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2 Highway safety planning process

Enter description of the data sources and processes used by the State to identify its highway safety problems, describe its highway safety performance measures, establish its performance targets, and develop and select evidence-based countermeasure strategies and projects to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Oklahoma Demographics

Based on the latest data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Oklahoma ranks 28th in total population with 3,923,561 persons residing in 77 counties. Some 65% of the State’s population is urban and 35% is rural. From 2010 to 2015, Oklahoma’s growth rate was 4.3%. Thirty-five of the Indian tribes currently living in Oklahoma are headquartered in the state. Racial categories estimates from 2014 show the following counts for Oklahoma: White alone-75.1%, American Indian/Alaska native alone-8.6%, Black/African American alone-7.7%, Asian alone-2.1%, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander only-0.2%. The Hispanic or Latino Origin population increased by 85.2% from 1990 to 2010. The median age is 37.7 years. Oklahoma ranks 20th in size with a land area of 68,898 square miles. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau)

Cities having an estimated population of 30,000 or more included:

- Oklahoma City……………………638,367
- Tulsa……………………………403,090
- Norman…………………………122,180
- Broken Arrow………………….107,403
- Lawton…………………………..94,653
- Edmond…………………………91,191
- Moore……………………………61,415
- Midwest City……………………57,305
- Enid…………………………….51,004
- Stillwater……………………….49,504
- Muskogee………………………38,352
- Bartlesville……………………36,647
- Owasso………………………..35,784
- Shawnee………………………31,465

Oklahoma’s roadway system of 112,988 total public miles includes: 637 miles of Interstate (non-toll road); 601 miles of toll roads (including Interstate); 19,410 miles of Federally maintained highways; 12,262 miles of State maintained roadways; 61,771 miles of rural local roads; 262 miles of State Park roads; and 16,375 miles of municipal local roads. (Source: Oklahoma Department of Transportation. Planning Division, Current Planning Branch)

Based on information from the Oklahoma Tax Commission there are 4,274,355 registered vehicles in Oklahoma, including 3,169,028 automobiles and 135,936 motorcycles. (Source: Annual Vehicle Registration Report. July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016, Oklahoma Tax Commission, Motor Vehicle Division)

Based on 2016 information from the Oklahoma Department of Public Safety there are 2,655,916 licensed drivers in the state. (Source: Oklahoma Department of Public Safety, Driver License Services Division)

Collaborations are at the heart of OHSO’s mission. The leadership in Oklahoma’s highway safety community recognizes that, standing alone, OHSO’s significant efforts will have little impact on improving the safety of Oklahoma’s roadways. The concerns of OHSO’s highway safety partners are heard and discussed at conferences, workshops and meetings throughout the year. During special emphasis periods, surveys may be sent to appropriate agencies to determine priorities for the coming year. OHSO also considers the results of "rate-the-state" reviews by national organizations such as the Centers for Disease Control and others. The OHSO makes collaboration with partner agencies a top priority by utilizing many of the following participants and data sources.

The Oklahoma Highway Safety Office (OHSO) provides leadership and coordination for Oklahoma’s traffic safety efforts statewide. The OHSO continues to create new partnerships while maintaining support and cooperation with current partners. In this process the OHSO is supported by a variety of traffic safety advocates.

The OHSO’s planning process is a circle with no beginning and no end, and OHSO staff members are at the core of this ongoing process. At any particular point in time, OHSO personnel may be working on data and information from the previous two years, the current year and the next two years. This multi-faceted involvement allows comprehensive understanding of past and current performance and enhances the ability to establish effective and productive targets for future years.

Planning Calendar

OHSO’s planning process is fluid and requires administrative flexibility. The OHSO attempts to address statistically identified problems using proven countermeasures as outlined in the NHTSA publication Countermeasures That Work, while simultaneously seeking out innovative solutions and new partners.
September: Host annual Stakeholder’s Meeting to discuss status of the upcoming year plan and obtain input for future years plans. This time frame is subject to adjustment.

October: Host Project Directors Training Workshop to implement current year grant agreements and contracts and solicit input on future performance measures.

November: Draft prior year Annual Report.

December: Submit prior year Annual Report. Establish preliminary state goals and post for next year’s proposal reference; Post current state goals for traffic safety on website for proposal consideration.

December-January: Open solicitation period for OHSO highway safety proposals; Data analyst prepares Problem Identification for next Fiscal Year planning process.

February: Solicitation period closes and preliminary review of proposals submitted for consideration begins.

March-April: Host annual statewide workshop to discuss issues and future priorities with partners. Set initial performance goals, objectives, and benchmarks. Complete Problem Identification.

May: Host statewide traffic safety forum and elicit comments for consideration in planning of upcoming fiscal year; Notify applicants of proposal selection or non-selection and conduct direct negotiation with selected projects.

May-June: Finalize State goals, develop grant agreements; draft the Highway Safety Plan for the upcoming fiscal year.

June: Submit HSP for upcoming fiscal year.

**Identify the participants in the processes (e.g., highway safety committees, program stakeholders, community and constituent groups).**

**Participants**

Our current list of partners and advocates includes state agencies; state, county and municipal law enforcement agencies; faith-based and diversity groups; health care and safety advocates; colleges and universities; Federal agencies; councils of governments; safety advocacy groups; and minority concern groups, including:

- AAA of Oklahoma
- Alcoholic Beverage Laws Enforcement Commission (ABLE)
- Association of Central Oklahoma Governments (ACOG)
- Association of Ignition Interlock Program Administrators
- Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA)
- Board of Tests for Alcohol and Drug Influence
- Department of Corrections
- Drive Aware Oklahoma
- Federal Highway Administration
- Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration
- Governor’s Impaired Driving Prevention Advisory Council (GIDPAC)
- Green Country Safe Communities
- Indian Nations Council of Governments (INCOG)
- Metro Area Traffic Safety Coalition (Oklahoma City area)
- NHTSA Region 6
- North Central Oklahoma Traffic Safety Coalition
- Oklahoma Advisory Committee for Motorcycle Safety and Education
- Oklahoma Bar Association
- Oklahoma Bureau of Narcotics
- Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services
- Oklahoma Department of Public Safety
- Oklahoma Department of Transportation
- Oklahoma District Attorney’s Council
- Oklahoma Governor’s Office
- Oklahoma Highway Patrol
- Oklahoma Injury Prevention Advisory Committee
OHSO has cultivated excellent working relationships with most of Oklahoma’s established law enforcement agencies since being legislatively created in 1967. In order to conduct effective traffic enforcement programs, we believe these agencies must be governed by an internal set of operational policies. Such policies would include the regulation of seat belt use, equipment purchasing, maintenance and tracking. In addition, we actively encourage our law enforcement partners to regulate police pursuits by adopting policies similar to that developed by the International Association of Chiefs of Police.

OHSO also collaborates on a regular basis with the Oklahoma Department of Transportation, Oklahoma State Department of Health, Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services, and Oklahoma Alcohol Beverage Law Enforcement Commission. Various OHSO staff members attend local safety fairs to provide services for which they are specially trained, such as child passenger safety technician services, and AAA Car-Fit services.

Together, these collaborations build and strengthen the traffic safety network in Oklahoma and multiply the effectiveness of each of the partners in the area of traffic safety.

Collaborations

Impaired Driving Collaborations

GOVERNOR’S IMPAIRED DRIVING PREVENTION ADVISORY COUNCIL (GIDPAC)

In November of 2012, the OHSO requested and received a technical assessment of Oklahoma’s impaired driving program from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). Among the 66 recommendations were two priority recommendations that encouraged the State to pass and implement the proposed legislation establishing a State impaired driving task force and one priority recommendation to engage the Governor in high-profile activities and leadership events in support of the impaired driving program. The task force was designated as the Governor’s Impaired Driving Prevention Advisory Council (GIDPAC). On February 5, 2013, Executive Order 2013-03 was signed by Gov. Fallin, thus officially creating the GIDPAC. This Executive Order was reissued on March 13, 2015. This task force was charged with evaluating and making recommendations concerning ways to address impaired driving issues, to share information, explore options and close potential loopholes in the circle of impaired driving legislation, enforcement, prosecution, adjudication and treatment. The OHSO collaborated with partner agencies on the creation of the task force and solicited membership recommendations from the following entities:

- Alcoholic Beverage Laws Enforcement Commission
- Oklahoma Department of Corrections
- Oklahoma Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs
- Oklahoma Department of Public Safety
- Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services
- Oklahoma District Attorney’s Council
- Oklahoma State Legislature
- Supreme Court of the state of Oklahoma
- Stop D.U.I. Oklahoma, a citizen activist organization

Occupant Protection Collaborations

Unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities have decreased in Oklahoma significantly over the past few years, from 282 in 2012 to 225 in 2016. The observed statewide seat belt use rate reported in the 2017 survey was 86.9%, which is an all-time high since passage of the primary law in 1997.
In addition to our regular law enforcement partners, partnerships created or expanded on occupant protection issues include:

- Bethany Children’s Hospital
- Children’s Center Rehabilitation Hospital
- Children’s Hospital at OU Medical Center
- Oklahoma Dept. of Human Services-Child Care Licensing Division
- Safe Kids Oklahoma, Inc. (Bethany Children’s Hospital)
- Safe Kids Oklahoma City Metro
- Safe Kids Tulsa Area (St. Francis Hospital)
- State Farm Insurance
- United Way of Oklahoma

The Oklahoma Child Restraint Law was amended effective November 1, 2015, to require that children under the age of 8 years and less than 4’9” tall must be properly restrained in a car seat or booster seat and a child under the age of 2 must be in a rear facing seat unless exceeding height/weight limits of the seat. Oklahoma’s recertification rate for CPS technicians was 57.7% in calendar year 2016, compared to the national average of 56.2%. The 2016 Oklahoma Statewide Child Restraint Survey reported the state child seat use rate was 92%, compared to 90.7% in the 2015 survey. However, according to Safe Kids Worldwide studies, a vast majority of parents or caregivers still continue to struggle with proper installation and use of car seats.

Discussions were conducted with OHSO personnel, partners and grantees for input into efforts that could potentially assist the state in increasing seat belt compliance rates. The OHSO also consulted with representatives of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Southern Plains Tribal Technical Assistance Program, Tribal Chiefs of Police, the University of Central Oklahoma, Safe Kids Coalition, the Center for Disease Control, state and local law enforcement and state injury prevention specialists. Efforts to increase compliance rates will focus on effective countermeasures, including enforcement of current occupant protection laws, media, education, training, and outreach to target groups including unrestrained nighttime drivers and Native Americans.

The OHSO hosted a NHTSA Occupant Protection Assessment in April of 2017. While the recommendations from this assessment are still under review, it is likely that OHSO will strive to implement as many of the several recommendations as possible to improve our occupant protection efforts and decrease the number of unrestrained fatalities.

**Motorcycle Safety Education Collaborations**

With the continuing increase of motorcycle registrations in Oklahoma, the need for motorcycle safety classes continues to grow. Although the number of safety training courses in the state has increased in recent years, there continues to be an insufficient number of MSF-approved classes to train the individuals who have expressed an interest in participating. OHSO will continue to actively support these programs with the goal that every rider should have the opportunity for training.

The Oklahoma Advisory Committee for Motorcycle Safety and Education is a statutory committee comprised of representatives from various groups, including: private sector rider education schools, licensed safety course operators, Oklahoma Insurance Department, certified instructors and OHSO. There are seven voting members on the committee, six of whom are appointed by the Commissioner of Public Safety and one of whom is appointed by the State Insurance Commissioner.

The motorcycle safety committee meets on a monthly basis to discuss and address issues affecting motorcycle safety. The committee also reviews all motorcycle-related grant applications received by the OHSO for the ensuing project year and makes recommendations to the OHSO as to applicability, relevance and funding.

Partnerships created or expanded on motorcycle safety issues and training include:

- Abate Charitable Services
- Broken Arrow PD
- Department of Public Safety Driver License Division
- Edmond PD
- Great Plains Technology Center
- OSU-OKC
- Southern Oklahoma Technology Center
- Southwest Technology Center
- Tulsa PD
- Western Technology Center

**Corridor Projects**
The Oklahoma Highway Safety Corridor Project program is a cooperative effort involving the OHSO, DPS, OHP, ODOT, and various local governmental agencies. It is designed to address specific traffic safety issues in areas that reflect a pattern of crashes based upon both a short-term and long-term review of crash data. This collaborative effort was re-evaluated in 2016 and a new approach designed to make better use of data analysis and personnel, focusing on more intensive “short-term” enforcement periods in identified locations utilizing a number of additional resources with an immediate evaluation of the results to follow.

Annual OHSO Stakeholders Meeting

The OHSO hosts an annual planning meeting of various partner organizations, including senior representatives of OHSO, FHWA, FMCSA, Safe Kids Oklahoma, AAA Oklahoma, ODOT, Oklahoma Association of Chiefs of Police, and others. This group reviews the current Highway Safety Plan, discusses highway safety issues and solutions, legislation, and any subject related to highway safety for the current as well as future years.

OHSO Staff Planning Sessions

After the annual OHSO Problem Identification for the upcoming fiscal year is completed in January, the OHSO conducts strategic planning sessions with the OHSO staff (full staff: Director, Chief of Plans, Chief of Programs, Program Managers, Data Analyst, and resource and administrative staff personnel) to identify goals and performance measures for the upcoming Highway Safety Plan. While regular staff meetings are held monthly and often times involve discussion on past, current and future safety initiatives, the OHSO also conducts specific planning sessions which build on: (1) previous strategic planning sessions held during the current or preceding year(s) affecting the upcoming OHSO Strategic Plan; (2) problem identification based on data analysis provided by the various data sources listed above; (3) data trends as identified in the Oklahoma Crash Facts Book or other sources; and (4) Results from the statewide seat belt survey and attitude survey. These data sources are used to determine next years’ performance measure targets, which are based on a 5 year moving average. The OHSO staff also considers potential funding sources which can be utilized in meeting these targets.

Solicitation and Review of Grant Proposals

The Oklahoma Highway Safety Office staff members meet several times during the selection process, normally occurring from February 1 through March 31, to discuss and score applications. Evaluation criteria include such elements as: state and local problem identification, project goals and objectives, project description, evaluation, performance measures, proposed evidence-based strategies, cost assumption, and budget details. Past performance and achievement of project targets and milestones are strongly considered in the selection process. Additionally, the application is reviewed to determine if the project is innovative, if there is a local match, if there is active community involvement, etc. We do not rely solely on unsolicited grant applications, but use a proactive process of identifying areas of the state where traffic safety problems exist, such as low seat belt use rates, high alcohol-use crash involvement or higher-than-average collision rates, and areas which could benefit from additional enforcement, education or awareness programs. Potential partner organizations in these areas are solicited to partner with OHSO to design programs to address specific causal factors contributing to the identified problem.

Annual Traffic Safety Forum

The OHSO annually hosts the OHSO Traffic Safety Forum to provide updated and pertinent information to, as well as solicit input from, our various partners and interested individuals/groups throughout the state. This forum provides an opportunity for the attendees to hear experts in various fields of traffic safety, including general plenary sessions as well as a number of breakout sessions on specific traffic safety topics. In some years, a main topical emphasis may be identified. The primary focus for the April 2016 forum was more general in nature, addressing not only impaired driving prevention, traffic records improvements and pedestrian safety programs, but also a number of other “hot” topics, such as nighttime seat belt enforcement and distracted driving initiatives. After the conclusion of the 2-day event, each participant is asked to submit an evaluation, including recommendations for consideration in formulation of the State Highway Safety Plan as well as topics for future forums.

Oklahoma Traffic Records Council (TRCC)

Another component of the planning process is the OHSO’s active membership in the Oklahoma Traffic Records Council, an organization which is vital to coordinated traffic safety-related discussions and improvement efforts. Participants include State agencies such as the Oklahoma Department of Transportation, Oklahoma Department of Public Safety, Oklahoma Tax Commission and the Oklahoma State Department of Health. Other organizations, including the Oklahoma City and Tulsa Police Departments, Federal Highway Administration, and the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration are also represented. The Traffic Records Council provides a diverse and important opportunity for communication, information sharing and planning efforts directly related to improving traffic records collection and reporting in the state.

Boards and Committees

Various OHSO staff members hold official positions on numerous boards, committees, and groups related to traffic safety. The committees on which OHSO staff members serve include the following:

- Drive Aware Oklahoma
- Governor’s Impaired Driving Prevention Advisory Council (GIDPAC)
- Governor’s Highway Safety Association
- National Association of Women Highway Safety Leaders
- Oklahoma Advisory Committee for Motorcycle Safety and Education
- Oklahoma Injury Prevention Advisory Committee
- Oklahoma Long Range Transportation Plan Committee
- Oklahoma Prevention Leadership Collaborative
- Oklahoma Statewide Collision Reduction Goals Planning Committee
Enter description and analysis of the State’s overall highway safety problems as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets, selecting countermeasure strategies, and developing projects.

Statistical Analysis in setting program priorities

A comprehensive and detailed review of all available traffic safety related data is an integral part of the planning process to identify and prioritize those program areas and locales where the need is greatest, whether it be on a state, county or local basis. The OHSO Data Analyst prepares comprehensive Problem Identification analyses from various data sources. Following analysis of the data, the Data Analyst provides a comparative report of present and past traffic related statistics, including a ranking of counties and cities over 5,000 in population to aid in identifying those locales which have experienced a significant number or increase in crash rates. This allows OHSO to better plan to provide programs and services in those areas where the need is greatest. The Problem Identification data are also used for internal processes, such as application evaluation and project selection. Annual goals are established using the latest FARS data (or State data in the absence of specific FARS data).

The Oklahoma Department of Public Safety maintains a database of crash records as reported by law enforcement agencies throughout Oklahoma. This database includes crashes resulting in injury, death or property damage of $500 or more. Non-traffic crashes occurring on private or public property are also included in this database, but are not used in analysis. Data elements include statistics on vehicles, roadways, crash circumstances, drivers, passengers, pedestrians, motorcyclists and bicyclists involved in these crashes.

The OHSO Data Analyst also prepares an annual Crash Facts publication analyzing collisions for the most recent and past several years of state data. This publication is made available to the public on the OHSO website Data section at [ohso.ok.gov](http://ohso.ok.gov) (and incorporated by reference in several sections within the Highway Safety Plan). Within the various Crash Facts documents, traffic collision data are organized into a variety of classifications, i.e. KABs (Fatalities, Incapacitating Injuries, Non-Incapacitating Injuries), Fatal (both number of fatalities and number of fatal crashes), Unsafe Speed, Alcohol/Drug-Related, Motorcyclist, Pedestrian, and Bicyclist. An in-depth analysis is done to determine primary causation, location, contributing factors, vehicle type, time of day, day of week, age, gender, etc. This information is applied to each Oklahoma county, as well as each Oklahoma city having a population of 5,000 or more. While this analysis allows for in-depth planning and program countermeasures, FARS data are the primary source used to define the state’s targets in the annual Highway Safety Plan.

Each classification of traffic collisions is analyzed in order to establish priorities for program implementation and include:

• Change in collisions, fatalities, and injuries from the previous year

• 5-year trend of collisions, fatalities, and injuries

• Actual numbers of collisions, fatalities, and injuries

• Comparison of rural versus urban collisions

• Causes of collisions

• Comparison of state, county and city fatal and injury collision rates per VMT and actual collision numbers

Data and other information are reviewed, discussed, analyzed and evaluated among the various agencies to pinpoint specific traffic safety problems. Within this process, fatal and serious injury crashes on Oklahoma’s roadways are identified as primary traffic safety considerations.

OHSO recommends specific countermeasures that can be implemented to promote highway safety in an effort to reduce the incidence and severity of traffic crashes in the State. FARS data and data obtained from the Oklahoma Department of Public Safety database are compared to determine omissions and inaccuracies to improve the data quality.

Population data are derived from the latest census information collected by the U.S. Census Bureau and published by the Oklahoma Department of Commerce. Population data are evaluated each year, based on the latest census, and are considered in the development of the Problem Identification. Representatives from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) Region 6 offer the OHSO regular input for consideration, and the OHSO participates in strategic planning efforts with Regional officials.

Selection of priority program areas
After review of all the recommendations and analyses listed above, the OHSO administrative staff consolidate the recommendations, identify all available funding resources, and select those program areas and projects for inclusion in the HSP, based on identification of those areas of greatest need and available funding resources.

**Problem Identification Analysis & Summary**

- The number of fatalities increased from 590 in 2015 to 628 in 2016.
- In 2016 72% of the motorcycle fatalities were unhelmeted (64 of 88).
- In 2016 Drivers or motorcycle operators with a BAC of .08 or more were involved in 24% of the fatal crashes.
- Pedestrian fatalities continued to rise, with 91 pedestrian killed in car crashes in 2016.
- The three listed primary contributing factors in fatality and injury crashes (KAB) in Oklahoma in 2016 were the same as the prior year: Failure to Yield (11.1%), Unsafe Speed (10.1%) and Inattention (8.5%).
- Drug-related fatality crashes continue to rise, with 134 drug-related fatal crashes occurring in 2016.
- The seat belt use rate continues to remain relatively level at 86.6% in 2016 with little improvement over the last 6 year period 2010-2015.
- In 2016 Unsafe Speed was listed as a contributing factor in 27% of the fatality crashes in Oklahoma.

**Evidence-based Traffic Safety Enforcement Program**

The evidence-based traffic enforcement program instituted by the OHSO and its various law enforcement partners endeavors to use high-visibility enforcement practices supported by high-visibility media campaigns to decrease and/or prevent motor vehicle crashes resulting from driver error in those locations deemed at risk for such incidents. At a minimum, the OHSO will provide for data analyses of crashes, including crash injury rates, causes and locations to identify those areas of highest risk to allow for better deployment of available resources and continual monitoring of the effective use of those resources. The OHSO also plans evidence-based high-visibility strategies to support state and national mobilization efforts including "Click It or Ticket" and "Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over" efforts.

The major portion of traffic safety funding is used for traffic safety directed grants to local, county and state law enforcement agencies. This grants primarily pay for overtime activities by law enforcement to reduce the incidence of speeding/aggressive driving, driving under the influence of alcohol/drugs, failure to use vehicle restraints, and other types of violations which primarily contribute to crashes. Organizations eligible for enforcement grants include municipal police departments, county sheriff offices and state law enforcement agencies. All grant proposals, whether through the normal request for proposal process or elicited by the OHSO, must include a problem identification, project description based on evidence-based strategies, objectives and milestones, budget detail and evaluation criteria. OHSO has developed policies and procedures to ensure that grant funds are utilized in an efficient and effective manner in support of state goals and objectives.

**Participants and Data Sources**

Following the development of problem identification data, the OHSO conducts strategic planning sessions with its entire staff to identify goals and performance objectives for the upcoming Highway Safety Plan. During these sessions, OHSO staff members evaluate the most recent collision information from the Oklahoma Crash Facts Book, FARS data, Attitude and Awareness Survey, as well as the performance results from prior years and rank our problems and prioritize strategies.

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures as well as Railroad/Highway Crossings collision data, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets. For the three performance measures common to the SHSP, HSP and HSIP, an additional evaluation analysis was performed by the University of Central Oklahoma to further assist in strategic planning utilizing additional tools such as Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) trend methodology and analysis of data using a longer past data collection period.

Preliminary goals are distributed to our partner agencies for review and input. Strategic planning partner agencies include: ODOT, DPS, OHP, OHP Troop S, State Health Department and various others as necessary. OHSO considers numerous sources of guidance during this process, including but not limited to:

- Oklahoma’s Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP)
- Oklahoma’s Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)
- Oklahoma’s Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan (CMVSP)
The statewide problem identification process and data used in the development of the state Highway Safety Plan have been described earlier in the Problem Identification section and include: Oklahoma Crash Facts, Motor Vehicle Crash Reports, Motor Vehicle Citation Data, Driver License Records, Motor Vehicle Registration Records, Breath or Blood Test Analysis Reports, Attitude and Awareness Survey, Occupant Protection Survey, FARS, DPS Enforcement Planner, ODOT highway mileage and crash rates, and motorcycle training statistics.

All law enforcement grants are required to implement evidence-based enforcement strategies as outlined in NHTSA Countermeasures That Work, the AASHTO Strategic Highway Safety Plan, NCHRP Report 662, Oklahoma GIDPAC Reducing Impaired Driving Traffic Crashes in Oklahoma State Plan, or other such credible research based reviews and reports. All of the projects/programs identified in the Oklahoma FY2019 Highway Safety Plan which include a traffic enforcement component together collectively constitute a data-driven traffic safety enforcement program.

Enter discussion of the methods for project selection (e.g., constituent outreach, public meetings, solicitation of proposals).

Application Reviews and Program Manager Recommendations

During the application review process, each project application is reviewed by the OHSO Program Managers, both individually and as a group (review Round 1). During this process, a variety of factors are considered, including statistical analysis by the Data Analyst ranking the problem ID, review of local data supplied by the applicant, past performance and current trends, population density and available resources. Based upon this review, the proposals are scored separately by the Program Managers and ranked according to established criteria for review by Administrative level personnel consisting of the Director, Chief of Resources, Chief of Plans and Chief of Programs. After the initial review by the Program Managers and scoring of the proposals, the Administrative level personnel meet as a group (review Round 2) and review each proposal based on the score and recommendations from Round 1. During this round, final proposal selection is determined based on scores and recommendations from Round 1, confirmation of problem identification, and available funding and resources.

Project Selection and Development

After the Problem Identification process as described on page 18 has been completed, the OHSO staff reviews and selects projects for inclusion in the HSP for the upcoming year. Numerous applicants for traffic safety grants do, and must, use statistical problem identification to support their applications. The concerns of highway safety partners are heard and discussed at conferences, workshops and meetings. During special emphasis periods, surveys may be sent to appropriate agencies to ascertain priorities for the coming year.

The OHSO may approach potential applicants about partnering in a project, or may receive unsolicited project proposals. Applications undergo a thorough evaluation process. The process is defined in the OHSO Policy and Procedure, and includes both subjective and objective criteria. After multiple rounds of evaluation, applications are scored, and then ranked. Projects addressing areas of the state previously identified as high-risk areas through the problem identification process are given preferential consideration in the scoring of the project applications submitted. Applications are then selected for funding according to their ranking. Special consideration is given to those projects that qualify under local benefit as well as projects specifically identified as meeting special funding considerations (i.e., Section 405 funds). Evaluation criteria include such elements as: problem identification, project goals and objectives, project description, evaluation budget and past performance. Additionally, the application is reviewed to determine if the project is innovative, if there is "local match", if there is community involvement, etc.

Sustained enforcement of statutes addressing impaired driving, occupant protection, and speed and aggressive driving is a critical component of the OHSO Highway Safety Plan. Participating law enforcement agencies will not only take part in high-visibility enforcement programs throughout the year, but will incorporate activities designed to create an environment of sustained enforcement. These efforts will be supported by a public information campaign which includes both paid and earned media components.

Projects are continuously monitored throughout the year as specified in the OHSO Policy & Procedures Manual. Progress reports are submitted monthly by subrecipients, and quarterly monitoring visits are conducted by Program Managers to review and evaluate project performance and compliance with State and Federal regulation. In addition to interaction with our partners (as identified in various other sections within the plan), monthly staff meetings are held to review and discuss current status and performance of projects as well as recommended updates or revisions to the HSP.

Solicitation, Review and Selection of Grant Proposals

The statewide problem identification process used in the development of the Highway Safety Plan has been previously described. Once that process is completed, various strategies are identified and reviewed by the OHSO staff for applicability and potential impact in each designated program area. Continuing data analyses are also conducted to further identify high-risk populations that may require additional or alternative responses to address traffic safety concerns. Key results summarizing the problems identified and recommended countermeasures are presented in the individual program area sections of the HSP.

Organizations which have registered with the OHSO to receive solicitations for projects are notified of the application availability dates for the upcoming project year. Agencies recognized in the Problem Identification process as potential partners are contacted and encouraged to consider submission of a grant proposal.

The solicitation period for submission of highway safety grant applications normally runs from December 15 to January 31 of the year preceding the year of the award. Applications deemed eligible for consideration and requiring macro-level analysis of the program area will be directed to the OHSO Data Analyst. After individual analysis is complete, the Data Analyst forwards the applications, via E-grants, to all Program Managers for their analysis and scoring. After review by the Program Managers, the Chief of Plans & Programs (CPP) will schedule a meeting with the Director and Chief of Resources for final review and funding consideration of all applications received in order to establish a final ranking list for the upcoming fiscal year's Highway Safety Plan. Consideration is given to the application rankings, Program Manager recommendations, program priorities and funding options. Once an application has been approved for potential inclusion in the upcoming HSP, a Program Manager is assigned to meet with the potential subrecipient to discuss the project in detail prior to a formal agreement being initiated.
To ensure enforcement resources are deployed effectively, subrecipients are directed to implement evidence-based strategies. The OHSO uses the NHTSA publication *Countermeasures That Work: A Highway Safety Countermeasure Guide for State Highway Safety Offices* as well as a number of other reference publications, such as the AASHTO Strategic Planning Guide among others, to aid in the development of evidence-based enforcement strategies. The HSP narrative outlines Oklahoma's broad approach to address key problem enforcement areas and guides local jurisdictions to examine local data, or utilize the data provided in the Oklahoma Crash Facts Book to develop appropriate countermeasures for their problem areas. Examples of proven strategies include targeted high-visibility enforcement focusing on specific violations such as impaired driving, failure to wear seatbelts, and speeding. Additional strategies may include use of integrated enforcement during specific times of the day or night when more crashes are occurring; day/night impaired driving checkpoints; short-term high-visibility enforcement within identified safety corridors; and increased nighttime seat belt enforcement activities. High-visibility enforcement, including participation in national seat belt and impaired driving mobilizations, is required of all law enforcement grants. The Data-Driven Approach to Crime and Traffic Safety (DDACTS) model and other strategies that use data to identify high-crash locations are also proven strategies. By implementing strategies that research has shown to be effective, more efficient use is made of the available resources and the success of enforcement efforts is enhanced.

**Continuous Monitoring**

Continuous monitoring of the implementation of enforcement programs is another important element of the enforcement program. Enforcement agencies' deployment strategies are continuously evaluated and adjusted to accommodate shifts and changes in their local highway safety problems. Several methods are used to follow-up on programs funded by the OHSO. The law enforcement agencies receiving grant funding are required to report on the progress of their programs in their activity reports. These reports must include data on the activities conducted, such as the area and times worked and the number of contact reports issued. Funding decisions for subsequent years are based on the effectiveness of the implementation and performance of the enforcement project.

The OHSO employs Program Managers who oversee and manage law enforcement grants. In addition, the OHSO provides funding for Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL's) who provide field coordination within their assigned regions.

**Evidence-based Strategies**

Evidence-based enforcement is the use of research to create, sustain, or change enforcement strategies to increase their effectiveness; using what is proven to work rather than relying on anecdotal information, preconceptions or local customs. A strong evidence based enforcement program is a key to reducing fatalities, injuries and crashes in the state of Oklahoma. To support this enforcement program, the OHSO has developed policies and procedures to ensure that enforcement resources are used efficiently and effectively to support the goals of the State's highway safety program. Oklahoma incorporates an evidence-based data driven approach in its statewide enforcement program. The following have been identified by the OHSO as recognized evidence-based strategies which may or will be utilized by various state or local agencies in conducting traffic safety related efforts. Those agencies selected for inclusion in the Highway Safety Plan will use one or more of these strategies in the execution of the project, as described in their grant agreement Project Description. All references to Countermeasures That Work (CTW) are from the 8th edition.

**Alcohol/Drug Impaired Driving:**

- Administrative License Revocation or Suspension (CTW 1.1.1., page 1-12)
- Open Containers (CTW 1.1.2, page 1-14)
- High-BAC Sanctions (CTW 1.1.3, page 1-15)
- BAC Test Refusal Penalties (CTW 1.1.4, page 1-17)
- Alcohol-Impaired Driving Law Review (CTW 1.1.5, page 1-19)
- Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints (CTW 1.2.1, page 1-21; *Publicized Sobriety Checkpoint Programs-A Community Guide* from the Community Guide Branch, Epidemiology and Analysis Program Office, Office of Surveillance, Epidemiology, and Laboratory Services (Pitan, Chattopadhyay, Elder), 2010; Challenging College Alcohol Abuse (SAMHSA National Registry of Evidence-based Programs and Practices, 2007)
- High Visibility Saturation Patrols (CTW 1.2.2, page 1-24)
- Preliminary Breath Test Devices (CTW 1.2.3, page 1-25)
- Passive Alcohol Sensors (CTW 1.2.4, page 1-26)
- Integrated Enforcement (CTW 1.2.5, page 1-27)
- DWI Courts – use of TSRP and JOL (CTW 1.3.1, page 1-29)
- Sanctions (CTW 1.3.4, page 1-34)
- Alcohol Problem Assessment and Treatment (CTW 1.4.1, page 1-36)
- Alcohol Ignition Interlocks (CTW 1.4.2, page 1-38)
- DUI Offender Monitoring (CTW 1.4.4, page 1-43)
- Alcohol Screening and Brief Interventions (CTW 1.5.1, page 1-47)
- Mass Media Campaigns (CTW 1.5.2, page 1-49; CDC11 Guide to Community Preventive Services, 2010)
- Responsible Beverage Service (CTW 1.5.3, page 1-51)
- Designated Drivers (CTW 1.5.5, page 1-54)
- Minimum Legal Drinking Age 21 Laws (CTW 1.6.1, page 1-57)
- Zero-Tolerance Law Enforcement (CTW 1.6.2, page 1-59)
- Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks (CTW 1.6.3, page 1-61)
- Other Minimum Legal Drinking Age Law Enforcement (CTW 1.6.4, page 1-62)
- Enforcement of Drug-Impaired Driving (CTW 1.7.1, page 1-69)
- Drug-Impaired Driving Laws (CTW 1.7.2, page 1-71)

**Seat Belts and Child Restraints:**
- State Primary Enforcement Seat Belt Use Laws (CTW 2.1.1, page 2-10)
- Short-term High-Visibility Enforcement (CTW 2.2.1, page 2-15)
- Combined Seat Belt and Alcohol Enforcement, Nighttime (CTW 2.2.2, page 1-17)
- Sustained Enforcement (CTW 2.2.3, page 2-19)
- Communications and Outreach (CTW 2.3.1, page 2-20)
- Communications and Outreach Strategies for Low-Belt-Use Groups (CTW 2.3.3, page 2-21)
- Short-Term High-Visibility Child Restraint/Booster Law Enforcement (CTW 2.5.1, page 2-26)
- Communications & Outreach Strategies for Child Restraint and Booster Seat Use (CTW 2.6.2, page 2-30)

**Speeding and Speed Management:**
- Speed Limits (CTW 3.1.1, page 3-16)
- Aggressive Driving and Other Laws (CTW 3.1.2, page 3-18)
- High-Visibility Enforcement (CTW 3.2.2, page 3-24)
- Other Enforcement Methods (CTW 3.2.3, page 3-28)
- Penalty Types and Levels (CTW 3.3.1, page 3-32)
- Communications and Outreach Supporting Enforcement (CTW 3.4.1, page 3-38)

**Distracted Driving:**
- Graduated Driver Licensing Requirements for Beginning Drivers (CTW 4.1.1, page 4-9)
- Cell Phone and Text Messaging Laws (CTW 4.1.2, page 4-11)
- Communications and Outreach on Distracted Driving (CTW 4.2.2, page 4-20)

**Motorcycle Safety:**
- Motorcycle Rider Licensing and Training (CTW 5.2.2, page 5-20)
- Motorcycle Helmet Use Promotion Programs (CTW 5.1.2, page 5-10)
- Alcohol-Impaired Motorcyclists: Communication & Outreach (CTW 5.2.2, page 5-15)
- Communications and Outreach: Conspicuity and Protective Clothing (CTW 5.4.1, page 5-23)
- Communications and Outreach: Other Driver Awareness of Motorcyclists (CTW 5.4.2, page 5-25)

**Young Drivers:**
- Graduated Driver Licensing (CTW 6.1.1, page 6-6)
- GDL Intermediate License Nighttime Restrictions (CTW 6.1.3, page 6-12)
- GDL Intermediate License Passenger Restrictions (CTW 6.1.4, page 6-13)
- GDL Cell Phone Restrictions (CTW 6.1.5, page 6-15)
- GDL Intermediate License Violation Penalties (CTW 6.1.7, page 6-18)

**Older Drivers:**
- Referring Older Drivers to Licensing Agencies (CTW 7.2.2, page 7-15)
- License Restrictions (CTW 7.2.3, page 7-18)
- Medical Advisory Boards (CTW 7.2.4, page 7-20)
- Law Enforcement Roles (CTW 7.3.1, page 7-25)
Enter list of information and data sources consulted.

Data Sources

**Crash Facts Book:** Each year, the OHSO Data Analyst prepares a Crash Facts publication and a Problem Identification based on at least five years of state crash data and an estimation, based on preliminary data, of the immediate past year’s crash data in order to determine the nature of our traffic safety challenges. The Crash Facts Book provides an in-depth analysis of crash numbers, rates and locations, broken down by a variety of specific causational factors for each county in Oklahoma, in order to pinpoint the areas of highest risk. The annual Crash Facts Book and Problem Identification data are also used by many highway safety professionals across the state to evaluate traffic safety priority areas and propose potential solutions. Numerous applicants for traffic safety grants do, and must, use statistical problem identification to support their applications.

**Motor Vehicle Crash Reports:** The Oklahoma Department of Public Safety Records Management Division collects fatality and other crash reports in both electronic and paper form. The data from the crash reports is provided to the OHSO Data Analyst for analysis using SPSS software.

**Motor Vehicle Citation Data:** The Oklahoma Department of Public Safety Records Management Division collects citation data from city and county courts in both electronic and paper form. The citation data is provided to the OHSO Data Analyst for analysis using SPSS software.

**Driver License Records:** The Oklahoma Department of Public Safety Driver License Division collects and provides data relative to Oklahoma Driver Licenses for analysis by the OHSO Data Analyst in the preparation of the Crash Facts Book and Problem Identification.

**Breath Test Analysis Reports:** The Oklahoma Board of Tests for Alcohol and Drug Influence provides breath alcohol analysis results data on drivers arrested for driving under the influence. This information is used by the OHSO Data Analyst in compilation of crash data statistics.

**Attitude and Awareness Survey:** OHSO has conducted an Attitude and Awareness Survey in accordance with NHTSA regulation since 2010 (see Attachment). The results of the survey are considered in establishing the priorities based on the problem identification process. A distracted driving question was added to the survey in 2016 after passage of a new texting law on November 1, 2015.

**Occupant Protection Surveys:** The University of Central Oklahoma conducts the State’s annual occupant protection and child restraint survey using NHTSA’s approved methods to determine the State’s use rate. Due to the lack of enough data to make the helmet use survey statistically significant it was discontinued in 2017 as was a separate pickup truck survey. Historical data have been used to establish future benchmarks. Results from the 2018 survey will be discussed in the FY2018 Annual Report.

**Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS):** For consistency, the most recently available FARS data (currently CY2016) are used to establish OHSO’s performance measures. That information, supplemented by DPS data for serious injury and Oklahoma Department of Transportation vehicle mileage data, is used to set future goals and evaluate past progress. DPS and FARS data are regularly evaluated for accuracy and if discrepancies are found, research is conducted to determine the cause and necessary corrections are made.

**Department of Transportation Crash Rates:** The Oklahoma Department of Transportation provides vehicle miles traveled for the entire state and each county within Oklahoma. Population data are obtained from the Oklahoma Department of Commerce. Crash, fatality, and injury rates for counties and for the state are computed using vehicle miles traveled and population.

**Department of Public Safety Motorcycle Quality Assurance Program:** The Driver License Division of the Department of Public Safety is charged with oversight of program certification for all motorcycle training programs in the state of Oklahoma. This division provides data related to the number of MSF motorcycle training courses conducted and the number of students trained, as well as the results of course evaluations and audits conducted.

**Department of Public Safety Enforcement Planner:** The Department of Public Safety Futures, Capabilities and Plans division utilizes a full time Enforcement Planner to assimilate data from a number of the other sources listed to create nearly real-time data analysis, such as traffic crash patterns and heat maps, to assist the OHSO as well as the OHP and other state law enforcement agencies in their problem identification efforts.

**University of Central Oklahoma Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics:** The UCO Mathematics and Statistics Department evaluates the 5 year rolling average and actual statistics provided and performs an evaluation based on several scenarios and analyses methods to provide a theoretical basis to consider for any adjustments outside the linear trend line for the rolling average.

