in scope and timeline on project 7.1 which consequently impacted the start date and resources for this effort. Project 2.6 is now scheduled to begin in FFY 2019 with a completion estimate of FFY 2021.

Quantifiable and Measurable Performance Measures: Accessibility.

Recommendation

Improve the interfaces with the Roadway data system that reflect best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Project

References

2.6
Progress:

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends that the State collaborate with local agencies to determine available data and to standardize. KDOT is currently actively pursuing several such activities, including standardizing Roadway data for NexGen 911. KDOT is also participating in a pooled-fund study to participate in the All Roads Network of Linear referenced Data (ARNOLD) as identified by the federal government.

In addition, Project 2.6 is specifically intended to use GIS expertise among the State’s Roadway data stakeholders to identify strategies for improving the use of Roadway data in safety analysis and reporting.

The timeline for this project has been extended due to changes in scope and timeline on project 7.1 which consequently impacted the start date and resources for this effort. Project 2.6 is now scheduled to begin in FFY2019 with a completion estimate of FFY2021.

Quantifiable and Measurable Performance Measures: Accessibility

Improve the data quality program for the Roadway data system that reflects best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

2.6
The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends that Kansas create a formal data quality control program for Roadway data. KDOT’s new K-Hub has significant data quality and error-checking processes incorporated into it that is intended to insure data quality. The system will include the ability to define workflows that require rigorous review of data before it is committed to the production database for reporting and analysis. These quality assurance processes will be documented as part of the KHub implementation.

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment also recommends that Kansas establish performance measures for Roadway data. The TRCC will work to identify additional measures that demonstrate the effectiveness of the Roadway data systems in safety improvements.

The timeline for this project has been extended due to changes in scope and timeline on project 7.1 which consequently impacted the start date and resources for this effort. Project 2.6 is now scheduled to begin in FFY 2019 with a completion estimate of FFY 2021.

Quantifiable and Measurable Performance Measures: Accessibility

Improve the procedures / process flows for the Citation and Adjudication systems that reflect best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.
Progress:

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends that Kansas promote the use of RAPID to the fullest extent possible. Now that RAPID has been deployed, it has been adopted and is being widely used throughout the State. This is evidenced in the Performance Measure Report, Section VII.A, which shows that Searches for Crash Reports continue to rise to over 400,000 for calendar year 2017.

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends that Kansas explore whether RAPID can be used as the infrastructure for a citation tracking system. If RAPID cannot be used, Kansas should consider developing a citation tracking system. The TRCC will take this recommendation under advisement and consider potential strategies to improve citation tracking.

This project is expected to be completed in FFY 2019. As the state’s e-citation system nears completion, Kansas will continue to look for ways to integrate citation data with the RAPID system.

Quantifiable and Measurable Performance Measures: Accuracy and Accessibility.

Improve the data quality control program with the Citation and Adjudication systems that reflects best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

Progress:

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends that Kansas consider new performance measures to track performance of RAPID and eCitation. The performance measures in Section VII.A and VII.B of the Kansas Traffic Records System Performance Measures Report now provide insight into the usage, and success of users, of the RAPID portal. In addition, two new measures have been added to the Report regarding the timeliness of disposition submittal and rate of electronic disposition submittal.

eCitation is scheduled to be deployed by second quarter FFY 2019. Once it has, the TRCC will identify and collect the appropriate baseline data to measure the effectiveness of the system.

Quantifiable and Measurable Performance Measures: Accuracy, accessibility, timeliness and integration.
Improve the traffic records systems capacity to integrate data that reflects best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory. 2.9, 2.10, 5.5, 7.1, 7.2

Progress:

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends that Kansas create a complete inventory of all state governance processes, including personnel and a description of how they support traffic safety data integration. The TRCC will take this recommendation under advisement and consider potential strategies for documenting the processes that support traffic safety data integration.

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends that Kansas expand Crash and Roadway data to include rural roads. As part of the new K-Hub LRS, all Kansas public roads will be contained within a single system. The new system will allow for more accurate Crash location, as well as location of crashes on all public roads. In addition, the improved Crash Report (Project 7.2 in the Strategic Plan) will likely improve the accuracy of crash location reporting, including on rural roads.