Enter description of the outcomes from the coordination of the Highway Safety Plan (HSP), data collection, and information systems with the State Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP).
The Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) Coordination process ensures that the SHSP, the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP), and the state Highway Safety Plan (HSP), as well as the Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Plan (CMVSP) contain three core performance measures and targets in common, those being: 1) number of fatalities, 2) number of fatalities per 100 million vehicle mile traveled (VMT), and number of serious injuries. Active participation in the development of the state Strategic Highway Safety Plan and state Highway Safety Plan (previously the Highway Safety Performance Plan) allows for integration and coordination of key strategies for improving collaborative efforts in addressing these highway safety countermeasures. The Strategic Highway Safety Plan was first developed in 2007 and the latest revision is the 2nd Edition January 22, 2015. Participants in the planning process include the Oklahoma Department of Transportation (as the lead agency), Oklahoma Highway Safety Office, Federal Highway Administration, motor carrier safety agencies, Department of Public Safety, Oklahoma Highway Patrol, Oklahoma State Department of Health, Oklahoma Municipal League, several metropolitan planning organizations, local law enforcement agencies, University of Oklahoma, Indian Health Service, Oklahoma Turnpike Authority, county engineers and officials, and numerous advocacy groups. This coordination ensures that the Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP), the Highway Safety Plan (HSP) and the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) contain common performance measures for the number of fatalities, number of fatalities per VMT (statewide) and number of serious injuries. These are directly correlated within the SHSP by reference to the strategy and performance measure in the OHSO HSP by name and page number. Several coordination meetings are held during the late fall and early spring preceding the upcoming Federal Fiscal Year to conduct plan revisions and target setting. The OHSO also communicates on a regular basis with tribal planning organizations on potential projects with Native American groups or tribes to involve them in planning and to promote cooperation between those organizations and the local agencies receiving grants from the Highway Safety Office. The OHSO staff regularly briefs groups and/or participates in meetings through Safe Communities coalitions, highway safety advocacy groups and others. The OHSO’s Law Enforcement and/or Impaired Driving Liaisons also meet with statewide local law enforcement personnel on a regular basis. These cooperative efforts allow for effective information sharing, target planning and performance evaluation.

### 3 Performance report

Open each performance measure listed below or click Add New to create additional non-core performance measures to provide a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6) Number of speeding-related fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-8) Number of unhelmeted motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-9) Number of drivers age 20 or younger involved in fatal crashes (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-10) Number of pedestrian fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-11) Number of bicyclist fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-1) Observed seat belt use for passenger vehicles, front seat outboard occupants (survey)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Fatalities/VMT (State)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Fatalities/VMT (State)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of drug-related fatalities (State)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distracted driving-related KAB crashes (State)</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driver Database Development</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase number of mappable city collisions in SAFE-T</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve timeliness of crash data collection for analysis in the PARIS system</td>
<td>Not Met</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Target [C-1]: To limit a projected increase in the number of traffic fatalities from 643 in 2015 to 691 in 2018.

Results: As of May 1, 2018, there were 561 reported traffic fatalities. If the current trend were to continue it seems likely that Oklahoma will not meet its target of no more than 691 fatalities. Both the number of crashes and number of fatalities are ahead of pace compared to this same time last year. Efforts to address a reduction in traffic fatalities continue as outlined in the FY2018 HSP.

C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Target (C-2): To decrease the number of Serious Injuries in traffic crashes from 14,266 in 2015 to 14,083 in 2018.

Results: As of June 1, 2018, there were 4,156 serious injuries (A + B) reported, compared to 4,671 around this same time last year. Based on this we would anticipate that this target will be met, with serious injuries at or below the target. Efforts to address a reduction in traffic fatalities and injuries continue as outlined in the FY2018 HSP.

NOTE: Beginning with the FY2019 HSP, the State of Oklahoma will change its definition of serious injury from A+B (based on the current KABCO scale definitions used) to type A only. The definition of serious injury will be revised based on the definition as listed in the MMUCC version 4 and 5.

C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Target [C-3]: To limit a projected increase in the Total Fatalities per 100M VMT rate from 1.35 in 2015 to 1.41 in 2018.

Results: There is no VMT data available for either 2017 or 2018 upon which to base a conclusion as to progress in meeting this performance measure.

C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Target [C-4]: To limit a projected increase in the number of unrestrained occupant fatalities (all seating positions) from 218 in 2015 to 224 in 2018.

Results: As of June 1, 2018 there have been 64 unrestrained occupant fatalities reported, compared to 103 at this time last year. While this may seem to indicate major improvement, timely submission of crash data still varies greatly since we do not have a fully electronic crash report submission statewide as of yet, however, these results are encouraging. Activities to address occupant protection continue as outlined in the FY2018 HSP.

C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.
Target [C-5]: To decrease the number of fatalities involving a driver/operator .08 or more BAC from 170 in 2015 to 151 in 2018.

Results: Oklahoma preliminary data does not track the specific BAC measurement, but only indication as to whether there was alcohol-involved. Currently, there are 29 “alcohol-involved” fatalities reported compared to 44 at this time last year. Using that as a baseline, indications are that the 2018 target will be met. Activities to address impaired driving prevention continue as outlined in the FY2018 HSP.

C-6) Number of speeding-related fatalities (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Target [C-6]: To decrease the number of speed-related fatalities by 10%, from 171 in 2015 to 153 in 2018 (FARS data)

Results: Oklahoma data currently shows 49 reported speed-related fatalities, compared to 41 at this point last year. Preliminary Oklahoma data for 2017 shows 131 compared to 176 reported by FARS for 2016. The use of preliminary Oklahoma data is not an accurate gauge for this performance measure as we do not calculate “speed-related” in the same manner as FARS does - using imputed data - often times resulting in the Oklahoma data being smaller than the FARS data. At this point, it is unclear whether we will meet this target. Activities to address speed abatement continue as outlined in the FY2018 HSP.

One interesting note is that there has recently been a law change which has lowered the amount of state fines for speeding violations from 1-10 mph over the posted limit. In the past there has been some hesitancy by law enforcement to issue speeding tickets for that range, opting for written warnings instead. It is anticipated that this change will result in more speeding citations being issued, which could effect a greater behavioral change related to speed control.

C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Target [C-7]: To decrease the number of motorcycle fatalities by 13%, from 89 in 2015 to 77 in 2018.

Results: Oklahoma is currently at 22 motorcycle fatalities for the year compared to 28 last year at this time. State preliminary data for 2017 indicates small improvement from 2016 to 2017, so indications are we will be able to meet this target for 2018. Activities to address motorcycle safety continue as outlined in the FY2018 HSP.

C-8) Number of unhelmeted motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Target [C-8]: To limit a projected increase in unhelmeted motorcycle fatalities from 62 in 2015 to 63 in 2018.

Results: Oklahoma is currently at 15 unhelmeted motorcycle fatalities compared to 14 at this time last year. Preliminary 2017 Oklahoma data indicates 46 compared to 52 for 2016 (FARS). These numbers are seeming to indicate a downward trend, but tend to rise and fall rather quickly throughout the year. Activities to address motorcycle safety continue as outlined in the FY2018 HSP.

C-9) Number of drivers age 20 or younger involved in fatal crashes (FARS)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Target [C-9]: To decrease the number of drivers under the age of 21 involved in fatal crashes from 105 in 2015 to 68 in 2018.

Results: Oklahoma is currently at 36 drivers under age 21 involved in fatal crashes compared to 27 at this time last year. Oklahoma preliminary data indicates that there will be a marked increase in this area from 2016 to 2017. Based on this review, it appears that we will not meet the target of 68 in 2018, despite additional efforts initiated in 2017 with encouraging grantees with a higher than average distracted driving crash rate to increase their
enforcement activity in this area and the addition of a new teen safety program. Efforts to address this area will continue as outlined in the FY2018 HSP.

C-10) Number of pedestrian fatalities (FARS)
Progress: In Progress
Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.
Target [C-10]: To decrease the number of pedestrian fatalities by 10%, from 69 in 2015 to 62 in 2018.
Results: Oklahoma is currently at 14 pedestrian fatalities for the year compared to 25 last year at this time. Based on preliminary data it appears that there will be a decrease in pedestrian fatalities from 2016 to 2017, although not as much as hoped for, due in part to spike in this area in 2016. It appears that Oklahoma may not meet this target for 2018. We will continue to try and increase efforts in this area and continue to work closely with our partners in the greater Oklahoma City and Tulsa areas, the Department of Transportation, Safe Kids, and Metropolitan Planning Organizations to develop and promote projects to improve pedestrian and bicycle safety.

C-11) Number of bicyclists fatalities (FARS)
Progress: In Progress
Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.
Target [C-11]: To maintain the number of bicyclist fatalities at the 2015 level of 6 in 2015 to 6 in 2018.
Oklahoma is currently at 4 pedalcyclist fatalities for the year compared to 3 last year at this time. Indications are that the 2017 target may not be met, but above the target by a small number. No additional projects are expected at this time, but we will encourage our grantees and partners to emphasize their programs in these areas. Efforts to address bicyclist safety continue as outlined in the FY2018 HSP.

B-1) Observed seat belt use for passenger vehicles, front seat outboard occupants (survey)
Progress: In Progress
Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.
Target [B-1]: To limit a projected decrease in the statewide safety belt use rate from 86.6% in 2016 to 85.0% in 2018.
The observed use rate of 86.9% in 2017 was the highest we have had since the primary law was adopted in 1997. Oklahoma received an OP Assessment in April of 2017 and we will continue to evaluate our programs to see how we can improve the use rate. The use rate has shown a tendency to fluctuate over the years, but the 5 year rolling average trend is upward, therefore we have every reason to expect that this target will be met. Efforts to address occupant protection continue as outlined in the FY2018 HSP.

Urban Fatalities/VMT (State)
Progress: In Progress
Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.
Target [C-3a]: To decrease the Urban Fatalities per 100M VMT rate from 0.95 in 2015 to 0.81 in 2018.
Results: There is no VMT data available for either 2017 or 2018 upon which to base a conclusion as to progress in meeting this performance measure.

Rural Fatalities/VMT (State)
Progress: In Progress
Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Target (C-3b): To limit a projected increase in the Rural Fatalities per 100M VMT rate from 1.85 in 2015 to 2.07 in 2018.

Results: There is no VMT data available for either 2017 or 2018 upon which to base a conclusion as to progress in meeting this performance measure.

Number of drug-related fatalities (State)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Target: To decrease the number of drug-related fatalities by 5% from 85 in 2015 to 81 in 2018.

Results: There were 134 drug-related fatalities in 2016 (FARS) and 54 drug-related fatalities in 2017 (Oklahoma preliminary data). This data is often delayed in coming in from FARS and state data, so it is hard to give a good estimate as to whether this will be met or not, but at this point it would appear that we may not meet the target set. Activities to address impaired driving prevention, including drug-impaired driving, continue as outlined in the FY2018 HSP.

Distracted driving-related KAB crashes (State)

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Target: To decrease the number of distracted drivers involved in distracted driving-related KAB crashes by 5% from 1,309 in 2015 to 1,244 in 2018.

Results: Preliminary 2017 data reflects 1,334 distracted drivers in KAB crashes, while 2018 year-to-date reflects 464. With the latest 5-year rolling average showing a declining trend, it is likely that we will be at or near the target projection for 2018. Activities to address distracted driving continue as outlined in the FY2018 HSP.

Target: To decrease the number of distracted drivers age 16-24 involved in distracted driving-related KAB crashes by 5% from 434 in 2015 to 412 in 2018.

Results: Preliminary 2017 data reflects 464 drivers age 16-24 in KAB crashes, while 2018 year-to-date data reflects 151. With the latest 5-year rolling average showing a declining trend, it is likely that we will be at or near the target projection for 2018. Activities to address distracted driving continue as outlined in the FY2018 HSP.

Impaired Driver Database Development

Progress: Met

Enter a program-area-level report on the State’s progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year’s HSP.

Target: To continue development of the statewide Impaired Driver Database program.

Oklahoma has also made significant improvements in the creation of a system to collect data related to impaired driving arrests as no such system previously existed. New law effective November 1, 2016 statutorily authorized and mandated creation of a “Statewide Impaired Driver Database” to track all records related to impaired driving arrests statewide. Utilizing PARIS.web as the portal, a uniform Impaired Driving Arrest Report form was developed to be utilized by all law enforcement agencies through the Impaired Driver Database (IDD) to report and upload impaired driving arrest data to the database. This will also allow Judicial branches (District Attorney’s offices, Administrative Office of the Courts, State Supreme Court Network) as well as treatment programs to access and use the system to track all actions related to the arrest.

The standardized Impaired Driver Arrest Report form is currently available for use by law enforcement officers. It is also notable that the new law also mandated that all DUI (Driving Under the Influence) arrests now be filed through Oklahoma Courts of Record, which currently includes all 77 District Courts as well as the Municipal Courts of Oklahoma City and Tulsa. Further development and integration of the system continues with the goal for all law enforcement agencies and officers to have access to and utilize the system to aid in tracking progress of individual cases as well as assist in better identification of repeat offenders. As of April 1, 2018 there had been 5,120 arrest reports uploaded to the system.
Increase number of mappable city collisions in SAFE-T

Progress: In Progress

Enter a program-area-level report on the State's progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year's HSP.

Target: To increase the number of mappable city collisions statewide in the SAFE-T Collision Explorer Tools from 82.6% as of April 1, 2017 to 85% by March 31, 2018.

The SAFE-T system saw continued improvement in the percentage of mappable crashes, thereby giving the system more accurate data overall. The term "mappable" is defined as collisions for which associated geographic coordinates are available, allowing the collisions to be plotted in mapping applications. Although the progress shows as not met, as of March 31, 2018, 84.9% (.1% short of the target) of city street collisions statewide had been plotted and made available in the Reporting, Data Export, and Collision Explorer tools. This system to date has been the only state system available for local agencies to view collisions occurring within their jurisdictions. SAFE-T uses Google Maps to map these collisions and sometimes the collisions do not have GPS coordinates so the actual location shown may not be exact. However, this system has been a useful tool for being able to plan enforcement or engineering activities for local streets.

Improve timeliness of crash data collection for analysis in the PARIS system

Progress: Not Met

Enter a program-area-level report on the State's progress towards meeting State performance targets from the previous fiscal year's HSP.

Target: To improve timeliness of crash data collection and analysis by decreasing the time in which data is accessible for analysis to less than 1 work day.

The PARIS system is currently being utilized by five agencies, including OHP, Oklahoma City PD, Tulsa PD, Oklahoma County SO and Woodward PD. As of June 1, 2018, the maximum latency to that data (time it takes to download the data) is generally inside of 6 hours though most often it is within 30 minutes.

4 Performance plan

Open each performance measure listed below or click Add New to create additional non-core performance measures to provide a list of quantifiable and measurable highway safety performance targets that are data-driven, consistent with the Uniform Guidelines for Highway Safety Programs and based on highway safety problems identified by the State during the planning process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period(Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target Start Year (Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year (Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target Value(Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>699.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2,701.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>210.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>139.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-6) Number of speeding-related fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>150.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-8) Number of unhelmeted motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-9) Number of drivers age 20 or younger involved in fatal crashes (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-10) Number of pedestrian fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>74.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-11) Number of bicyclists fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-1) Observed seat belt use for passenger vehicles, front seat outboard occupants (survey)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban fatalities/VMT (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural fatalities/VMT (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of drug-related fatalities (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail grade crossing fatalities (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail grade crossing fatality/serious injury crashes (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drivers in distracted driving-related KAB crashes (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1,359.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drivers age 16-25 in distracted driving-related KAB crashes (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>494.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To continue development of the statewide Impaired Driver Database</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To develop a new electronic statewide crash data reporting system</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)**

**Is this a traffic records system performance measure?**

No

**C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)-2019**

Target Metric Type: Numeric

Target Value: 699.0

Target Period: 5 Year

Target Start Year: 2015

**Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.**

Target [C-1]: To limit a projected increase in the number of traffic fatalities from 687 in 2016 to 699 in 2019.

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets. For the three performance measures common to the SHSP, HSP and HSIP, an additional evaluation analysis was performed by the University of Central Oklahoma to further assist in strategic planning utilizing additional tools such as Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA).
C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No
Target Metric Type: Numeric
Target Value: 2,701.0
Target Period: 5 Year
Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target [C-2]: To limit a projected increase in the number of Serious Injuries in traffic crashes from 2,788 in 2016 to 2,806 in 2019.

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets. For the three performance measures common to the SHSP, HSP and HSIP, an additional evaluation analysis was performed by the University of Central Oklahoma to further assist in strategic planning utilizing additional tools such as Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA).

IMPORTANT NOTE: In previous years, the number of Serious Injuries reported was based on the sum of both Incapacitating (A) and Non-incapacitating (B) injuries as listed in the KABCO modified scale. Beginning with the FY2019 Highway Safety Plan, only (A) type injuries will be reported to coincide with upcoming changes to the serious injury definition as outlined in MMUCC version 4 and 5 and required adoption by April 19, 2019.
C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)-2019

Target Metric Type: Numeric

Target Value: 1.430

Target Period: 5 Year

Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target [C-3]: To limit a projected increase in the Total Fatalities per 100M VMT rate from 1.41 in 2016 to 1.43 in 2019.

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets. For the three performance measures common to the SHSP, HSP and HSIP, an additional evaluation analysis was performed by the University of Central Oklahoma to further assist in strategic planning utilizing additional tools such as Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA).

For the charts below and purposes of setting target values, the 2016 fatality rates indicated are based on state data using known values, since no FARS final VMT rate data is as yet available.
C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)-2019

Target Metric Type: Numeric

Target Value: 210.0
Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target [C-4]: To decrease the number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities (all seating positions) from 225 in 2016 to 210 in 2019.

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets.

C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)-2019

Target Metric Type: Numeric

Target Value: 139.0

Target Period: 5 Year

Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target [C-5]: To decrease the number of fatalities involving a driver/operator .08 or more BAC from 181 in 2016 to 139 in 2019.

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets. After a low of 154 crashes in 2014, we saw an increase in 2015 and 2016. New legislation will go into effect on October 1, 2018 which will allow alcoholic beverages containing more than 3.2% alcohol by volume to be sold.
in grocery stores. While this law also will require beverage server training for those clerks selling such, it is anticipated that this may result in an increase in drinking drivers and have an effect on being able to meet the FY2019 targets established. Studies are to be conducted by the Dept. of Mental Health & Substance Abuse Services to measure the effect that the law change may have on behaviors.

### C-6) Number of speeding-related fatalities (FARS)

**Is this a traffic records system performance measure?**

No

**C-6) Number of speeding-related fatalities (FARS)-2019**

- **Target Metric Type:** Numeric
- **Target Value:** 150.0
- **Target Period:** 5 Year
- **Target Start Year:** 2015

**Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.**

**Target [C-6]: To decrease the number of speed-related fatalities from 183 in 2016 to 150 in 2019.**

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets.
C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)-2019

Target Metric Type: Numeric

Target Value: 73.0

Target Period: 5 Year

Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target [C-7]: To decrease the number of motorcycle fatalities by 13%, from 88 in 2016 to 73 in 2019.
Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets.

![Motorcycle Fatalities Graph](image)

**C-8) Number of unhelmeted motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)**

Is this a traffic records system performance measure? 

No

C-8) Number of unhelmeted motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)-2019

Target Metric Type: Numeric

Target Value: 52.0

Target Period: 5 Year

Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

**Target [C-8]: To decrease the number of unhelmeted motorcycle fatalities from 64 in 2016 to 55 in 2019.**

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets.
C-9) Number of drivers age 20 or younger involved in fatal crashes (FARS)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

C-9) Number of drivers age 20 or younger involved in fatal crashes (FARS)-2019

Target Metric Type: Numeric

Target Value: 73.0

Target Period: 5 Year

Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target [C-9]: To decrease the number of drivers under the age of 21 involved in fatal crashes from 79 in 2016 to 73 in 2019.

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets.
C-10) Number of pedestrian fatalities (FARS)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

C-10) Number of pedestrian fatalities (FARS)-2019

Target Metric Type: Numeric

Target Value: 74.0

Target Period: 5 Year

Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target [C-10]: To decrease the number of pedestrian fatalities from 91 in 2016 to 74 in 2019.

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets.
C-11) Number of bicyclists fatalities (FARS)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?
No

C-11) Number of bicyclists fatalities (FARS)-2019
Target Metric Type: Numeric
Target Value: 5.0
Target Period: 5 Year
Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target [C-11]: To maintain or decrease bicyclist fatalities at or below 6 in 2019.

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets.
B-1) Observed seat belt use for passenger vehicles, front seat outboard occupants (survey)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

B-1) Observed seat belt use for passenger vehicles, front seat outboard occupants (survey)-2019

Target Metric Type: Percentage

Target Value: 86.7

Target Period: 5 Year

Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target (B-1): To limit a projected decrease in the statewide safety belt use rate from 86.9% in 2017 to 86.7% in 2019.

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets.
Urban fatalities/VMT (State)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

Urban fatalities/VMT (State)-2019
Target Metric Type: Numeric
Target Value: 0.9
Target Period: 5 Year
Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target [C-3a]: To decrease the Urban Fatalities per 100M VMT rate from 1.0 in 2016 to 0.88 in 2019.

[NOTE: the metric table provided does not allow for 2 decimal places for additional performance measures, therefore it rounds up to only 1 decimal and is showing a target value of .9 in the metric table rather than .88]

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly
significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets. The "R squared" value for this performance measure is very low, indicating that it is probably not a good indicator of future performance. (Note the additional information provided in the target statement below.)

For the charts below and purposes of setting target values, the 2016 fatality rates indicated are based on state data using known values, since no FARS final VMT rate data is as yet available.

![Fatalities per 100 Million VMT - Urban](image)

### Rural fatalities/VMT (State)

**Is this a traffic records system performance measure?**

No

### Rural fatalities/VMT (State)-2019

- **Target Metric Type:** Numeric
- **Target Value:** 2.0
- **Target Period:** 5 Year
- **Target Start Year:** 2015

**Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.**

**Target [C-3b]: To limit a projected increase in the Rural Fatalities per 100M VMT rate from 1.85 in 2016 to 1.95 in 2019.**

**[NOTE: the metric table provided does not allow for 2 decimal places for additional performance measures, therefore it rounds up to only 1 decimal and is showing a target value of 2.0 in the metric table rather than 1.95]**

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets. (See the note in the target statement below concerning the target metric.)

For the charts below and purposes of setting target values, the 2016 fatality rates indicated are based on state data using known values, since no FARS final VMT rate data is as yet available.
Number of drug-related fatalities (State)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?
Yes

Number of drug-related fatalities (State)-2019
Target Metric Type: Numeric
Target Value: 92.0
Target Period: 5 Year
Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target: To decrease the number of drug-related fatalities from 134 in 2016 to 92 in 2019.

Drug-related crashes continue to increase in Oklahoma. Beginning in 2014, a better analysis process involving both state and FARS data was developed to better track drug-related crash data. The data shown prior to 2014 is considered “incomplete”, although it was based on crash reports received. The chart below shows the upward trend in this area. Opioid overuse is a recognized problem in Oklahoma.
Rail grade crossing fatalities (State)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

Rail grade crossing fatalities-2019
Target Metric Type: Numeric
Target Value: 6.0
Target Period: 5 Year
Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

To reduce the number of rail grade crossing fatalities from 7 in 2016 (state data) to 6 in 2017.

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets.
Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

Rail grade crossing fatality/serious injury crashes-2019

Target Metric Type: Numeric

Target Value: 12.0

Target Period: 5 Year

Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target: To limit an increase in the number of rail grade crossing fatality and serious injury crashes from 6 in 2016 (state data) to 12 in 2019.

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets.
Drivers in distracted driving-related KAB crashes (State)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

Distracted driving-related KAB crashes
Target Metric Type: Numeric
Target Value: 1,359.0
Target Period: 5 Year
Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target: To decrease the number of distracted drivers in fatal and serious injury crashes (KAB) from 1,404 in 2016 to 1,359 in 2019.

Over the last several years, the number of drivers distracted by electronic device or other type of distraction involved in KAB crashes has shown a gradual decrease since highs in 2011. However, the 16-24 and 25-34 year old age groups continue to be over represented in this area. For unexplained reasons, Oklahoma also experienced a significant increase in drivers age 20 or younger involved in fatal crashes in 2015, from 84 in 2014 to 105 in 2015. In previous years we used the 16-24 age group. We have recently changed our time frames and selected the 16-25 age group for future references. In addition, we did not utilize the 5-year rolling average when this performance measure was added in FY2018. The below graph does use the 5-year rolling average for projections.
Drivers age 16-25 in distracted driving-related KAB crashes (State)

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?

No

Number of distracted drivers age 16-25 involved in KAB crashes-2019

Target Metric Type: Numeric

Target Value: 494.0

Target Period: 5 Year

Target Start Year: 2015

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target: To decrease the number of distracted drivers age 16-25 involved in fatal and serious injury crashes (KAB) from 504 in 2016 to 494 in 2019.

This performance measure was just added in FY2018 and for that report it utilized actual values rather a 5-year rolling average to set projections. The FY2019 review uses a 5-year Rolling Average linear trend line to set projections.
To continue development of the statewide Impaired Driver Database

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?
Yes

Primary performance attribute: Accessibility

Core traffic records data system to be impacted: Citation/Adjudication

To continue development of the statewide Impaired Driver Database-2019

Target Metric Type: Percentage

Target Value: 10.0

Target Period: Annual

Target Start Year: 2019

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target: To increase the number of agencies using and number of arrest reports submitted through the Impaired Driving Offender Database by 10% from 38 and 5,104 (respectively) as of March 31, 2018 to 42 and 5,614 as of March 31, 2019.

Pursuant to a new law effective November 1, 2016 which statutorily authorized and created a “Statewide Impaired Driver Database, the Oklahoma Department of Public was required to develop and implement the new system. Significant progress has been made in the development and implementation of such system to collect data related to impaired driving arrests statewide as no such system previously existed. Utilizing PARI5 web as the portal, a uniform Impaired Driving Arrest form was created and will be utilized by all law enforcement agencies to report and submit impaired driving arrest data to the database. The database will provide access to law enforcement and judicial entities to be able to determine details related to the arrest, judicial and administrative actions taken as a result, process through the judicial system and assessment and treatment results.
To develop a new electronic statewide crash data reporting system

Is this a traffic records system performance measure?
Yes

Primary performance attribute: Accessibility
Core traffic records data system to be impacted: Crash

To develop a new electronic statewide crash data reporting system-2019

Target Metric Type:
Target Value:
Target Period: Other
Target Start Year: 2017

Enter justification for each performance target that explains how the target is data-driven, including a discussion of the factors that influenced the performance target selection.

Target: To develop and new electronic crash reporting system allowing access by all Oklahoma Law Enforcement Agencies by December 31, 2019.

Oklahoma currently has a electronic crash reporting system used by the OHP called PARIS, as well as a web-based crash reporting system named CRS which is used by only a small number of agencies. In order to expand and improve the timeliness and accessibility of electronic crash reporting, a new system will be developed to allow all police agencies to access electronic crash reporting. Through a cooperative agreement with the Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation (OSBI), the new system will utilize elements of several existing record reporting system to create a new system for use by all agencies that submit any type of traffic or criminal reports to either OSBI or the Department of Public Safety (DPS). DPS still remains the official custodian of these traffic crash reports.

State HSP performance targets are identical to the State DOT targets for common performance measures (fatality, fatality rate, and serious injuries) reported in the HSIP annual report, as coordinated through the State SHSP.

Check the box if the statement is correct. Yes

Enter grant-funded enforcement activity measure information related to seat belt citations, impaired driving arrests and speeding citations.

A-1) Number of seat belt citations issued during grant-funded enforcement activities*
Fiscal year
Seat belt citations 28,228

A-2) Number of impaired driving arrests made during grant-funded enforcement activities
Fiscal year
Impaired driving arrests 3,030

A-3) Number of speeding citations issued during grant-funded enforcement activities*
Fiscal year
Speeding citations 41,143
5 Program areas

Program Area Hierarchy

1. Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)
   - Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement
   - Public Information & Education
     - Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education
       - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
     - Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E
       - FAST Act NHTSA 402
     - Occupant Protection Area PI&E
       - FAST Act NHTSA 402
     - Police Traffic Services PI&E
       - FAST Act NHTSA 402
   - Paid Media
     - Paid Media Impaired Driving
       - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     - Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education
       - FAST Act 405f Motorcycle Programs
     - Paid Media Distracted Driving
       - FAST Act NHTSA 402
     - Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers
       - FAST Act NHTSA 402
     - Paid Media Child Passenger Safety
       - Other
     - Paid Media Motorcycle Safety
       - Other
       - Other
     - Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU
       - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     - Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU
       - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     - Paid Media OKC Dodgers
       - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     - Paid Media OKC Thunder
       - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
       - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
   - OP Statewide Law Enforcement Coordinator
   - OP Highway Safety Office Program Management
   - Law Enforcement Training
     - Alva Traffic Enforcement
       - FAST Act NHTSA 402
     - McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement
       - FAST Act NHTSA 402
     - Seminole Traffic Enforcement
       - FAST Act NHTSA 402
     - Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement
- FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Stillwater Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Conference Planner
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Law Enforcement Training Coordinator
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
- Alva PD in-state travel funds-training
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- High Visibility Enforcement
  - Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Beaver County Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Bixby Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Calera Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Creek County Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Drumright Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Durant Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Grove Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Guthrie Traffic Enforcement
- FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Idabel Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Kay County Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- McCloud Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Midwest City Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Mustang Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Norman Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Ponca City Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Bartlesville Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Bethany Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Bryan County Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Edmond Speed Management
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- McAlester Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Owasso Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Piedmont Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Shawnee Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
• Tecumseh Speed Enforcement
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• Tulsa Speed Enforcement
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement
  • FAST Act 405b OP Low
  • FAST Act 405b OP Low
• OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator
  • FAST Act 405b OP Low
  • FAST Act 405b OP Low
• OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement
  • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
• OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment
  • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
• Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Tulsa County Speed Enforcement
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• Employer Safety Training
  • National Safety Council Our Driving Concern Employer Program
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• Earned Media
• CPS Technician Training & Education
  • Safe Kids OK Technician Training Program
    • FAST Act 405b OP Low
• Tulsa Safe Kids Technician Training Program
  • FAST Act 405b OP Low
• Safe Kids Worldwide
  • Other
• Safe Kids Oklahoma-Martha Collar Tech Reunion
  • Other
• Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)
  • Safe Kids OK Child Passenger Safety Program
    • FAST Act 405b OP Low
Tulsa Safe Kids Child Passenger Safety Program
  • FAST Act 405b OP Low
Safe Kids OK Car Seat Distribution Program
  • FAST Act 405b OP Low
Child Restraint System Distribution
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402

2. Communications (Media)
   • Public Information & Education
     Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education
     164 Transfer Funds-AL
     Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E
     • FAST Act NHTSA 402
     Occupant Protection Area PI&E
     • FAST Act NHTSA 402
     Police Traffic Services PI&E
     • FAST Act NHTSA 402
   • Paid Media
     Paid Media Impaired Driving
     • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education
     • FAST Act 405f Motorcycle Programs
     Paid Media Distracted Driving
     • FAST Act NHTSA 402
     Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers
     • FAST Act NHTSA 402
     Paid Media Child Passenger Safety
     • Other
     Paid Media Motorcycle Safety
     • Other
     • Other
     Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU
     • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU
     • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     Paid Media OKC Dodgers
     • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     Paid Media OKC Thunder
     • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
   • Earned Media

3. Motorcycle Safety
   • Paid Media
     Paid Media Impaired Driving
     • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
     Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education
     • FAST Act 405f Motorcycle Programs
     Paid Media Distracted Driving
     • FAST Act NHTSA 402
     Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers
     • FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Paid Media Child Passenger Safety
  - Other
- Paid Media Motorcycle Safety
  - Other
- Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
- Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
- Paid Media OKC Dodgers
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
- Paid Media OKC Thunder
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid

- Motorcycle Safety Public Education
  - ABATE Motorcycle Safety Education
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - ABATE Share the Road Motorcycle Safety Program
    - Other

- Motorcycle Rider Training
  - SOTC Motorcycle Safety Education
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Southwest Technology Center Motorcycle Safety Education
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Western Technology Center Motorcycle Safety Education
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Broken Arrow Motorcycle Safety Training
    - Other

- Edmond Motorcycle Safety & Education
- Great Plains Technology Center Motorcycle Training
  - Other
- OSU-OKC Motorcycle Training & Education
  - Other
- Southern Oklahoma Technology Center Motorcycle Training
  - Other
- Southwest Technology Center Motorcycle Training
  - Other
- Tulsa Motorcycle Training
  - Other
- Western Technology Center Motorcycle Training
  - Other
- DPS Motorcycle Education Quality Assurance Program
  - Other

4. Older Drivers
   - Driver Education & Training
     - Oklahoma Safety Council Alive at 25 Program
       - FAST Act NHTSA 402
     - OU Health Sciences Center Older Driver Safety Program
       - FAST Act NHTSA 402
       - FAST Act NHTSA 402
5. Police Traffic Services

- Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement
- Publicized Traffic Safety Checkpoints
- Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints
- Public Information & Education
  - Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education
    - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
  - Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Occupant Protection Area PI&E
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Police Traffic Services PI&E
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) Devices
- OP Highway Safety Office Program Management
- Law Enforcement Training
  - Alva Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Seminole Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Stillwater Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Conference Planner
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Law Enforcement Training Coordinator
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Alva PD in-state travel funds-training
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Integrated Enforcement
- High Visibility Saturation Patrols
- High Visibility Enforcement
  - Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement
- Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Bartlesville Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Bethany Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Bryan County Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Edmond Speed Management
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- McAlester Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Owasso Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Piedmont Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Shawnee Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Tecumseh Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Tulsa Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement
  - FAST Act 405b OP Low
  - FAST Act 405b OP Low
- OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator
  - FAST Act 405b OP Low
  - FAST Act 405b OP Low
- OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
- OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
- Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
Tulsa County Speed Enforcement
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402

6. Speed Management

• Public Information & Education
  • Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education
    • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
  • Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • Occupant Protection Area PI&E
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • Police Traffic Services PI&E
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402

• High Visibility Saturation Patrols
• High Visibility Enforcement
  • Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • Beaver County Traffic Enforcement
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • Bixby Traffic Enforcement
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • Calera Traffic Enforcement
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
Creek County Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Drumright Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Durant Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Grove Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Guthrie Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Idabel Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Kay County Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

McLoud Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Midwest City Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Mustang Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Norman Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Ponca City Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Bartlesville Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Bethany Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Bryan County Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402

Edmond Speed Management
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
• FAST Act NHTSA 402
• McAlester Speed Enforcement
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• Owasso Speed Enforcement
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• Piedmont Speed Enforcement
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• Shawnee Speed Enforcement
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• Tecumseh Speed Enforcement
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• Tulsa Speed Enforcement
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement
  • FAST Act 405b OP Low
  • FAST Act 405b OP Low
• OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator
  • FAST Act 405b OP Low
  • FAST Act 405b OP Low
• OHP Statewide High-visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement
  • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
• OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment
  • FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
• Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
• Tulsa County Speed Enforcement
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402

7. Teen Traffic Safety Program
   • School Programs
     • ODMHSAS AlcoholEdu Project
       • FAST Act NHTSA 402
       • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• DCCCA Teen Seat Belt Safety Project
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• OK SAFE Conference
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• Cinema Drive Teen Traffic Safety Program
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• Distracted Driving Prevention
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • FAST Act NHTSA 402
• Driver Education & Training
  • Oklahoma Safety Council Alive at 25 Program
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • OU Health Sciences Center Older Driver Safety Program
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • FAST Act NHTSA 402
8. Traffic Records
  • Improves completeness of a core highway safety database
  • Improves Analysis and Evaluation of Crash Data
    • SAFE-T System Improvement
      • FAST Act 405c Data Program
      • FAST Act 405c Data Program
  • Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database
    • DPS/OHP Traffic Enforcement Planner
      • FAST Act 405c Data Program
      • FAST Act 405c Data Program
    • Impaired Driving Database Hosting
      • FAST Act 405c Data Program
      • FAST Act 405c Data Program
    • Impaired Driving Database System Development
      • FAST Act 405c Data Program
      • FAST Act 405c Data Program
  • Traffic Records Online Access
    • FAST Act 405c Data Program
    • FAST Act 405c Data Program
  • Accident Investigation
    • OHP Advanced Crash Investigation Training
      • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • OHP Fatality Crash Investigation Teams
      • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • OHP Fatality Crash Reporting Training
      • FAST Act 405c Data Program
      • FAST Act 405c Data Program
9. Non-motorized (Pedestrians and Bicyclist)
  • Public Information & Education
    • Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education
      • 164 Transfer Funds-AL
    • Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E
      • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • Occupant Protection Aea PI&E
      • FAST Act NHTSA 402
    • Police Traffic Services PI&E
      • FAST Act NHTSA 402
  • Pedestrian/Pedalcyclist Safety
INCOG Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety Project
- FAST Act NHTSA 402
- FAST Act NHTSA 402
Safe Kids Oklahoma Bicyclist/Pedestrian Safety Program
- FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Elementary-age Child Pedestrian Training
- Elementary-age Child Bicyclist Training

10. Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)
- Publicized Traffic Safety Checkpoints
- Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints
- Public Information & Education
- Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Occupant Protection Area PI&E
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Police Traffic Services PI&E
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) Devices
- Paid Media
  - Paid Media Impaired Driving
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education
    - FAST Act 405f Motorcycle Programs
  - Paid Media Distracted Driving
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers
      - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Paid Media Child Passenger Safety
    - Other
  - Paid Media Motorcycle Safety
    - Other
    - Other
  - Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Paid Media OKC Dodgers
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Paid Media OKC Thunder
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - OP Highway Safety Office Program Management
  - Law Enforcement Training
    - Alva Traffic Enforcement
      - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement
      - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - Seminole Traffic Enforcement
      - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Stillwater Traffic Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Conference Planner
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Law Enforcement Training Coordinator
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
- Alva PD in-state travel funds-training
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Law Enforcement Outreach Liaison
- Laboratory Drug Testing Equipment
  - OSBI Alcohol/Drug Testing and Analysis
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
- Judicial Education
  - Alcohol/Drug Judicial Outreach Liaison
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
- Impaired Driving Task Force
- High Visibility Enforcement
  - Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Beaver County Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - Bixby Traffic Enforcement
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Calera Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Creek County Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Drumright Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Durant Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Grove Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Guthrie Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Idabel Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Kay County Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- McLoud Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Midwest City Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Mustang Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Norman Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Ponca City Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Bartlesville Speed Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Bethany Speed Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Bryan County Speed Enforcement  
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Edmond Speed Management
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- McAlester Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Owasso Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Piedmont Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Shawnee Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Tecumseh Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Tulsa Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement
  - FAST Act 405b OP Low
  - FAST Act 405b OP Low
- OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator
  - FAST Act 405b OP Low
  - FAST Act 405b OP Low
- OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
- OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment
  - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
- Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
  - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Tulsa County Speed Enforcement
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
  - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Earned Media
- DWI Courts
  - Washita-Custer County Drug Court Training
- FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
- Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) Training
  - Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) Training
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
    - FAST Act NHTSA 402
- Communication Campaign
  - Occupant Protection Paid Media
    - MAP 21 405b Occupant Protection Low Belt Use
    - FAST Act 405b OP Low
- Breath Test Devices
  - Law Enforcement Impaired Driving Training Coordinator
    - 164 Transfer Funds-AL
- Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
  - ODMHSAS 2M2L Task Force Oversight
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Anadarko 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Chickasha 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Delaware County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Durant 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Edmond 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Grady County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Kay County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - McAlester 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - McCurtain County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Norman 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Grove 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Oklahoma County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Pittsburg County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Seminole County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Seminole 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Tahlequah 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Tulsa 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid
  - Woodward County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
    - FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid

11. Driver Education and Behavior
   - School Programs
     - ODMHSAS AlcoholEdu Project
5.1 Program Area: Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)

Program area type  Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

Yes

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.
The Oklahoma primary seat belt law requires only the driver and front seat passenger positions to wear safety belts and the fine for failure to wear a seat belt is $20 including court costs. Unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities for all seating positions in Oklahoma have decreased over the past several years, from 287 in 2011 to 218 in 2015; however, that increased to 224 in 2016 and data indicates that the increase trend may continue into 2017. During the same period, the observed statewide seat belt use rate has remained relatively flat, from 83.8% in 2012 to a all-time high of 86.9% in 2017. Efforts to expand the law to increase the fine and/or include other seating positions in the law have so far been unsuccessful. We will continue to promote and support efforts in occupant protection education and enforcement to the greatest extent possible, with particular emphasis on the increased risk of death or injury as a result of ejection from the vehicle when not properly restrained. Oklahoma received a NHTSA Occupant Protection Assessment in April of 2017. There were a number of areas for improvement recommended and the OHSO will carefully review those to see where we might be able to improve our OP programs.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period(Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value(Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>210.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>B-1) Observed seat belt use for passenger vehicles, front seat outboard occupants (survey)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>OP Statewide Law Enforcement Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>OP Highway Safety Office Program Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Employer Safety Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>CPS Technician Training &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement

Program area: Police Traffic Services
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

As opposed to a long-term HVE period for months, a short-term high-visibility program can target specific times and events for enhanced enforcement efforts. The annual Click It or Ticket and Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over national mobilizations are prime examples of a short-term HVE project.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

HVE enforcement projects are identified through an established Problem Identification process to target a specific locale or territory. The section 164 alcohol funds are intended to target identified above average alcohol-related crash rates using those same processes. Oklahoma will use these funds to both supplement existing programs or support new programs.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Through the annual Request for Proposal process and Problem Identification, those areas which need assistance with an identified impaired driving problem are considered for funding based on several pre-determined factors. HVE is an established and well recognized strategy for impaired driving enforcement projects.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-01-04</td>
<td>Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-04-01</td>
<td>Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-16</td>
<td>Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-07-15</td>
<td>Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-01-06</td>
<td>Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-02-03</td>
<td>McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-03-11</td>
<td>Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-04-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-05-01</td>
<td>Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-06-18</td>
<td>Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-07-03</td>
<td>Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-08-01</td>
<td>Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-09-03</td>
<td>Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Public Information & Education

Program area           Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy Public Information & Education

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
Yes
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Public Information and Education, done properly, is a universally long-recognized countermeasure to aid in achieving a change in attitudes and behaviors. This project will support the traffic safety education activities of the full-time deputy in public information and education efforts by purchasing an impaired driving simulator for use in those efforts. While the impact of traffic safety education cannot realistically be measured quantitatively, public information and education is a primary countermeasure that has been recognized as an effective part of any traffic safety program.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Effective impaired driving efforts must include both enforcement and education (NHTSA Countermeasures That Work, 8th Edition). The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention will be used to fund this program purchase.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention funding will be used to fund this program purchase for the purposes and strategies previously explained.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-06-02-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.2.1 Planned Activity: Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The full-time deputy employed as the "OHSO Traffic Safety Educator Project Deputy" with the Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office makes traffic safety presentations statewide to various groups, including schools, civic clubs, businesses, organization, etc. Using 164 Transfer Funds, Oklahoma County will purchase one DS-575 Basic Simulator from Drive Square including the Impaired Driving Demonstrator Module (IDD). The IDD allows a student to drive in a simulated drunk mode. IDD simulates the effects of Driving under Influence (DUI) and Driving While Intoxicated (DWI) driving, such as delayed response to controls and narrowing of the effective field of view. This project is part of the overall impaired driving traffic safety program area.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office as the primary agency. Recipients of the education will be the various entities statewide to which presentations are made.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving Simulator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.2.2 Planned Activity: Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E

Planned activity name: Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E
Planned activity number: AL-19-04-01-00
Primary countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of impaired driving-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.2.3 Planned Activity: Occupant Protection Aea PI&E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Occupant Protection Aea PI&amp;E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>OP-19-04-01-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of occupant protection-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.
OHSO

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year  Countermeasure Strategy Name
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>NHTSA 402</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.2.4 Planned Activity: Police Traffic Services PI&E

Planned activity name: Police Traffic Services PI&E
Planned activity number: PT-19-04-01-00
Primary countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(i) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle is highest]  
No
and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities related to traffic safety, including development and printing of various traffic safety related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Police Traffic Services PI&E

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.3 Countermeasure Strategy: Paid Media
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Public Information and Education in support of HVE is a recognized countermeasure. Through a contracted media company, targeted messaging will be conducted as outlined in the Communication Plan.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Paid media will be used in support not only of HVE and mobilization activities, but also in support of the creation of new campaigns targeting impaired driving as well as other areas.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Paid media will be used for marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with impaired driving messaging.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Impaired Driving</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Distracted Driving</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-19-02-03-00A</td>
<td>Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STCPS-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Child Passenger Safety</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-01-01</td>
<td>Paid Media Motorcycle Safety</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-02-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Motorcycle Safety</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00A</td>
<td>Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00B</td>
<td>Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00C</td>
<td>Paid Media OKC Dodgers</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00D</td>
<td>Paid Media OKC Thunder</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.3.1 Planned Activity: Paid Media Impaired Driving

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Paid Media Impaired Driving</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-01-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs focusing on impaired driving awareness. This messaging will be used through contracts with Learfield Sports for University of Oklahoma sports and Oklahoma State University sports, Oklahoma Dodgers baseball and OKC Thunder basketball.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Paid/Earned Media (FAST)</td>
<td>$105,997.10</td>
<td>$26,499.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Paid/Earned Media (FAST)</td>
<td>$369,002.90</td>
<td>$92,250.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.3.2 Planned Activity: Paid Media Distracted Driving

Planned activity name: Paid Media Distracted Driving

Planned activity number: PM-19-02-01-03

Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with distracted driving communications.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Media Contractor (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Paid Advertising (FAST)</td>
<td>$61,000.00</td>
<td>$15,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.3.3 Planned Activity: Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers
Planned activity name: Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers

Planned activity number: PM-19-02-03-00A

Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing services at OKC Dodgers games to include signage, digital advertisements, PA announcements, contests and other items focusing on distracted driving awareness.

Enter intended subrecipients.
OKC Dodgers

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year  Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019  Paid Media

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Paid Advertising (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.3.4 Planned Activity: Paid Media Child Passenger Safety

Planned activity name  Paid Media Child Passenger Safety
Planned activity number  STCPS-19-02-01-03
Primary countermeasure strategy  Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with child passenger safety communications.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Media Contractor (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>$49,848.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.
5.1.3.5 Planned Activity: Paid Media Motorcycle Safety

**Planned activity name**: Paid Media Motorcycle Safety

**Planned activity number**: STMC-19-02-01-01

**Primary countermeasure strategy**: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

**Enter description of the planned activity.**

Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with motorcycle safety communications at several motorsports racing facilities in the State.

**Enter intended subrecipients.**

Alliance Sports Marketing

**Countermeasure strategies**
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$56,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.3.6 Planned Activity: Paid Media Motorcycle Safety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Paid Media Motorcycle Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>STMC-19-02-02-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii)

No

[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f)

No

[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2)

No

[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2)

No

[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

Enter description of the planned activity.

Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with motorcycle safety communications.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Media Contractor determined by state bid process (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$62,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
5.1.3.7 Planned Activity: Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU

Planned activity name  Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU
Planned activity number  M5PEM-19-02-03-00A
Primary countermeasure strategy  Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. The University of Oklahoma has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it's not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:
1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

**Enter intended subrecipients.**

Learfield Sports

**Countermeasure strategies**

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

**Countermeasure strategies in planned activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$151,030.00</td>
<td>$37,757.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.3.8 Planned Activity: Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. Oklahoma State University has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it's not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$83,423.10</td>
<td>$20,855.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.3.9 Planned Activity: Paid Media OKC Dodgers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Planned activity number</th>
<th>Primary countermeasure strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paid Media OKC Dodgers</td>
<td>MSPEM-19-02-03-00C</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. The Oklahoma City Dodgers, the minor league team for the LA Dodgers, located in Oklahoma City has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it's not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Dodgers

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>$57,500.00</td>
<td>$14,375.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.3.10 Planned Activity: Paid Media OKC Thunder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Paid Media OKC Thunder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>MSPEM-19-02-03-00D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle
Enter description of the planned activity.

The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. The Oklahoma City Thunder professional basketball team has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it's not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Thunder

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>$37,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.3.11 Planned Activity: Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education

Planned activity name: Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education
Planned activity number: M9MA-19-02-01-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No
Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs focusing on motorcycle safety awareness.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Media Contractor (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>405f Motorcycle Programs</td>
<td>405f Paid Advertising (FAST)</td>
<td>$67,500.00</td>
<td>$16,875.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.4 Countermeasure Strategy: OP Statewide Law Enforcement Coordinator

Program area Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)

Countermeasure strategy OP Statewide Law Enforcement Coordinator

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities
Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M2HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.5 Countermeasure Strategy: OP Highway Safety Office Program Management

**Program area**

Communications (Media)

**Countermeasure strategy**

OP Highway Safety Office Program Management

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

Yes
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.6 Countermeasure Strategy: Law Enforcement Training

Program area Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy Law Enforcement Training

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Proper training is essential to effective performance, especially in Law Enforcement. It is projected that such training will improve the effectiveness of law enforcement in recognizing and removing impaired drivers from the roadways. Impaired driving detection is often difficult and requires specialized training in areas such as SFST, ARIDE, DRE, OP, legal updates, performance expectations, and other.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

When possible and necessary, funding will be provided for agencies to send personnel to training as described above. The amount of funding is often times based on the type of training being provided and the agency’s distance from the training site.
Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

All impaired driving activities, as well as other types of activities, have the potential for training to be needed. These needs are normally reviewed during the application selection and funding process.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-22-06</td>
<td>Alva Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-23-03</td>
<td>McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-24-03</td>
<td>Seminole Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-25-01</td>
<td>Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-26-03</td>
<td>Stillwater Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>Conference Planner</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>OHP Advanced Crash Investigation Training</td>
<td>Accident Investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI-19-06-01-08</td>
<td>OHP Fatality Crash Investigation Teams</td>
<td>Accident Investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSTR-19-05-01-06</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training Coordinator</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-08-06</td>
<td>Alva PD in-state travel funds-training</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-06-01-06</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Impaired Driving Training Coordinator</td>
<td>Breath Test Devices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.6.1 Planned Activity: Alva Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Alva Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-22-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Alva Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.1.6.2 Planned Activity: McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-23-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: Law Enforcement Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.
McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.6.3 Planned Activity: Seminole Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Seminole Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-24-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: Law Enforcement Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Seminole Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
2019 | FAST Act NHTSA 402 | Police Traffic Services (FAST) | $800.00 | $200.00 | $800.00

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.6.4 Planned Activity: Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name | Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number | PT-19-03-25-01
Primary countermeasure strategy | Law Enforcement Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.6.5 Planned Activity: Stillwater Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Stillwater Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-26-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Stillwater Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

**5.1.6.6 Planned Activity: Conference Planner**

Planned activity name: Conference Planner

Planned activity number: PT-19-06-01-01

Primary countermeasure strategy: Law Enforcement Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The Conference Planner, to be selected through the state RFP process (currently OU ConferencePros) will perform tasks related to planning of training events and conferences as set forth in the Statement of Work.

Enter intended subrecipients.

TBD by statewide RFP.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.6.7 Planned Activity: Law Enforcement Training Coordinator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Law Enforcement Training Coordinator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>MSTR-19-05-01-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This project provides funding for a Training Program Administrator (TPA) to promote, coordinate and provide Breath Test Operator training and other impaired driving relevant training, related to alcohol/drug testing recommendations set forth by GIDPAC and under the purview of the Board of Tests, on a regional basis in an effort to maintain and/or increase the number of Breath Test Operators and Officer certification maintenance which will in turn support enhanced Impaired Driving enforcement initiatives.
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name

2019       Law Enforcement Training

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Drug and Alcohol Training (FAST)</td>
<td>$84,052.00</td>
<td>$21,013.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.6.8 Planned Activity: Alva PD in-state travel funds-training

Planned activity name       Alva PD in-state travel funds-training
Planned activity number     AL-19-03-08-06
Primary countermeasure strategy  Law Enforcement Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
This funding will be used to reimbursement travel costs related to in-state travel pursuant to the Oklahoma Travel Reimbursement Act for traffic safety training purposes.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Alva Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1.7 Countermeasure Strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Program area       Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy       High Visibility Enforcement

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

High-visibility enforcement is a proven strategy that includes targeted enforcement focusing on specific violations such as impaired driving, failure to wear seatbelts, and speeding. Additional HVE strategies may include use of integrated enforcement during specific times of the day or night where more crashes are occurring; daytime impaired driving checkpoints; short-term high-visibility enforcement within identified safety corridors; and increased nighttime seat belt enforcement activities. High-visibility enforcement, including participation in national seat belt and impaired driving mobilizations, is required of all law enforcement grants.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

There is an existing linkage already established between impaired driving, high-visibility enforcement and education. LE working overtime efforts to enhance and support a state or local impaired driving project is an accepted and supported practice. A large portion of funding is used to support such efforts in any effort to find and remove impaired drivers from the road. Alcohol-related crashes more typically occur during late evening and early morning hours. Fatal and injury alcohol-related crashes occurred more often between 8:00 p.m. and 4:00 a.m. and more often on Saturday and Sunday than any other day of the week. Impaired-driving projects will involve a comprehensive program of high-visibility enforcement, training and education.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

High-visibility enforcement and participation in mobilizations should be and is a component of any impaired-driving enforcement project funded through the OHSO.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-01-04</td>
<td>Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-04-01</td>
<td>Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-16</td>
<td>Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-07-15</td>
<td>Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-02-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-03-01</td>
<td>Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Beaver County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-02-18</td>
<td>Bixby Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-03-10</td>
<td>Calera Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-04-08</td>
<td>Creek County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-05-06</td>
<td>Drumright Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-06-16</td>
<td>Durant Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-07-10</td>
<td>Grove Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-09-06</td>
<td>Idabel Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-10-01</td>
<td>Kay County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-11-02</td>
<td>McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-12-04</td>
<td>McLoud Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-13-16</td>
<td>Midwest City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-14-05</td>
<td>Mustang Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-15-11</td>
<td>Norman Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-16-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-17-12</td>
<td>Ponca City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-18-09</td>
<td>Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-19-18</td>
<td>Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-20-17</td>
<td>Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-21-09</td>
<td>Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Bartlesville Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Bethany Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-03-19</td>
<td>Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-04-02</td>
<td>Bryan County Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-05-21</td>
<td>Edmond Speed Management</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-06-10</td>
<td>McAlester Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-07-14</td>
<td>Owasso Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Piedmont Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-09-13</td>
<td>Shawnee Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-10-09</td>
<td>Tecumseh Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-12-12</td>
<td>Tulsa Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2HVE-19-07-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSHVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-01-06</td>
<td>Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>Planned activity name</td>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-03</td>
<td>McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-03-11</td>
<td>Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-05-01</td>
<td>Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-06-18</td>
<td>Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-07-03</td>
<td>Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-08-01</td>
<td>Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-09-03</td>
<td>Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-11-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.1 Planned Activity: Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>AL-19-03-01-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Del City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.2 Planned Activity: Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity name: Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity number: AL-19-03-02-16

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Lawton Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year    Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019          Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement
2019          Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints
2019          Integrated Enforcement
2019          High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019          High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$65,800.00</td>
<td>$16,450.00</td>
<td>$65,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.3 Planned Activity: Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name  Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number  OP-19-04-04-01
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Osage County Sheriff’s Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$22,000.00</td>
<td>$5,500.00</td>
<td>$22,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.4 Planned Activity: Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number: AL-19-03-04-16

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Sand Springs Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) Devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$6,250.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

| Item | Quantity | Price Per Unit | Total Cost | NHTSA Share per unit | NHTSA Share Total Cost |
5.1.7.5 Planned Activity: Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.
Tulsa County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$6,250.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$82,000.00</td>
<td>$20,500.00</td>
<td>$82,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.6 Planned Activity: OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)

Planned activity name: OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)
Planned activity number: AL-19-05-01-12
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The project will fund a full-time OHP Statewide Impaired Driving Coordinator and six (6) full-time Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDLs) with the Oklahoma Highway Patrol to implement and conduct activities focusing primarily on impaired driving enforcement in support of national and state highway safety goals to reduce motor vehicle collisions and to provide assistance to local law enforcement agencies with regard to impaired driving enforcement, including the two national impaired driving mobilizations. Coordination of regional multi-jurisdiction events will occur on a regular basis, to include checkpoints and saturation patrols. Public information and education events, along with media releases when appropriate, will be used to inform the public of events and relevant traffic safety issues. As a secondary objective, the IDLs will conduct visits with local law enforcement agencies in support of National Highway Safety initiatives, including the “Click-It or Ticket” mobilization, or other identified traffic safety initiatives, and will assist in pre and post-mobilization activity reporting.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Department of Public Safety/Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

| Fiscal Year | Countermeasure Strategy Name |
2019 Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement
2019 Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints
2019 Integrated Enforcement
2019 Impaired Driving Task Force
2019 High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$283,806.00</td>
<td>$70,951.50</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.7 Planned Activity: Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number: AL-19-03-07-15
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt and child restraint violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, traffic safety checkpoints, and PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Enid Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
2018  FAST Act NHTSA 402  Alcohol (FAST)  $10,000.00  $2,500.00  $10,000.00
2019  FAST Act NHTSA 402  Alcohol (FAST)  $73,000.00  $18,250.00  $73,000.00

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.8 Planned Activity: Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement

Planned activity name  Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement
Planned activity number  OP-19-03-02-15
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt and child restraint violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, traffic safety checkpoints, and PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$93,325.00</td>
<td>$23,331.25</td>
<td>$93,325.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.9 Planned Activity: Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>OP-19-03-03-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt and child restraint violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, traffic safety checkpoints, and PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Rogers County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name

2019 Integrated Enforcement
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$16,300.00</td>
<td>$4,075.00</td>
<td>$16,300.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.10 Planned Activity: Beaver County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name Beaver County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number PT-19-03-01-01
Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Beaver County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
<td>$3,200.00</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
### 5.1.7.11 Planned Activity: Bixby Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

**Planned activity name**: Bixby Traffic Enforcement  
**Planned activity number**: PT-19-03-02-18  
**Primary countermeasure strategy**: High Visibility Enforcement

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**

Yes

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)**

No

**Enter description of the planned activity.**
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Bixby Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$68,000.00</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
<td>$68,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.12 Planned Activity: Calera Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Calera Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-03-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Calera Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$32,000.00</td>
<td>$8,000.00</td>
<td>$32,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.13 Planned Activity: Creek County Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Creek County Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-04-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Creek County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,200.00</td>
<td>$3,800.00</td>
<td>$15,200.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.14 Planned Activity: Drumright Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity name: Drumright Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-05-06

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Drumright Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$8,800.00</td>
<td>$2,200.00</td>
<td>$8,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.15 Planned Activity: Durant Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Durant Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-06-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Durant Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.16 Planned Activity: Grove Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name Grove Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number PT-19-03-07-10
Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Grove Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$18,800.00</td>
<td>$4,700.00</td>
<td>$18,800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.17 Planned Activity: Guthrie Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Guthrie Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.18 Planned Activity: Idabel Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name
Idabel Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number
PT-19-03-09-06

Primary countermeasure strategy
High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Idabel Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,460.00</td>
<td>$3,865.00</td>
<td>$15,460.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.
5.1.7.19 Planned Activity: Kay County Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity number</th>
<th>PT-19-03-10-01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Kay County Traffic Enforcement
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td>$2,700.00</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.20 Planned Activity: McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-11-02

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.21 Planned Activity: McLoud Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: McLoud Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-12-04
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McLoud Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
<td>$2,375.00</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.22 Planned Activity: Midwest City Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Midwest City Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-13-16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Midwest City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
### Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Funding sources

*Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$34,000.00</td>
<td>$8,500.00</td>
<td>$34,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Major purchases and dispositions

*Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

### 5.1.7.23 Planned Activity: Mustang Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity name**

Mustang Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity number**

PT-19-03-14-05

**Primary countermeasure strategy**

High Visibility Enforcement

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**

Yes

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]**

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Mustang Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$18,850.00</td>
<td>$4,712.50</td>
<td>$18,850.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.24 Planned Activity: Norman Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Norman Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-15-11

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Norman Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$73,400.00</td>
<td>$18,350.00</td>
<td>$73,400.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.25 Planned Activity: Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name | Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number | PT-19-03-16-12
Primary countermeasure strategy | High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

**Enter description of the planned activity.**

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

**Enter intended subrecipients.**

Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement

**Countermeasure strategies**

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

**Countermeasure strategies in planned activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2019 High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$361,764.00</td>
<td>$90,441.00</td>
<td>$361,764.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.26 Planned Activity: Ponca City Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Ponca City Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-17-12
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Ponca City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$35,714.00</td>
<td>$8,928.50</td>
<td>$35,714.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.
5.1.7.27 Planned Activity: Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-18-09
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description. This grant specifically will fund one full-time Project Deputy to primarily conduct traffic enforcement activity, but also to coordinate with other agencies within the county to promote traffic safety efforts and events. Activities will also include Public Information and Education presentations when possible.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Traffic Safety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$46,000.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.28 Planned Activity: Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-19-18

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description. These funds will be used for impaired driving enforcement to supplement enforcement efforts to be conducted with 164 Transfer funds.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Sapulpa Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019 Integrated Enforcement
2019 High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$2,800.00</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>$2,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

*Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.29 Planned Activity: Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity name**  
Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity number**  
PT-19-03-20-17

**Primary countermeasure strategy**  
High Visibility Enforcement

*Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)*

Yes

*Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]*

No

*Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]*

No

*Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]*

No

*Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]*

No

*Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]*

No

*Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]*

No
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Tahlequah Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
<td>$3,200.00</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.30 Planned Activity: Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Planned activity number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>PT-19-03-21-09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Warr Acres Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
**Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name**

- 2019 Integrated Enforcement
- 2019 High Visibility Saturation Patrols
- 2019 High Visibility Enforcement

**Funding sources**

*Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

*Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.*

No records found.

### 5.1.7.31 Planned Activity: Bartlesville Speed Enforcement

**Planned activity name** Bartlesville Speed Enforcement  
**Planned activity number** SE-19-03-01-01  
**Primary countermeasure strategy** High Visibility Enforcement

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**  
Yes

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3)**  
No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4)**  
No

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii)**  
No

**Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii)**  
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed management enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Bartlesville Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$35,800.00</td>
<td>$8,950.00</td>
<td>$35,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.
Enter intended subrecipients.

Bethany Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,400.00</td>
<td>$6,350.00</td>
<td>$25,400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.33 Planned Activity: Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-03-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification] 

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Broken Arrow Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
**Source Fiscal Year** | **Funding Source** | **Eligible Use of Funds** | **Estimated Funding Amount** | **Match Amount** | **Local Benefit**
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
2019 | FAST Act NHTSA 402 | Speed Enforcement (FAST) | $63,200.00 | $15,800.00 | $63,200.00

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
No records found.

**5.1.7.34 Planned Activity: Bryan County Speed Enforcement**

*Planned activity name*  
Bryan County Speed Enforcement

*Planned activity number*  
SE-19-03-04-02

*Primary countermeasure strategy*  
High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)  
**Yes**

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]  
**No**

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]  
**No**

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]  
**No**

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(i) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]  
**No**

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]  
**No**

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]  
**No**
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Bryan County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$39,800.00</td>
<td>$9,950.00</td>
<td>$39,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.35 Planned Activity: Edmond Speed Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Edmond Speed Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-05-21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Edmond Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name

2019  Sustained Enforcement
2019  Integrated Enforcement
2019  High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$72,700.00</td>
<td>$18,175.00</td>
<td>$72,700.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.36 Planned Activity: McAlester Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name  McAlester Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number SE-19-03-06-10
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) (Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) (Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) (Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) (Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1))

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McAlester Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$38,000.00</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
<td>$38,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.37 Planned Activity: Owasso Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Owasso Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-07-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Owasso Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$50,650.00</td>
<td>$12,662.50</td>
<td>$50,650.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.38 Planned Activity: Piedmont Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name: Piedmont Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number: SE-19-03-08-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Piedmont Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$14,700.00</td>
<td>$3,675.00</td>
<td>$14,700.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.39 Planned Activity: Shawnee Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Shawnee Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-09-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Shawnee Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$26,000.00</td>
<td>$26,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.40 Planned Activity: Tecumseh Speed Enforcement
Planned activity name: Tecumseh Speed Enforcement

Planned activity number: SE-19-03-10-09

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tecumseh Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$19,600.00</td>
<td>$4,900.00</td>
<td>$19,600.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speed Display Trailer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.41 Planned Activity: Tulsa Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Tulsa Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-12-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
2018 FAST Act NHTSA 402 Speed Enforcement (FAST) $13,241.05 $3,310.26 $13,241.05
2019 FAST Act NHTSA 402 Speed Enforcement (FAST) $64,058.95 $16,014.74 $64,058.95

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.1.7.42 Planned Activity: OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M2HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Under the direction of a OHOSO funded full-time OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator, the OHP will conduct overtime enforcement activities statewide focusing on occupant protection violations utilizing a no tolerance policy. Overtime assignments will be concentrated in designated counties of Oklahoma in an effort to impact low belt use counties and those having a high unrestrained fatality rate. Troopers will conduct overtime occupant protection enforcement in locations and times as determined by the State OP LEL. At least 10% of the enforcement hours worked will be dedicated to nighttime enforcement activities. Enforcement may include checkpoints, saturation patrols, and PI&E efforts. Locations will include those areas where a higher than average number of KAB crashes involving unrestrained occupants occur based on problem identification. Troopers will encourage cooperative efforts with area law enforcement and tribal police agencies.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>OP Statewide Law Enforcement Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$70,900.00</td>
<td>$17,725.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$60,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.43 Planned Activity: OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator
Planned activity name: OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator

Planned activity number: M2HVE-19-07-01-13

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)  
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]  
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator will organize and coordinate occupant protection enforcement efforts in cooperation with local agencies, focusing on targeted areas to reach those areas and communities having higher than average unbelted KAB crashes and fatalities.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$17,958.00</td>
<td>$4,489.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$104,142.00</td>
<td>$26,035.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.44 Planned Activity: OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number M5HVE-19-03-01-13
Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Under the direction of the Statewide Impaired Driving Law Enforcement Coordinator, the Oklahoma Highway Patrol will conduct High Visibility Enforcement (HVE) efforts within each of the thirteen geographical OHP Troop Headquarters statewide. The Coordinator will work with Troops A-M to facilitate overtime assignments at high-risk locations within each Troop area. The Law Enforcement Planner will conduct detailed crash analysis to aid in identifying areas for enforcement. Troopers will be assigned to work overtime shifts to enforce alcohol/drug impaired driving-related traffic laws in high-risk areas statewide. In addition to the two national impaired driving mobilizations, Troopers will participate in interagency impaired driving enforcement efforts, conducting regional enforcement events in cooperation with county and local law enforcement in support of the ENDUI initiative.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.1.7.45 Planned Activity: OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment

Planned activity name: OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment
Planned activity number: M5OT-19-06-01-01
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This activity will fund the purchase of a new large type SUV (possibly a Tahoe) to be assigned to an Impaired Driving Liaison as their regular patrol unit and used in the performance of his/her duties in promoting Impaired Driving HVE, checkpoints, area-wide task force activities, and other related duties. The vehicle will be outfitted with an Intoxilyzer breath testing device and other impaired-driver testing related equipment. This vehicle is expected to coordinate with and possibly replace the current ENDUI Vans.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Department of Public Safety/Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Outreach Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Breath Test Devices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.46 Planned Activity: Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity name: Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity number: 164AL-19-03-01-06

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Alva Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year | Countermeasure Strategy Name
---|---
2019 | Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement
2019 | Integrated Enforcement
2019 | High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 | High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No records found.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7.47 Planned Activity: McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name | McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
---|---
Planned activity number | 164AL-19-02-02-03
Primary countermeasure strategy | High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McCurtain County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.1.7.48 Planned Activity: Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-03-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Norman Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.49 Planned Activity: Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-04-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities for the State as a condition of grant award? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$103,657.00</td>
<td>$103,657.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.50 Planned Activity: Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-05-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENUDI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Osage County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL 164 Alcohol         $20,000.00       $20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.51 Planned Activity: Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity name: Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity number: 164AL-19-03-06-18

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Sapulpa Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
### Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$48,200.00</td>
<td>$48,200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.1.7.52 Planned Activity: Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-07-03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary countermeasure strategy</th>
<th>High Visibility Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**

Yes

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]**

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Seminole Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.53 Planned Activity: Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number: 164AL-19-03-08-01
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No
Enter description of the planned activity.
Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Sequoyah County Sheriff’s Office

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.1.7.54 Planned Activity: Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity number</th>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-09-03</td>
<td>Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary countermeasure strategy</th>
<th>High Visibility Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Stillwater Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$58,000.00</td>
<td>$58,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.7.55 Planned Activity: Tulsa County Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Tulsa County Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-11-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
<td>$4,250.00</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.8 Countermeasure Strategy: Employer Safety Training
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Develop employer outreach materials to reach Oklahoma employers. All activities will be advised by an expert Advisory Group of maximum 25 people including traffic safety professionals, government representatives, and employer sectors including commercial motor vehicle and fleet, and various employee demographics. Outreach will include development and distribution of campaign materials to Oklahoma companies to introduce best practice traffic safety strategies and build commitment to prevention among business owners, senior/executive management, and safety and health human resource and public affairs professionals.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

In OK, according to Injury Facts 2016, of the 91 on-the-job fatalities in 2014, 60 of those, 66%, were transportation related. A 6% increase from 2013 & an 11% increase from 2012. Of the fatalities in 2014, alcohol accounted for 25.7%. In 2015, 27.3% of fatal crashes were alcohol related, 36.5% were exceeding the legal limit. Employers bear an economic burden associated with crashes regardless of whether workers get injured on or off the job. In 2013, it cost OK employers $8 million for medical costs, $894 million for work loss costs. The effect of crash injuries to workers’ spouses & children extends into the workplace and has have two interrelated consequences: reduced profitability for the employer due to financial outlays, & diminished capacity of employees to perform paid work.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

This project will leverage the National Safety Council’s considerable base of knowledge, expertise and demonstrated results related to the important traffic safety issues contained in the project: impaired driving, occupant protection, distracted and drowsy driving, and aggressive driving. NSC has a best practices model that began as a pilot and has grown into an extremely successful program with statewide reach and impact, funded by a TX Dept. of Transportation Traffic Safety Grant since its inception in 2008.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DE-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>National Safety Council Our Driving Concern Employer Program</td>
<td>Employer Safety Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.8.1 Planned Activity: National Safety Council Our Driving Concern Employer Program

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project will Develop employer outreach materials to reach Oklahoma employers. All activities will be advised by an expert Advisory Group of maximum 25 people including traffic safety professionals, government representatives, and employer sectors including commercial motor vehicle and fleet, and various employee demographics. Outreach will include development and distribution of campaign materials to Oklahoma companies to introduce best practice traffic safety strategies and build commitment to prevention among business owners, senior/executive management, and safety and health human resource and public affairs professionals.

Enter intended subrecipients.

National Safety Council

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Employer Safety Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Driver Education (FAST)</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
<td>$62,500.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.9 Countermeasure Strategy: Earned Media

**Program area**  
Communications (Media)

**Countermeasure strategy**  
Earned Media

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Utilizing a paid media consultant, evidence-based strategies will be employed to reach audiences statewide with traffic safety messages addressing a number of traffic safety initiatives, including impaired driving (Oklahoma ENDUI program as well as national mobilizations), occupant protection (Click It or Ticket), motorcycle safety, child passenger safety, bicycle/pedestrian safety and distracted driving. Identified markets include sports venues, local audience targeted programming and support of national mobilization efforts. The program will be designed to reach all seventy-seven counties as set forth in the OHSO Communications Plan, targeting the appropriate audience with an effective message. Using evidence-based strategies and the expertise of the paid media consultant, the impact should aid in decreasing the number and severity of traffic crashes overall. Through use of a paid media company, earned media is also included in the form of additional messaging at no cost to the state. In addition, we provide various groups, such as law enforcement agencies, news outlets, etc., with PI&E to support projects on the local level.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

OHSO Media/Communications Plan

Each year the OHSO prepares a media and communications plan. The goal of the media/communications plan is to develop and produce a marketing strategy that supports the OHSO’s vision and mission through the appropriate use of print, broadcast, online, electronic and other types of messaging. Media efforts will support the objectives and strategies of each program area.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

The rationale for earned media basically follows the same concepts as those for paid media, which includes:

Social Marketing Theory

The best way to influence behavior change is through a proven and scientific practice called social marketing. Social marketing means influencing behavior. We are, in essence, selling a behavior change. In this case, a behavior change that encourages our targets to adopt safe driving practices to reduce traffic accidents and related consequences. Changing behavior in society is hard and it doesn’t happen overnight.

No single tactic is most appropriate with social marketing campaigns. Our plans provide for multiple touch points that communicate with the target at the most appropriate times. Depending on the target and the campaign, we utilize traditional paid channels (television, radio, billboards, etc.) and digital channels (digital display ads, video, paid social media, etc.). We also utilize earned and owned media to communicate with the target and stakeholders. This includes public relations, social media, and other one-off tactics.

Mobilizations
The OHSO actively promotes the “Click It or Ticket” and “Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over” national mobilizations with earned media and support from our Safe Communities groups. Our paid media contractor promotes the mobilizations using the national messaging taglines, unless otherwise directed by the OHSO. The contractor is required to evaluate the success of the media campaigns based on reaching the target audience and engagement with the message.

**Messaging Channels**

The OHSO maintains social media accounts and websites for both OHSO and their marketing campaign, ENDUI. The goal of social media outreach is to support the OHSO’s vision and mission by promoting highway safety messages and promoting positive social norming messages that will reach a large audience within our targeted demographic. All OHSO produced media assets are available on our website and social channels.

**Planned activities**

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

**Planned activities in countermeasure strategy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Impaired Driving</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M9MA-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Distracted Driving</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2PE-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Paid Media</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.10 Countermeasure Strategy: CPS Technician Training & Education

**Program area**

Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)

**Countermeasure strategy**

CPS Technician Training & Education

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year...
of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred.

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4)

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(iii)(B)

[Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f)

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2)

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2)

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

This countermeasure is in support of Child Passenger Safety efforts by promoting and funding various activities related to CPS Technician training and education. In order to conduct car seat checkups, conduct educational seminars, or even answer questions online or over the phone, it is necessary to have a cadre of trained CPS technicians that know the rules, laws and best practices related to child safety seats, especially since the laws differ from state to state.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Oklahoma’s recertification rate for CPS technicians was 57.7 percent in calendar year 2016 – slightly above the national average of 56.2 percent. Recertification rates generally appear to be trending upward in Oklahoma and nationwide. Maintaining and increasing the number of CPS Technicians and the availability of Child Restraint Inspection Stations continues to be a goal. The 2016 Child Restraint Observation Survey results reflect that the child restraint use rate in Oklahoma rose to 92 percent. It must be noted that the survey parameters only measure whether a restraint was in use, but does not reflect whether the restraint was properly installed or being used correctly.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Oklahoma’s recertification rate for CPS technicians was 57.7 percent in calendar year 2016 – slightly above the national average of 56.2 percent. Recertification rates generally appear to be trending upward in Oklahoma and nationwide. Maintaining and increasing the number of CPS Technicians and the availability of Child Restraint Inspection Stations continues to be a goal.
Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M2TR-19-02-01-21</td>
<td>Safe Kids OK Technician Training Program</td>
<td>CPS Technician Training &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2TR-19-02-02-19</td>
<td>Tulsa Safe Kids Technician Training Program</td>
<td>CPS Technician Training &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STCPS-19-02-02-00</td>
<td>Safe Kids Worldwide</td>
<td>CPS Technician Training &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STCPS-19-02-03-21</td>
<td>Safe Kids Oklahoma-Martha Collar Tech Reunion</td>
<td>CPS Technician Training &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.10.1 Planned Activity: Safe Kids OK Technician Training Program

| Planned activity name                        | Safe Kids OK Technician Training Program |
| Planned activity number                      | M2TR-19-02-01-21                         |
| Primary countermeasure strategy              | CPS Technician Training & Education      |

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Safe Kids Oklahoma staff will host and/or assist with car seat check up events and workshops in the OKC metro and other designated rural areas statewide, providing needy families the ability to receive installation and education services. A special needs class will also be conducted this year. SKO will be responsible for compiling and maintaining an accurate list of active Oklahoma Child Restraint Inspection Stations made available to the public. Funds are also provided for out-of-state travel for project personnel to attend Lifesavers or other traffic safety related conference. State funding provided will be expended in compliance with Oklahoma State law. Any program income generated through this project will be returned to the program and used for the purposes and under the conditions of the grant agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Safe Kids Oklahoma, Inc.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>CPS Technician Training &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low Training (FAST)</td>
<td>$47,950.00</td>
<td>$11,987.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.10.2 Planned Activity: Tulsa Safe Kids Technician Training Program
Planned activity number: M2TR-19-02-02-19

Primary countermeasure strategy: CPS Technician Training & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Safe Kids Tulsa will coordinate and conduct car seat check events in their designated area and assist in maintaining an accurate listing of active Oklahoma Child Restraint Inspection Stations made available to the public. Funds are also provided for out-of-state travel for project personnel to attend Lifesavers or other traffic safety related conference. Any program income generated through this project will be returned to the program and used for the purposes and under the conditions of the grant agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa Safe Kids

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year: 2019
Countermeasure Strategy Name: CPS Technician Training & Education

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP</td>
<td>405b Low Training (FAST)</td>
<td>$43,955.00</td>
<td>$10,988.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.10.3 Planned Activity: Safe Kids Worldwide

Planned activity name: Safe Kids Worldwide
Planned activity number: STCPS-19-02-02-00
Primary countermeasure strategy: CPS Technician Training & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Utilizing state CPS educational funds, OHSO will provide scholarships for payment of certification or re-certification fees of qualified CPS Technicians and CPS Technician Instructors based upon selection criteria established by Safe Kids Oklahoma, Inc. This is an effort to maintain the momentum achieved over the last few years in increasing technician and instructor certification and re-certification rates. As the number of certified technicians and instructors increases, the ability of the State to provide this valuable service is correspondingly improved. Funding provided will be expended in compliance with Oklahoma State law.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Safe Kids Worldwide

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>CPS Technician Training &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.
5.1.10.4 Planned Activity: Safe Kids Oklahoma-Martha Collar Tech Reunion

Planned activity name: Safe Kids Oklahoma-Martha Collar Tech Reunion
Planned activity number: STCPS-19-02-03-21
Primary countermeasure strategy: CPS Technician Training & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Safe Kids Oklahoma will plan, coordinate and conduct the annual Martha Collar Tech Reunion (funded with state funds).

Enter intended subrecipients.
Safe Kids Oklahoma, Inc.

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

**Countermeasure strategies in planned activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>CPS Technician Training &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.11 Countermeasure Strategy: Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)

**Program area**

Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)

**Countermeasure strategy**

Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes
Oklahoma's recertification rate for CPS technicians was 51.5 percent in calendar year 2017 – slightly below the national average of 58.4 percent. Recertification rates generally appear to be trending upward in Oklahoma and nationwide. Maintaining and increasing the number of CPS Technicians and the availability of Child Restraint Inspection Stations continues to be a goal. The 2017 Child Restraint Observation Survey results reflect...
that the child restraint use rate in Oklahoma decreased from 92 percent in 2016 to 91.8 percent in 2017. It must be noted that the survey parameters only measure whether a restraint was in use, but does not reflect whether the restraint was properly installed or being used correctly. Safe Kids Worldwide reports a vast majority of parents or caregivers are still struggling with the proper use and installation of child restraint seats.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

To assess the means and methods to improve traffic safety statewide, OHSO uses a comprehensive review of general trends statewide, then drills down to the county and local detail level to determine the best use of available resources. Data sources, as listed on page 11, provide the statistical basis on which problem identification is based. Discussions were conducted with OHSO personnel, partners and grantees for input into efforts that could potentially assist the state in increasing seat belt compliance rates. The OHSO also consulted with representatives of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Southern Plains Tribal Technical Assistance Program, Tribal Chiefs of Police, the University of Central Oklahoma, Safe Kids Coalition, the Center for Disease Control, state and local law enforcement and state injury prevention specialists. Efforts to increase compliance rates will focus on effective countermeasures, including enforcement of current occupant protection and child passenger safety laws, media, education, training, and outreach to target groups including unrestrained nighttime drivers and Native Americans.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M2CPS-19-02-01-21</td>
<td>Safe Kids OK Child Passenger Safety Program</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2CPS-19-02-02-19</td>
<td>Tulsa Safe Kids Child Passenger Safety Program</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-06-02-19</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Distribution</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.11.1 Planned Activity: Safe Kids OK Child Passenger Safety Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Safe Kids OK Child Passenger Safety Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M2CPS-19-02-01-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Safe Kids Oklahoma will use qualified, experienced employees (both staff and contracted individuals) to conduct child passenger safety programs including certification/recertification, education, outreach, and technical support for the Oklahoma City metro area and other designated rural areas of Oklahoma. Outreach may include, but is not limited to hospitals, public service units (i.e. fire, police, EMS), faith-based community organizations, county health departments, and an emphasis on Oklahoma’s Native American population.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Safe Kids Oklahoma, Inc.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low 405b Low Community CPS Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$48,636.00</td>
<td>$12,159.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.11.2 Planned Activity: Tulsa Safe Kids Child Passenger Safety Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Tulsa Safe Kids Child Passenger Safety Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M2CPS-19-02-02-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No
Enter description of the planned activity.