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment also recommends that Kansas integrate vehicle and injury surveillance datasets. The KCJIS Security Modernization Projects (Projects 4.5 and 4.6 in Strategic Plan) will update the security architecture of KCJIS systems, with the result of allowing for better interfacing and integration of its data with other systems. The TRCC completed project 5.5, Crash Portal Assessment which provided information regarding gaps in the current Crash Portal and recommendations for improvement.

Project 7.1 is expected to be completed during FFY2019. The timeline for project 7.2 has been extended to FFY2020 due to interdependencies with other TRCC projects as well as resource availability.

Quantifiable and Measurable Performance Measures: Accuracy, Accessibility, Completeness, Integration and Timeliness
Progress:

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends:

- Barcoding vehicle registration documents.
- Having the ability to flag stolen vehicles.
- Sharing title brand information with other states through NMVTIS
- Creating a process flow diagram that describes key vehicle system processes.

The Kansas DMV is in the process of developing a modernized system that will provide numerous improvements. The TRCC will revisit these recommendations once the DMV work is done to determine which recommendations are not part of the modernization effort and to document the new processes implemented with the improved system.

The state anticipates completion of Project 3.3 in FFY 2019. The Driver’s License database is expected to be completed in FFY 2019.

Quantifiable and Measurable Performance Measures: Completeness and Integration.

Submit the planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement recommendations.

*Reminder: When associating a planned activity to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-4200-19</td>
<td>TRCC Program Management</td>
<td>Improves integration between one or more core highway safety databases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-4200-19</td>
<td>GIS Mapping Integration</td>
<td>Improves integration between one or more core highway safety databases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-4604-19</td>
<td>Electronic Citation Reporting</td>
<td>Improves completeness of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-2150-19</td>
<td>Crash Reporting Form Updates</td>
<td>Improves completeness of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enter a direct copy of the section of the State traffic records strategic plan that identifies which recommendations the State does not intend to address in the fiscal year and explains the reason for not implementing the recommendations.

Improve the procedures / process flows for the Crash data system that reflect best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

**Progress:**

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends that Kansas update its Crash Reporting Form in order to capture additional data types and to make data collection more uniform. The TRCC has planned for an improved Crash Report Form in Project 7.2 in the Strategic Plan. This updated form will improve data quality and efficiency through more electronic data collection. This project is expected to be completed in 2018.

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment also recommends that Kansas increase the number of electronic crash submittals. The new Crash Report Form being developed in Project 7.2 will be available on the KLER platform, which all law enforcement agencies in the State are encouraged to use. In addition, as the TRCC Performance Measures Report indicates, Kansas is increasing the number of electronic crash report submittals. The percentage of crash reports submitted electronically has risen from 36% to 65% over the last seven years.

Project 7.2 is anticipated to be completed in FFY 2020. The state of Kansas has begun the process of identifying the data items to be updated on the crash report and will begin working with a vendor to update the crash report data elements.

**Quantifiable and Measurable Performance Measures:** Improved Accuracy, Completeness and Timeliness
Improve the data quality program for the Crash data system that reflects best practices identified in the Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory.

**Progress:**

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends that the TRCC lead random quality review audits on agencies to test data quality and identify potential issues. The TRCC is always seeking means for improving data quality and will consider this recommendation once planned improvements are in place. The TRCC completed project 5.5, Crash Portal Assessment which provided information regarding gaps in the current Crash Portal and recommendations for improvement.

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment recommends that TRCC receive more information about the quality of crash data collected by data collectors and data managers. With completion of project 5.5, TRCC is moving forward with work on projects 5.1; Improve Data Capture, 5.2; Improve Data Storage, and, 5.3; Improve System Integration.

The NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment also recommends creating new and more effective measures of crash data integration and accessibility in the Kansas Traffic Records System Performance Measures Report. The TRCC added a new measure in 2017 to assess the timeliness of crash information availability through KCARS. (See Kansas Traffic Records System Performance Measurement Report for 2017). The state is also providing additional access through KBI’s RAPID project portal, which has shown steadily increasing usage since deployment. The TRCC will continue to work to identify additional measures that demonstrate the effectiveness of the TRCC’s projects.

Kansas is currently in the process of defining the data elements to be used in the new crash report form. The timelines for projects 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 have been extended to FFY 2020 due to the interdependency between each of the crash portal projects. The data elements identified in project 5.1 will be used to refine the database and interface design specifications to be used in projects 5.2 and 5.3.