Employing a full-time CPS Coordinator, Safe Kids Tulsa will plan and coordinate Child passenger Safety certification, training, education, outreach, and technical support activities in the Tulsa metro and other designated rural areas, including outreach to Oklahoma's Native American population.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa Safe Kids

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low Community CPS Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$35,705.00</td>
<td>$8,926.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.1.11.3 Planned Activity: Child Restraint System Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Child Restraint System Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>OP-19-06-02-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes
Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies will use qualified, experienced employees (both staff and contracted individuals) to conduct child passenger safety programs including certification/recertification, education, outreach, and technical support statewide. Outreach may include, but is not limited to hospitals, public service units (i.e. fire, police, EMS), faith-based community organizations, county health departments, and an emphasis on Oklahoma’s Native American population. Agency personnel will also host and/or assist with car seat check up events and workshops in both metro and other rural areas statewide, providing needy families the ability to receive child restraint systems at a reduced cost along with related installation and education services.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Safe Kids Tulsa

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
Source Fiscal Year  | Funding Source | Eligible Use of Funds | Estimated Funding Amount | Match Amount | Local Benefit
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
2019 | FAST Act NHTSA 402 | Child Restraint (FAST) | $20,000.00 | $5,000.00 | $20,000.00

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.11.4 Planned Activity: Safe Kids OK Car Seat Distribution Program

Planned activity name | Safe Kids OK Car Seat Distribution Program
--- | ---
Planned activity number | M2CSS-19-02-01-21
Primary countermeasure strategy | Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Purchase of car seats for distribution by Safe Kids Oklahoma in their checkup and training events.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Safe Kids Oklahoma, Inc.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>CPS Car Seat Distribution Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low CSS Purchase/Distribution (FAST)</td>
<td>$14,350.00</td>
<td>$3,587.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.2 Program Area: Communications (Media)

Program area type Communications (Media)

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

Yes
Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

In order to reinforce the overall brand of the OHSO, and the many campaigns and messages that we deliver, a strategic communications plan has been put in place. Strategic marketing is in its best form when all types of communication channels are considered, and strategies are decided before tactics and creative execution is developed. In its most basic form, marketing is about reaching your audience and communicating a message. We must decide what actions we want our audience to take, and how we will move them forward in the marketing journey. We need to disseminate messages that generate awareness of a cause but then employ further tactics to increase education, generate engagements, and ultimately convert our audience into brand advocates.

Social Marketing Theory

The best way to influence behavior change is through a proven and scientific practice called social marketing. Social marketing means influencing behavior. We are, in essence, selling a behavior change. In this case, a behavior change that encourages our targets to adopt safe driving practices to reduce traffic accidents and related consequences. Changing behavior in society is hard and it doesn’t happen overnight.

No single tactic is most appropriate with social marketing campaigns. Our plans provide for multiple touch points that communicate with the target at the most appropriate times. Depending on the target and the campaign, we utilize traditional paid channels (television, radio, billboards, etc.) and digital channels (digital display ads, video, paid social media, etc.). We also utilize earned and owned media to communicate with the target and stakeholders. This includes public relations, social media, and other one-off tactics.

Mobilizations

The OHSO actively promotes the “Click It or Ticket” and “Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over” national mobilizations with earned media and support from our Safe Communities groups. Our paid media contractor promotes the mobilizations using the national messaging taglines, unless otherwise directed by the OHSO. The contractor is required to evaluate the success of the media campaigns based on reaching the target audience and engagement with the message.

Messaging Channels

The OHSO maintains social media accounts and websites for both OHSO and their marketing campaign, ENDUI. The goal of social media outreach is to support the OHSO’s vision and mission by promoting highway safety messages and promoting positive social norming messages that will reach a large audience within our targeted demographic. All OHSO produced media assets are available on our website and social channels.

OHSO Media/Communications Plan

Each year the OHSO prepares a media and communications plan. The goal of the media/communications plan is to develop and produce a marketing strategy that supports the OHSO’s vision and mission through the appropriate use of print, broadcast, online, electronic and other types of messaging. Media efforts will support the objectives and strategies of each program area.

Assessment of Overall Traffic Safety Impacts of Paid Media Strategies

Utilizing a paid media consultant, evidence-based strategies will be employed to reach audiences statewide with traffic safety messages addressing a number of traffic safety initiatives, including impaired driving (Oklahoma ENDUI program as well as national mobilizations), occupant protection (Click It or Ticket), motorcycle safety, child passenger safety, bicycle/pedestrian safety and distracted driving. Identified markets include sports venues, local audience targeted programming and support of national mobilization efforts. The program will be designed to reach all seventy-seven counties as set forth in the OHSO Communications Plan, targeting the appropriate audience with an effective message. Using evidence-based strategies and the expertise of the paid media consultant, the impact should aid in decreasing the number and severity of traffic crashes overall.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.
# Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2,701.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>139.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-9) Number of drivers age 20 or younger involved in fatal crashes (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>B-1) Observed seat belt use for passenger vehicles, front seat outboard occupants (survey)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Countermeasure Strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

### Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Public Information & Education

Program area: Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Public Information and Education, done properly, is a universally long-recognized countermeasure to aid in achieving a change in attitudes and behaviors. This project will support the traffic safety education activities of the full-time deputy in public information and education efforts by purchasing a impaired driving simulator for use in those efforts. While the impact of traffic safety education cannot realistically be measured quantitatively, public information and education is a primary countermeasure that has been recognized as an effective part of any traffic safety program.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Effective impaired driving efforts must include both enforcement and education (NHTSA Countermeasures That Work, 8th Edition). The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention will be used to fund this program purchase.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention funding will be used to fund this program purchase for the purposes and strategies previously explained.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.
Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-06-02-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.1.1 Planned Activity: Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-06-02-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The full-time deputy employed as the "OHSO Traffic Safety Educator Project Deputy" with the Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office makes traffic safety presentations statewide to various groups, including schools, civic clubs, businesses, organization, etc.. Using 164 Transfer Funds, Oklahoma County will purchase one DS-575 Basic Simulator from Drive Square including the Impaired Driving Demonstrator Module (IDD). The IDD allows a student to drive in a simulated drunk mode. IDD simulates the effects of Driving under Influence (DUI) and Driving While Intoxicated (DWI) driving, such as delayed response to controls and narrowing of the effective field of view. This project is part of the overall impaired driving traffic safety program area.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office as the primary agency. Recipients of the education will be the various entities statewide to which presentations are made.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving Simulator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.1.2 Planned Activity: Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&amp;E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>AL-19-04-01-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of impaired driving-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2019 Integrated Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.2.1.3 Planned Activity: Occupant Protection Aea PI&E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Occupant Protection Aea PI&amp;E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>OP-19-04-01-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]  
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of occupant protection-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.1.4 Planned Activity: Police Traffic Services PI&E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Police Traffic Services PI&amp;E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Planned activity number: PT-19-04-01-00

Primary countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities related to traffic safety, including development and printing of various traffic safety related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Police Traffic Services PI&E

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name

2019 Public Information & Education
2019 Integrated Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.2.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Paid Media

Program area
Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy
Paid Media

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year
of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred.

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4)

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B)

[Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f)

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2)

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2)

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Public Information and Education in support of HVE is a recognized countermeasure. Through a contracted media company, targeted messaging will be conducted as outlined in the Communication Plan.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Paid media will be used in support not only of HVE and mobilization activities, but also in support of the creation of new campaigns targeting impaired driving as well as other areas.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Paid media will be used for marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with impaired driving messaging.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy
5.2.2.1 Planned Activity: Paid Media Impaired Driving

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Impaired Driving</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Distracted Driving</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-19-02-03-00A</td>
<td>Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STCPS-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Child Passenger Safety</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-01-01</td>
<td>Paid Media Motorcycle Safety</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-02-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Motorcycle Safety</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00A</td>
<td>Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00B</td>
<td>Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00C</td>
<td>Paid Media OKC Dodgers</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00D</td>
<td>Paid Media OKC Thunder</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs focusing on impaired driving awareness. This messaging will be used through contracts with Learfield Sports for University of Oklahoma sports and Oklahoma State University sports, Oklahoma Dodgers baseball and OKC Thunder basketball.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Paid/Earned Media (FAST)</td>
<td>$105,997.10</td>
<td>$26,499.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Paid/Earned Media (FAST)</td>
<td>$369,002.90</td>
<td>$92,250.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.2.2.2 Planned Activity: Paid Media Distracted Driving
Planned activity name: Paid Media Distracted Driving
Planned activity number: PM-19-02-01-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with distracted driving communications.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Media Contractor (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
**Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name**

- 2019 Paid Media
- 2019 Earned Media

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Paid Advertising (FAST)</td>
<td>$61,000.00</td>
<td>$15,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.2.3 Planned Activity: Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers

- Planned activity name: Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers
- Planned activity number: PM-19-02-03-00A
- Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Marketing services at OKC Dodgers games to include signage, digital advertisements, PA announcements, contests and other items focusing on distracted driving awareness.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OKC Dodgers

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Paid Advertising (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2.2.4 Planned Activity: Paid Media Child Passenger Safety

Planned activity name: Paid Media Child Passenger Safety

Planned activity number: STCPS-19-02-01-03

Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with child passenger safety communications.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Media Contractor (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>$49,848.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.2.2.5 Planned Activity: Paid Media Motorcycle Safety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Paid Media Motorcycle Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>STMC-19-02-01-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

**Enter description of the planned activity.**

Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with motorcycle safety communications at several motorsports racing facilities in the State.

**Enter intended subrecipients.**

Alliance Sports Marketing

**Countermeasure strategies**

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

**Countermeasure strategies in planned activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>$56,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
5.2.2.6 Planned Activity: Paid Media Motorcycle Safety

Planned activity name: Paid Media Motorcycle Safety
Planned activity number: STMC-19-02-02-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with motorcycle safety communications.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Media Contractor determined by state bid process (currently VI Marketing & Branding)
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$62,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.2.2.7 Planned Activity: Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU

Planned activity name: Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU
Planned activity number: M5PEM-19-02-03-00A
Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No
Enter description of the planned activity.

The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. The University of Oklahoma has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it's not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Learfield Sports

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$151,030.00</td>
<td>$37,757.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.2.2.8 Planned Activity: Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU

Planned activity name: Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU

Planned activity number: MSPEM-19-02-03-00B

Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. Oklahoma State University has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it’s not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Learfield Sports

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$83,423.10</td>
<td>$20,855.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.2.2.9 Planned Activity: Paid Media OKC Dodgers

Planned activity name: Paid Media OKC Dodgers
Planned activity number: MSPEM-19-02-03-00C
Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. The Oklahoma City Dodgers, the minor league team for the LA Dodgers, located in Oklahoma City has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it’s not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

**Enter intended subrecipients.**

Oklahoma City Dodgers

**Countermeasure strategies**

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

**Countermeasure strategies in planned activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$57,500.00</td>
<td>$14,375.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.2.2.10 Planned Activity: Paid Media OKC Thunder
Planned activity number: M5PEM-19-02-03-00D

Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. The Oklahoma City Thunder professional basketball team has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it’s not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.
A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Thunder

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>$37,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.2.2.11 Planned Activity: Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education

Planned activity name: Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education

Planned activity number: M9MA-19-02-01-03

Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs focusing on motorcycle safety awareness.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Media Contractor (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.2.3 Countermeasure Strategy: Earned Media

Program area: Communications (Media)
Countermeasure strategy: Earned Media

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Utilizing a paid media consultant, evidence-based strategies will be employed to reach audiences statewide with traffic safety messages addressing a number of traffic safety initiatives, including impaired driving (Oklahoma ENDUI program as well as national mobilizations), occupant protection (Click It or Ticket), motorcycle safety, child passenger safety, bicycle/pedestrian safety and distracted driving. Identified markets include sports venues, local audience targeted programming and support of national mobilization efforts. The program will be designed to reach all seventy-seven counties as set forth in the OHSO Communications Plan, targeting the appropriate audience with an effective message. Using evidence-based strategies and the expertise of the paid media consultant, the impact should aid in decreasing the number and severity of traffic crashes overall. Through use of a paid media company, earned media is also included in the form of additional messaging at no cost to the state. In addition, we provide various groups, such as law enforcement agencies, news outlets, etc., with P&E to support projects on the local level.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

OHSO Media/Communications Plan

Each year the OHSO prepares a media and communications plan. The goal of the media/communications plan is to develop and produce a marketing strategy that supports the OHSO’s vision and mission through the appropriate use of print, broadcast, online, electronic and other types of messaging. Media efforts will support the objectives and strategies of each program area.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

The rationale for earned media basically follows the same concepts as those for paid media, which includes:

Social Marketing Theory

The best way to influence behavior change is through a proven and scientific practice called social marketing. Social marketing means influencing behavior. We are, in essence, selling a behavior change. In this case, a behavior change that encourages our targets to adopt safe driving practices to reduce traffic accidents and related consequences. Changing behavior in society is hard and it doesn’t happen overnight.

No single tactic is most appropriate with social marketing campaigns. Our plans provide for multiple touch points that communicate with the target at the most appropriate times. Depending on the target and the campaign, we utilize traditional paid channels (television, radio, billboards, etc.) and digital channels (digital display ads, video, paid social media, etc.). We also utilize earned and owned media to communicate with the target and stakeholders. This includes public relations, social media, and other one-off tactics.

Mobilizations

The OHSO actively promotes the “Click it or Ticket” and “Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over” national mobilizations with earned media and support from our Safe Communities groups. Our paid media contractor promotes the mobilizations using the national messaging taglines, unless otherwise directed by the OHSO. The contractor is required to evaluate the success of the media campaigns based on reaching the target audience and engagement with the message.
Messaging Channels

The OHSO maintains social media accounts and websites for both OHSO and their marketing campaign, ENDUI. The goal of social media outreach is to support the OHSO’s vision and mission by promoting highway safety messages and promoting positive social norming messages that will reach a large audience within our targeted demographic. All OHSO produced media assets are available on our website and social channels.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Impaired Driving</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M9MA-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Distracted Driving</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2PE-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Paid Media</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 Program Area: Motorcycle Safety

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

The demand for motorcycle safety training and education is overwhelming. Students outside the greater metropolitan areas routinely travel up to 100 miles to attend available training courses. The demand for motorcycle training continues to outpace our ability to provide such training. We are addressing this need by promoting additional training statewide for RiderCoaches, new riders and advanced riders, with emphasis on those areas outside the greater metropolitan Tulsa and Oklahoma City areas. In addition, new legislation effective November 1, 2016 will require that persons under the age of 18 wanting a motorcycle endorsement on their driver license will be required to show proof they have completed a state-approved Basic Rider Course.

Statistical analyses for 2015 revealed the following[1]:

- Both fatal and injury crashes occurred more often between 4:00 p.m. and 7:59 p.m.
- More motorcycle crashes occurred on Saturday than any other day of the week.
- The leading cause by far in fatal and injury motorcycle crashes was Unsafe Speed on Curve/Turn (40.1%)
- When helmet use was known, 55.7% of fatalities were unhelmeted.
- Of the 88 motorcycle fatalities, 90% were male.
Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>139.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-8) Number of unhelmeted motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Safety Public Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Paid Media

Program area: Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?  

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)  

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]  

Yes
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Public Information and Education in support of HVE is a recognized countermeasure. Through a contracted media company, targeted messaging will be conducted as outlined in the Communication Plan.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Paid media will be used in support not only of HVE and mobilization activities, but also in support of the creation of new campaigns targeting impaired driving as well as other areas.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Paid media will be used for marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with impaired driving messaging.
Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Impaired Driving</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Distracted Driving</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-19-02-03-00A</td>
<td>Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STCPS-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Child Passenger Safety</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-01-01</td>
<td>Paid Media Motorcycle Safety</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-02-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Motorcycle Safety</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00A</td>
<td>Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00B</td>
<td>Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00C</td>
<td>Paid Media OKC Dodgers</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00D</td>
<td>Paid Media OKC Thunder</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.1.1 Planned Activity: Paid Media Impaired Driving

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Paid Media Impaired Driving</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-01-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement enforcement]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs focusing on impaired driving awareness. This messaging will be used through contracts with Learfield Sports for University of Oklahoma sports and Oklahoma State University sports, Oklahoma Dodgers baseball and OKC Thunder basketball.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Paid/Earned Media (FAST)</td>
<td>$105,997.10</td>
<td>$26,499.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Paid/Earned Media (FAST)</td>
<td>$369,002.90</td>
<td>$92,250.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.3.1.2 Planned Activity: Paid Media Distracted Driving

Planned activity name: Paid Media Distracted Driving
Planned activity number: PM-19-02-01-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with distracted driving communications.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Media Contractor (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>Paid Advertising (FAST)</td>
<td>$61,000.00</td>
<td>$15,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.1.3 Planned Activity: Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers

Planned activity name: Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers
Planned activity number: PM-19-02-03-00A
Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Marketing services at OKC Dodgers games to include signage, digital advertisements, PA announcements, contests and other items focusing on distracted driving awareness.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OKC Dodgers

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Paid Advertising (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.3.1.4 Planned Activity: Paid Media Child Passenger Safety

Planned activity name: Paid Media Child Passenger Safety
Planned activity number: STCPS-19-02-01-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with child passenger safety communications.
Enter intended subrecipients.

Media Contractor (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$49,848.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.3.1.5 Planned Activity: Paid Media Motorcycle Safety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Paid Media Motorcycle Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>STMC-19-02-01-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with motorcycle safety communications at several motorsports racing facilities in the State.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Alliance Sports Marketing

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year  Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019  Paid Media

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

Source Fiscal Year  Funding Source  Eligible Use of Funds  Estimated Funding Amount  Match Amount  Local Benefit
Other  $56,000.00

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.3.1.6 Planned Activity: Paid Media Motorcycle Safety

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with motorcycle safety communications.
Enter intended subrecipients.

Media Contractor determined by state bid process (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$62,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.1.7 Planned Activity: Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Planned activity number</th>
<th>Primary countermeasure strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU</td>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00A</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Enter description of the planned activity.

The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. The University of Oklahoma has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it’s not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Learfield Sports

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$151,030.00</td>
<td>$37,757.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.3.1.8 Planned Activity: Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Planned activity number</th>
<th>Primary countermeasure strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU</td>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00B</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. Oklahoma State University has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it’s not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Learfield Sports

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$83,423.10</td>
<td>$20,855.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.3.1.9 Planned Activity: Paid Media OKC Dodgers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Paid Media OKC Dodgers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. The Oklahoma City Dodgers, the minor league team for the LA Dodgers, located in Oklahoma City has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it's not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Dodgers

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$57,500.00</td>
<td>$14,375.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.3.1.10 Planned Activity: Paid Media OKC Thunder

| Planned activity name | Paid Media OKC Thunder |
The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. The Oklahoma City Thunder professional basketball team has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it’s not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.
A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Thunder

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>$37,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.3.1.11 Planned Activity: Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M9MA-19-02-01-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs focusing on motorcycle safety awareness.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Media Contractor (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
5.3.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Motorcycle Safety Public Education

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

A leading cause of traffic crashes involving motorcycles and cars is the failure of the car driver to see the motorcycle and pull out in front of or into the motorcycle. Through public education activities programs such as "Share the Road", drivers will be taught better awareness of motorcycles in the traffic scene to prevent traffic crashes between motorcycles and passenger cars and trucks.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

By increasing public awareness in recognizing motorcycles in the traffic scene, improving motorcycle rider skills by training, and encouraging the use of proper safety equipment by the rider, it is expected that the number of motorcyclist fatalities will decrease. Funding has been provided in all these areas in the highway safety plan.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

ABATE is a recognized state and national motorcycle organization, has recognized the importance of this activity and requested funding to promote their Share the Road motorcycle safety program on a statewide basis. Funding allocation was based on determined need and available funding.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MC-19-02-01-04</td>
<td>ABATE Motorcycle Safety Education</td>
<td>Motorcycle Safety Public Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-03-04</td>
<td>ABATE Share the Road Motorcycle Safety Program</td>
<td>Motorcycle Safety Public Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.2.1 Planned Activity: ABATE Motorcycle Safety Education

Planned activity name

ABA TE Motorcycle Safety Education
Planned activity number: MC-19-02-01-04

Primary countermeasure strategy: Motorcycle Safety Public Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

ABATE (A Brotherhood Aiming Toward Education) of Oklahoma will present a “Share the Road” awareness program to the student body at various Oklahoma public schools. This funding will be used toward travel costs associated with attendance at OHSO sponsored events, including the annual Project Directors Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.

ABATE (A Brotherhood Aiming Toward Education) of Oklahoma

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
5.3.2.2 Planned Activity: ABATE Share the Road Motorcycle Safety Program

Planned activity name: ABATE Share the Road Motorcycle Safety Program
Planned activity number: STMC-19-02-03-04
Primary countermeasure strategy: Motorcycle Safety Public Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

ABA TE (A Brotherhood Aiming Towards Education) of Oklahoma will present a “Share the Road” awareness program to drivers education courses, commercial enterprises, and civic organizations. This program will focus on providing education about identifying motorcycles in the traffic scene to avoid crashes and will be presented by trained Share the Road instructors.

Enter intended subrecipients.

ABA TE Charitable Services

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Safety Public Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$32,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.
5.3.3 Countermeasure Strategy: Motorcycle Rider Training

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
Yes
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Motorcycle crashes with injury have been on the rise for several years. Starting in 2009, motorcyclist fatalities showed a significant spike, believed to be the result of a growing number of older riders (age 45+). From 2010 to 2014, the 40-59 year old age group had the highest number of motorcyclist fatalities in Oklahoma with 173, or 43.3%[1] of the total motorcyclist fatalities. Somewhat surprisingly, the 18-39 year old age group was not far behind with 155 fatalities, or 38.8%. In 2015, the 46-55 year old age group again had the highest number of operators involved in KAB crashes (21.7%)15. Oklahoma does not have a mandatory helmet law for motorcycle riders age 18 and older. The number of motorcyclist fatalities tends to be rather erratic in nature, due to the large influence of weather conditions and gas prices on motorcycle use. The 5-year rolling average trend line shows a gradual decrease through 2020, but this is due in large part to a significant, albeit unexpected, decrease in both motorcyclist fatalities and unhelmeted motorcyclist fatalities which occurred in 2014. We are hopeful that this is an indicator of continued improvement in this area. The 5-year moving average does not currently support such continued improvement, but only time will tell if our increased efforts in this area will continue to yield positive results.


Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

With the growing number of motorcycle riders and resultant increased numbers of fatal and personal injury motorcycle crashes, strategies proposed for the Motorcycle Safety area will have the potential to impact almost 80% of the state, based on available opportunities, and will provide training and educational opportunities. With guidance from the State Motorcycle Safety Advisory Committee, these projects will provide training on motorcycle operations, including MSF approved courses, as well as a new 3-wheel motorcycle operator training course and courses available for the hearing impaired. All programs are evidence-based, approved by DPS and meet MSF requirements where necessary. All expenditures must be in accordance with Oklahoma State law. The overall effect should be to reduce the number of fatality and injury crashes involving motorcycles. We will use a combination of state funds and Section 405(f) funds to address the problems and countermeasures previously identified.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Each of the strategies selected below have been identified as effective countermeasures. Through the selection of project strategies previously identified, each activity is funded based on the strategy(s) identified, the identified need for the project area and the types of instruction/education to be provided.

Evidence Based Strategies Selection

- Alcohol-Impaired Motorcyclists: Communication & Outreach (CTW 5.2.2, page 5-15)
- Communications and Outreach: Conspicuity and Protective Clothing (CTW 5.4.1, page 5-23)
- Communications and Outreach: Other Driver Awareness of Motorcyclists (CTW 5.4.2, page 5-25)
- Motorcycle Helmet Use Promotion Programs (CTW 5.1.2, page 5-10)
- Motorcycle Rider Licensing and Training (CTW 5.2.2, page 5-20)

Training and Education

- Oklahoma will maintain and expand innovative motorcycle training programs statewide.
- Oklahoma will continue to increase the number of certified motorcycle safety instructors.
- Oklahoma will take steps to ensure consistent, quality instruction in motorcycle safety training courses.
- Oklahoma will work to increase the capacity of government, private and non-profit entities to provide motorcycle safety training.
- Promote awareness through the OHSO and OKIEMOTO webpages dedicated to motorcycle safety information and initiatives.
- Oklahoma will continue to promote a safer environment for motorcyclists through "Share the Road" advertising and messaging.
- The OHSO will continue to support the efforts of the Oklahoma Advisory Committee for Motorcycle Safety and Education to improve education and training.
Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MC-19-02-02-07</td>
<td>SOTC Motorcycle Safety Education</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC-19-02-03-05</td>
<td>Southwest Technology Center Motorcycle Safety Education</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC-19-02-04-03</td>
<td>Western Technology Center Motorcycle Safety Education</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-04-19</td>
<td>Broken Arrow Motorcycle Safety Training</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-06-08</td>
<td>Great Plains Technology Center Motorcycle Training</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-07-08</td>
<td>OSU-OKC Motorcycle Training &amp; Education</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-08-07</td>
<td>Southern Oklahoma Technology Center Motorcycle Training</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-09-05</td>
<td>Southwest Technology Center Motorcycle Training</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-10-12</td>
<td>Tulsa Motorcycle Training</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-11-03</td>
<td>Western Technology Center Motorcycle Training</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-05-01-07</td>
<td>DPS Motorcycle Education Quality Assurance Program</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.3.1 Planned Activity: SOTC Motorcycle Safety Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>SOTC Motorcycle Safety Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>MC-19-02-02-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Southern Oklahoma Technology Center (SOTC) will provide MSF/DPS approved motorcycle Basic Rider and Advanced Rider courses. This funding will be used toward costs to attend OHSO sponsored training and education events throughout the year, such as the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Southern Oklahoma Technology Center

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Motorcycle Safety (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.3.3.2 Planned Activity: Southwest Technology Center Motorcycle Safety Education

Planned activity name: Southwest Technology Center Motorcycle Safety Education
Planned activity number: MC-19-02-03-05
Primary countermeasure strategy: Motorcycle Rider Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Southwest Technology Center will provide MSF/DPS approved motorcycle Basic Rider course. This funding will be used toward costs to attend OHSO sponsored training and education events throughout the year, such as the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.
Enter intended subrecipients.

Southwest Technology Center

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Motorcycle Safety (FAST)</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Safety (FAST)</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.3.3 Planned Activity: Western Technology Center Motorcycle Safety Education

Planned activity name: Western Technology Center Motorcycle Safety Education
Planned activity number: MC-19-02-04-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: Motorcycle Rider Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Western Technology Center will provide MSF/DPS approved motorcycle Basic Rider course. This funding will be used toward costs to attend OHSO sponsored training and education events throughout the year, such as the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Western Technology Center

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Motorcycle Safety (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5.3.3.4 Planned Activity: Broken Arrow Motorcycle Safety Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Broken Arrow Motorcycle Safety Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>STMC-19-02-04-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(jj)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No
Enter description of the planned activity.

This grant will fund officers with the Broken Arrow Police Department, using overtime hours, to conduct a motorcyclist survival course incorporating the curriculum used by the Edmond Police Department. This motorcyclist survival course is a nationally recognized program that educates motorcyclists on best safety practices for avoiding and surviving hazards and circumstances unique to motorcycle operators. This course will provide a formal program of instruction that is approved by Oklahoma's Motorcycle Advisory Committee and will be presented in the Tulsa metropolitan area during the motorcycle riding season, typically from March to September. In addition to the safety course, the Broken Arrow Police Department will conduct a motorcycle awareness program (i.e. "Share the Road") that is also approved by Oklahoma's Motorcycle Advisory Committee, and will be presented locally in a public information and education forum at various venues. This program may be presented in conjunction with the actual motorcyclist survival course as well as displayed and/or presented at safety fairs, car shows, motorcycle rallies, and other venues that attract large numbers of attendees.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Broken Arrow Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$28,680.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$107,155.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.3.3.5 Planned Activity: Great Plains Technology Center Motorcycle Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Great Plains Technology Center Motorcycle Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>STMC-19-02-06-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Enter description of the planned activity.

The Great Plains Technology Center (GPTC) in Lawton will continue to provide the MSF/DPS-approved motorcycle safety education courses. Part-time instructors will provide training for RiderCoach training. GPTC will offer RiderCoach Training, Basic Rider Course 1, Basic Rider Course 2, and Introductory Motorcycle Experience (IME) courses

Enter intended subrecipients.

Great Plains Technology Center

### Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>$21,395.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.3.3.6 Planned Activity: OSU-OKC Motorcycle Training & Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>OSU-OKC Motorcycle Training &amp; Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>STMC-19-02-07-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]  
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The Center for Safety and Emergency Preparedness (CSEP) at Oklahoma State University-Oklahoma City will host the MSF/DPS approved “Ready to Ride” course (R2R), the 3 wheel Basic Rider Course for licensed riders seeking to improve their street survival skills, and the BRC for deaf riders who wish to obtain their “M” endorsement. OSU-OKC will also offer the Introductory Motorcycle Experience (IME) and is the only facility offering 3 wheel BRC training in Oklahoma. OSU/OKC will utilize part-time instructors for the preparation and presentation of the training courses as well as “Share the Road” training.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma State University-Oklahoma City

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$60,143.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.3.3.7 Planned Activity: Southern Oklahoma Technology Center Motorcycle Training

| Planned activity name | Southern Oklahoma Technology Center Motorcycle Training |
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The Southern Oklahoma Technology Center (SOTC) will provide MSF/DPS approved motorcycle Basic Rider and Advanced Rider courses at their facility located in Ardmore, OK, March through September.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Southern Oklahoma Technology Center

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019 Motorcycle Rider Training

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$16,170.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.3.3.8 Planned Activity: Southwest Technology Center Motorcycle Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Southwest Technology Center Motorcycle Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>STMC-19-02-09-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The Southwest Technology Center will provide the MSF/DPS approved Basic Rider Course training at their facility in Altus, OK. Grant funds will be used to provide MSF approved training regarding safe operation of motorcycles and increased awareness of impaired riding during the riding season, March through October.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Southwest Technology Center

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$30,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.3.3.9 Planned Activity: Tulsa Motorcycle Training
Planned activity name: Tulsa Motorcycle Training
Planned activity number: STMC-19-02-10-12
Primary countermeasure strategy: Motorcycle Rider Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The Tulsa Police Department will conduct a motorcyclist survival course incorporating the curriculum used by the Edmond Police Department. This motorcyclist safety training course is a nationally recognized program that educates motorcyclists on best safety practices for avoiding and surviving hazards and circumstances unique to motorcycle operators. This course will provide a formal program of instruction that is approved by Oklahoma’s Motorcycle Advisory Committee and will be presented in the Tulsa metropolitan area. In addition to the safety course, the Tulsa Police Department will conduct a motorcycle awareness program (i.e. “Share the Road”) that is also approved by Oklahoma’s Motorcycle Advisory Committee, and will be presented locally in a public information and education forum at various venues.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa Police Department
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local Benefit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$20,300.00

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.3.3.10 Planned Activity: Western Technology Center Motorcycle Training

Planned activity name: Western Technology Center Motorcycle Training
Planned activity number: STMC-19-02-11-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: Motorcycle Rider Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Utilizing part-time instructors, the Western Technology Center (WTC) will provide the MSF/DPS approved motorcycle Basic Rider Course training to the general public at their facility in Elk City, OK during the peak riding season in March through September. Instruction will also focus on increased awareness of impaired riding

Enter intended subrecipients.

Western Technology Center

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>$24,160.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.3.3.11 Planned Activity: DPS Motorcycle Education Quality Assurance Program

Planned activity name: DPS Motorcycle Education Quality Assurance Program
Planned activity number: STMC-19-05-01-07
Primary countermeasure strategy: Motorcycle Rider Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
This project will provide funding for one DPS Quality Assurance Officer to ensure compliance with procedures and applicable administrative rules for motorcycle training courses, conducting in-depth auditing and monitoring of school facilities, classroom instruction and instructors to ensure that students are receiving appropriate training that meets State standards. Other responsibilities of the MCQA Officer include certification and recertification of instructors and schools, as well as submission, maintenance, and updating of all records and reports relating to commercial motorcycle education provider audits. Funds are also provided for out-of-state travel for project personnel to attend Lifesavers or other traffic safety related conference. Funding provided will be expended in compliance with Oklahoma State law.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Department of Public Safety

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$91,887.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.3.3.12 Planned Activity: Edmond Motorcycle Safety & Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Edmond Motorcycle Safety &amp; Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>STMC-19-02-05-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Motorcycle Rider Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The Edmond Police Department will continue implementing a 2 phase program, including: 1) a Motorcycle Awareness Program that will provide public awareness, public service announcements and other outreach programs to enhance driver awareness of motorcyclists, such as the "Share the Road" safety messages developed and using Share-the Road model language; and 2) the expansion and delivery of a Motorcyclist Safety Curricula for the purpose of educating motorcycle riders in safe operation of motorcycles and the risk of impaired riding. This program may be presented throughout the state and may include displays and/or presentations at safety fairs, car shows, motorcycle rallies and other venues that attract large numbers of attendees.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Edmond Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.4 Program Area: Older Drivers

Program area type: Older Drivers

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

OHSO carefully monitors all projects to ensure the appropriate use of restricted funds (Sections 402 and 405 as well as State funds). In order to address the State’s needs as identified in the Problem Identification process, some projects will be provided funding from more than one source in order to supplement their enforcement efforts in support of statewide goals. During the grant selection process, the project’s primary program area and funding source will be identified and the project will be listed in the HSP as such. For example, a project identified as primarily a impaired driving project may combine funding from both Section 402 and Section 405 sources. Such multiple funding sources are delineated in the grant agreement description and assigned project number(s). The separate fund sources and activities are carefully tracked and billed to the appropriate funding source. Oklahoma received 109 requests for proposals for FY2019, of which 92 were funded in some form. In addition, there were five other agencies granted STEP grants for participation in an underage drinking enforcement project as part of a Oklahoma Department of Mental Health & Substance Abuse Services grant.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

**Performance Measures in Program Area**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period(Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value(Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>699.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2,701.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Driver Education & Training

Program area: Driver Education and Behavior

Countermeasure strategy: Driver Education & Training

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

The primary goals of any traffic safety program are to identify, develop and promote programs to positively affect a change in behavior to reduce the number and severity of traffic crashes. Education must reach drivers of all ages, but young drivers are especially prone to risky and unsafe driving behaviors. Oklahoma has long had Driver Improvement Courses approved by the Department of Public Safety and the Defensive Driving Course certified and presented by the Oklahoma Safety Council. Other courses have also been identified for this area, including the Alive at 25 program by the Oklahoma Safety Council and the Cinema Driving Experience by the Children & Parent Resource Group.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Strategies proposed for the Driver Education program will have the potential to impact all areas of the state, based on available opportunities, with particular emphasis on texting and driving. The Alive at 25 program is often used by court systems in judicial adjudication. With the adoption of a new texting law effective November 1, 2015 greater emphasis will be placed on those programs promoting no texting and driving, including statewide paid media and educational efforts. Educational Alternatives is in the second year of a pilot program to curb distracted driving through school related groups and peer to peer mentoring. The National Safety Council’s Our Driving Concern is an employer program which seeks to partner with employers through the state to provide traffic safety education to workers, with emphasis on speed and aggressive driving, distracted driving, impaired driving (including drowsy driving) and occupant protection. The selected strategies are evidence-based and have been shown to have a positive effect on changing attitudes and behaviors related to these at-risk behaviors with the target of reducing the number of fatalities and injuries crashes involving distracted driving and risk-taking behaviors.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Between 2007 and 2011, Oklahoma averaged 57 drivers under the age of 21 killed every year in motor vehicle crashes. From 2012 through 2016, that average decreased to 40 drivers under age 21 killed every year. Between 2012 and 2016 there was an average of 526 distracted drivers between ages of 16-25 involved in KAB crashes in Oklahoma each year - by far representing the largest percentage by age group. While driver education should be and is available to all age groups, the 16-25 year old age group would show the greatest need.
Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DE-19-02-02-09</td>
<td>Oklahoma Safety Council Alive at 25 Program</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE-19-02-03-01</td>
<td>OU Health Sciences Center Older Driver Safety Program</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4.1.1 Planned Activity: Oklahoma Safety Council Alive at 25 Program

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii)

[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii)

[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f)

[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2)

[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2)

[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

"Alive at 25" is a course developed by the National Safety Council focusing on teenagers and young adults ages 15-24. It is designed to be an early intervention program to help prevent traffic violations, collisions and/or fatalities involving young drivers through education. This project will focus on training "Alive at 25" instructors and effectively presenting the program. "Alive at 25" is taught exclusively by trained police officers and certified driving instructors in Oklahoma in one four-hour session. Topics addressed include speeding, distracted driving, aggressive driving, seat belts, impaired driving and other life-or-death issues pertinent to younger drivers.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Safety Council

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Driver Education (FAST)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4.1.2 Planned Activity: OU Health Sciences Center Older Driver Safety Program

**Planned activity name**
OU Health Sciences Center Older Driver Safety Program

**Planned activity number**
DE-19-02-03-01

**Primary countermeasure strategy**
Driver Education & Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(i) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No
Enter description of the planned activity.

The Oklahoma Healthy Aging Initiative (OHAI) "Older Driver Safety: Laws, Medical Issues, and Safety Concerns" addresses the issues that surround the safety of older adult drivers. Developed in conjunction with the Oklahoma Highway Patrol, this program examines the factors that contribute to the increasing danger of driving as an older adult. It explores the role that impaired vision, impaired hearing, and impaired cognition play in the safety of older adult drivers. "Older Driver Safety" also offers tips to increase older adult driving safety and offers advice and ideas on what to do when it is time to stop driving and "give up the keys".

Enter intended subrecipients.

University of Oklahoma Office of Research Administration

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Driver Education (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Driver Education (FAST)</td>
<td>$60,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.5 Program Area: Police Traffic Services

Program area type  Police Traffic Services

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No
Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

Not all traffic crashes or injuries can be directly attributed to a specific primary causational factor such as impaired driving, failure to be properly restrained or improper or non-use of safety equipment. Simply put, many crashes occur because drivers operate a vehicle unsafely, without due attention to traffic laws and road conditions. While some program areas target specific identified problem areas such as seat belts or impaired driving, the general Police Traffic Services area is intended to allow agencies to address a greater variety of traffic violations, dependent upon local problem identification, which contribute in large part to the number of motor vehicle crashes and the death and injury resulting from them.

Speed and aggressive driving are listed as causational factors in a significant number of crashes. Of the 590 total fatal crashes in 2015, 134 listed speed as the primary contributing factor. Of the 11,105 KAB crashes in 2015, speed was listed as a factor in 2,086 of those (19%). Speed-related crashes are not always caused by exceeding a posted speed limit, but also by driving too fast for conditions. Aggressive driving definitions almost universally include a speed-related component.

While Oklahoma has had laws for some time addressing "inattentive driving", a new law went into effect November 1, 2015 which specifically bans the use of an electronic device to send/receive text messages by the vehicle driver while the vehicle is in motion.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period(Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value(Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>699.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2,701.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>210.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>139.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-6) Number of speeding-related fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>150.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Urban fatalities/VMT (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Rural fatalities/VMT (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Number of drug-related fatalities (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Drivers in distracted driving-related KAB crashes (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1,359.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.5.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement

Program area: Police Traffic Services

Countermeasure strategy: Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

As opposed to a long-term HVE period for months, a short-term high-visibility program can target specific times and events for enhanced enforcement efforts. The annual Click It or Ticket and Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over national mobilizations are prime examples of a short-term HVE project.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

HVE enforcement projects are identified through an established Problem Identification process to target a specific locale or territory. The section 164 alcohol funds are intended to target identified above average alcohol-related crash rates using those same processes. Oklahoma will use these funds to both supplement existing programs or support new programs.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Through the annual Request for Proposal process and Problem Identification, those areas which need assistance with an identified impaired driving problem are considered for funding based on several pre-determined factors. HVE is an established and wellrecognized strategy for impaired driving enforcement projects.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-01-04</td>
<td>Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-04-01</td>
<td>Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-16</td>
<td>Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.5.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Publicized Traffic Safety Checkpoints

Program area: Police Traffic Services

Countermeasure strategy: Publicized Traffic Safety Checkpoints

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-18-09</td>
<td>Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.3 Countermeasure Strategy: Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints

Program area

Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-16-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.4 Countermeasure Strategy: Public Information & Education

Program area  
Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy  
Public Information & Education

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Public Information and Education, done properly, is a universally long-recognized countermeasure to aid in achieving a change in attitudes and behaviors. This project will support the traffic safety education activities of the full-time deputy in public information and education efforts by purchasing a impaired driving simulator for use in those efforts. While the impact of traffic safety education cannot realistically be measured quantitatively, public information and education is a primary countermeasure that has been recognized as an effective part of any traffic safety program.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Effective impaired driving efforts must include both enforcement and education (NHTSA Countermeasures That Work, 8th Edition). The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention will be used to fund this program purchase.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention funding will be used to fund this program purchase for the purposes and strategies previously explained.