Quantifiable and Measurable Performance Measures: Improved Accessibility, Accuracy, Completeness and Integration.

Quantitative improvement
Enter a direct copy of the section of the State traffic records strategic plan that describes specific, quantifiable and measurable improvements, as described in 23 C.F.R. 1300.22(b)(3), that are anticipated in the State's core safety databases, including crash, citation or adjudication, driver, emergency medical services or injury surveillance system, roadway, and vehicle databases. Specifically, the State must demonstrate quantitative improvement in the data attribute of accuracy, completeness, timeliness, uniformity, accessibility or integration of a core database by providing a written description of the performance measures that clearly identifies which performance attribute for which core database the State is relying on to demonstrate progress using the methodology set forth in the “Model Performance Measures for State Traffic Records Systems” (DOT HS 811 441), as updated.

Achievement of the Quantitative Improvement

Crash Reporting

The following table demonstrates the increase in the number of reports submitted in an electronic format rather than via paper-based forms. The submission of electronic crash reports reduces the amount of data entry and the time the information is available for query in our statewide crash database. Calculations are based on the number of crash reports submitted to KDOT electronically compared to the total number of crash reports submitted. An improvement of one percent was achieved. A subset of the data extraction is provided in the documentation of this data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Number of Crash Reports Processed</th>
<th>Number of Electronic Crash Reports Processed</th>
<th>Percentage of Electronic Crash Reports Processed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/1/2016 to 3/31/2017</td>
<td>63,510</td>
<td>35,818</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/2017 to 3/31/2018</td>
<td>61,915</td>
<td>35,547</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Timeliness and Completeness of EMS Data

The following table demonstrates the highest number of EMS providers participating/reporting in KEMSIS. An improvement of 25 agencies and increase of 66,802 reports received was achieved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Number of EMS Providers</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/1/2016 to 3/31/2017</td>
<td>124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Dates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Number of EMS Reports Received</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/1/2016 to 3/31/2017</td>
<td>180,893</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/2017 to 3/31/2018</td>
<td>247,695</td>
<td>Increase of 19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upload supporting documentation covering a contiguous 12-month performance period starting no earlier than April 1 of the calendar year prior to the application due date, that demonstrates quantitative improvement when compared to the comparable 12-month baseline period.

Documents Uploaded

- FY 19 TRCC Performance Measures.pdf
- 2019 TRCC Strategic Plan_Final.pdf

State highway safety data and traffic records system assessment

Enter the date of the assessment of the State’s highway safety data and traffic records system that was conducted or updated within the five years prior to the application due date and that complies with the procedures and methodologies outlined in NHTSA’s “Traffic Records Highway Safety Program Advisory” (DOT HS 811 644), as updated.

Date of Assessment: 4/3/2015

Requirement for maintenance of effort

**ASSURANCE:** The lead State agency responsible for State traffic safety information system improvements programs shall maintain its aggregate expenditures for State traffic safety information system improvements programs at or above the average level of such expenditures in fiscal years 2014 and 2015.

### 10 405(d) Impaired Driving Countermeasure Grant

**Impaired driving assurances**

**Impaired driving qualification - Mid-Range State**

**ASSURANCE:** The State shall use the funds awarded under 23 U.S.C. 405(d)(1) only for the implementation and enforcement of programs authorized in 23 C.F.R. 1300.23(j).
ASSURANCE: The lead State agency responsible for impaired driving programs shall maintain its aggregate expenditures for impaired driving programs at or above the average level of such expenditures in fiscal years 2014 and 2015.

Authority to operate

Enter a direct copy of the section of the statewide impaired driving plan that describes the authority and basis for the operation of the Statewide impaired driving task force, including the process used to develop and approve the plan and date of approval.

Authority and Basis for Operation of Task Force

The Statewide Impaired Driving Task Force has the authority as promulgated by the Secretary of Transportation to set the priorities for impaired driving initiatives for Kansas in support of the Strategic Highway Safety Plan and the Highway Safety Plan. This is accomplished by majority rule and each member has one vote for setting goals, initiatives, priorities, and determine problem statements based upon data presented to them. Administrative functions of this task force remain with the Kansas Department of Transportation Traffic Safety Section with general oversite from the State Highway Safety Engineer.