Planned activities
Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

### Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-06-02-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Aea PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.5.4.1 Planned Activity: Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity number</th>
<th>Planned Activity name</th>
<th>Primary countermeasure strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-06-02-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The full-time deputy employed as the "OHSO Traffic Safety Educator Project Deputy" with the Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office makes traffic safety presentations statewide to various groups, including schools, civic clubs, businesses, organization, etc.. Using 164 Transfer Funds, Oklahoma County will purchase one DS-575 Basic Simulator from Drive Square including the Impaired Driving Demonstrator Module (IDD). The IDD allows a student to drive in a simulated drunk mode. IDD simulates the effects of Driving under Influence (DUI) and Driving While Intoxicated (DWI) driving, such as delayed response to controls and narrowing of the effective field of view. This project is part of the overall impaired driving traffic safety program area.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office as the primary agency. Recipients of the education will be the various entities statewide to which presentations are made.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving Simulator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.4.2 Planned Activity: Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E

Planned activity name: Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E

Planned activity number: AL-19-04-01-00
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of impaired driving-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and disposions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.4.3 Planned Activity: Occupant Protection Aea PI&E

Planned activity name: Occupant Protection Aea PI&E
Planned activity number: OP-19-04-01-00
Primary countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of occupant protection-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.4.4 Planned Activity: Police Traffic Services PI&E
Planned activity name: Police Traffic Services PI&E
Planned activity number: PT-19-04-01-00
Primary countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities related to traffic safety, including development and printing of various traffic safety related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Police Traffic Services PI&E

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019 Public Information & Education
2019 Integrated Enforcement

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.5 Countermeasure Strategy: Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) Devices

Program area
Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy
Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) Devices

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-16</td>
<td>Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5.5.6 Countermeasure Strategy: OP Highway Safety Office Program Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area</th>
<th>Communications (Media)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>OP Highway Safety Office Program Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
- No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
- Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
- No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
- No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
- Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
- Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
- Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
- Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
- Yes
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

No records found.

5.5.7 Countermeasure Strategy: Law Enforcement Training

Program area

Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy

Law Enforcement Training

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Proper training is essential to effective performance, especially in Law Enforcement. It is projected that such training will improve the effectiveness of law enforcement in recognizing and removing impaired drivers from the roadways. Impaired driving detection is often difficult and requires specialized training in areas such as SFST, ARIDE, DRE, OP, legal updates, performance expectations, and other.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

When possible and necessary, funding will be provided for agencies to send personnel to training as described above. The amount of funding is often times based on the type of training being provided and the agency’s distance from the training site.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

All impaired driving activities, as well as other types of activities, have the potential for training to be needed. These needs are normally reviewed during the application selection and funding process.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.
Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-22-06</td>
<td>Alva Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-23-03</td>
<td>McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-24-03</td>
<td>Seminole Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-25-01</td>
<td>Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-26-03</td>
<td>Stillwater Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>Conference Planner</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>OHP Advanced Crash Investigation Training</td>
<td>Accident Investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI-19-06-01-08</td>
<td>OHP Fatality Crash Investigation Teams</td>
<td>Accident Investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5TR-19-05-01-06</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training Coordinator</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-08-06</td>
<td>Alva PD in-state travel funds-training</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-06-01-06</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Impaired Driving Training Coordinator</td>
<td>Breath Test Devices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.7.1 Planned Activity: Alva Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name  | Alva Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number | PT-19-03-22-06
Primary countermeasure strategy | Law Enforcement Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Alva Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.7.2 Planned Activity: McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity name  
McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number  
PT-19-03-23-03

Primary countermeasure strategy  
Law Enforcement Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.5.7.3 Planned Activity: Seminole Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Seminole Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-24-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii)

No

[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f)

No

[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2)

No

[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2)

No

[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Seminole Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.5.7.4 Planned Activity: Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity name**  Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity number**  PT-19-03-25-01

**Primary countermeasure strategy**  Law Enforcement Training

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**

Yes

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2)**

No

**Enter description of the planned activity.**

Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

**Enter intended subrecipients.**
Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.5.7.5 Planned Activity: Stillwater Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Stillwater Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-26-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Stillwater Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.7.6 Planned Activity: Conference Planner

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Conference Planner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-06-01-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The Conference Planner, to be selected through the state RFP process (currently OU ConferencePros) will perform tasks related to planning of training events and conferences as set forth in the Statement of Work.

Enter intended subrecipients.
TBD by statewide RFP.

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.7.7 Planned Activity: Law Enforcement Training Coordinator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Law Enforcement Training Coordinator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>MSTR-19-05-01-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project provides funding for a Training Program Administrator (TPA) to promote, coordinate and provide Breath Test Operator training and other impaired driving relevant training, related to alcohol/drug testing recommendations set forth by GIDPAC and under the purview of the Board of Tests, on a regional basis in an effort to maintain and/or increase the number of Breath Test Operators and Officer certification maintenance which will in turn support enhanced Impaired Driving enforcement initiatives.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Board of Tests for Alcohol and Drug Influence

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid Drug and Alcohol Training (FAST)</td>
<td>405d Mid Drug and Alcohol Training (FAST)</td>
<td>$84,052.00</td>
<td>$21,013.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.7.8 Planned Activity: Alva PD in-state travel funds-training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Alva PD in-state travel funds-training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>AL-19-03-08-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This funding will be used to reimbursement travel costs related to in-state travel pursuant to the Oklahoma Travel Reimbursement Act for traffic safety training purposes.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Alva Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.8 Countermeasure Strategy: Integrated Enforcement

Program area

Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy

Integrated Enforcement

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.
• Publicity: Integrated enforcement activities should be publicized extensively to be effective in deterring impaired driving and other traffic offenses. Paid media may be necessary to complement news stories and other earned media, especially in an ongoing program (NCHRP, 2005, Strategy B2).

• Priorities: Integrated enforcement activities send a message to the public and to law enforcement officers alike that traffic safety is not a single-issue activity.

• Citizen reporting programs: Some jurisdictions have dedicated programs where drivers can call to report suspected impaired drivers. Such programs can generate support for law enforcement efforts and increase the perception in the community that impaired drivers will be caught. A study of a grassroots DWI witness reward program in Stockton,

All agencies using Integrated enforcement will be encouraged to include PI&E as well as earned media in support of their enforcement projects. This is especially useful in more generalized Police Traffic Services project where specialized personnel, such as DREs, may not be consistently or readily available.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Integrated enforcement is applicable for use with any enforcement based activity, whether it be impaired driving, occupant protection, or police traffic services.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-07-01-00</td>
<td>164AL Program Area Management</td>
<td>Program Area Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>ODMHSAS Bystander Intervention Program</td>
<td>Alcohol Screening and Brief Intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-06-02-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-02-02-06</td>
<td>ODMHSAS AlcoholEdu Project</td>
<td>School Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-01-04</td>
<td>Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-04-01</td>
<td>Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-16</td>
<td>Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-07-01-00</td>
<td>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Program Area Management</td>
<td>Program Area Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-07-15</td>
<td>Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-02-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-03-01</td>
<td>Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Beaver County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-02-18</td>
<td>Bixby Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-03-10</td>
<td>Calera Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-04-08</td>
<td>Creek County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-05-06</td>
<td>Drumright Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-06-16</td>
<td>Durant Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-07-10</td>
<td>Grove Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-09-06</td>
<td>Idabel Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-10-01</td>
<td>Kay County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-11-02</td>
<td>McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-12-04</td>
<td>McLoud Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-13-16</td>
<td>Midwest City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-14-05</td>
<td>Mustang Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-15-11</td>
<td>Norman Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-16-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-17-12</td>
<td>Ponca City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-18-09</td>
<td>Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-19-18</td>
<td>Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-20-17</td>
<td>Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-21-09</td>
<td>Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-22-06</td>
<td>Alva Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-23-03</td>
<td>McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-24-03</td>
<td>Seminole Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-25-01</td>
<td>Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-26-03</td>
<td>Stillwater Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>Conference Planner</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-06-02-00</td>
<td>Attitude &amp; Awareness Survey</td>
<td>Attitude/Awareness Surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-07-01-00</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services Program Area Management</td>
<td>Program Area Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Bartlesville Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Bethany Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.5.9 Countermeasure Strategy: High Visibility Saturation Patrols

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.
High visibility enforcement, along with PI&E, is a long recognized effective deterrent to prevent impaired driving violations and saturation patrols are a commonly used and accepted part of HVE. Those agencies using HVE saturation patrols will be provided funding to work either overtime HVE or have funding included in their project for use by LE officers during regular shifts. See the TSEP for those projects to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

All agencies utilizing HVE and saturation patrols will establish performance targets projected to meet their established project goals. Funding will be provided to assist them based on the severity of the identified problem, available resources and ability to meet those projections.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

All local police agency impaired driving prevention and enforcement projects are expected to use HVE saturation patrols as part of their program. The funding for this activity is outlined in the funding section of the HSP.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

### Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-01-04</td>
<td>Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-04-01</td>
<td>Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-16</td>
<td>Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-07-15</td>
<td>Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-02-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Beaver County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-02-18</td>
<td>Bixby Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-03-10</td>
<td>Calera Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-04-08</td>
<td>Creek County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-05-06</td>
<td>Drumright Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-06-16</td>
<td>Durant Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-07-10</td>
<td>Grove Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-09-06</td>
<td>Idabel Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-10-01</td>
<td>Kay County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-11-02</td>
<td>McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-12-04</td>
<td>McLoud Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5.5.10 Countermeasure Strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area</th>
<th>Countermeasure strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.**

**Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)**

Yes

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]**

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

High-visibility enforcement is a proven strategy that includes targeted enforcement focusing on specific violations such as impaired driving, failure to wear seatbelts, and speeding. Additional HVE strategies may include use of integrated enforcement during specific times of the day or night where more crashes are occurring; daytime impaired driving checkpoints; short-term high-visibility enforcement within identified safety corridors; and increased nighttime seat belt enforcement activities. High-visibility enforcement, including participation in national seat belt and impaired driving mobilizations, is required of all law enforcement grants.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

There is an existing linkage already established between impaired driving, high-visibility enforcement and education. LE working overtime efforts to enhance and support a state or local impaired driving project is an accepted and supported practice. A large portion of funding is used to support such efforts in any effort to find and remove impaired drivers from the road. Alcohol-related crashes more typically occur during late evening and early morning hours. Fatal and injury alcohol-related crashes occurred more often between 8:00 p.m. and 4:00 a.m. and more often on Saturday and Sunday than any other day of the week. Impaired-driving projects will involve a comprehensive program of high-visibility enforcement, training and education.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.
High-visibility enforcement and participation in mobilizations should be and is a component of any impaired-driving enforcement project funded through the OHSO.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-01-04</td>
<td>Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-04-01</td>
<td>Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-16</td>
<td>Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-07-15</td>
<td>Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-02-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-03-01</td>
<td>Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Beaver County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-02-18</td>
<td>Bixby Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-03-10</td>
<td>Calera Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-04-08</td>
<td>Creek County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-05-06</td>
<td>Drumright Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-06-16</td>
<td>Durant Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-07-10</td>
<td>Grove Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-09-06</td>
<td>Idabel Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-10-01</td>
<td>Kay County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-11-02</td>
<td>McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-12-04</td>
<td>McLoud Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-13-16</td>
<td>Midwest City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-14-05</td>
<td>Mustang Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-15-11</td>
<td>Norman Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-16-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-17-12</td>
<td>Ponca City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-18-09</td>
<td>Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>Planned activity name</td>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-19-18</td>
<td>Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-20-17</td>
<td>Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-21-09</td>
<td>Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Bartlesville Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Bethany Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-03-19</td>
<td>Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-04-02</td>
<td>Bryan County Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-05-21</td>
<td>Edmond Speed Management</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-06-10</td>
<td>McAlester Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-07-14</td>
<td>Owasso Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Piedmont Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-09-13</td>
<td>Shawnee Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-10-09</td>
<td>Tecumseh Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-12-12</td>
<td>Tulsa Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2HVE-19-07-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-01-06</td>
<td>Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-02-03</td>
<td>McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-03-11</td>
<td>Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-04-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-05-01</td>
<td>Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-06-18</td>
<td>Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-07-03</td>
<td>Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-08-01</td>
<td>Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-09-03</td>
<td>Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-11-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.1 Planned Activity: Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>AL-19-03-01-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Del City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
2019 Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement
2019 Integrated Enforcement
2019 High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.2 Planned Activity: Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number: AL-19-03-02-16
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Lawton Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>2018 Cost</td>
<td>2019 Cost</td>
<td>NHTSA Share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$65,800.00</td>
<td>$16,450.00</td>
<td>$65,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.3 Planned Activity: Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement

**Planned activity name** Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement

**Planned activity number** OP-19-03-04-01

**Primary countermeasure strategy** High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Osage County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$22,000.00</td>
<td>$5,500.00</td>
<td>$22,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.4 Planned Activity: Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name | Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number: AL-19-03-04-16

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Sand Springs Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) Devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$6,250.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.5 Planned Activity: Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$6,250.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$82,000.00</td>
<td>$20,500.00</td>
<td>$82,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.6 Planned Activity: OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)

Planned activity name          OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)
Planned activity number         AL-19-05-01-12
Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The project will fund a full-time OHP Statewide Impaired Driving Coordinator and six (6) full-time Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDLs) with the Oklahoma Highway Patrol to implement and conduct activities focusing primarily on impaired driving enforcement in support of national and state highway safety goals to reduce motor vehicle collisions and to provide assistance to local law enforcement agencies with regard to impaired driving enforcement, including the two national impaired driving mobilizations. Coordination of regional multi-jurisdiction events will occur on a regular basis, to include checkpoints and saturation patrols. Public information and education events, along with media releases when appropriate, will be used to inform the public of events and relevant traffic safety issues. As a secondary objective, the IDLs will conduct visits with local law enforcement agencies in support of National Highway Safety initiatives, including the “Click-It or Ticket” mobilization, or other identified traffic safety initiatives, and will assist in pre and post-mobilization activity reporting.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Department of Public Safety/Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$283,806.00</td>
<td>$70,951.50</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.5.10.7 Planned Activity: Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number: AL-19-03-07-15
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt and child restraint violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, traffic safety checkpoints, and PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Enid Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$73,000.00</td>
<td>$18,250.00</td>
<td>$73,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.8 Planned Activity: Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement

Planned activity name  Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement
Planned activity number  OP-19-03-02-15
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt and child restraint violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, traffic safety checkpoints, and PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2019 High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$93,325.00</td>
<td>$23,331.25</td>
<td>$93,325.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.9 Planned Activity: Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement

Planned activity name: Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement
Planned activity number: OP-19-03-03-01
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt and child restraint violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, traffic safety checkpoints, and PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Rogers County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$16,300.00</td>
<td>$4,075.00</td>
<td>$16,300.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

| Item | Quantity | Price Per Unit | Total Cost | NHTSA Share per unit | NHTSA Share Total Cost |
5.5.10.10 Planned Activity: Beaver County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name  Beaver County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number  PT-19-03-01-01
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
<td>$3,200.00</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.11 Planned Activity: Bixby Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Bixby Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-02-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Bixby Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$68,000.00</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
<td>$68,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.12 Planned Activity: Calera Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity name** Calera Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity number** PT-19-03-03-10

**Primary countermeasure strategy** High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Calera Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$32,000.00</td>
<td>$8,000.00</td>
<td>$32,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.13 Planned Activity: Creek County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name Creek County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number PT-19-03-04-08
Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Creek County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
2019 Integrated Enforcement
2019 High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,200.00</td>
<td>$3,800.00</td>
<td>$15,200.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.14 Planned Activity: Drumright Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Drumright Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-05-06
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Drumright Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$8,800.00</td>
<td>$2,200.00</td>
<td>$8,800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.5.10.15 Planned Activity: Durant Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity name**  
Durant Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity number**  
PT-19-03-06-16

**Primary countermeasure strategy**  
High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

**Enter description of the planned activity.**

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.
Enter intended subrecipients.

Durant Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$53,000.00</td>
<td>$13,250.00</td>
<td>$53,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.5.10.16 Planned Activity: Grove Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name Grove Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number PT-19-03-07-10
Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Grove Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

**Countermeasure strategies in planned activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$18,800.00</td>
<td>$4,700.00</td>
<td>$18,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.17 Planned Activity: Guthrie Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Guthrie Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.18 Planned Activity: Idabel Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Idabel Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-09-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Idabel Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name

2019 Integrated Enforcement
2019 High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,460.00</td>
<td>$3,865.00</td>
<td>$15,460.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.5.10.19 Planned Activity: Kay County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name Kay County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number PT-19-03-10-01
Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(i) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Kay County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td>$2,700.00</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.5.10.20 Planned Activity: McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-11-02
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.
Enter intended subrecipients.

McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$21,000.00</td>
<td>$5,250.00</td>
<td>$21,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.21 Planned Activity: McLoud Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>McLoud Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-12-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McLoud Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
<td>$2,375.00</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.22 Planned Activity: Midwest City Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity name** Midwest City Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity number** PT-19-03-13-16

**Primary countermeasure strategy** High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Midwest City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$34,000.00</td>
<td>$8,500.00</td>
<td>$34,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.23 Planned Activity: Mustang Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity name: Mustang Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-14-05
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Mustang Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$18,850.00</td>
<td>$4,712.50</td>
<td>$18,850.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.24 Planned Activity: Norman Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Norman Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-15-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Norman Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.25 Planned Activity: Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-16-12

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification] No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)] No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment] No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts] No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest] No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest] No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$361,764.00</td>
<td>$90,441.00</td>
<td>$361,764.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.26 Planned Activity: Ponca City Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Ponca City Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-17-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Ponca City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019 Integrated Enforcement
2019 High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$35,714.00</td>
<td>$8,928.50</td>
<td>$35,714.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.27 Planned Activity: Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-18-09
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description. This grant specifically will fund one full-time Project Deputy to primarily conduct traffic enforcement activity, but also to coordinate with other agencies within the county to promote traffic safety efforts and events. Activities will also include Public Information and Education presentations when possible.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Traffic Safety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$46,000.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$46,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
No records found.

5.5.10.28 Planned Activity: Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name  Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number  PT-19-03-19-18
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description. These funds will be used for impaired driving enforcement to supplement enforcement efforts to be conducted with 164 Transfer funds.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Sapulpa Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$2,800.00</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>$2,800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.29 Planned Activity: Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name          Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number        PT-19-03-20-17
Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tahlequah Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>NHTSA</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.30 Planned Activity: Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-21-09
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Warr Acres Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.31 Planned Activity: Bartlesville Speed Enforcement
Planned activity name: Bartlesville Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number: SE-19-03-01-01
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed management enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Bartlesville Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$35,800.00</td>
<td>$8,950.00</td>
<td>$35,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.32 Planned Activity: Bethany Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Bethany Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned Activity Number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-02-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Countermeasure Strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Bethany Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,400.00</td>
<td>$6,350.00</td>
<td>$25,400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.33 Planned Activity: Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name: Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number: SE-19-03-03-19
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No
Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Broken Arrow Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$63,200.00</td>
<td>$15,800.00</td>
<td>$63,200.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.34 Planned Activity: Bryan County Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Bryan County Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-04-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Bryan County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$39,800.00</td>
<td>$9,950.00</td>
<td>$39,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.35 Planned Activity: Edmond Speed Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Edmond Speed Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-05-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Edmond Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$72,700.00</td>
<td>$18,175.00</td>
<td>$72,700.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.
Planned Activity: McAlester Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name: McAlester Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number: SE-19-03-06-10
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McAlester Police Department
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$38,000.00</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
<td>$38,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.5.10.37 Planned Activity: Owasso Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name: Owasso Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number: SE-19-03-07-14
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Owasso Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
Source Fiscal Year | Funding Source | Eligible Use of Funds | Estimated Funding Amount | Match Amount | Local Benefit
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
2019 | FAST Act NHTSA 402 | Speed Enforcement (FAST) | $50,650.00 | $12,662.50 | $50,650.00

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.38 Planned Activity: Piedmont Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name

Piedmont Speed Enforcement

Planned activity number

SE-19-03-08-03

Primary countermeasure strategy

High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Piedmont Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$14,700.00</td>
<td>$3,675.00</td>
<td>$14,700.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.39 Planned Activity: Shawnee Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name Shawnee Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number SE-19-03-09-13
Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Shawnee Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

| Fiscal Year | Countermeasure Strategy Name |
2019 Sustained Enforcement
2019 Integrated Enforcement
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$26,000.00</td>
<td>$6,500.00</td>
<td>$26,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.40 Planned Activity: Tecumseh Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Tecumseh Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-10-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tecumseh Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$19,600.00</td>
<td>$4,900.00</td>
<td>$19,600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
### Speed Display Trailer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speed Display Trailer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.5.10.41 Planned Activity: Tulsa Speed Enforcement

**Planned activity name:** Tulsa Speed Enforcement  
**Planned activity number:** SE-19-03-12-12  
**Primary countermeasure strategy:** High Visibility Enforcement

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**

Yes

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2)**

No

**Enter description of the planned activity.**

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.
Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$13,241.05</td>
<td>$3,310.26</td>
<td>$13,241.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$64,058.95</td>
<td>$16,014.74</td>
<td>$64,058.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.42 Planned Activity: OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement

Planned activity name: OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement
Planned activity number: M2HVE-19-03-01-13
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Under the direction of a OHSO funded full-time OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator, the OHP will conduct overtime enforcement activities statewide focusing on occupant protection violations utilizing a no tolerance policy. Overtime assignments will be concentrated in designated counties of Oklahoma in an effort to impact low belt use counties and those having a high unrestrained fatality rate. Troopers will conduct overtime occupant protection enforcement in locations and times as determined by the State OP LEL. At least 10% of the enforcement hours worked will be dedicated to nighttime enforcement activities. Enforcement may include checkpoints, saturation patrols, and PI&E efforts. Locations will include those areas where a higher than average number of KAB crashes involving unrestrained occupants occur based on problem identification. Troopers will encourage cooperative efforts with area law enforcement and tribal police agencies.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>OP Statewide Law Enforcement Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$70,900.00</td>
<td>$17,725.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$60,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.43 Planned Activity: OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator

Planned activity name: OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator

Planned activity number: M2HVE-19-07-01-13

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator will organize and coordinate occupant protection enforcement efforts in cooperation with local agencies, focusing on targeted areas to reach those areas and communities having higher than average unbelted KAB crashes and fatalities.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low 405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$17,958.00</td>
<td>$4,489.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low 405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$104,142.00</td>
<td>$26,035.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.44 Planned Activity: OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Under the direction of the Statewide Impaired Driving Law Enforcement Coordinator, the Oklahoma Highway Patrol will conduct High Visibility Enforcement (HVE) efforts within each of the thirteen geographical OHP Troop Headquarters statewide. The Coordinator will work with Troops A-M to facilitate overtime assignments at high-risk locations within each Troop area. The Law Enforcement Planner will conduct detailed crash analysis to aid in identifying areas for enforcement. Troopers will be assigned to work overtime shifts to enforce alcohol/drug impaired driving-related traffic laws in high-risk areas statewide. In addition to the two national impaired driving mobilizations, Troopers will participate in interagency impaired driving enforcement efforts, conducting regional enforcement events in cooperation with county and local law enforcement in support of the ENDUI initiative.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Mid 405d Mid HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Mid 405d Mid HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$705,900.00</td>
<td>$176,475.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.45 Planned Activity: OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment

Planned activity name: OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment
Planned activity number: M5OT-19-06-01-01
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP) § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This activity will fund the purchase of a new large type SUV (possibly a Tahoe) to be assigned to an Impaired Driving Liaison as their regular patrol unit and used in the performance of his/her duties in promoting Impaired Driving HVE, checkpoints, area-wide task force activities, and other related duties. The vehicle will be outfitted with an Intoxilyzer breath testing device and other impaired-driver testing related equipment. This vehicle is expected to coordinate with and possibly replace the current ENDUI Vans.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Department of Public Safety/Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Outreach Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Breath Test Devices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.46 Planned Activity: Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number: 164AL-19-03-01-06
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Alva Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.47 Planned Activity: McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity name: McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity number: 164AL-19-03-02-03

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McCurtain County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year | Countermeasure Strategy Name
---|---
2019 | Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement
2019 | Integrated Enforcement
2019 | High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 | High Visibility Enforcement

### Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.48 Planned Activity: Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-03-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Norman Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.49 Planned Activity: Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

### Planned activity name
Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

### Planned activity number
164AL-19-04-01-15

### Primary countermeasure strategy
High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$103,657.00</td>
<td>$103,657.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.10.50 Planned Activity: Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-05-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Osage County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.51 Planned Activity: Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-06-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(f)(i)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Sapulpa Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$48,200.00</td>
<td>$48,200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.52 Planned Activity: Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity name: Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity number: 164AL-19-03-07-03

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Seminole Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.5.10.53 Planned Activity: Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number 164AL-19-03-08-01
Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification] No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)] No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment] No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Sequoyah County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.5.10.54 Planned Activity: Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-09-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)  
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3)  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4)  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii)  
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii)  
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts?  
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f)  
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2)  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2)  
No
Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Stillwater Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$58,000.00</td>
<td>$58,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.5.10.55 Planned Activity: Tulsa County Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Tulsa County Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-11-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
<td>$4,250.00</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6 Program Area: Speed Management

Program area type Speed Management

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

Speed-related fatalities have shown a 5-year rolling average downward trend since 2012. However, there was an increase in speed-related fatalities from in 2015 and again in 2016. Data related to fatality and serious injury crashes is analyzed to determine those localities having the highest rates of speed-related crashes. Oklahoma City and Tulsa, as the two most populous cities in Oklahoma, consistently rank as the highest for speed-related crashes. Through the problem identification process, all other locales are ranked both by city and county and those results considered in evaluation of requests for proposals. The three leading contributing factors in fatality crashes in 2016 were the same as the prior year: Failure to Yield (11.1%), Unsafe Speed (10.1%) and Inattention (8.5%).

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period(Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value(Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-6) Number of speeding-related fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>150.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

**Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.6.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Public Information & Education

- **Program area**: Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)
- **Countermeasure strategy**: Public Information & Education

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

**Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]**

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B)

[Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Public Information and Education, done properly, is a universally long-recognized countermeasure to aid in achieving a change in attitudes and behaviors. This project will support the traffic safety education activities of the full-time deputy in public information and education efforts by purchasing a impaired driving simulator for use in those efforts. While the impact of traffic safety education cannot realistically be measured quantitatively, public information and education is a primary countermeasure that has been recognized as an effective part of any traffic safety program.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Effective impaired driving efforts must include both enforcement and education (NHTSA Countermeasures That Work, 8th Edition). The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention will be used to fund this program purchase.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention funding will be used to fund this program purchase for the purposes and strategies previously explained.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-06-02-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Aea PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.6.1.1 Planned Activity: Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education

Planned activity name: Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education
Planned activity number: 164AL-19-06-02-12
Primary countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The full-time deputy employed as the "OHSO Traffic Safety Educator Project Deputy" with the Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office makes traffic safety presentations statewide to various groups, including schools, civic clubs, businesses, organization, etc.. Using 164 Transfer Funds, Oklahoma County will purchase one DS-575 Basic Simulator from Drive Square including the Impaired Driving Demonstrator Module (IDD). The IDD allows a student to drive in a simulated drunk mode. IDD simulates the effects of Driving under Influence (DUI) and Driving While Intoxicated (DWI) driving, such as delayed response to controls and narrowing of the effective field of view. This project is part of the overall impaired driving traffic safety program area.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office as the primary agency. Recipients of the education will be the various entities statewide to which presentations are made.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving Simulator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.1.2 Planned Activity: Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E

Planned activity name: Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E
Planned activity number: AL-19-04-01-00
Primary countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5) No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification] No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)] No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of impaired driving-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.1.3 Planned Activity: Occupant Protection Aea PI&E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Occupant Protection Aea PI&amp;E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>OP-19-04-01-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of occupant protection-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

| No records found. |

5.6.1.4 Planned Activity: Police Traffic Services PI&E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Police Traffic Services PI&amp;E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-04-01-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Primary countermeasure strategy | Public Information & Education |

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities related to traffic safety, including development and printing of various traffic safety related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Police Traffic Services PI&E

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.2 Countermeasure Strategy: High Visibility Saturation Patrols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area</th>
<th>Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Countermeasure strategy | High Visibility Saturation Patrols |

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

High visibility enforcement, along with PI&E, is a long recognized effective deterrent to prevent impaired driving violations and saturation patrols are a commonly used and accepted part of HVE. Those agencies using HVE saturation patrols will be provided funding to work either overtime HVE or have funding included in their project for use by LE officers during regular shifts. See the TSEP for those projects to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

All agencies utilizing HVE and saturation patrols will establish performance targets projected to meet their established project goals. Funding will be provided to assist them based on the severity of the identified problem, available resources and ability to meet those projections.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

All local police agency impaired driving prevention and enforcement projects are expected to use HVE saturation patrols as part of their program. The funding for this activity is outlined in the funding section of the HSP.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-01-04</td>
<td>Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-04-01</td>
<td>Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-16</td>
<td>Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-07-15</td>
<td>Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-02-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Beaver County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-02-18</td>
<td>Bixby Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-03-10</td>
<td>Calera Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-04-08</td>
<td>Creek County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-05-06</td>
<td>Drumright Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-06-16</td>
<td>Durant Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-07-10</td>
<td>Grove Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-09-06</td>
<td>Idabel Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-10-01</td>
<td>Kay County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-11-02</td>
<td>McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-12-04</td>
<td>McLoud Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-13-16</td>
<td>Midwest City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-14-05</td>
<td>Mustang Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-15-11</td>
<td>Norman Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-16-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-17-12</td>
<td>Ponca City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-18-09</td>
<td>Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-19-18</td>
<td>Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-20-17</td>
<td>Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-21-09</td>
<td>Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-01-06</td>
<td>Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-02-03</td>
<td>McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-03-11</td>
<td>Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-04-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-05-01</td>
<td>Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-06-18</td>
<td>Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-07-03</td>
<td>Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-08-01</td>
<td>Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-09-03</td>
<td>Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3 Countermeasure Strategy: High Visibility Enforcement
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State's problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State's unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

High-visibility enforcement is a proven strategy that includes targeted enforcement focusing on specific violations such as impaired driving, failure to wear seatbelts, and speeding. Additional HVE strategies may include use of integrated enforcement during specific times of the day or night where more crashes are occurring; daytime impaired driving checkpoints; short-term high-visibility enforcement within identified safety corridors; and increased nighttime seat belt enforcement activities. High-visibility enforcement, including participation in national seat belt and impaired driving mobilizations, is required of all law enforcement grants.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

There is an existing linkage already established between impaired driving, high-visibility enforcement and education. LE working overtime efforts to enhance and support a state or local impaired driving project is an accepted and supported practice. A large portion of funding is used to support such efforts in any effort to find and remove impaired drivers from the road. Alcohol-related crashes more typically occur during late evening and early morning hours. Fatal and injury alcohol-related crashes occurred more often between 8:00 p.m. and 4:00 a.m. and more often on Saturday and Sunday than any other day of the week. Impaired-driving projects will involve a comprehensive program of high-visibility enforcement, training and education.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

High-visibility enforcement and participation in mobilizations should be and is a component of any impaired-driving enforcement project funded through the OHSO.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-01-04</td>
<td>Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-04-01</td>
<td>Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-16</td>
<td>Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-07-15</td>
<td>Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-02-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-03-01</td>
<td>Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Beaver County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-02-18</td>
<td>Bixby Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-03-10</td>
<td>Calera Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-04-08</td>
<td>Creek County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Enforcement Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-05-06</td>
<td>Drumright Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-06-16</td>
<td>Durant Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-07-10</td>
<td>Grove Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-09-06</td>
<td>Idabel Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-10-01</td>
<td>Kay County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-11-02</td>
<td>McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-12-04</td>
<td>McLoud Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-13-16</td>
<td>Midwest City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-14-05</td>
<td>Mustang Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-15-11</td>
<td>Norman Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-16-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-17-12</td>
<td>Ponca City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-18-09</td>
<td>Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-19-18</td>
<td>Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-20-17</td>
<td>Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-21-09</td>
<td>Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Bartlesville Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Bethany Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-03-19</td>
<td>Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-04-02</td>
<td>Bryan County Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-05-21</td>
<td>Edmond Speed Management</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-06-10</td>
<td>McAlester Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-07-14</td>
<td>Owasso Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Piedmont Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-09-13</td>
<td>Shawnee Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-10-09</td>
<td>Tecumseh Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-12-12</td>
<td>Tulsa Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2HVE-19-07-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSHVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-01-06</td>
<td>Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-03-02-03</td>
<td>McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.6.3.1 Planned Activity: Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name  Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number  AL-19-03-01-04
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Del City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.2 Planned Activity: Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name
Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number AL-19-03-02-16

Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Lawton Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$65,800.00</td>
<td>$16,450.00</td>
<td>$65,800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.3 Planned Activity: Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>OP-19-03-04-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Osage County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$22,000.00</td>
<td>$5,500.00</td>
<td>$22,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.4 Planned Activity: Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement

- **Planned activity name**: Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement
- **Planned activity number**: AL-19-03-04-16
- **Primary countermeasure strategy**: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Sand Springs Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) Devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$6,250.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
5.6.3.5 Planned Activity: Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$6,250.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$82,000.00</td>
<td>$20,500.00</td>
<td>$82,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.6 Planned Activity: OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The project will fund a full-time OHP Statewide Impaired Driving Coordinator and six (6) full-time Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDLs) with the Oklahoma Highway Patrol to implement and conduct activities focusing primarily on impaired driving enforcement in support of national and state highway safety goals to reduce motor vehicle collisions and to provide assistance to local law enforcement agencies with regard to impaired driving enforcement, including the two national impaired driving mobilizations. Coordination of regional multi-jurisdiction events will occur on a regular basis, to include checkpoints and saturation patrols. Public information and education events, along with media releases when appropriate, will be used to inform the public of events and relevant traffic safety issues. As a secondary objective, the IDLs will conduct visits with local law enforcement agencies in support of National Highway Safety initiatives, including the “Click-It or Ticket” mobilization, or other identified traffic safety initiatives, and will assist in pre and post-mobilization activity reporting.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Department of Public Safety/Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

| Fiscal Year | Countermeasure Strategy Name |
2019 Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement
2019 Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints
2019 Integrated Enforcement
2019 Impaired Driving Task Force
2019 High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$283,806.00</td>
<td>$70,951.50</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.7 Planned Activity: Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number: AL-19-03-07-15
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt and child restraint violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, traffic safety checkpoints, and PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Enid Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.8 Planned Activity: Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement

Planned activity name: Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement

Planned activity number: OP-19-03-02-15

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt and child restraint violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, traffic safety checkpoints, and PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$93,325.00</td>
<td>$23,331.25</td>
<td>$93,325.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.9 Planned Activity: Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>OP-19-03-03-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt and child restraint violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, traffic safety checkpoints, and PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Rogers County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year  | Countermeasure Strategy Name
---|---
2019 | Integrated Enforcement
2019 | High Visibility Enforcement

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$16,300.00</td>
<td>$4,075.00</td>
<td>$16,300.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.10 Planned Activity: Beaver County Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity name**  | Beaver County Traffic Enforcement
**Planned activity number**  | PT-19-03-01-01
**Primary countermeasure strategy**  | High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Beaver County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
<td>$3,200.00</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.6.3.11 Planned Activity: Bixby Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Bixby Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-02-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Bixby Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$68,000.00</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
<td>$68,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.12 Planned Activity: Calera Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Calera Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-03-10

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Calera Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$32,000.00</td>
<td>$8,000.00</td>
<td>$32,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.13 Planned Activity: Creek County Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Creek County Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-04-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Creek County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,200.00</td>
<td>$3,800.00</td>
<td>$15,200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.14 Planned Activity: Drumright Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity name: Drumright Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-05-06
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Drumright Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$8,800.00</td>
<td>$2,200.00</td>
<td>$8,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.15 Planned Activity: Durant Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Durant Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-06-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Durant Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.16 Planned Activity: Grove Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Grove Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-07-10
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Grove Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$18,800.00</td>
<td>$4,700.00</td>
<td>$18,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.17 Planned Activity: Guthrie Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Guthrie Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
2019 Integrated Enforcement
2019 High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.18 Planned Activity: Idabel Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Idabel Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-09-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Idabel Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,460.00</td>
<td>$3,865.00</td>
<td>$15,460.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.
5.6.3.19 Planned Activity: Kay County Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Kay County Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-10-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(i) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Kay County Traffic Enforcement
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td>$2,700.00</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.6.3.20 Planned Activity: McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-11-02
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement

Counterm easure strategies

Select existing counterm easure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select counterm easure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Counterm easure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Counterm easure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.21 Planned Activity: McLoud Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: McLoud Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-12-04

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5) Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification] No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)] No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment] No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts] No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest] No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest] No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McLoud Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
<td>$2,375.00</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.22 Planned Activity: Midwest City Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Midwest City Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-13-16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Midwest City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year | Countermeasure Strategy Name
--- | ---
2019 | Integrated Enforcement
2019 | High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 | High Visibility Enforcement

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$34,000.00</td>
<td>$8,500.00</td>
<td>$34,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.6.3.23 Planned Activity: Mustang Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity name** Mustang Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity number** PT-19-03-14-05

**Primary countermeasure strategy** High Visibility Enforcement

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)?** § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations?** § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians?** § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan?** § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Mustang Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$18,850.00</td>
<td>$4,712.50</td>
<td>$18,850.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Per Unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.24 Planned Activity: Norman Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Norman Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-15-11

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Norman Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$73,400.00</td>
<td>$18,350.00</td>
<td>$73,400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.6.3.25 Planned Activity: Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-16-12

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2019 High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$361,764.00</td>
<td>$90,441.00</td>
<td>$361,764.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.6.3.26 Planned Activity: Ponca City Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Ponca City Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-17-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Ponca City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$35,714.00</td>
<td>$8,928.50</td>
<td>$35,714.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.
5.6.3.27 Planned Activity: Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-18-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description. This grant specifically will fund one full-time Project Deputy to primarily conduct traffic enforcement activity, but also to coordinate with other agencies within the county to promote traffic safety efforts and events. Activities will also include Public Information and Education presentations when possible.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Traffic Safety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$46,000.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$46,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.28 Planned Activity: Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-19-18

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description. These funds will be used for impaired driving enforcement to supplement enforcement efforts to be conducted with 164 Transfer funds.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Sapulpa Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$2,800.00</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>$2,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

*Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**5.6.3.29 Planned Activity: Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement**

- **Planned activity name**: Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement
- **Planned activity number**: PT-19-03-20-17
- **Primary countermeasure strategy**: High Visibility Enforcement

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**

*Yes*

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]**

*No*

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]**

*No*

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]**

*No*

**Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]**

*No*

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]**

*No*

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]**

*No*
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tahlequah Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
<td>$3,200.00</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.30 Planned Activity: Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-21-09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Warr Acres Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement
Fiscal Year | Countermeasure Strategy Name
---|---
2019 | Integrated Enforcement
2019 | High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 | High Visibility Enforcement

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.31 Planned Activity: Bartlesville Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Bartlesville Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-01-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**

Yes

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii)**

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed management enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Bartlesville Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$35,800.00</td>
<td>$8,950.00</td>
<td>$35,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.6.3.32 Planned Activity: Bethany Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name: Bethany Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number: SE-19-03-02-16
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.
Enter intended subrecipients.