Input the date that the Statewide impaired driving plan was approved by the State’s task force.

Date impaired driving plan approved by task force: 6/12/2018

Task force member information

Enter a direct copy of the list in the statewide impaired driving plan that contains names, titles and organizations of all task force members, provided that the task force includes key stakeholders from the State highway safety agency, law enforcement and the criminal justice system (e.g., prosecution, adjudication, probation) and, as determined appropriate by the State, representatives from areas such as 24–7 sobriety programs, driver licensing, treatment and rehabilitation, ignition interlock programs, data and traffic records, public health and communication.

Impaired Driving Task Force

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>E-MAIL</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION &amp; TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steven Buckley</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Steven.Buckley@ks.gov">Steven.Buckley@ks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- State Highway Safety Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bortz</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Chris.Bortz@ks.gov">Chris.Bortz@ks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- Manager State Highway Safety Office</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Department/Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gary Herman</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Gary.Herman@ks.gov">Gary.Herman@ks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- Traffic Safety Assistant Program Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Corp</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Dcorp1@cox.net">Dcorp1@cox.net</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- Law Enforcement Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troy Wells</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Wellsd0537@cox.net">Wellsd0537@cox.net</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- Law Enforcement Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Ackerman</td>
<td><a href="mailto:alackerman491@gmail.com">alackerman491@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- Law Enforcement Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Hamilton</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bobhlel@gmail.com">bobhlel@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Transportation- Law Enforcement Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Halloran</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Jeff.Halloran@dot.gov">Jeff.Halloran@dot.gov</a></td>
<td>NHTSA REGION 7 Office-Deputy Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lori Marshall</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Lori.Marshall@madd.org">Lori.Marshall@madd.org</a></td>
<td>Director, MADD KANSAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Aaron Miller</td>
<td><a href="mailto:admiller@sedgwick.gov">admiller@sedgwick.gov</a></td>
<td>SEDGWICK County Sheriff Office-DUI Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Mark French</td>
<td><a href="mailto:MFrench@rileycountypolice.org">MFrench@rileycountypolice.org</a></td>
<td>Riley County Police Department-Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Jimmy Atkinson</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Jimmy.Atkinson@ks.gov">Jimmy.Atkinson@ks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Highway Patrol-Breath Alcohol Unit Troop Commander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Chris Bauer</td>
<td><a href="mailto:christopher.bauer@ks.gov">christopher.bauer@ks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Highway Patrol-Breath Alcohol Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ted Smith</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ted.smith@kdor.ks.gov">ted.smith@kdor.ks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Matt Payne</td>
<td><a href="mailto:matthew.payne@ks.gov">matthew.payne@ks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Highway Patrol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrie Hodges</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Carrie.Hodges@KBI.STATE.KS.US">Carrie.Hodges@KBI.STATE.KS.US</a></td>
<td>Kansas Bureau of Investigation Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Moore</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Leslie.Moore@kbi.state.us">Leslie.Moore@kbi.state.us</a></td>
<td>Kansas Bureau of Investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Haugh</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jhaugh@aaa-alliedgroup.com">jhaugh@aaa-alliedgroup.com</a></td>
<td>AAA KANSAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurie Martinez</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Laurie.Martinez@kdor.gov">Laurie.Martinez@kdor.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine Houston</td>
<td><a href="mailto:CHouston@kdheks.gov">CHouston@kdheks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Health and Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodie Soldan</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Jodie.Soldan@kdor.ks.gov">Jodie.Soldan@kdor.ks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent Selk</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kent.selk@kdor.ks.gov">kent.selk@kdor.ks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janelle Robinson</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Janelle.Robinson@kdor.ks.gov">Janelle.Robinson@kdor.ks.gov</a></td>
<td>Kansas Department of Revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sgt Kenneth Kooser</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Kenneth.Kooser@sedgwick.gov">Kenneth.Kooser@sedgwick.gov</a></td>
<td>Sedgwick County Sheriff Office</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strategic plan details

Select whether the State will use a previously submitted Statewide impaired driving plan that was developed and approved within three years prior to the application due date.

Click link to view Highway Safety Guidelines No. 8

http://icsw.nhtsa.gov/nhtsa/whatsup/tea21/tea21programs/pages/ImpairedDriving.htm

Continue to use previously submitted plan

Yes

ASSURANCE: The State continues to use the previously submitted Statewide impaired driving plan.