Bethany Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,400.00</td>
<td>$6,350.00</td>
<td>$25,400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.33 Planned Activity: Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-03-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Broken Arrow Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Source Fiscal Year</strong></th>
<th><strong>Funding Source</strong></th>
<th><strong>Eligible Use of Funds</strong></th>
<th><strong>Estimated Funding Amount</strong></th>
<th><strong>Match Amount</strong></th>
<th><strong>Local Benefit</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$63,200.00</td>
<td>$15,800.00</td>
<td>$63,200.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.34 Planned Activity: Bryan County Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name: Bryan County Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number: SE-19-03-04-02
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Bryan County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$39,800.00</td>
<td>$9,950.00</td>
<td>$39,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.35 Planned Activity: Edmond Speed Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Edmond Speed Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-05-21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Edmond Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019 Sustained Enforcement
2019 Integrated Enforcement
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$72,700.00</td>
<td>$18,175.00</td>
<td>$72,700.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.36 Planned Activity: McAlester Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>McAlester Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-06-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.
McAlester Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$38,000.00</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
<td>$38,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

**5.6.3.37 Planned Activity: Owasso Speed Enforcement**

**Planned activity name**  
Owasso Speed Enforcement

**Planned activity number**  
SE-19-03-07-14

**Primary countermeasure strategy**  
High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

**Enter intended subrecipients.**

Owasso Police Department

**Countermeasure strategies**

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

**Countermeasure strategies in planned activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$50,650.00</td>
<td>$12,662.50</td>
<td>$50,650.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.38 Planned Activity: Piedmont Speed Enforcement

**Planned activity name**    Piedmont Speed Enforcement

**Planned activity number**  SE-19-03-08-03

**Primary countermeasure strategy**  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Piedmont Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$14,700.00</td>
<td>$3,675.00</td>
<td>$14,700.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.39 Planned Activity: Shawnee Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name: Shawnee Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number: SE-19-03-09-13
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Shawnee Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$26,000.00</td>
<td>$6,500.00</td>
<td>$26,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.40 Planned Activity: Tecumseh Speed Enforcement
Planned activity name: Tecumseh Speed Enforcement

Planned activity number: SE-19-03-10-09

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tecumseh Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$19,600.00</td>
<td>$4,900.00</td>
<td>$19,600.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speed Display Trailer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.41 Planned Activity: Tulsa Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name: Tulsa Speed Enforcement

Planned activity number: SE-19-03-12-12

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
2018  FAST Act NHTSA 402  Speed Enforcement (FAST)  $13,241.05  $3,310.26  $13,241.05
2019  FAST Act NHTSA 402  Speed Enforcement (FAST)  $64,058.95  $16,014.74  $64,058.95

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.42 Planned Activity: OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement

Planned activity name  OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement
Planned activity number  M2HVE-19-03-01-13
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Under the direction of a OHSO funded full-time OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator, the OHP will conduct overtime enforcement activities statewide focusing on occupant protection violations utilizing a no tolerance policy. Overtime assignments will be concentrated in designated counties of Oklahoma in an effort to impact low belt use counties and those having a high unrestrained fatality rate. Troopers will conduct overtime occupant protection enforcement in locations and times as determined by the State OP LEL. At least 10% of the enforcement hours worked will be dedicated to nighttime enforcement activities. Enforcement may include checkpoints, saturation patrols, and PI&E efforts. Locations will include those areas where a higher than average number of KAB crashes involving unrestrained occupants occur based on problem identification. Troopers will encourage cooperative efforts with area law enforcement and tribal police agencies.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019 OP Statewide Law Enforcement Coordinator
2019 Integrated Enforcement
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$70,900.00</td>
<td>$17,725.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$60,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.43 Planned Activity: OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator
Planned activity name: OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator
Planned activity number: M2HVE-19-07-01-13
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator will organize and coordinate occupant protection enforcement efforts in cooperation with local agencies, focusing on targeted areas to reach those areas and communities having higher than average unbelted KAB crashes and fatalities.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year  Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019  High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$17,958.00</td>
<td>$4,489.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$104,142.00</td>
<td>$26,035.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.6.3.44 Planned Activity: OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name  OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number  M5HVE-19-03-01-13
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Under the direction of the Statewide Impaired Driving Law Enforcement Coordinator, the Oklahoma Highway Patrol will conduct High Visibility Enforcement (HVE) efforts within each of the thirteen geographical OHP Troop Headquarters statewide. The Coordinator will work with Troops A-M to facilitate overtime assignments at high-risk locations within each Troop area. The Law Enforcement Planner will conduct detailed crash analysis to aid in identifying areas for enforcement. Troopers will be assigned to work overtime shifts to enforce alcohol/drug impaired driving-related traffic laws in high-risk areas statewide. In addition to the two national impaired driving mobilizations, Troopers will participate in interagency impaired driving enforcement efforts, conducting regional enforcement events in cooperation with county and local law enforcement in support of the ENDUI initiative.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.45 Planned Activity: OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M5OT-19-06-01-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This activity will fund the purchase of a new large type SUV (possibly a Tahoe) to be assigned to an Impaired Driving Liaison as their regular patrol unit and used in the performance of his/her duties in promoting Impaired Driving HVE, checkpoints, area-wide task force activities, and other related duties. The vehicle will be outfitted with an Intoxilyzer breath testing device and other impaired-driver testing related equipment. This vehicle is expected to coordinate with and possibly replace the current ENDUI Vans.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Department of Public Safety/Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Outreach Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Breath Test Devices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.46 Planned Activity: Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-01-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Alva Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**5.6.3.47 Planned Activity: McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-02-03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**

Yes

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]**

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McCurtain County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.48 Planned Activity: Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-03-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5) Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification] No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)] No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment] No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts] No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Norman Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.49 Planned Activity: Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-04-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$103,657.00</td>
<td>$103,657.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.50 Planned Activity: Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity number: 164AL-19-03-05-01

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Osage County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.51 Planned Activity: Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity name: Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity number: 164AL-19-03-06-18

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Sapulpa Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year | Countermeasure Strategy Name
--- | ---
2019 | Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement
2019 | Integrated Enforcement
2019 | High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 | High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$48,200.00</td>
<td>$48,200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| No records found.

5.6.3.52 Planned Activity: Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-07-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Seminole Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.53 Planned Activity: Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity number: 164AL-19-03-08-01

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No
Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Sequoyah County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.6.3.54 Planned Activity: Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number: 164AL-19-03-09-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Stillwater Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$58,000.00</td>
<td>$58,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.3.55 Planned Activity: Tulsa County Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Tulsa County Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-11-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]  
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
<td>$4,250.00</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.7 Program Area: Teen Traffic Safety Program
Program area type  Teen Traffic Safety Program

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

Driver education and improvement programs are available to all ages in Oklahoma through such programs as the AARP “55 Alive” program and the Oklahoma Safety Council Defensive Driving Course, but teenage drivers normally receive their education and training through Driver Education programs at schools, which have been on the decline for the last several years, commercial driver training schools, and parent taught training. Statistics reflect that in 2014 there were 12,611 drivers age 16-19 involved in motor vehicle crashes, followed by 13,683 in 2015, 13,190 in 2016 and 13,447 in 2017.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period(Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value(Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2,701.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-9) Number of drivers age 20 or younger involved in fatal crashes (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.1 Countermeasure Strategy: School Programs

Program area  Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)

Countermeasure strategy  School Programs
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description
To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-02-02-06</td>
<td>ODMHSAS AlcoholEdu Project</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-02-01-05</td>
<td>DCCCA Teen Seat Belt Safety Project</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-02-02-05</td>
<td>OK SAFE Conference</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP-19-02-01-02</td>
<td>Cinema Drive Teen Traffic Safety Program</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP-19-02-02-05</td>
<td>Distracted Driving Prevention</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.1.1 Planned Activity: ODMHSAS AlcoholEdu Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>ODMHSAS AlcoholEdu Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>AL-19-02-02-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

AlcoholEdu is an online course made available to Oklahoma schools designed to allow students to travel virtually through a community to better understand the risks around drinking alcohol and apply their learning in the areas of blood alcohol concentration (BAC), standard drink definition, alcohol’s effects on the mind/body, protective strategies, and bystander intervention. The proposed project will utilize funds to increase the number of Oklahoma high schools participating in AlcoholEdu through outreach. Funds will be used to overcome a barrier to program implementation at the high school level by providing licensing fees for implementation. The ODMHSAS will maintain a full-time Prevention Program Manager that will devote a percentage of their time to project activities. The Prevention Program Manager will be responsible for face-to-face promotion of the program and provide technical assistance to the state’s largest, high impact schools.

Enter intended subrecipients.

ODMHSAS through a contract with the AlcoholEdu vendor will offer this program to all the schools identified by the vendor as eligible and wishing to participate, up to the limit of funding available through the grant.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Youth Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
<td>$11,850.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Youth Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$73,600.00</td>
<td>$18,400.00</td>
<td>$58,144.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.7.1.2 Planned Activity: DCCCA Teen Seat Belt Safety Project

- **Planned activity name**: DCCCA Teen Seat Belt Safety Project
- **Planned activity number**: OP-19-02-01-05
- **Primary countermeasure strategy**: School Programs

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

- Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

- No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

- No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

- No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

- No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

- No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

- No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

- No

Enter description of the planned activity.
SAFE is a partnership between students, law enforcement agencies and traffic safety advocates designed to bring awareness to the importance of wearing seat belts to reduce the number and severity of KAB crashes among Oklahoma’s high school students. Law enforcement agency participation and support will be solicited in the targeted counties by the LE Outreach Representative. The Traffic Safety Specialist (TSS) will recruit school sponsors and student teams (SAFE teams) from targeted counties, assist them with their seat belt education efforts, and train them to perform unannounced seat belt observation surveys at their schools. The TSS will also solicit community and financial support from private and public organizations. SAFE teams will conduct monthly programs from the kickoff event through the final event to promote seat belt use at their schools.

Enter intended subrecipients.

DCCCA, Inc.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year  Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019  School Programs

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
<td>$11,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$72,749.00</td>
<td>$18,187.25</td>
<td>$54,561.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.7.1.3 Planned Activity: OK SAFE Conference

Planned activity name  OK SAFE Conference
Planned activity number  OP-19-02-05-05
Primary countermeasure strategy  School Programs

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project will bring selected SAFE Teams and their sponsors to a one-day conference to be held at a yet to be determined location. Speakers will be recruited from traffic safety advocates to address the attendees regarding Oklahoma’s traffic safety issues. This conference will provide a connection for SAFE Teams and sponsors from participating schools to share ideas and accomplishments from their participation in the SAFE program. Activities will include recognizing outstanding efforts of SAFE Teams and law enforcement involvement in the program. The project will provide an opportunity for Oklahoma students to come together and visit about the traffic safety issues facing teens and strengthen existing SAFE programs toward continued participation.

Enter intended subrecipients.

DCCCA, Inc.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>NHTSA 402</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$7,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.7.1.4 Planned Activity: Cinema Drive Teen Traffic Safety Program

Planned activity name: Cinema Drive Teen Traffic Safety Program
Planned activity number: TSP-19-02-01-02
Primary countermeasure strategy: School Programs

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
This program engages teenagers within a school setting in a multi-sensory experience to address the consequences of traffic safety including driving rules/laws, distracted driving and occupant protection. The program also provides follow-up sessions to reinforce the teenagers’ experience.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Children & Parents Resource Center

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Teen Safety Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$40,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.7.1.5 Planned Activity: Distracted Driving Prevention

Planned activity name Distracted Driving Prevention
Planned activity number TSP-19-02-02-05
Enter description of the planned activity.

Educational Alternatives will recruit 120 teams to attend six peer-leadership activity planning conferences for Oklahoma high school and middle school student leaders to raise awareness and reduce distracted driving among their peers in their local schools and community. Each team will consist of five students and an adult adviser. These conferences will be conducted on Saturdays throughout the 2018 and 2019 school years. The approach will use slightly older peers (college students) to motivate and train these students.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Educational Alternatives

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name

2019 School Programs

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Teen Safety Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$6,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Teen Safety Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$76,500.00</td>
<td>$19,125.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.7.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Driver Education & Training

Program area  Driver Education and Behavior

Countermeasure strategy  Driver Education & Training

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year
of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred.

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4)

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B)

[Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f)

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2)

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2)

[Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

The primary goals of any traffic safety program are to identify, develop and promote programs to positively affect a change in behavior to reduce the number and severity of traffic crashes. Education must reach drivers of all ages, but young drivers are especially prone to risky and unsafe driving behaviors. Oklahoma has long had Driver Improvement Courses approved by the Department of Public Safety and the Defensive Driving Course certified and presented by the Oklahoma Safety Council. Other courses have also been identified for this area, including the Alive at 25 program by the Oklahoma Safety Council and the Cinema Driving Experience by the Children & Parent Resource Group.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Strategies proposed for the Driver Education program will have the potential to impact all areas of the state, based on available opportunities, with particular emphasis on texting and driving. The Alive at 25 program is often used by court systems in judicial adjudication. With the adoption of a new texting law effective November 1, 2015 greater emphasis will be placed on those programs promoting no texting and driving, including statewide paid media and educational efforts. Educational Alternatives is in the second year of a pilot program to curb distracted driving through school related groups and peer to peer mentoring. The National Safety Council’s Our Driving Concern is an employer program which seeks to partner with employers through the state to provide traffic safety education to workers, with emphasis on speed and aggressive driving, distracted driving, impaired driving (including drowsy driving) and occupant protection. The selected strategies are evidence-based and have been shown to have a positive effect on changing attitudes and behaviors related to these at-risk behaviors with the target of reducing the number of fatalities and injuries crashes involving distracted driving and risk-taking behaviors.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.
Between 2007 and 2011, Oklahoma averaged 57 drivers under the age of 21 killed every year in motor vehicle crashes. From 2012 through 2016, that average decreased to 40 drivers under age 21 killed every year. Between 2012 and 2016 there was an average of 526 distracted drivers between ages of 16-25 involved in KAB crashes in Oklahoma each year - by far representing the largest percentage by age group. While driver education should be and is available to all age groups, the 16-25 year old age group would show the greatest need.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DE-19-02-02-09</td>
<td>Oklahoma Safety Council Alive at 25 Program</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE-19-02-03-01</td>
<td>OU Health Sciences Center Older Driver Safety Program</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.2.1 Planned Activity: Oklahoma Safety Council Alive at 25 Program

Planned activity name                Oklahoma Safety Council Alive at 25 Program
Planned activity number              DE-19-02-02-09
Primary countermeasure strategy      Driver Education & Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

“Alive at 25” is a course developed by the National Safety Council focusing on teenagers and young adults ages 15-24. It is designed to be an early intervention program to help prevent traffic violations, collisions and/or fatalities involving young drivers through education. This project will focus on training “Alive at 25” instructors and effectively presenting the program. “Alive at 25” is taught exclusively by trained police officers and certified driving instructors in Oklahoma in one four-hour session. Topics addressed include speeding, distracted driving, aggressive driving, seat belts, impaired driving and other life-or-death issues pertinent to younger drivers.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Safety Council

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Driver Education (FAST)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.7.2.2 Planned Activity: OU Health Sciences Center Older Driver Safety Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>OU Health Sciences Center Older Driver Safety Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>DE-19-02-03-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The Oklahoma Healthy Aging Initiative (OHAI) "Older Driver Safety: Laws, Medical Issues, and Safety Concerns" addresses the issues that surround the safety of older adult drivers. Developed in conjunction with the Oklahoma Highway Patrol, this program examines the factors that contribute to the increasing danger of driving as an older adult. It explores the role that impaired vision, impaired hearing, and impaired cognition play in the safety of older adult drivers. "Older Driver Safety" also offers tips to increase older adult driving safety and offers advice and ideas on what to do when it is time to stop driving and "give up the keys".

Enter intended subrecipients.

University of Oklahoma Office of Research Administration

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Driver Education (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Driver Education (FAST)</td>
<td>$60,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.8 Program Area: Traffic Records
Program area type: Traffic Records

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

The ability to effectively collect, collate and analyze data is not only ancillary in nature, but is of prime importance in being able to identify problems and measure program effectiveness. Recognizing such need, Oklahoma continues to work to improve its data collection and analysis systems, including improvement of its ability to create timely and accessible citation and crash location maps. Creating such interfaces will allow for the timely development of effective crash countermeasures, especially as related to county roads and city streets.

Over the last several years, Oklahoma has made great strides in integrating GPS information into crash reports and electronically submitting that information to the appropriate agencies involved when a crash occurs, but more work is needed. Geocoding city/street data has greatly increased the number of mappable crashes in the State on these types of roadways within both the PARIS and SAFE-T systems. Improving crash reporting systems to enable electronic submission of crash reports, including GPS information, utilizing the PARIS and CRS systems (collectively now referred to as PARIS.web) will continue to improve the timeliness and completeness of crash data. Expansion of these systems will increase the number of crash reports containing geospatial information gathered at the time of the investigation and will also allow users to more effectively utilize the data for a variety of visual planning tools to address their traffic safety problems. The long-term plan includes development of a statewide Data-Driven Approach to Crime and Traffic Safety (DDACTS) type system which will be linked to criminal data from the Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation.

Oklahoma has also made significant improvements in creation of a system to collect data related to impaired driving arrests – currently no such system exists. However, new law effective November 1, 2016 statutorily authorizes and creates a “Statewide Impaired Driver Database”. Utilizing PARIS.web as the portal, a uniform Impaired Driving Arrest form will be utilized by all law enforcement agencies to report and submit impaired driving arrest data to the database.

Improvement in the core traffic record systems within the Department of Public Safety is a priority with the Traffic Records Council. The Traffic Records Council will take the lead in evaluating those core services and making recommendations on changes and improvements to user access and data integration.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period(Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value(Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>To continue development of the statewide Impaired Driver Database</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>To develop a new electronic statewide crash data reporting system</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area
Fiscal Year | Countermeasure Strategy Name
--- | ---
2019 | Improves completeness of a core highway safety database
2019 | Improves Analysis and Evaluation of Crash Data
2019 | Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database
2019 | Accident Investigation

5.8.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Improves completeness of a core highway safety database

Program area | Traffic Records
Countermeasure strategy | Improves completeness of a core highway safety database

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-05-13</td>
<td>PARIS System Improvement</td>
<td>Improves accuracy of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-06-02</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Database System Development</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.8.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Improves Analysis and Evaluation of Crash Data

Program area  Traffic Records

Countermeasure strategy  Improves Analysis and Evaluation of Crash Data

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

The ability to better analyze and evaluate crash and enforcement data will aid in the planning of improved methodologies and better use of resources toward decreasing the number and severity of traffic crashes in Oklahoma. Most Oklahoma agencies do not employ a planner or crash analyst to assist in this. Through proper use of funds and personnel, the OHSO and DPS are attempting to aid agencies with this.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.
The ability to effectively collect, collate and analyze data is not only ancillary in nature, but is of prime importance in being able to identify problems and measure program effectiveness. Recognizing such need, Oklahoma continues to work to improve its data collection and analysis systems, including improvement of its ability to create timely and accessible citation and crash location maps. Creating such interfaces will allow for the timely development of effective crash countermeasures, especially as related to county roads and city streets. Several countermeasures, including professional crash analysis, improvement in crash reporting systems, and better training in crash investigation, have been identified and funds allocated based on the availability of funds and project needs.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

The DPS Futures, Capabilities and Plans Division will employ a full-time Enforcement Systems Planner. This position will work toward improving the current DPS crash reporting systems to better utilize electronic data submitted, such as that from PARIS and SAFE-T, and improve the accuracy of the data and timeliness to be able to generate multi-layer reports for use by law enforcement agencies to improve the effectiveness of traffic safety efforts. The position will work with both the OHP and local governmental agencies, utilizing both internal and external data systems, to support the creation of a statewide enforcement plan and traffic safety corridors, assist in integration of crash and criminal data systems (DDACTS), evaluate the effectiveness of the systems and be the lead in the creation of a wide variety of traffic records reports. This position will also actively assist in the development, implementation and integration of the statewide Impaired Driving Database system.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-05-01-06</td>
<td>DPS/OHP Traffic Enforcement Planner</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>DPS-00090 - Drivers Records</td>
<td>Improves integration between one or more core highway safety databases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-04-16</td>
<td>SAFE-T System Improvement</td>
<td>Improves Analysis and Evaluation of Crash Data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.8.2.1 Planned Activity: SAFE-T System Improvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>SAFE-T System Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M3DA-19-06-04-16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The University of Oklahoma School of Computer Science will continue with maintenance and enhancement of the SAFE-T system. Activities will include the improvement and refinement of geocoded city street locations for the cities of Lawton, Enid, Stillwater, Muskogee and Bartlesville; the editing and correction of current SAFE-T system query and reporting features as requested; the enhancement of the Sliding Scale analysis feature to search by roadway types and city streets; the selection of representative users (e.g., municipal planners) and interaction with them to implement enhancements that make the system more useful for planning and assessing municipal highway improvement projects; and the addition of new fields to the data exports and the development of the capability to save and load user criteria to enhance the user-friendliness of the system.

Enter intended subrecipients.

University of Oklahoma

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Improves Analysis and Evaluation of Crash Data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$37,400.00</td>
<td>$9,350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$60,796.00</td>
<td>$15,199.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per Unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.8.3 Countermeasure Strategy: Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database

Program area: Traffic Records

Countermeasure strategy: Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-05-01-06</td>
<td>DPS/OHP Traffic Enforcement Planner</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-05-02-12</td>
<td>DPS Traffic Records Support</td>
<td>Improves timeliness of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-03-03</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Database Hosting</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-07-03</td>
<td>Traffic Records Online Access</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.8.3.1 Planned Activity: DPS/OHP Traffic Enforcement Planner

Planned activity name                DPS/OHP Traffic Enforcement Planner
Planned activity number              M3DA-19-05-01-06
Primary countermeasure strategy      Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No
Enter description of the planned activity.

The DPS Futures, Capabilities and Plans Division will employ a full-time Enforcement Systems Planner. This position will work toward improving the current DPS crash reporting systems to better utilize electronic data submitted, such as that from PARIS and SAFE-T, and improve the accuracy of the data and timeliness to be able to generate multi-layer reports for use by law enforcement agencies to improve the effectiveness of traffic safety efforts. The position will work with both the OHP and local governmental agencies, utilizing both internal and external data systems, to support the creation of a statewide enforcement plan and traffic safety corridors, assist in integration of crash and criminal data systems (DDACTS), evaluate the effectiveness of the systems and be the lead in the creation of a wide variety of traffic records reports. This position will also actively assist in the development, implementation and integration of the statewide Impaired Driving Database system

Enter intended subrecipients.

Department of Public Safety/Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
2019 Improves Analysis and Evaluation of Crash Data
2019 Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$41,500.00</td>
<td>$10,375.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$67,853.00</td>
<td>$16,963.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.8.3.2 Planned Activity: Impaired Driving Database Hosting

Planned activity name | Impaired Driving Database Hosting
Planned activity number | M3DA-19-06-03-03
Primary countermeasure strategy | Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project will continue supporting the operation of an Oklahoma impaired driver database to track impaired driving arrests statewide for a second year. The software will be developed by OK DPS’s designated third-party contractor as a cloud-based web service. It is understood that this system will not store protected personally identifiable data such as the social security number other than the last four SSN digits. The OU Center for ITS will provide internet connectivity, secure VPN connections, and a virtualized server to host the system in the National Weather Center third floor machine room. The OU Center for ITS will continue to operate, manage and maintain the system, including continuous performance and security monitoring and system tuning to optimize performance.

Enter intended subrecipients.

University of Oklahoma

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$13,800.00</td>
<td>$3,450.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$22,498.00</td>
<td>$5,624.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
5.8.3.3 Planned Activity: Traffic Records Online Access

Planned activity name | Traffic Records Online Access
---|---
Planned activity number | M3DA-19-06-07-03
Primary countermeasure strategy | Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Design and maintenance for a state-wide crash data query site to be used by grantees, the highway safety office, law enforcement, the media, and members of the public to access crash data to identify trends and problems in their areas.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$24,800.00</td>
<td>$6,200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,200.00</td>
<td>$3,800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.8.3.4 Planned Activity: Impaired Driving Database System Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Impaired Driving Database System Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M3DA-19-06-06-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This funding is designated for use in the continued development of a statewide impaired driver database. This database was mandated by legislation passed during the FY16 session and effective on November 1, 2016. The state recognizes the need for a database to be able to follow an impaired driving charge from arrest through final disposition. This system would be utilized by all parties in the criminal justice system, including prevention and treatment, as allowed by law, to better recognize and deter impaired driving repeat offenders. This project may involve the use of identified subcontractors to aid in the development and integration of various system components.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Department of Public Safety

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Improves uniformity of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Improves completeness of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.8.4 Countermeasure Strategy: Accident Investigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area</th>
<th>Police Traffic Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Accident Investigation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

A primary duty of most law enforcement agencies is to investigate traffic crashes occurring within their jurisdiction. The basic course of instruction in most police training schools does not adequately train officers in the more modern or advanced techniques of crash investigation. By offering more and better crash investigation training, a better evaluation and reporting of crashes will occur and a better understanding of the when, where and why crashes occur should result.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Additional and advanced crash investigation training will aid in problem identification of when, where and why crashes are occurring. In addition, electronic crash reporting will improve the timeliness, accuracy and completeness of crash reports. Funding is provided for both improvements to the system and advanced training opportunities in this Highway Safety Plan.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Training: These activities are designed to teach advanced crash investigation techniques to officers that investigate vehicle crashes that they might otherwise not receive. The instructors with the OHP are highly trained and certified in advanced crash investigation and receive necessary training to stay aware of modern advances in the science.

Crash Reporting Systems: Oklahoma will continue to look for ways in which to improve its crash reporting system to a totally electronic system available to and required for use by all law enforcement agencies in Oklahoma.

Available funding is reviewed by the OHSO and allocated based on funding availability and complexity of the program.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>OHP Advanced Crash Investigation Training</td>
<td>Accident Investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI-19-06-01-08</td>
<td>OHP Fatality Crash Investigation Teams</td>
<td>Accident Investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-02-01</td>
<td>OHP Fatality Crash Reporting Training</td>
<td>Accident Investigation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.8.4.1 Planned Activity: OHP Advanced Crash Investigation Training

Planned activity name          OHP Advanced Crash Investigation Training
Planned activity number        AI-19-02-01-03
Primary countermeasure strategy Accident Investigation

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
In order to improve the ability of agencies to better investigate vehicle crashes, the OHP will conduct advanced crash investigation courses to train police agencies in modern advanced crash investigation techniques.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Department of Public Safety/Oklahoma Highway Patrol
Countermessure strategies

Select existing countermessure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermessure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermessure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Accident Investigation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Accident Investigation (FAST)</td>
<td>$43,900.00</td>
<td>$10,975.00</td>
<td>$32,925.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.8.4.2 Planned Activity: OHP Fatality Crash Investigation Teams

Planned activity name: OHP Fatality Crash Investigation Teams
Planned activity number: AI-19-06-01-08
Primary countermeasure strategy: Accident Investigation

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHP currently has 13 Troop Crash Teams located in offices around Oklahoma that service 6-7 Oklahoma counties each. In addition the OHP has a State Crash Team that responds to large scale events that will tax the resources of the local troop team, and eight full-time Traffic Homicide Investigators (THIs) in the busier areas of the state. The OHP Crash Teams not only provide highly advanced technology to our serious injury and fatal crash investigations thru an OHSO grant but also assist more than 200 law enforcement agencies each year with investigating their serious injury and fatal collisions. They are routinely called upon by local law enforcement agencies and prosecutors to assist with the investigation of motor vehicle deaths. This grant will also fund the purchase of advanced computer software to take the data from event data recorders, drones, scene investigations and forensic mapping compilations and produce high quality animations that re-create in high definition what occurred in a collision. This project will further expand these capabilities by establishing fatality crash (signal 30) teams in all 13 troops around the state.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Department of Public Safety/Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Accident Investigation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Accident Investigation (FAST)</td>
<td>$49,045.00</td>
<td>$12,261.25</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

*Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

**5.8.4.3 Planned Activity: OHP Fatality Crash Reporting Training**

**Planned activity name**

OHP Fatality Crash Reporting Training

**Planned activity number**

M3DA-19-06-02-01

**Primary countermeasure strategy**

Accident Investigation

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(i)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Officers from local police departments that have investigated a fatal crash in the past three years will be invited to attend this training. The attendees will see a staged collision occur and analyze the crashed vehicles and the roadway evidence. Attendees will then travel to the conference site where the statutory requirements for reporting a fatal collision to DPS will be explained and discussed in detail. The attendees will see presentations from OHSO staff regarding crash data and how it is used in problem identification and reporting. Troopers with the OHP Traffic Homicide Program will demonstrate to the attendees how a variety of stored electronic data including phone data, event data recorder information can be used to conduct a complete technical investigation into speed and distraction. DRE's will discuss the types of impairing drugs trending in Oklahoma and what to look for when inventorying a vehicle involved in a fatal crash as well as how to read toxicology reports from the OSBI Lab and the state Medical Examiner. At the conclusion of the conference those police agencies will have a better understanding of how to more accurately investigate and report fatal collisions to ensure better quality testimony and data quality submission in the state crash reporting system.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Accident Investigation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$3,443.00</td>
<td>$860.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405c Data Program</td>
<td>405c Data Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$11,557.00</td>
<td>$2,889.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.9 Program Area: Non-motorized (Pedestrians and Bicyclist)
Program area type  Non-motorized (Pedestrians and Bicyclist)

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

Oklahoma experienced 90 pedestrian and 5 bicyclist fatalities in 2016. Approximately 63% (525) of the total bicycle and pedestrian KAB crashes occurred in urban areas, with over 50% of the KAB crashes involving bicyclists and pedestrians occurring in the greater Oklahoma City and Tulsa metro areas - 32% (171) occurring within the greater Oklahoma City area and 20% (104) within the greater Tulsa metropolitan area. The 5-year rolling average for fatalities projects little change in the number of bicyclist fatalities as well as an increase in the number of pedestrian fatalities over the next three years. Oklahoma has recognized this undesirable trend, but we have not been able to identify any specific behavioral or educational programs that have proven effective to any great extent. Most pedestrian fatalities were adults, often affected by alcohol or other substances, crossing in unlighted and unmarked areas. In FY2015, OHSO initiated a pilot program with the Indian Nation Council of Governments (INCOG) in Tulsa to improve bicycle and pedestrian safety in the greater Tulsa metropolitan area, as this area was identified by NHTSA in 2012 as being well above the national average for bicyclist and pedestrian fatalities. This is still an ongoing project to better identify the problem and possible solutions. Although not funded through a grant from the OHSO, the City of Oklahoma City is continuing to participate in the Mayor’s Challenge, a national pedestrian safety initiative. Is it a goal to work more closely with the various Metropolitan Planning Organizations to promote bicycle and pedestrian safety programs within their respective boundaries.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period (Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value (Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-10) Number of pedestrian fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>74.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-11) Number of bicyclists fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Pedestrian/Pedalcyclist Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Elementary-age Child Pedestrian Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Elementary-age Child Bicyclist Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.9.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Public Information & Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area</th>
<th>Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Public Information and Education, done properly, is a universally long-recognized countermeasure to aid in achieving a change in attitudes and behaviors. This project will support the traffic safety education activities of the full-time deputy in public information and education efforts by purchasing a impaired driving simulator for use in those efforts. While the impact of traffic safety education cannot realistically be measured quantitatively, public information and education is a primary countermeasure that has been recognized as an effective part of any traffic safety program.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Effective impaired driving efforts must include both enforcement and education (NHTSA Countermeasures That Work, 8th Edition). The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention will be used to fund this program purchase.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention funding will be used to fund this program purchase for the purposes and strategies previously explained.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-06-02-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.9.1.1 Planned Activity: Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The full-time deputy employed as the "OHSO Traffic Safety Educator Project Deputy" with the Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office makes traffic safety presentations statewide to various groups, including schools, civic clubs, businesses, organization, etc.. Using 164 Transfer Funds, Oklahoma County will purchase one DS-575 Basic Simulator from Drive Square including the Impaired Driving Demonstrator Module (IDD). The IDD allows a student to drive in a simulated drunk mode. IDD simulates the effects of Driving under Influence (DUI) and Driving While Intoxicated (DWI) driving, such as delayed response to controls and narrowing of the effective field of view. This project is part of the overall impaired driving traffic safety program area.

Enter intended subrecipient.

Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office as the primary agency. Recipients of the education will be the various entities statewide to which presentations are made.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving Simulator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.9.1.2 Planned Activity: Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E

Planned activity name: Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E
Planned activity number: AL-19-04-01-00
Primary countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of impaired driving-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.9.1.3 Planned Activity: Occupant Protection Aea PI&E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Occupant Protection Aea PI&amp;E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>OP-19-04-01-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of occupant protection-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.9.1.4 Planned Activity: Police Traffic Services PI&E

Planned activity name: Police Traffic Services PI&E
Planned activity number: PT-19-04-01-00
Primary countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle
and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities related to traffic safety, including development and printing of various traffic safety related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Police Traffic Services PI&E

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.9.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Pedestrian/Pedalcyclist Safety
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PS-19-02-01-05</td>
<td>INCOG Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety Project</td>
<td>Pedestrian/Pedalcyclist Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS-19-02-02-21</td>
<td>Safe Kids Oklahoma Bicyclist/Pedestrian Safety Program</td>
<td>Pedestrian/Pedalcyclist Safety</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.9.2.1 Planned Activity: INCOG Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>INCOG Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PS-19-02-01-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Pedestrian/Pedalcyclist Safety</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The project work plan is to impact bicycle and pedestrian safety from several approaches, particularly education, encouragement, and engineering. Project efforts are planned and implemented by interdisciplinary teams working together to target problem areas and offer practical solutions for improvement. The INCOG Transportation Safety Coordinator (TSC) provides assistance and oversight of these interdisciplinary teams and acts as a liaison between agencies and/or departments involved in the process.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Indian Nations Council of Governments

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Pedestrian/Pedalcyclist Safety</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety (FAST)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety (FAST)</td>
<td>$40,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.9.2.2 Planned Activity: Safe Kids Oklahoma Bicyclist/Pedestrian Safety Program

Planned activity name: Safe Kids Oklahoma Bicyclist/Pedestrian Safety Program
Planned activity number: PS-19-02-02-21
Primary countermeasure strategy: Pedestrian/Pedalcyclist Safety

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Safe Kids Oklahoma (SKO) will use qualified, experienced employees and community partners to implement pedestrian and bicyclist safety education through events and activities such as: Walk this Way, Spot the Tot, Bike Rodeos, Bike to School Day and other related events/activities targeting children who walk or bike to school. SKO will be responsible for oversight and assistance in planning and implementation of events and activities at the local...
level. Outreach efforts will be conducted to determine locations that are suitable for implementation and potentially maximize the number of target participants. Safe Kids Oklahoma (SKO) will use qualified, experienced employees and community partners to implement pedestrian and bicyclist safety education through events and activities such as: Walk this Way, Spot the Tot, Bike Rodeos, Bike to School Day and other related events/activities targeting children who walk or bike to school. SKO will be responsible for oversight and assistance in planning and implementation of events and activities at the local level. Outreach efforts will be conducted to determine locations that are suitable for implementation and potentially maximize the number of target participants.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Safe Kids Oklahoma

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Pedestrian/Pedalcyclist Safety</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety (FAST)</td>
<td>$19,600.00</td>
<td>$4,900.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.9.3 Countermeasure Strategy: Elementary-age Child Pedestrian Training

Program area     Non-motorized (Pedestrians and Bicyclist)

Countermeasure strategy     Elementary-age Child Pedestrian Training

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Countermeasure strategy description
To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness
Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities
Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.9.4 Countermeasure Strategy: Elementary-age Child Bicyclist Training

Program area  Non-motorized (Pedestrians and Bicyclist)
Countermeasure strategy  Elementary-age Child Bicyclist Training

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

No records found.

5.10 Program Area: Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Program area type Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.
Impaired driving is a major concern not only in Oklahoma but across the nation, resulting in thousands of lives each year lost needlessly and life-changing injuries received. In 2016, 189 persons were killed and 2,104 persons injured in alcohol-related crashes in Oklahoma. Of those 189 fatalities, 150 were drivers, 29 were passengers, and ten were pedestrians. In 2016, there were 3,562 drivers with an alcohol-related driver condition involved in crashes. Drivers age 26-35 accounted for almost one-third of all those crashes (29.6%), followed by drivers age 16-25 at 26.2%. Of the 179 vehicle occupant fatalities in alcohol-related crashes, 51.4% were occupants of passenger vehicles, 30.2% were occupants of pickup trucks, 16.2% were motorcyclists, 1.1% were ATV/motor scooter riders, and another 1.1% were occupants of large trucks. Alcohol-related crashes more typically occur during late evening and early morning hours. Fatal and injury alcohol-related crashes occurred more often between 8:00 p.m. and 4:00 a.m. and more often on Saturday and Sunday than any other day of the week. There were also 134 impaired driving drug related fatalities in 2016 and 2017 preliminary data reflects 54, however the drug statistics are often delayed due longer testing and reporting periods and that number will surely increase. The ability to recognize and test for drugs already know and those which are coming to light every day will continue to be a challenge. According to information from the Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services, Oklahoma ranks No. 1 in illegal use of prescription painkillers, No. 21 in methamphetamine addiction and in the top tier regionally in people who need substance abuse treatment and don’t get it.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period(Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value(Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>699.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2,701.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>139.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Urban fatalities/VMT (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Rural fatalities/VMT (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Number of drug-related fatalities (State)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>To continue development of the statewide Impaired Driver Database</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Traffic Safety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) Devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>OP Highway Safety Office Program Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.10.1 Countermeasure Strategy: Publicized Traffic Safety Checkpoints

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-18-09</td>
<td>Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints

Program area

Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-16-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.3 Countermeasure Strategy: Public Information & Education

Program area: Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Public Information and Education, done properly, is a universally long-recognized countermeasure to aid in achieving a change in attitudes and behaviors. This project will support the traffic safety education activities of the full-time deputy in public information and education efforts by purchasing a impaired driving simulator for use in those efforts. While the impact of traffic safety education cannot realistically be measured quantitatively, public information and education is a primary countermeasure that has been recognized as an effective part of any traffic safety program.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Effective impaired driving efforts must include both enforcement and education (NHTSA Countermeasures That Work, 8th Edition). The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention will be used to fund this program purchase.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention funding will be used to fund this program purchase for the purposes and strategies previously explained.

Planned activities
Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

**Planned activities in countermeasure strategy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-06-02-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**5.10.3.1 Planned Activity: Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education**

- **Planned activity name**: Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education
- **Planned activity number**: 164AL-19-06-02-12
- **Primary countermeasure strategy**: Public Information & Education

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]**

No
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)] No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The full-time deputy employed as the "OHSO Traffic Safety Educator Project Deputy" with the Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office makes traffic safety presentations statewide to various groups, including schools, civic clubs, businesses, organization, etc.. Using 164 Transfer Funds, Oklahoma County will purchase one DS-575 Basic Simulator from Drive Square including the Impaired Driving Demonstrator Module (IDD). The IDD allows a student to drive in a simulated drunk mode. IDD simulates the effects of Driving under Influence (DUI) and Driving While Intoxicated (DWI) driving, such as delayed response to controls and narrowing of the effective field of view. This project is part of the overall impaired driving traffic safety program area.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office as the primary agency. Recipients of the education will be the various entities statewide to which presentations are made.

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving Simulator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.3.2 Planned Activity: Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&amp;E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>AL-19-04-01-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of impaired driving-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.
OHSO

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
**2019 Public Information & Education**

**2019 Integrated Enforcement**

### Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

### 5.10.3.3 Planned Activity: Occupant Protection Area PI&E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Occupant Protection Area PI&amp;E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>OP-19-04-01-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Primary countermeasure strategy** Public Information & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of occupant protection-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year    Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019          Public Information & Education

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.3.4 Planned Activity: Police Traffic Services PI&E
Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities related to traffic safety, including development and printing of various traffic safety related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Police Traffic Services PI&E

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019  Public Information & Education
2019  Integrated Enforcement

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.4 Countermeasure Strategy: Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) Devices

Program area
Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy
Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) Devices

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-16</td>
<td>Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5.10.5 Countermeasure Strategy: Paid Media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area</th>
<th>Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

**Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?**

*No*

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)**

*Yes*

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]**

*Yes*

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]**

*No*

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]**

*Yes*

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]**

*No*

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]**

*No*

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]**

*No*

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]**

*No*
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Public Information and Education in support of HVE is a recognized countermeasure. Through a contracted media company, targeted messaging will be conducted as outlined in the Communication Plan.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Paid media will be used in support not only of HVE and mobilization activities, but also in support of the creation of new campaigns targeting impaired driving as well as other areas.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Paid media will be used for marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with impaired driving messaging.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Impaired Driving</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Distracted Driving</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-19-02-03-00A</td>
<td>Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STCPS-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Child Passenger Safety</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-01-01</td>
<td>Paid Media Motorcycle Safety</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMC-19-02-02-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Motorcycle Safety</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00A</td>
<td>Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00B</td>
<td>Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00C</td>
<td>Paid Media OKC Dodgers</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-03-00D</td>
<td>Paid Media OKC Thunder</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.5.1 Planned Activity: Paid Media Impaired Driving

Planned activity name: Paid Media Impaired Driving
Planned activity number: M5PEM-19-02-01-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs focusing on impaired driving awareness. This messaging will be used through contracts with Learfield Sports for University of Oklahoma sports and Oklahoma State University sports, Oklahoma Dodgers baseball and OKC Thunder basketball.