11 405(f) Motorcyclist Safety Grant

Motorcycle safety information

To qualify for a Motorcyclist Safety Grant in a fiscal year, a State shall submit as part of its HSP documentation demonstrating compliance with at least two of the following criteria. Select application criteria from the list below to display the associated requirements.

Motorcycle rider training course Yes
Motorcyclist awareness program No
Reduction of fatalities and crashes Yes
Impaired driving program No
Reduction of impaired fatalities and accidents Yes
Use of fees collected from motorcyclists

Motorcyclist awareness program

Enter the name and organization of the head of the designated State authority over motorcyclist safety issues.

State authority agency: Kansas Department of Transportation
State authority name/title: Richard Carlson, Secretary, Kansas Department of Transportation
CERTIFICATION: The State’s motorcyclist awareness program was developed by or in coordination with the designated State authority having jurisdiction over motorcyclist safety issues.

Select one or more performance measures and corresponding performance targets developed for motorcycle awareness that identifies, using State crash data, the counties or political subdivisions within the State with the highest number of motorcycle crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period (Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value (Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-8) Number of unhelmeted motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter the counties or political subdivisions within the State with the highest number of motorcycle crashes (MCC) involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle. Such data shall be from the most recent calendar year for which final State crash data are available, but data no older than three calendar years prior to the application due date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County or Political Subdivision</th>
<th># of MCC involving another motor vehicle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sedgwick County</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson County</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawnee County</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson County</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meade</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyandotte County</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford County</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leavenworth County</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter total number of motorcycle crashes (MCC) involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle.

Total # of MCC crashes involving another motor vehicle: 511

Submit countermeasure strategies that demonstrate that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest. The State shall select

countermeasure strategies to address the State’s motorcycle safety problem areas in order to meet the performance targets identified above.

*Reminder: When associating a countermeasure strategy to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

Countermeasure Strategy Name

MC Awareness Media

Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness

Communications and Outreach

Alcohol Impairment: Detection, Enforcement and Sanctions

Submit planned activities that demonstrate that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest. The State shall select planned activities to address the State’s motorcycle safety problem areas in order to meet the performance targets identified above.

*Reminder: When associating a planned activity to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1300-19</td>
<td>Motorcycle Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Impairment: Detection, Enforcement and Sanctions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1300-19</td>
<td>Overtime Grants for Law Enforcement</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-4700-19</td>
<td>Communication Campaign Impaired Driving</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-4704-19</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1903-19</td>
<td>Mass Media SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impaired driving program

Select one or more performance measures and corresponding performance targets developed to reduce impaired motorcycle operation. Each performance measure and performance target shall identify the impaired motorcycle operation problem area to be addressed. Problem identification must include an analysis of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator by county or political subdivision.
C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>End Year</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>79.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit the countermeasure strategies demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest (i.e., the majority of counties or political subdivisions in the State with the highest numbers of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator) based upon State data.

*Reminder: When associating a countermeasure strategy to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

**Countermeasure Strategy Name**

MC Awareness Media

Mass Media Campaign Effectiveness

Communications and Outreach

Alcohol Impairment: Detection, Enforcement and Sanctions

Submit the planned activities demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest (i.e., the majority of counties or political subdivisions in the State with the highest numbers of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator) based upon State data.

*Reminder: When associating a planned activity to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP-1300-19</td>
<td>Motorcycle Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Impairment: Detection, Enforcement and Sanctions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1300-19</td>
<td>Overtime Grants for Law Enforcement</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-4700-19</td>
<td>Communication Campaign Impaired Driving</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-4704-19</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-1903-19</td>
<td>Mass Media SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enter counties or political subdivisions with motorcycle crashes (MCC) involving an impaired operator. Such data shall be from the most recent calendar year for which final State crash data are available, but data no older than three calendar years prior to the application due date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County or Political Subdivision</th>
<th># of MCC involving an impaired operator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sedgwick County</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson County</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawnee County</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson County</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meade</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyandotte County</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford County</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leavenworth County</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter total number of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator.

Total # of MCC involving an impaired operator  25

12 Certifications, Assurances, and Highway Safety Plan PDFs

Documents Uploaded

Signed Certs and Assurances 2019.pdf