Enter intended subrecipients.
OHSO

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Paid/Earned Media (FAST)</td>
<td>$105,997.10</td>
<td>$26,499.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Paid/Earned Media (FAST)</td>
<td>$369,002.90</td>
<td>$92,250.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.10.5.2 Planned Activity: Paid Media Distracted Driving

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Paid Media Distracted Driving</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PM-19-02-01-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No
Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with distracted driving communications.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Media Contractor (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Paid Advertising (FAST)</td>
<td>$81,000.00</td>
<td>$15,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Paid Media Distracted Driving - OKC Dodgers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PM-19-02-03-00A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(i) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Marketing services at OKC Dodgers games to include signage, digital advertisements, PA announcements, contests and other items focusing on distracted driving awareness.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OKC Dodgers

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Paid Advertising (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.5.4 Planned Activity: Paid Media Child Passenger Safety

Planned activity name: Paid Media Child Passenger Safety
Planned activity number: STCPS-19-02-01-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii)
[Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with child passenger safety communications.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Media Contractor (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$49,848.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

| Item | Quantity | Price Per Unit | Total Cost | NHTSA Share per unit | NHTSA Share Total Cost |
5.10.5.5 Planned Activity: Paid Media Motorcycle Safety

Planned activity name: Paid Media Motorcycle Safety
Planned activity number: STMC-19-02-01-01
Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with motorcycle safety communications at several motorsports racing facilities in the State.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Alliance Sports Marketing
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$56,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.5.6 Planned Activity: Paid Media Motorcycle Safety

Planned activity name: Paid Media Motorcycle Safety
Planned activity number: STMC-19-02-02-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs with motorcycle safety communications.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Media Contractor determined by state bid process (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$62,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.10.5.7 Planned Activity: Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU

Planned activity name: Paid Media Learfield Sports - OU
Planned activity number: MSPEM-19-02-03-00A
Primary countermeasure strategy: Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. The University of Oklahoma has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:
1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it's not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

**Enter intended subrecipients.**

Learfield Sports

**Countermeasure strategies**

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

**Countermeasure strategies in planned activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$151,030.00</td>
<td>$37,757.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

**5.10.5.8 Planned Activity: Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU**

**Planned activity name**  Paid Media Learfield Sports - OSU

**Planned activity number**  M5PEM-19-02-03-00B

**Primary countermeasure strategy**  Paid Media
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. Oklahoma State University has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it’s not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$83,423.10</td>
<td>$20,855.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.5.9 Planned Activity: Paid Media OKC Dodgers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Planned activity number</th>
<th>Primary countermeasure strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paid Media OKC Dodgers</td>
<td>MSPEM-19-02-03-00C</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Enter description of the planned activity.

The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. The Oklahoma City Dodgers, the minor league team for the LA Dodgers, located in Oklahoma City has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it's not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Dodgers

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year  Countermeasure Strategy Name
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$57,500.00</td>
<td>$14,375.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.5.10 Planned Activity: Paid Media OKC Thunder

Planned activity name  Paid Media OKC Thunder
Planned activity number  MSPEM-19-02-03-00D
Primary countermeasure strategy  Paid Media

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle
and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The goal of this project is to communicate traffic safety related messaging at various sports venues having a large number of persons in attendance. The Oklahoma City Thunder professional basketball team has an active sports program drawing large numbers of fans to its games. To achieve this objective, communications will target at-risk impaired drivers, as identified through crash data and market research, in order to:

1. Communicate alternative options to impaired driving, as well as how to plan for them.
2. Educate the audience on social norms related to drinking and driving, specifically that it's not a normal or common behavior.
3. Educate the target on potential outcomes to driving impaired, including taking other risky driving behaviors, potential injury and death.

In addition, a secondary target of influencers of at-risk impaired drivers will also be identified. Various strategies are adopted to reach this audience:

1. Identify clear problem for target audience, namely that people they know drink and drive.
2. Communicate solutions to intervene with loved ones who drink and drive.

A variety of messaging will be used including video PSAs, audio PSAs and in-person radio interviews.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Thunder

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>$37,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.5.11 Planned Activity: Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M9MA-19-02-01-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No
Enter description of the planned activity.
Marketing strategy, content creation, campaign execution and associated costs focusing on motorcycle safety awareness.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Media Contractor (currently VI Marketing & Branding)

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405f Motorcycle Programs</td>
<td>405f Paid Advertising (FAST)</td>
<td>$67,500.00</td>
<td>$16,875.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.6 Countermeasure Strategy: OP Highway Safety Office Program Management

Program area
Communications (Media)

Countermeasure strategy
OP Highway Safety Office Program Management

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
Yes
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(4), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities
Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.7 Countermeasure Strategy: Law Enforcement Training

Program area: Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy: Law Enforcement Training

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Proper training is essential to effective performance, especially in Law Enforcement. It is projected that such training will improve the effectiveness of law enforcement in recognizing and removing impaired drivers from the roadways. Impaired driving detection is often difficult and requires specialized training in areas such as SFST, ARIDE, DRE, OP, legal updates, performance expectations, and other.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

When possible and necessary, funding will be provided for agencies to send personnel to training as described above. The amount of funding is often times based on the type of training being provided and the agency's distance from the training site.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

All impaired driving activities, as well as other types of activities, have the potential for training to be needed. These needs are normally reviewed during the application selection and funding process.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-22-06</td>
<td>Alva Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-23-03</td>
<td>McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-24-03</td>
<td>Seminole Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-25-01</td>
<td>Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-26-03</td>
<td>Stillwater Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>Conference Planner</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>OHP Advanced Crash Investigation Training</td>
<td>Accident Investigation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.10.7.1 Planned Activity: Alva Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Alva Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-22-06
Primary countermeasure strategy: Law Enforcement Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Alva Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.7.2 Planned Activity: McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-23-03

Primary countermeasure strategy: Law Enforcement Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.
McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.10.7.3 Planned Activity: Seminole Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Seminole Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-24-03
Primary countermeasure strategy: Law Enforcement Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Seminole Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.10.7.4 Planned Activity: Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-25-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.7.5 Planned Activity: Stillwater Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Stillwater Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-26-03

Primary countermeasure strategy: Law Enforcement Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to attend traffic safety training events hosted by the OHSO, including the annual Project Directors Training Course and Statewide Traffic Safety Forum.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Stillwater Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| No records found.

5.10.7.6 Planned Activity: Conference Planner

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Conference Planner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-06-01-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enter description of the planned activity.

The Conference Planner, to be selected through the state RFP process (currently OU ConferencePros) will perform tasks related to planning of training events and conferences as set forth in the Statement of Work.

Enter intended subrecipients.

TBD by statewide RFP.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
2019    Law Enforcement Training
2019    Integrated Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.7.7 Planned Activity: Law Enforcement Training Coordinator

Planned activity name    Law Enforcement Training Coordinator
Planned activity number  M5TR-19-05-01-06
Primary countermeasure strategy    Law Enforcement Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project provides funding for a Training Program Administrator (TPA) to promote, coordinate and provide Breath Test Operator training and other impaired driving relevant training, related to alcohol/drug testing recommendations set forth by GIDPAC and under the purview of the Board of Tests, on a regional basis in an effort to maintain and/or increase the number of Breath Test Operators and Officer certification maintenance which will in turn support enhanced Impaired Driving enforcement initiatives.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Board of Tests for Alcohol and Drug Influence

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Drug and Alcohol Training (FAST)</td>
<td>$84,052.00</td>
<td>$21,013.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.
5.10.7.8 Planned Activity: Alva PD in-state travel funds-training

Planned activity name: Alva PD in-state travel funds-training

Planned activity number: AL-19-03-08-06

Primary countermeasure strategy: Law Enforcement Training

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This funding will be used to reimbursement travel costs related to in-state travel pursuant to the Oklahoma Travel Reimbursement Act for traffic safety training purposes.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Alva Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

### Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.10.8 Countermeasure Strategy: Law Enforcement Outreach Liaison

- **Program area**: Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)
- **Countermeasure strategy**: Law Enforcement Outreach Liaison

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

- **No**

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

- **Yes**

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

- **No**

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

- **No**
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State's unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy
5.10.9 Countermeasure Strategy: Laboratory Drug Testing Equipment

**Program area**  
Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

**Countermeasure strategy**  
Laboratory Drug Testing Equipment

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

5.10.9.1 Planned Activity: OSBI Alcohol/Drug Testing and Analysis

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project will fund two full-time technician/chemist positions to operate the GC/MSD (gas chromatograph/mass selective detector) analysis device and the LC/MS/MS (liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry). These positions will devote 100% of their time to the alcohol/drug analysis of blood samples submitted to the OSBI laboratory for the prosecution of impaired driving cases. The overarching goal of this project is to eliminate the backlog and complete analysis of blood samples in a timely manner (30 days) and provide reports as quickly as possible to avoid delays in the prosecution of DUI/DUID cases

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Laboratory Drug Testing Equipment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid BAC Testing/Reporting (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$6,250.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid BAC Testing/Reporting (FAST)</td>
<td>$189,171.00</td>
<td>$47,292.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M5CS-19-02-01-01</td>
<td>Alcohol/Drug Judicial Outreach Liaison</td>
<td>Judicial Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSTR-19-02-01-16</td>
<td>Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor</td>
<td>Judicial Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.10.1 Planned Activity: Alcohol/Drug Judicial Outreach Liaison

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The State Judicial Education Project (SJEP), through a grant with the Oklahoma Bar Association, will provide a statewide judicial education and training program for Judges and other court personnel through workshops, a website, and a quarterly newsletter. Program information will be made available to judges and other pertinent court personnel such as court clerks, bailiffs, etc.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Bar Association

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Judicial Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
2018 | FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid | 405d Mid Court Support (FAST) | $20,000.00 | $5,000.00
2019 | FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid | 405d Mid Court Support (FAST) | $80,000.00 | $20,000.00

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.10.2 Planned Activity: Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor

Planned activity name: Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor
Planned activity number: MSTR-19-02-01-16
Primary countermeasure strategy: Judicial Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Through this project, continuing professional education will be made available to District Attorneys, Assistant District Attorneys, Prosecutors and law enforcement when applicable. The purpose of the education will be to improve their ability to effectively prosecute/adjudicate impaired driving cases. Additionally, the education of law enforcement officers with legal updates and relevant topics within the law enforcement community will ensure that strategies will be implemented legally (e.g. checkpoints). The project will provide a liaison, dedicating up to 90% of his time, between the State’s prosecutors and the traffic safety community to work for better coordination in prosecuting impaired driving violations. The District Attorney’s Council will provide an experienced attorney, reimbursable up to 10% of salary and benefits, to provide oversight and assistance to the Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor (TSRP) as necessary. The TSRP will prepare written material, conduct seminars and provide legal assistance to Oklahoma prosecutors and law enforcement personnel with regard to impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma District Attorneys Council

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name

2019 Judicial Education

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid Court Support (FAST)</td>
<td>$175,750.00</td>
<td>$43,937.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.11 Countermeasure Strategy: Impaired Driving Task Force

Program area Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy Impaired Driving Task Force

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.
Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Countermeasure strategy description
To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:
Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.
In November of 2012, the OHSO requested and received a technical assessment of Oklahoma’s impaired driving program from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). Among the 66 recommendations were two priority recommendations that encouraged the State to pass and implement the proposed legislation establishing a State impaired driving task force and one priority recommendation to engage the Governor in high-profile activities and leadership events in support of the impaired driving program. The task force was designated as the Governor’s Impaired Driving Prevention Advisory Council (GIDPAC). On February 5, 2013, Executive Order 2013-03 was signed by Gov. Fallin, thus officially creating the GIDPAC. This Executive Order was reissued on March 13, 2015. This task force was charged with evaluating and making recommendations concerning ways to address impaired driving issues, to share information, explore options and close potential loopholes in the circle of impaired driving legislation, enforcement, prosecution, adjudication and treatment.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

The OHSO collaborated with partner agencies on the creation of the task force and solicited membership recommendations from the following entities:

- Alcoholic Beverage Laws Enforcement Commission
- Oklahoma Department of Corrections
- Oklahoma Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs
- Oklahoma Department of Public Safety
- Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services
- Oklahoma District Attorney’s Council
- Oklahoma State Legislature
- Supreme Court of the state of Oklahoma
- Stop D.U.I. Oklahoma, a citizen activist organization

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

In response to a survey done by NHTSA circa 2010 the State of Oklahoma was recognized as having one of the highest impaired driving related crash rates in the U.S. In response to that rating, the Governor’s Impaired Driving Prevention Advisory Council was created to address this problem, along with other measures including increased HVE and media efforts.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12 Countermeasure Strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Program area  Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)
Countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.
High-visibility enforcement is a proven strategy that includes targeted enforcement focusing on specific violations such as impaired driving, failure to wear seatbelts, and speeding. Additional HVE strategies may include use of integrated enforcement during specific times of the day or night where more crashes are occurring; daytime impaired driving checkpoints; short-term high-visibility enforcement within identified safety corridors; and increased nighttime seat belt enforcement activities. High-visibility enforcement, including participation in national seat belt and impaired driving mobilizations, is required of all law enforcement grants.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

There is an existing linkage already established between impaired driving, high-visibility enforcement and education. LE working overtime efforts to enhance and support a state or local impaired driving project is an accepted and supported practice. A large portion of funding is used to support such efforts in any effort to find and remove impaired drivers from the road. Alcohol-related crashes more typically occur during late evening and early morning hours. Fatal and injury alcohol-related crashes occurred more often between 8:00 p.m. and 4:00 a.m. and more often on Saturday and Sunday than any other day of the week. Impaired-driving projects will involve a comprehensive program of high-visibility enforcement, training and education.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

High-visibility enforcement and participation in mobilizations should be and is a component of any impaired-driving enforcement project funded through the OHSO.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-01-04</td>
<td>Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-04-01</td>
<td>Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-16</td>
<td>Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-07-15</td>
<td>Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-02-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-03-01</td>
<td>Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Beaver County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-02-18</td>
<td>Bixby Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-03-10</td>
<td>Calera Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-04-08</td>
<td>Creek County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-05-06</td>
<td>Drumright Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-06-16</td>
<td>Durant Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-07-10</td>
<td>Grove Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-09-06</td>
<td>Idabel Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PT-19-03-10-01 Kay County Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
PT-19-03-11-02 McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
PT-19-03-12-04 McLoud Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
PT-19-03-13-16 Midwest City Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
PT-19-03-14-05 Mustang Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
PT-19-03-15-11 Norman Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
PT-19-03-16-12 Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
PT-19-03-17-12 Ponca City Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
PT-19-03-18-09 Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
PT-19-03-19-18 Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
PT-19-03-20-17 Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
PT-19-03-21-09 Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-01-01 Bartlesville Speed Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-02-16 Bethany Speed Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-03-19 Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-04-02 Bryan County Speed Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-05-21 Edmond Speed Management High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-06-10 McAlester Speed Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-07-14 Owasso Speed Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-08-03 Piedmont Speed Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-09-13 Shawnee Speed Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-10-09 Tecumseh Speed Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-12-12 Tulsa Speed Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
M2HVE-19-03-01-13 OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
M2HVE-19-07-01-13 OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator High Visibility Enforcement
M5HVE-19-03-01-13 OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
M5OT-19-06-01-01 OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment High Visibility Enforcement
164AL-19-03-01-06 Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
164AL-19-03-02-03 McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
164AL-19-03-03-11 Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
164AL-19-03-04-15 Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
164AL-19-03-05-01 Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
164AL-19-03-06-18 Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
164AL-19-03-07-03 Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement
5.10.12.1 Planned Activity: Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number: AL-19-03-01-04
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Del City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12.2 Planned Activity: Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name  Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number  AL-19-03-02-16
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Lawton Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2019 Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints
2019 Integrated Enforcement
2019 High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$65,800.00</td>
<td>$16,450.00</td>
<td>$65,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12.3 Planned Activity: Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>OP-19-03-04-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Osage County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.4 Planned Activity: Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity number: AL-19-03-04-16
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Sand Springs Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) Devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$6,250.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.5 Planned Activity: Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement
Planned activity name: Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity number: AL-19-03-05-12

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(jj)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting impaired driving violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, publicized sobriety checkpoints, PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$6,250.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$82,000.00</td>
<td>$20,500.00</td>
<td>$82,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.10.12.6 Planned Activity: OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The project will fund a full-time OHP Statewide Impaired Driving Coordinator and six (6) full-time Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDLs) with the Oklahoma Highway Patrol to implement and conduct activities focusing primarily on impaired driving enforcement in support of national and state highway safety goals to reduce motor vehicle collisions and to provide assistance to local law enforcement agencies with regard to impaired driving enforcement, including the two national impaired driving mobilizations. Coordination of regional multi-jurisdiction events will occur on a regular basis, to include checkpoints and saturation patrols. Public information and education events, along with media releases when appropriate, will be used to inform the public of events and relevant traffic safety issues. As a secondary objective, the IDLs will conduct visits with local law enforcement agencies in support of National Highway Safety initiatives, including the “Click-It or Ticket” mobilization, or other identified traffic safety initiatives, and will assist in pre and post-mobilization activity reporting.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Department of Public Safety/Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$283,806.00</td>
<td>$70,951.50</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.7 Planned Activity: Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>AL-19-03-07-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt and child restraint violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, traffic safety checkpoints, and PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Enid Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$73,000.00</td>
<td>$18,250.00</td>
<td>$73,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.10.12.8 Planned Activity: Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement

Planned activity name: Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement
Planned activity number: OP-19-03-02-15
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt and child restraint violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, traffic safety checkpoints, and PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$93,325.00</td>
<td>$23,331.25</td>
<td>$93,325.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12.9 Planned Activity: Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement

Planned activity name Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement
Planned activity number OP-19-03-03-01
Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restan t inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Selected agencies will be provided funding to conduct overtime enforcement projects primarily targeting seat belt and child restraint violations. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described in the grant agreement to address other identified problem areas. These projects will use evidence-based strategies as indicated in the project description, including: High-visibility Enforcement, saturation patrols, traffic safety checkpoints, and PI&E in support of HVE efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Rogers County Sheriff’s Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$16,300.00</td>
<td>$4,075.00</td>
<td>$16,300.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.10 Planned Activity: Beaver County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Beaver County Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-01-01

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle...
and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Beaver County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
<td>$3,200.00</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.
5.10.12.11 Planned Activity: Bixby Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Bixby Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-02-18
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Bixby Police Department
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$68,000.00</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
<td>$68,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.12 Planned Activity: Calera Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Calera Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-03-10

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Calera Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.13 Planned Activity: Creek County Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity name**  Creek County Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity number**  PT-19-03-04-08

**Primary countermeasure strategy**  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Creek County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,200.00</td>
<td>$3,800.00</td>
<td>$15,200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12.14 Planned Activity: Drumright Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Drumright Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-05-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Drumright Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year | Countermeasure Strategy Name
--- | ---
2019 | Integrated Enforcement
2019 | High Visibility Saturation Patrols
2019 | High Visibility Enforcement

**Funding sources**

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$8,800.00</td>
<td>$2,200.00</td>
<td>$8,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.15 Planned Activity: Durant Traffic Enforcement

**Planned activity name** | Durant Traffic Enforcement
--- | ---
**Planned activity number** | PT-19-03-06-16
**Primary countermeasure strategy** | High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Durant Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$53,000.00</td>
<td>$13,250.00</td>
<td>$53,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.10.12.16 Planned Activity: Grove Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Grove Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-07-10
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Grove Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$18,800.00</td>
<td>$4,700.00</td>
<td>$18,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.17 Planned Activity: Guthrie Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Guthrie Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12.18 Planned Activity: Idabel Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Idabel Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-09-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Idabel Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,460.00</td>
<td>$3,865.00</td>
<td>$15,460.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.19 Planned Activity: Kay County Traffic Enforcement
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Kay County Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-10-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**

Yes

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]**

No

**Enter description of the planned activity.**

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

**Enter intended subrecipients.**

Kay County Traffic Enforcement

**Countermeasure strategies**

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td>$2,700.00</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.20 Planned Activity: McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-11-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$21,000.00</td>
<td>$5,250.00</td>
<td>$21,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.10.12.21 Planned Activity: McLoud Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name McLeod Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number PT-19-03-12-04

Primary countermeasure strategy High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)  Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]  No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]  No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]  No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]  No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]  No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]  No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]  No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McLoud Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
<td>$2,375.00</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.22 Planned Activity: Midwest City Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Midwest City Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-13-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Midwest City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$34,000.00</td>
<td>$8,500.00</td>
<td>$34,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.23 Planned Activity: Mustang Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name: Mustang Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity number: PT-19-03-14-05

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Mustang Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$18,850.00</td>
<td>$4,712.50</td>
<td>$18,850.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$73,400.00</td>
<td>$18,350.00</td>
<td>$73,400.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.25 Planned Activity: Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-16-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act</td>
<td>NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$361,764.00</td>
<td>$90,441.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.26 Planned Activity: Ponca City Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Ponca City Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-17-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Ponca City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$35,714.00</td>
<td>$8,928.50</td>
<td>$35,714.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.27 Planned Activity: Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity name: Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number: PT-19-03-18-09
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description. This grant specifically will fund one full-time Project Deputy to primarily conduct traffic enforcement activity, but also to coordinate with other agencies within the county to promote traffic safety efforts and events. Activities will also include Public Information and Education presentations when possible.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Traffic Safety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402, Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$46,000.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$46,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.10.12.28 Planned Activity: Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-19-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description. These funds will be used for impaired driving enforcement to supplement enforcement efforts to be conducted with 164 Transfer funds.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Sapulpa Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$2,800.00</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>$2,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.29 Planned Activity: Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>PT-19-03-20-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tahlequah Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
<td>$3,200.00</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.30 Planned Activity: Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement

Planned activity name | Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement
Planned activity number | PT-19-03-21-09
Primary countermeasure strategy | High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to conduct general traffic enforcement projects, including speed, occupant protection, impaired driving and other violations which contribute to motor vehicle crashes as listed in the project description.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Warr Acres Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12.31 Planned Activity: Bartlesville Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Bartlesville Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-01-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed management enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Bartlesville Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$35,800.00</td>
<td>$8,950.00</td>
<td>$35,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12.32 Planned Activity: Bethany Speed Enforcement
Planned activity name: Bethany Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number: SE-19-03-02-16
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Bethany Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,400.00</td>
<td>$6,350.00</td>
<td>$25,400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.33 Planned Activity: Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name: Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number: SE-19-03-19
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Broken Arrow Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

| Source Fiscal Year | Funding Source | Eligible Use of Funds | Estimated Funding Amount | Match Amount | Local Benefit |
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.34 Planned Activity: Bryan County Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name: Bryan County Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number: SE-19-03-04-02
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Bryan County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$39,800.00</td>
<td>$9,950.00</td>
<td>$39,800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12.35 Planned Activity: Edmond Speed Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Edmond Speed Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-05-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Edmond Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$72,700.00</td>
<td>$18,175.00</td>
<td>$72,700.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.36 Planned Activity: McAlester Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name: McAlester Speed Enforcement

Planned activity number: SE-19-03-06-10

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McAlester Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

Fiscal Year  Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019  Sustained Enforcement
2019  Integrated Enforcement
2019  High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$38,000.00</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
<td>$38,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.
Enter intended subrecipients.
Owasso Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$50,650.00</td>
<td>$12,662.50</td>
<td>$50,650.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12.38 Planned Activity: Piedmont Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Piedmont Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-08-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Piedmont Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12.39 Planned Activity: Shawnee Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Shawnee Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-09-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Shawnee Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$26,000.00</td>
<td>$6,500.00</td>
<td>$26,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12.40 Planned Activity: Tecumseh Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name Tecumseh Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number: SE-19-03-10-09

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Enter description of the planned activity:

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients:

Tecumseh Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name

2019  Sustained Enforcement
2019  Integrated Enforcement
2019  High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$19,600.00</td>
<td>$4,900.00</td>
<td>$19,600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speed Display Trailer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
<td>$10,600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12.41 Planned Activity: Tulsa Speed Enforcement

Planned activity name  Tulsa Speed Enforcement
Planned activity number  SE-19-03-12-12
Primary countermeasure strategy  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Various agencies will be provided funding to primarily conduct speed abatement enforcement projects. Funding may also be directed toward secondary objectives as described to address other identified problem areas as outlined in the agreement.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$13,241.05</td>
<td>$3,310.26</td>
<td>$13,241.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$64,058.95</td>
<td>$16,014.74</td>
<td>$64,058.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.42 Planned Activity: OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M2HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Under the direction of a OHSO funded full-time OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator, the OHP will conduct overtime enforcement activities statewide focusing on occupant protection violations utilizing a no tolerance policy. Overtime assignments will be concentrated in designated counties of Oklahoma in an effort to impact low belt use counties and those having a high unrestrained fatality rate. Troopers will conduct overtime occupant protection enforcement in locations and times as determined by the State OP LEL. At least 10% of the enforcement hours worked will be dedicated to nighttime enforcement activities. Enforcement may include checkpoints, saturation patrols, and PI&E efforts. Locations will include those areas where a higher than average number of KAB crashes involving unrestrained occupants occur based on problem identification. Troopers will encourage cooperative efforts with area law enforcement and tribal police agencies.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>OP Statewide Law Enforcement Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$70,900.00</td>
<td>$17,725.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$60,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.43 Planned Activity: OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator

Planned activity name: OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator
Planned activity number: M2HVE-19-07-01-13
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator will organize and coordinate occupant protection enforcement efforts in cooperation with local agencies, focusing on targeted areas to reach those areas and communities having higher than average unbelted KAB crashes and fatalities.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$17,958.00</td>
<td>$4,489.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$104,142.00</td>
<td>$26,035.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.44 Planned Activity: OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement

- **Planned activity name**: OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement
- **Planned activity number**: M5HVE-19-03-01-13
- **Primary countermeasure strategy**: High Visibility Enforcement

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**

Yes

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]**

No

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]**

No
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Under the direction of the Statewide Impaired Driving Law Enforcement Coordinator, the Oklahoma Highway Patrol will conduct High Visibility Enforcement (HVE) efforts within each of the thirteen geographical OHP Troop Headquarters statewide. The Coordinator will work with Troops A-M to facilitate overtime assignments at high-risk locations within each Troop area. The Law Enforcement Planner will conduct detailed crash analysis to aid in identifying areas for enforcement. Troopers will be assigned to work overtime shifts to enforce alcohol/drug impaired driving-related traffic laws in high-risk areas statewide. In addition to the two national impaired driving mobilizations, Troopers will participate in interagency impaired driving enforcement efforts, conducting regional enforcement events in cooperation with county and local law enforcement in support of the ENDUI initiative.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid HVE (FAST)</td>
<td>$705,900.00</td>
<td>$176,475.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
No records found.

5.10.12.45 Planned Activity: OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment

Planned activity name: OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment
Planned activity number: M5OT-19-06-01-01
Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This activity will fund the purchase of a new large type SUV (possibly a Tahoe) to be assigned to an Impaired Driving Liaison as their regular patrol unit and used in the performance of his/her duties in promoting Impaired Driving HVE, checkpoints, area-wide task force activities, and other related duties. The vehicle will be outfitted with an Intoxilyzer breath testing device and other impaired-driver testing related equipment. This vehicle is expected to
coordinate with and possibly replace the current ENDUI Vans.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Department of Public Safety/Oklahoma Highway Patrol

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Outreach Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Breath Test Devices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>405d Impaired Driving Mid (FAST)</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$18,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.12.46 Planned Activity: Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity number: 164AL-19-03-01-06

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for implementing data-driven programs that address the highest incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Alva Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2019 High Visibility Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.47 Planned Activity: McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-02-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5) Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification] No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)] No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment] No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts] No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle
and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McCurtain County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.
5.10.12.48 Planned Activity: Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name: Norman Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity number: 164AL-19-03-03-11

Primary countermeasure strategy: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Norman Police Department

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.49 Planned Activity: Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Oklahoma City Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-04-15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary countermeasure strategy | High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma City Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.50 Planned Activity: Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

**Planned activity name**  Osage County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

**Planned activity number**  164AL-19-03-05-01

**Primary countermeasure strategy**  High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Osage County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.10.12.51 Planned Activity: Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Sapulpa Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-06-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Sapulpa Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$48,200.00</td>
<td>$48,200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.52 Planned Activity: Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Seminole Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>164AL-19-03-07-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Seminole Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
5.10.12.53 Planned Activity: Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

**Planned activity name**: Sequoyah County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

**Planned activity number**: 164AL-19-03-08-01

**Primary countermeasure strategy**: High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.54 Planned Activity: Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity name

Stillwater Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement

Planned activity number

164AL-19-03-09-03

Primary countermeasure strategy

High Visibility Enforcement

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agency will participate in high visibility alcohol-impaired driving enforcement, especially in support of ENDUI and area-wide task force efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Stillwater Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$58,000.00</td>
<td>$58,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.12.55 Planned Activity: Tulsa County Speed Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Tulsa County Speed Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>SE-19-03-11-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement (FAST)</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
<td>$4,250.00</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.13 Countermeasure Strategy: Earned Media

Program area

Communications (Media)
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Utilizing a paid media consultant, evidence-based strategies will be employed to reach audiences statewide with traffic safety messages addressing a number of traffic safety initiatives, including impaired driving (Oklahoma ENDUI program as well as national mobilizations), occupant protection (Click It or Ticket), motorcycle safety, child passenger safety, bicycle/pedestrian safety and distracted driving. Identified markets include sports venues, local audience targeted programming and support of national mobilization efforts. The program will be designed to reach all seventy-seven counties as set forth in the OHSO Communications Plan, targeting the appropriate audience with an effective message. Using evidence-based strategies and the expertise of the paid media consultant, the impact should aid in decreasing the number and severity of traffic crashes overall. Through use of a paid media company, earned media is also included in the form of additional messaging at no cost to the state. In addition, we provide various groups, such as law enforcement agencies, news outlets, etc., with P&E to support projects on the local level.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

OHSO Media/Communications Plan

Each year the OHSO prepares a media and communications plan. The goal of the media/communications plan is to develop and produce a marketing strategy that supports the OHSO’s vision and mission through the appropriate use of print, broadcast, online, electronic and other types of messaging. Media efforts will support the objectives and strategies of each program area.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

The rationale for earned media basically follows the same concepts as those for paid media, which includes:

Social Marketing Theory

The best way to influence behavior change is through a proven and scientific practice called social marketing. Social marketing means influencing behavior. We are, in essence, selling a behavior change. In this case, a behavior change that encourages our targets to adopt safe driving practices to reduce traffic accidents and related consequences. Changing behavior in society is hard and it doesn’t happen overnight.

No single tactic is most appropriate with social marketing campaigns. Our plans provide for multiple touch points that communicate with the target at the most appropriate times. Depending on the target and the campaign, we utilize traditional paid channels (television, radio, billboards, etc.) and digital channels (digital display ads, video, paid social media, etc.). We also utilize earned and owned media to communicate with the target and stakeholders. This includes public relations, social media, and other one-off tactics.

Mobilizations

The OHSO actively promotes the “Click It or Ticket” and “Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over” national mobilizations with earned media and support from our Safe Communities groups. Our paid media contractor promotes the mobilizations using the national messaging taglines, unless otherwise directed by the OHSO. The contractor is required to evaluate the success of the media campaigns based on reaching the target audience and engagement with the message.

Messaging Channels

The OHSO maintains social media accounts and websites for both OHSO and their marketing campaign, ENDUI. The goal of social media outreach is to support the OHSO’s vision and mission by promoting highway safety messages and promoting positive social norming messages that will reach a large audience within our targeted demographic. All OHSO produced media assets are available on our website and social channels.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M5PEM-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Impaired Driving</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M9MA-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media - Motorcycle Safety Education</td>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5.10.14 Countermeasure Strategy: DWI Courts

**Program area** | Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)
---|---
**Countermeasure strategy** | DWI Courts

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

**Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]**

No

**Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]**

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

To better target DUI offenders, to address their specific needs such as prevention of further DUI, harm reduction (for them and the communities), to offer specialized treatment, reinstatement of driving privileges, and educational programs to decrease the incidence of DUI in the target area. This may be especially applicable for those that have completed the program and may go on to re-offend without the specialized interventions a DWI court will offer. We are hoping to increase adjudication to drug court for those DUI offenders that would not be considered in traditional adult drug court programs, perhaps because they do not consider themselves to be suffering from a substance use disorder, and to offer adjudication alternatives to our courts for such DUI offenders, which are regularly either sent to prison or placed on supervised probation. This grant will provide funds for the selected agency to attend the NHTSA grant year five, DWI (Driving While Impaired) Court Foundational Training. This is a national training initiative designed to assist communities in developing DWI Court programs and is conducted in cooperation with the National Center for DWI Courts (NCDC) a division of the National Association of Drug Court Professionals. Under this initiative, communities will be selected to participate in a facilitated planning process.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

This is a NHTSA approved and offered training to assist agencies in setting up or improving their DUI courts. Such courts have long been an accepted countermeasures identified in the NHTSA Countermeasures That Work.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M5CS-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>Washita-Custer County Drug Court Training</td>
<td>DWI Courts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.14.1 Planned Activity: Washita-Custer County Drug Court Training

Planned activity name

Washita-Custer County Drug Court Training

Planned activity number

M5CS-19-06-01-01

Primary countermeasure strategy

DWI Courts

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Washita-County Drug Court applied for and was selected to attend this specialized training. The OHSO will provide funding for the team members to travel to El Paso, TX for this training.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Washita-Custer County Drug Court

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>DWI Courts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Court Support (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.15 Countermeasure Strategy: Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) Training

Program area
Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy
Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) Training

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and
child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

To train a minimum of 25 new DREs which in turn will aid in the reduction of the number of drug-related KAB crashes in Oklahoma in 2018.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

DRE opinions for 2017 had just over a 86% positive confirmation rate for the DRE identifying correctly the drugs found in the chemical test sample. In addition records show that over 40% of the DRE valuations in Oklahoma in 2017 showed “poly-drug” use, simply means that those impaired drivers had two or more drugs in their system at the time of testing. This multiple drug use causes higher levels of impairment and risk to citizens on our roadways. With surrounding states passing recreational marijuana use and with medical marijuana scheduled for a ballot in 2018 the DRE program will continue to be an important tool for officers and departments to use in combating impaired drivers.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Impaired drivers continue to be a major problem in Oklahoma, causing injury and fatal crashes each year. The DRE program is designed to assist in the identification and prosecution of alcohol and/or drug impaired driving offenses to generate a general deterrence. In 2015, DRE-trained officers performed 237 enforcement evaluations. Of those evaluations, 10.5% were on drivers under the age of 21. The DRE program is a beneficial program to prosecution in the state.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-02-01-19</td>
<td>Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) Training</td>
<td>Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enter description of the planned activity.

The Norman Police Department will coordinate and conduct training classes and certification sessions to train officers from various areas in the state to become Drug Recognition Experts to increase enforcement of DUI laws. Norman PD will work to expand the DRE program by a minimum of 30 DREs by providing DRE training. Student officers will be selected from various parts of the state in an effort to provide the most beneficial coverage possible statewide. Student officers who successfully complete the program will be provided a Portable Breath Testing (PBT) device. PBT training will be provided; maintenance and calibration will be the responsibility of the recipient. A training class will also be conducted to train additional DRE instructors to assist with facilitation of classroom instruction and the certification training process.
Enter intended subrecipients.

Norman Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
<td>$12,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$39,000.00</td>
<td>$9,750.00</td>
<td>$33,150.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.16 Countermeasure Strategy: Communication Campaign

Program area: Communications (Media)

Countermeasure strategy: Communication Campaign

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Utilizing a paid media consultant, evidence-based strategies will be employed to reach audiences statewide with traffic safety messages addressing a number of traffic safety initiatives, including impaired driving (Oklahoma ENDUI program as well as national mobilizations), occupant protection (Click It or Ticket), motorcycle safety, child passenger safety, bicycle/pedestrian safety and distracted driving. Identified markets include sports venues, local audience targeted programming and support of national mobilization efforts. The program will be designed to reach all seventy-seven counties as set forth in the OHSO Communications Plan, targeting the appropriate audience with an effective message. Using evidence-based strategies and the expertise of the paid media consultant, the impact should aid in decreasing the number and severity of traffic crashes overall.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.
Social Marketing Theory

The best way to influence behavior change is through a proven and scientific practice called social marketing. Social marketing means influencing behavior. We are, in essence, selling a behavior change. In this case, a behavior change that encourages our targets to adopt safe driving practices to reduce traffic accidents and related consequences. Changing behavior in society is hard and it doesn’t happen overnight.

No single tactic is most appropriate with social marketing campaigns. Our plans provide for multiple touch points that communicate with the target at the most appropriate times. Depending on the target and the campaign, we utilize traditional paid channels (television, radio, billboards, etc.) and digital channels (digital display ads, video, paid social media, etc.). We also utilize earned and owned media to communicate with the target and stakeholders. This includes public relations, social media, and other one-off tactics.

Mobilizations

The OHSO actively promotes the “Click It or Ticket” and “Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over” national mobilizations with earned media and support from our Safe Communities groups. Our paid media contractor promotes the mobilizations using the national messaging taglines, unless otherwise directed by the OHSO. The contractor is required to evaluate the success of the media campaigns based on reaching the target audience and engagement with the message.

Messaging Channels

The OHSO maintains social media accounts and websites for both OHSO and their marketing campaign, ENDUI. The goal of social media outreach is to support the OHSO’s vision and mission by promoting highway safety messages and promoting positive social norming messages that will reach a large audience within our targeted demographic. All OHSO produced media assets are available on our website and social channels.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

In order to reinforce the overall brand of the OHSO, and the many campaigns and messages that we deliver, a strategic communications plan has been put in place. Strategic marketing is in its best form when all types of communication channels are considered, and strategies are decided before tactics and creative execution is developed. In its most basic form, marketing is about reaching your audience and communicating a message. We must decide what actions we want our audience to take, and how we will move them forward in the marketing journey. We need to disseminate messages that generate awareness of a cause but then employ further tactics to increase education, generate engagements, and ultimately convert our audience into brand advocates.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M2PE-19- 02-01-03</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Paid Media</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.16.1 Planned Activity: Occupant Protection Paid Media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Occupant Protection Paid Media</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M2PE-19- 02-01-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project will support OP related PI&E communications, including media education during the Click It or Ticket mobilization.

Enter intended subrecipients.

VI Marketing & Branding

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>MAP 21 405b Occupant Protection Low Belt Use</td>
<td>405b Low Public Education (MAP-21)</td>
<td>$70,000.00</td>
<td>$17,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405b OP Low</td>
<td>405b Low Public Education (FAST)</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>$7,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

### 5.10.17 Countermeasure Strategy: Breath Test Devices

**Program area**

Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

**Countermeasure strategy**

Breath Test Devices

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No
Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Breath test devices, such as the Intoxilyzer 8000, are a commonly used and recognized countermeasure in testing for the presence of alcohol in a person's system. The intoxilyzer is recognized in Oklahoma as an approved device with results admissible in court proceedings. Other devices, such as the Portable Breath Testers, have not been recognized as admissible evidence in court proceedings.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

The breath test device is an invaluable tool in impaired driving enforcement and in removing impaired drivers from the roadway, thereby decreasing the number of serious injury or fatality crashes related to alcohol-impaired driving. In Oklahoma, identified breath test devices are considered prima facie evidence in court proceedings as evidence of intoxication. The use of breath test devices is commonly approved for impaired driving projects in Oklahoma, based on available funding and project needs.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Enforcement is an important element of Oklahoma's efforts to address impaired driving. BOT has identified a noticeable gap in the numbers of trained breath test operators across the state. This program is designed to provide breath test operator training regionally to law enforcement agencies to increase the number of operators across the state. Regional access allows for added convenience for more agencies to participate, alleviating added travel expenses and relieving the burden for understaffed agencies. Finally, there is a lack in communication with officers in the field regarding the importance of impaired driving enforcement and a lack of follow-up or refresher training once officers are certified as Breath Test Operators. GIDPAC has identified a number of training priorities related to impaired driving enforcement. Included in these priorities is the necessity for the coordination of DUI training and provision of advanced DUI enforcement training. Additionally, provision of NHTSA's ARIDE training would prepare law enforcement officers to combat drug impaired driving in Oklahoma. Similarly, SFST training and SFST refreshers will better prepare law enforcement to combat alcohol-impaired driving.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy
Planned activity unique identifier | Planned Activity Name | Primary Countermeasure
--- | --- | ---
M5OT-19-06-01-01 | OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment | High Visibility Enforcement
164AL-19-06-01-06 | Law Enforcement Impaired Driving Training Coordinator | Breath Test Devices

5.10.17.1 Planned Activity: Law Enforcement Impaired Driving Training Coordinator

Planned activity name | Law Enforcement Impaired Driving Training Coordinator
Planned activity number | 164AL-19-06-01-06
Primary countermeasure strategy | Breath Test Devices

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]  
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
This project provides funding for a Program Coordinator which will also be the Lead Instructor to promote, coordinate and provide Breath Test Operator training and other impaired driving relevant training, related to alcohol/drug testing recommendations set forth by GIDPAC and under the purview of the Board of Tests, on a regional basis in an effort to maintain and/or increase the number of Breath Test Operators and Officer certification maintenance which will in turn support enhanced Impaired Driving enforcement initiatives.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Board of Tests for Alcohol and Drug Influence

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Breath Test Devices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$10,800.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.18 Countermeasure Strategy: Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Program area: Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)
Countermeasure strategy: Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

By limiting sales of alcohol to minors there should be less chance of drinking and impaired driving by those under the age of 21.
The Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services will be the oversight agency to identify those areas and locations within the state where problems with underage drinking have been identified. Each of the agencies utilizing this activity will receive training and funding to conduct enforcement of sales and service compliance related to the selling of alcohol to underage persons. Allocation of funding to individual activities was based on the location and problem identification performed by ODMHSAS.

Evidence of effectiveness

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-05-02-03</td>
<td>ODMHSAS 2M2L Task Force Oversight</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03A</td>
<td>Anadarko 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03B</td>
<td>Chickasha 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03C</td>
<td>Delaware County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03D</td>
<td>Durant 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03E</td>
<td>Edmond 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03F</td>
<td>Grady County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03H</td>
<td>Kay County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03I</td>
<td>McAlester 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03J</td>
<td>McCurtain County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03K</td>
<td>Norman 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03G</td>
<td>Grove 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03L</td>
<td>Oklahoma County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03M</td>
<td>Pittsburg County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03N</td>
<td>Seminole County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.10.18.1 Planned Activity: ODMHSAS 2M2L Task Force Oversight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>ODMHSAS 2M2L Task Force Oversight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M5OT-19-05-02-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services (ODMHSAS) will plan, coordinate and oversee the 2M2L (Too Much To Lose) Task Force program. The project will provide the resources necessary to coordinate and conduct targeted alcohol compliance enforcement by the 2M2L Task Force in support of the NHTSA goal to target violations which contribute to alcohol-related motor vehicle crashes. This will be accomplished by
coordinating and providing community support for county and local law enforcement agencies to conduct targeted alcohol compliance enforcement efforts statewide. The 2M2L Task Force project, as funded by the OHSO, will leverage local alcohol prevention plans that are implemented by the ODMHSAS Regional Prevention Coordinators (RPCs) and their partner community coalitions by providing resources for alcohol compliance enforcement efforts.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$72,064.00</td>
<td>$18,016.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.18.2 Planned Activity: Anadarko 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Anadarko 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required]
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Anadarko Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

| Source Fiscal Year | Funding Source | Eligible Use of Funds | Estimated Funding Amount | Match Amount | Local Benefit |
**Major purchases and dispositions**

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

**5.10.18.3 Planned Activity: Chickasha 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Chickasha 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)  
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]  
No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Chickasha Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid 405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$375.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.18.4 Planned Activity: Delaware County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity name        Delaware County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
Planned activity number      M5OT-19-03-01-03C
Primary countermeasure strategy Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Delaware County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$625.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.18.5 Planned Activity: Durant 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity name: Durant 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity number: M5OT-19-01-01-03D

Primary countermeasure strategy: Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5) 

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Durant Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid 405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.18.6 Planned Activity: Edmond 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
Planned activity name: Edmond 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
Planned activity number: M5OT-19-03-01-03E
Primary countermeasure strategy: Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Edmond Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.
Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
<td>$1,125.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.18.7 Planned Activity: Grady County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity name: Grady County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
Planned activity number: M5OT-19-03-01-03F
Primary countermeasure strategy: Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)  
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement activities]  
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Grady County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid 405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$375.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
5.10.18.8 Planned Activity: Kay County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity name: Kay County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity number: MSOT-19-03-01-03H

Primary countermeasure strategy: Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.
Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

### Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.18.9 Planned Activity: McAlester 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity name: McAlester 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity number: M5OT-19-03-01-03I

Primary countermeasure strategy: Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McAlester Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$375.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.18.10 Planned Activity: McCurtain County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity name  McCurtain County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
Planned activity number  M5OT-19-03-01-03J
Primary countermeasure strategy  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No
Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSA for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

McCurtain County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.18.11 Planned Activity: Norman 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity name: Norman 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity number: M5OT-19-03-01-03K

Primary countermeasure strategy: Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Norman Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.18.12 Planned Activity: Grove 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity name: Grove 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity number: M5OT-19-03-01-03G

Primary countermeasure strategy: Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Grove Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid 405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
<th>HNTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.18.13 Planned Activity: Oklahoma County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Planned activity number</th>
<th>Primary countermeasure strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03L</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

| Fiscal Year | Countermeasure Strategy Name |
2019 Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$8,500.00</td>
<td>$2,125.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.18.14 Planned Activity: Pittsburg County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity name Pittsburg County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity number M5OT-19-03-01-03M

Primary countermeasure strategy Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle...
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Pittsburg County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid 405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$375.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.18.15 Planned Activity: Seminole County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
Planned activity name: Seminole County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity number: MSOT-19-03-01-03N

Primary countermeasure strategy: Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Seminole County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$375.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.10.18.16 Planned Activity: Seminole 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity name: Seminole 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement
Planned activity number: M5OT-19-03-01-03O
Primary countermeasure strategy: Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No
Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

 Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Seminole Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid 405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$375.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.10.18.17 Planned Activity: Tahlequah 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

**Planned activity name**
Tahlequah 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

**Planned activity number**
M5OT-19-03-01-03P

**Primary countermeasure strategy**
Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

**Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)**
Yes

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]**
No

**Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]**
No

**Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]**
No

**Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]**
No

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]**
No

**Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]**
No

**Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]**
No

**Enter description of the planned activity.**

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors...
associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tahlequah Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.18.18 Planned Activity: Tulsa 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Tulsa 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Tulsa Police Department

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.10.18.19 Planned Activity: Woodward County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity name: Woodward County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement

Planned activity number: M501-19-03-01-03R

Primary countermeasure strategy: Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
Enter description of the planned activity.

Agencies directly contracting with OHSO for participation in the 2M2L Task Force will be required to complete the 2M2L law enforcement training and participate in alcohol compliance enforcement planning in coordination with the ODMHSAS RPC. Agencies participating in the 2M2L Task Force project will actively participate with partner RPCs in media coverage related to their alcohol compliance enforcement plan to increase public awareness of factors associated with high risk drinking and impaired driving.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Woodward County Sheriff's Office

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No records found.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act 405d Impaired Driving Mid</td>
<td>405d Mid Other Based on Problem ID (FAST)</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.11 Program Area: Driver Education and Behavior

Program area type  Driver Education and Behavior

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

Yes

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No
Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State’s highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

The primary goals of any traffic safety program are to identify, develop and promote programs to positively affect a change in behavior to reduce the number and severity of traffic crashes. Traffic Safety information and education must reach drivers of all ages, but young drivers are especially prone to risky and unsafe driving behaviors. There are a number of strategies that will be employed to develop programs designed to educate the driver and influence behavioral changes in driving with the goal to decrease the number and severity of traffic crashes. Strategies proposed for the Driver Education program will have the potential to impact all areas of the state, based on available opportunities, with particular emphasis on texting and driving. The Alive at 25 program is often used by court systems in judicial adjudication. With the adoption of a new texting law effective November 1, 2015 greater emphasis will be placed on those programs promoting no texting and driving, including statewide paid media and educational efforts. Educational Alternatives is in the second year of a pilot program to curb distracted driving through school related groups and peer to peer mentoring. The National Safety Council’s Our Driving Concern is an employer program which seeks to partner with employers through the state to provide traffic safety education to workers, with emphasis on speed and aggressive driving, distracted driving, impaired driving (including drowsy driving) and occupant protection. The selected strategies are evidence-based and have been shown to have a positive effect on changing attitudes and behaviors related to these at-risk behaviors with the target of reducing the number of fatalities and injuries crashes involving distracted driving and risk-taking behaviors.

Performance measures

Select at least one performance measure that is data-driven, that enables the State to track progress toward meeting the quantifiable annual target. For program areas where performance measures have not been jointly developed (e.g., distracted driving, drug-impaired driving) for which States are using HSP funds, the State shall develop its own performance measures and performance targets that are data-driven.

Performance Measures in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Performance Measure Name</th>
<th>Target Period(Performance Target)</th>
<th>Target End Year</th>
<th>Target Value(Performance Target)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-1) Number of traffic fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>699.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-2) Number of serious injuries in traffic crashes (State crash data files)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2,701.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-3) Fatalities/VMT (FARS, FHWA)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-4) Number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>210.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-5) Number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcyclist operator with a BAC of .08 and above (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>139.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>C-7) Number of motorcyclist fatalities (FARS)</td>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies to submit for program area.

Countermeasure Strategies in Program Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Employer Safety Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.11.1 Countermeasure Strategy: School Programs
Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-02-02-06</td>
<td>ODMHSAS AlcoholEdu Project</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-02-01-05</td>
<td>DCCCA Teen Seat Belt Safety Project</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-02-02-05</td>
<td>OK SAFE Conference</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP-19-02-01-02</td>
<td>Cinema Drive Teen Traffic Safety Program</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP-19-02-02-05</td>
<td>Distracted Driving Prevention</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.11.1.1 Planned Activity: ODMHSAS AlcoholEdu Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Planned activity number</th>
<th>Primary countermeasure strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ODMHSAS AlcoholEdu Project</td>
<td>AL-19-02-02-06</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

AlcoholEdu is an online course made available to Oklahoma schools designed to allow students to travel virtually through a community to better understand the risks around drinking alcohol and apply their learning in the areas of blood alcohol concentration (BAC), standard drink definition, alcohol’s effects on the mind/body, protective strategies, and bystander intervention. The proposed project will utilize funds to increase the number of Oklahoma high schools participating in AlcoholEdu through outreach. Funds will be used to overcome a barrier to program implementation at the high school level by providing licensing fees for implementation. The ODMHSAS will maintain a full-time Prevention Program Manager that will devote a percentage of their time to project activities. The Prevention Program Manager will be responsible for face-to-face promotion of the program and provide technical assistance to the state’s largest, high impact schools.

Enter intended subrecipients.

ODMHSAS through a contract with the AlcoholEdu vendor will offer this program to all the schools identified by the vendor as eligible and wishing to participate, up to the limit of funding available through the grant.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
2018  FAST Act NHTSA 402 Youth Alcohol (FAST) $15,000.00 $3,750.00 $11,250.00
2019  FAST Act NHTSA 402 Youth Alcohol (FAST) $73,600.00 $18,400.00 $55,200.00

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.11.1.2 Planned Activity: DCCCA Teen Seat Belt Safety Project

Planned activity name: DCCCA Teen Seat Belt Safety Project
Planned activity number: OP-19-02-01-05
Primary countermeasure strategy: School Programs

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
Yes

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(i)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.29(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

SAFE is a partnership between students, law enforcement agencies and traffic safety advocates designed to bring awareness to the importance of wearing seat belts to reduce the number and severity of KAB crashes among Oklahoma’s high school students. Law enforcement agency participation and support will be solicited in the targeted counties by the LE Outreach Representative. The Traffic Safety Specialist (TSS) will recruit school sponsors and student teams (SAFE teams) from targeted counties, assist them with their seat belt education efforts, and train them to perform unannounced seat belt observation surveys at their schools. The TSS will also solicit community and financial support from private and public organizations. SAFE teams will conduct monthly programs from the kickoff event through the final event to promote seat belt use at their schools.

Enter intended subrecipients.

DCCCA, Inc.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$3,750.00</td>
<td>$11,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$72,749.00</td>
<td>$18,187.25</td>
<td>$54,561.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records found.

5.11.1.3 Planned Activity: OK SAFE Conference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>OK SAFE Conference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>OP-19-02-02-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(d) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
This project will bring selected SAFE Teams and their sponsors to a one-day conference to be held at a yet to be determined location. Speakers will be recruited from traffic safety advocates to address the attendees regarding Oklahoma’s traffic safety issues. This conference will provide a connection for SAFE Teams and sponsors from participating schools to share ideas and accomplishments from their participation in the SAFE program. Activities will include recognizing outstanding efforts of SAFE Teams and law enforcement involvement in the program. The project will provide an opportunity for Oklahoma students to come together and visit about the traffic safety issues facing teens and strengthen existing SAFE programs toward continued participation.

Enter intended subrecipients.
DCCCA, Inc.

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name
2019 School Programs

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$7,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.11.1.4 Planned Activity: Cinema Drive Teen Traffic Safety Program

Planned activity name Cinema Drive Teen Traffic Safety Program
Planned activity number TSP-19-02-01-02
Primary countermeasure strategy School Programs

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This program engages teenagers within a school setting in a multi-sensory experience to address the consequences of traffic safety including driving rules/laws, distracted driving and occupant protection. The program also provides follow-up sessions to reinforce the teenagers’ experience.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Children & Parents Resource Center

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Teen Safety Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$40,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.11.1.5 Planned Activity: Distracted Driving Prevention
Planned activity name: Distracted Driving Prevention

Planned activity number: TSP-19-02-02-05

Primary countermeasure strategy: School Programs

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

Educational Alternatives will recruit 120 teams to attend six peer-leadership activity planning conferences for Oklahoma high school and middle school student leaders to raise awareness and reduce distracted driving among their peers in their local schools and community. Each team will consist of five students and an adult adviser. These conferences will be conducted on Saturdays throughout the 2018 and 2019 school years. The approach will use slightly older peers (college students) to motivate and train these students.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Educational Alternatives

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Teen Safety Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>$6,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Teen Safety Program (FAST)</td>
<td>$76,500.00</td>
<td>$19,125.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.11.2 Countermeasure Strategy: Public Information & Education

Program area: Impaired Driving (Drug and Alcohol)

Countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No
No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State's unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

Yes

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Public Information and Education, done properly, is a universally long-recognized countermeasure to aid in achieving a change in attitudes and behaviors. This project will support the traffic safety education activities of the full-time deputy in public information and education efforts by purchasing a impaired driving simulator for use in those efforts. While the impact of traffic safety education cannot realistically be measured quantitatively, public information and education is a primary countermeasure that has been recognized as an effective part of any traffic safety program.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Effective impaired driving efforts must include both enforcement and education (NHTSA Countermeasures That Work, 8th Edition). The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention will be used to fund this program purchase.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

The use of designated alcohol-impaired driving prevention funding will be used to fund this program purchase for the purposes and strategies previously explained.

Planned activities
Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

**Planned activities in countermeasure strategy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-06-02-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.11.2.1 Planned Activity: Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education

**Planned activity name**

Oklahoma County SO Traffic Safety Education

**Planned activity number**

164AL-19-06-02-12

**Primary countermeasure strategy**

Public Information & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The full-time deputy employed as the "OHSO Traffic Safety Educator Project Deputy" with the Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office makes traffic safety presentations statewide to various groups, including schools, civic clubs, businesses, organization, etc.. Using 164 Transfer Funds, Oklahoma County will purchase one DS-575 Basic Simulator from Drive Square including the Impaired Driving Demonstrator Module (IDD). The IDD allows a student to drive in a simulated drunk mode. IDD simulates the effects of Driving under Influence (DUI) and Driving While Intoxicated (DWI) driving, such as delayed response to controls and narrowing of the effective field of view. This project is part of the overall impaired driving traffic safety program area.

Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma County Sheriff's Office as the primary agency. Recipients of the education will be the various entities statewide to which presentations are made.

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>164 Transfer Funds-AL</td>
<td>164 Alcohol</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving Simulator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.11.2.2 Planned Activity: Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Area PI&amp;E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>AL-19-04-01-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of impaired driving-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.
OHSO

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Alcohol (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.11.2.3 Planned Activity: Occupant Protection Aea PI&E

Planned activity name: Occupant Protection Aea PI&E
Planned activity number: OP-19-04-01-00
Primary countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No
Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities, including development and printing of occupant protection-related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.

OHSO

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Public Information &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Occupant Protection (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.11.2.4 Planned Activity: Police Traffic Services PI&E
Planned activity name: Police Traffic Services PI&E
Planned activity number: PT-19-04-01-00
Primary countermeasure strategy: Public Information & Education

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The OHSO will use this funding to promote various activities related to traffic safety, including development and printing of various traffic safety related brochures, literature, and videos.

Enter intended subrecipients.
Police Traffic Services PI&E

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities
Fiscal Year Countermeasure Strategy Name

2019 Public Information & Education
2019 Integrated Enforcement

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Police Traffic Services (FAST)</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records found.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.11.3 Countermeasure Strategy: Employer Safety Training

Program area

Driver Education and Behavior

Countermeasure strategy

Employer Safety Training

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.

Is this countermeasure strategy innovative?

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6)

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification]

No
Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State’s problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State’s unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.

Develop employer outreach materials to reach Oklahoma employers. All activities will be advised by an expert Advisory Group of maximum 25 people including traffic safety professionals, government representatives, and employer sectors including commercial motor vehicle and fleet, and various employee demographics. Outreach will include development and distribution of campaign materials to Oklahoma companies to introduce best practice traffic safety strategies and build commitment to prevention among business owners, senior/executive management, and safety and health human resource and public affairs professionals.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

In OK, according to Injury Facts 2016, of the 91 on-the-job fatalities in 2014, 60 of those, 66%, were transportation related. A 6% increase from 2013 & an 11% increase from 2012. Of the fatalities in 2014, alcohol accounted for 25.7%. In 2015, 27.3% of fatal crashes were alcohol related, 36.5% were exceeding the legal limit. Employers bear an economic burden associated with crashes regardless of whether workers get injured on or off the job. In 2013, it cost OK employers $8 million for medical costs, $894 million for work loss costs. The effect of crash injuries to workers’ spouses & children extends into the workplace and has have two interrelated consequences: reduced profitability for the employer due to financial outlays, & diminished capacity of employees to perform paid work.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

This project will leverage the National Safety Council’s considerable base of knowledge, expertise and demonstrated results related to the important traffic safety issues contained in the project: impaired driving, occupant protection, distracted and drowsy driving, and aggressive driving. NSC has a best practices model that began as a pilot and has grown into an extremely successful program with statewide reach and impact, funded by a TX Dept.
of Transportation Traffic Safety Grant since its inception in 2008.

Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DE-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>National Safety Council Our Driving Concern Employer Program</td>
<td>Employer Safety Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.11.3.1 Planned Activity: National Safety Council Our Driving Concern Employer Program

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Enter description of the planned activity.

This project will develop employer outreach materials to reach Oklahoma employers. All activities will be advised by an expert Advisory Group of maximum 25 people including traffic safety professionals, government representatives, and employer sectors including commercial motor vehicle and fleet, and various employee demographics. Outreach will include development and distribution of campaign materials to Oklahoma companies to introduce best practice traffic safety strategies and build commitment to prevention among business owners, senior/executive management, and safety and health human resource and public affairs professionals.

Enter intended subrecipients.

National Safety Council

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Employer Safety Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Driver Education (FAST)</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
<td>$62,500.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.11.4 Countermeasure Strategy: Driver Education & Training

Program area    Driver Education and Behavior
Countermeasure strategy    Driver Education & Training

Innovative countermeasure strategies are countermeasure strategies which have not yet been proven effective in the highway safety arena but show potential based on limited practical application. Justification of innovative countermeasure strategies can be based on past successes when applied to other behavioral safety problems.
Is this countermeasure strategy innovative? 

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the planned high visibility enforcement strategies that support national mobilizations? § 1300.11(d)(6) 

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State's problem identification]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the seat belt enforcement criterion? § 1300.21(e)(3) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)(5), demonstrating that the State conducts sustained enforcement (i.e., a program of recurring efforts throughout the fiscal year of the grant to promote seat belt and child restraint enforcement), and that based on the State's problem identification, involves law enforcement agencies responsible for seat belt enforcement in geographic areas in which at least 70 percent of either the State's unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities occurred or combined fatalities and serious injuries occurred]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the high risk population countermeasure programs criterion? § 1300.21(e)(4) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan required under § 1300.21(d)(1)]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) under the comprehensive occupant protection program criterion? § 1300.21(e)(5)(ii)(B) [Countermeasure strategies (such as enforcement, education, communication, policies/legislation, partnerships/outreach), at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), designed to achieve the performance targets of the strategic plan]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this countermeasure strategy part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No

Countermeasure strategy description

To describe the program area countermeasure strategy that will help the State complete its program and achieve specific performance targets, complete the following:

Enter assessment of the overall projected traffic safety impacts of the countermeasure strategy chosen and of the planned activities to be funded.
The primary goals of any traffic safety program are to identify, develop and promote programs to positively affect a change in behavior to reduce the number and severity of traffic crashes. Education must reach drivers of all ages, but young drivers are especially prone to risky and unsafe driving behaviors. Oklahoma has long had Driver Improvement Courses approved by the Department of Public Safety and the Defensive Driving Course certified and presented by the Oklahoma Safety Council. Other courses have also been identified for this area, including the Alive at 25 program by the Oklahoma Safety Council and the Cinema Driving Experience by the Children & Parent Resource Group.

Enter description of the linkage between program area problem identification data, performance targets, identified countermeasure strategy and allocation of funds to planned activities.

Strategies proposed for the Driver Education program will have the potential to impact all areas of the state, based on available opportunities, with particular emphasis on texting and driving. The Alive at 25 program is often used by court systems in judicial adjudication. With the adoption of a new texting law effective November 1, 2015 greater emphasis will be placed on those programs promoting no texting and driving, including statewide paid media and educational efforts. Educational Alternatives is in the second year of a pilot program to curb distracted driving through school related groups and peer to peer mentoring. The National Safety Council’s Our Driving Concern is an employer program which seeks to partner with employers through the state to provide traffic safety education to workers, with emphasis on speed and aggressive driving, distracted driving, impaired driving (including drowsy driving) and occupant protection. The selected strategies are evidence-based and have been shown to have a positive effect on changing attitudes and behaviors related to these at-risk behaviors with the target of reducing the number of fatalities and injuries crashes involving distracted driving and risk-taking behaviors.

Evidence of effectiveness

Enter a rationale for selecting the countermeasure strategy and funding allocation for each planned activity.

Between 2007 and 2011, Oklahoma averaged 57 drivers under the age of 21 killed every year in motor vehicle crashes. From 2012 through 2016, that average decreased to 40 drivers under age 21 killed every year. Between 2012 and 2016 there was an average of 526 distracted drivers between ages of 16-25 involved in KAB crashes in Oklahoma each year - by far representing the largest percentage by age group. While driver education should be and is available to all age groups, the 16-25 year old age group would show the greatest need.

### Distracted Drivers in KAB Crashes, 2012-2016
(all distractions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-25</td>
<td>2630</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>6280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-35</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>3744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
<td>1644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-55</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-65</td>
<td>227</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-75</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Planned activities

Select existing planned activities below and/or click Add New to enter and select planned activities that the State will conduct to support the countermeasure strategies within each program area to address its problems and achieve its performance targets.

### Planned activities in countermeasure strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

[Diagram of Distracted Drivers in KAB Crashes, 2012-2016]
5.11.4.1 Planned Activity: Oklahoma Safety Council Alive at 25 Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>Oklahoma Safety Council Alive at 25 Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>DE-19-02-02-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State's most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]  
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]  
No

Enter description of the planned activity.

*Alive at 25* is a course developed by the National Safety Council focusing on teenagers and young adults ages 15-24. It is designed to be an early intervention program to help prevent traffic violations, collisions and/or fatalities involving young drivers through education. This project will focus on training *Alive at 25* instructors and effectively presenting the program. *Alive at 25* is taught exclusively by trained police officers and certified driving instructors in Oklahoma in one four-hour session. Topics addressed include speeding, distracted driving, aggressive driving, seat belts, impaired driving and other life-or-death issues pertinent to younger drivers.
Enter intended subrecipients.

Oklahoma Safety Council

Countermeasure strategies

Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources

Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Driver Education (FAST)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

5.11.4.2 Planned Activity: OU Health Sciences Center Older Driver Safety Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity name</th>
<th>OU Health Sciences Center Older Driver Safety Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned activity number</td>
<td>DE-19-02-03-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary countermeasure strategy</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No
Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]
No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]
No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]
No

Enter description of the planned activity.
The Oklahoma Healthy Aging Initiative (OHAI) “Older Driver Safety: Laws, Medical Issues, and Safety Concerns” addresses the issues that surround the safety of older adult drivers. Developed in conjunction with the Oklahoma Highway Patrol, this program examines the factors that contribute to the increasing danger of driving as an older adult. It explores the role that impaired vision, impaired hearing, and impaired cognition play in the safety of older adult drivers. “Older Driver Safety” also offers tips to increase older adult driving safety and offers advice and ideas on what to do when it is time to stop driving and “give up the keys”.

Enter intended subrecipients.
University of Oklahoma Office of Research Administration

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Driver Education (FAST)</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402</td>
<td>Driver Education (FAST)</td>
<td>$60,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major purchases and dispositions

Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Per Unit</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>NHTSA Share per unit</th>
<th>NHTSA Share Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records found.

5.12 Program Area: Planning & Administration

Program area type  Planning & Administration

Will countermeasure strategies and planned activities be described in this plan to address the program area?

No

Is this program area part of the State occupant protection program area plan for a 405(b) application that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(c) and (d)?

No

Problem identification

Enter description and analysis of the State's highway safety problems (for this program area) as identified through an analysis of data, including but not limited to fatality, injury, enforcement, and judicial data, to be used as a basis for setting performance targets and developing countermeasure strategies.

OHSO carefully monitors all projects to ensure the appropriate use of restricted funds (Sections 402 and 405 as well as State funds). In order to address the State's needs as identified in the Problem Identification process, some projects will be provided funding from more than one source in order to supplement their enforcement efforts in support of statewide goals. During the grant selection process, the project's primary program area and funding source will be identified and the project will be listed in the HSP as such. For example, a project identified as primarily a impaired driving project may combine funding from both Section 402 and Section 405 sources. Such multiple funding sources are delineated in the grant agreement description and assigned project number(s). The separate fund sources and activities are carefully tracked and billed to the appropriate funding source.

Program Management Salaries by Program Area

The chart below summarizes the funded salaries for Program Management by Program Area at OHSO. Program area assignments may vary as the fiscal year progresses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Management Salaries by Program Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Listed percentages subject to change after contract negotiations and final project assignments. GTS will be amended accordingly at such time.</th>
<th>P&amp;A State</th>
<th>P&amp;A Federal</th>
<th>AL</th>
<th>MC</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>PT</th>
<th>TR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Director - Paul Harris</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief of Resources - Beverly Baker</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief of Plans - Jay Wall</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief of Programs - Katie Mueller</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountant - Elizabeth George</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Assistant - Alisha Perry</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Analyst - Amy Graham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Mgr 1 - Sam Harcrow</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Mgr 2 - Jaclynn Frace</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Mgr 3 - Stephanie Dodd</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Mgr 4 - Cassandra Herring</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Mgr 5 - TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Planned Activities in the Planning & Administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA-19-07-01-00</td>
<td>Planning and Administration</td>
<td>Planning &amp; Administration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.12.1 Planned Activity: Planning and Administration

- **Planned activity name**: Planning and Administration
- **Planned activity number**: PA-19-07-01-00
- **Primary countermeasure strategy**: Planning & Administration

Is this planned activity part of the evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP)? § 1300.11(d)(5)

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child restraint inspection stations? § 1300.21(d)(3) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State occupant protection grant application (§ 405(b)) for child passenger safety technicians? § 1300.21(d)(4) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d)]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State traffic safety information system improvements grant application (§ 405(c)) for the State traffic records strategic plan? § 1300.22(b)(2)(iii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement a recommendation(s) from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment]

No

Is this planned activity part of the impaired driving countermeasure grant application (§ 405(d)) for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities as a high-range State? § 1300.23(f)(1)(ii) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for spending grant funds on impaired driving activities listed in § 1300.23(j)(4) that must include high-visibility enforcement efforts]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the motorcyclist awareness program criterion? § 1300.25(f) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating the State will implement data-driven programs in a majority of counties or political subdivisions where the incidence of crashes involving a motorcycle and another motor vehicle is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State motorcyclist safety grant application (§ 405(f)) under the impaired driving program criterion? § 1300.25(h)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs designed to reach motorcyclists in those jurisdictions where the incidence of motorcycle crashes involving an impaired operator is highest]

No

Is this planned activity part of the State racial profiling data collection grant application (§ 1906)? § 1300.28(b)(2) [Planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), supporting the assurances that the State will undertake activities during the fiscal year of the grant to comply with the requirements of § 1300.28(b)(1)]

No
Enter description of the planned activity.
This cost item covers staff and office costs, including but not limited to: salary, benefits, office lease, phones, computers, office supplies, etc.

Enter intended subrecipients.
OHSO

Countermeasure strategies
Select existing countermeasure strategies below and/or click Add New to enter and select countermeasure strategies that the planned activity will support.

Countermeasure strategies in planned activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Planning &amp; Administration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding sources
Click Add New to enter federal funding source, eligible use of funds, and estimates of funding amounts, amount for match and local benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Eligible Use of Funds</th>
<th>Estimated Funding Amount</th>
<th>Match Amount</th>
<th>Local Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>FAST Act NHTSA 402 Planning and Administration (FAST)</td>
<td>$198,750.00</td>
<td>$49,687.50</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major purchases and dispositions
Click Add New to enter equipment with a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of $5,000 or more.

No records found.

6 Evidence-based Traffic Safety Enforcement Program (TSEP)
Evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP) information
Identify the planned activities that collectively constitute an evidence-based traffic safety enforcement program (TSEP).

Planned activities in the TSEP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-01-04</td>
<td>Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-04-01</td>
<td>Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-16</td>
<td>Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-07-01-00</td>
<td>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Program Area Management</td>
<td>Program Area Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-02-01-05</td>
<td>DCCCA Teen Seat Belt Safety Project</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-07-15</td>
<td>Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-02-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-03-01</td>
<td>Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Beaver County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-02-18</td>
<td>Bixby Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-03-10</td>
<td>Calera Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-04-08</td>
<td>Creek County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-05-06</td>
<td>Drumright Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-06-16</td>
<td>Durant Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-07-10</td>
<td>Grove Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-09-06</td>
<td>Idabel Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-10-01</td>
<td>Kay County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-11-02</td>
<td>McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-12-04</td>
<td>McLoud Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-13-16</td>
<td>Midwest City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-14-05</td>
<td>Mustang Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-15-11</td>
<td>Norman Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-16-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-17-12</td>
<td>Ponca City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-18-09</td>
<td>Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-19-18</td>
<td>Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-20-17</td>
<td>Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-21-09</td>
<td>Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-22-06</td>
<td>Alva Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-23-03</td>
<td>McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-24-03</td>
<td>Seminole Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-25-01</td>
<td>Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-26-03</td>
<td>Stillwater Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Bartlesville Speed Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SE-19-03-02-16  Bethany Speed Enforcement  High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-03-19  Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement  High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-04-02  Bryan County Speed Enforcement  High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-05-21  Edmond Speed Management  High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-06-10  McAlester Speed Enforcement  High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-07-14  Owasso Speed Enforcement  High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-08-03  Piedmont Speed Enforcement  High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-09-13  Shawnee Speed Enforcement  High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-10-09  Tecumseh Speed Enforcement  High Visibility Enforcement
SE-19-03-12-12  Tulsa Speed Enforcement  High Visibility Enforcement
M2HVE-19-03-01-13  OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement  High Visibility Enforcement
M2HVE-19-07-01-13  OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator  High Visibility Enforcement
M5HVE-19-03-01-13  OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement  High Visibility Enforcement
M5OT-19-05-02-03  ODMHSAS 2M2L Task Force Oversight  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03A  Anadarko 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03B  Chickasha 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03C  Delaware County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03D  Durant 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03E  Edmond 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03F  Grady County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03H  Kay County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03I  McAlester 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03J  McCurtain County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03K  Norman 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03L  Grove 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03M  Oklahoma County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03N  Pittsburg County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03O  Seminole County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03P  Tahlequah 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03Q  Tulsa 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
M5OT-19-03-01-03R  Woodward County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement  Alcohol Vendor Compliance Checks
164AL-19-03-01-06  Alva Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement  High Visibility Enforcement
164AL-19-03-02-03  McCurtain County Alcohol-impaired Driving Enforcement  High Visibility Enforcement
Analysis

Enter analysis of crashes, crash fatalities, and injuries in areas of highest risk.

The OHSO data analyst, along with the DPS Enforcement Planner and others, performs in-depth analyses of the crash data available to identify the major causation factors and those areas having a significant injury crash rate at or above the state average. Based on review of the project proposals submitted and reviewed, as identified and explained in the planning section of the application, projects are selected for inclusion in the HSP.

Enter explanation of the deployment of resources based on the analysis performed.

The evidence-based traffic enforcement program instituted by the OHSO and its various law enforcement partners endeavors to use high-visibility enforcement practices supported by high-visibility media campaigns to decrease and/or prevent motor vehicle crashes resulting from driver error in those locations deemed at risk for such incidents. At a minimum, the OHSO provides data analyses of crashes, including crash injury rates, causes and locations to identify those areas of highest risk to allow for better deployment of available resources and continual monitoring of the effective use of those resources. The OHSO also plans evidence-based high-visibility strategies to support state and national mobilization efforts including “Click It or Ticket” and “Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over” efforts.

The major portion of traffic safety funding is used for traffic safety directed grants to local, county and state law enforcement agencies. This grants primarily pay for overtime activities by law enforcement to reduce the incidence of speeding/aggressive driving, driving under the influence of alcohol/drugs, failure to use vehicle restraints, and other types of violations which primarily contribute to crashes. Organizations eligible for enforcement grants include municipal police departments, county sheriff offices and state law enforcement agencies. All grant proposals, whether through the normal request for proposal process or elicited by the OHSO, must include a problem identification, project description based on evidence-based strategies, objectives and milestones, budget detail and evaluation criteria. OHSO has developed policies and procedures to ensure that grant funds are utilized in an efficient and effective manner in support of state goals and objectives.

Participants and Data Sources

Following the development of problem identification data, the OHSO conducted strategic planning sessions with its entire staff to identify goals and performance objectives for the upcoming Highway Safety Plan. During these sessions, OHSO staff members evaluate the most recent collision information from the Oklahoma Crash Facts Book, FARS data, Attitude and Awareness Survey, as well as the performance results from prior years and rank our problems and prioritize strategies.

Beginning with the FY2016 project year, a five year rolling average was implemented as the basis of evaluation for trend analysis and setting targets goals. Using standard analytical tools, a trend analysis based on the 5-year rolling average was conducted for each of the Core Performance Measures as well as Railroad/Highway Crossings collision data, the results reviewed and future performance measures and targets established. If additional variables are introduced with the potential to have a highly significant effect on the designated target, such as a major recession or passage of new laws, those factors were also considered, reviewed and an explanation provided as to any targets set varying from the established trend line targets. For the three performance measures common to the SHSP, HSP and HSIP, an additional evaluation analysis was performed by the University of Central Oklahoma to further assist in strategic planning utilizing additional tools such as ARIMA trend methodology and analysis of data using a longer past data collection period.

Preliminary goals are distributed to our partner agencies for review and input. Strategic planning partner agencies include: ODOT, DPS, OHP, OHP Troop S, OSDH and various others as necessary (see complete list of possible participants in the Overview on page 9). OHSO considers numerous sources of guidance during this process, including but not limited to:

- Oklahoma’s Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP)
- Oklahoma’s Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)
- Oklahoma’s Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan (CMVSP)
The statewide problem identification process and data used in the development of the state Highway Safety Plan (HSP) has been described earlier in the Problem Identification section. The data sources used were previously described, and include: Oklahoma Crash Facts, Motor Vehicle Crash Reports, Motor Vehicle Citation Data, Driver License Records, Motor Vehicle Registration Records, Breath or Blood Test Analysis Reports, Attitude and Awareness Survey, Occupant Protection Survey, FARS, DPS Enforcement Planner, ODOT highway mileage and crash rates, and motorcycle training statistics.

All law enforcement grants are required to implement evidence-based enforcement strategies as outlined in NHTSA Countermeasures That Work, the AASHTO Strategic Highway Safety Plan, NCHRP Report 662, Oklahoma GIDPAC Reducing Impaired Driving Traffic Crashes in Oklahoma State Plan, or other such credible research based reviews and reports.

Enter description of how the State plans to monitor the effectiveness of enforcement activities, make ongoing adjustments as warranted by data, and update the countermeasure strategies and projects in the Highway Safety Plan (HSP).

Continuous monitoring of the implementation of enforcement programs is another important element of the enforcement program. Enforcement agencies’ deployment strategies are continuously evaluated and adjusted to accommodate shifts and changes in their local highway safety problems. Several methods are used to follow-up on programs funded by the OHSO. The law enforcement agencies receiving grant funding are required to report on the progress of their programs in their activity reports. These reports must include data on the activities conducted, such as the area and times worked and the number of contact reports issued. Funding decisions for subsequent years are based on the effectiveness of the implementation and performance of the enforcement project.

The OHSO employs Program Managers who oversee and manage the projects and programs selected for inclusion in the Highway Safety Plan. In addition, the OHSO provides funding for Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL’s) who provide field coordination to OHP troops and local governmental agencies within their assigned regions. Contact with enforcement agencies is maintained through meetings, conferences, grant monitoring sessions, phone calls, and press events. Enforcement deployment strategies are continuously evaluated by both staff members and the Statewide OP or Impaired Driving Coordinator for their impact and effectiveness, and modifications are made when and where warranted.

7 High Visibility Enforcement

High-visibility enforcement (HVE) strategies

Planned HVE strategies to support national mobilizations:

*Reminder: When associating a countermeasure strategy to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustained Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term, High Visibility Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicized Sobriety Checkpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) Devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP Highway Safety Office Program Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement Outreach Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Drug Testing Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judicial Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving Statewide Enforcement Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Visibility Saturation Patrols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### HVE activities

Select specific HVE planned activities that demonstrate the State’s support and participation in the National high-visibility law enforcement mobilizations to reduce alcohol-impaired or drug impaired operation of motor vehicles and increase use of seat belts by occupants of motor vehicles.

### HVE Campaigns Selected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>164AL-19-07-01-00</td>
<td>164AL Program Area Management</td>
<td>Program Area Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-01-04</td>
<td>Del City Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Lawton Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-04-01</td>
<td>Osage County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-04-16</td>
<td>Sand Springs Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-05-12</td>
<td>Tulsa County Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-07-01-00</td>
<td>Alcohol/Impaired Driving Program Area Management</td>
<td>Program Area Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-07-15</td>
<td>Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-02-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-03-01</td>
<td>Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Beaver County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-02-18</td>
<td>Bixby Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-03-10</td>
<td>Calera Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-04-08</td>
<td>Creek County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-05-06</td>
<td>Drumright Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-06-16</td>
<td>Durant Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-07-10</td>
<td>Grove Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-09-06</td>
<td>Idabel Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-10-01</td>
<td>Kay County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-11-02</td>
<td>McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-12-04</td>
<td>McCloud Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Location/Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-13-16</td>
<td>Midwest City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-14-05</td>
<td>Mustang Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-15-11</td>
<td>Norman Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-16-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-17-12</td>
<td>Ponca City Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-18-09</td>
<td>Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-19-18</td>
<td>Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-20-17</td>
<td>Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-21-09</td>
<td>Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-22-06</td>
<td>Alva Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-23-03</td>
<td>McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-24-03</td>
<td>Seminole Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-25-01</td>
<td>Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-26-03</td>
<td>Stillwater Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Bartlesville Speed Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-02-16</td>
<td>Bethany Speed Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-03-19</td>
<td>Broken Arrow Speed Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-04-02</td>
<td>Bryan County Speed Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-05-21</td>
<td>Edmond Speed Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-06-10</td>
<td>McAlester Speed Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-07-14</td>
<td>Owasso Speed Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Piedmont Speed Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-09-13</td>
<td>Shawnee Speed Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-10-09</td>
<td>Tecumseh Speed Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-19-03-12-12</td>
<td>Tulsa Speed Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2HVE-19-07-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>OHP Impaired Driving HVE Equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-05-02-03</td>
<td>ODMHSAS 2M2L Task Force Oversight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03A</td>
<td>Anadarko 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03B</td>
<td>Chickasha 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5OT-19-03-01-03C</td>
<td>Delaware County 2M2L Task Force/Server Compliance Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8 405(b) Occupant Protection Grant

Occupant protection information

405(b) qualification status: Lower seat belt use rate State

Occupant protection plan

Submit State occupant protection program area plan that identifies the safety problems to be addressed, performance measures and targets, and the countermeasure strategies and planned activities the State will implement to address those problems.

Program Area

Occupant Protection (Adult and Child Passenger Safety)
Communications (Media)

Participation in Click-it-or-Ticket (CIOT) national mobilization

Select or click Add New to submit the planned participating agencies during the fiscal year of the grant, as required under § 1300.11(d)(6).

Agencies planning to participate in CIOT

Agency

Absentee Shawnee Tribal Police
Achille PD
Ada PD
Blanchard PD
Boise City Police
Bokchito PD
Bokoshe PD
Boswell PD
Braggs PD
Bristow PD
Broken Arrow PD
Broken Bow PD
Bryan County SO
Burns Flat PD
Butler PD
Cache PD
Caddo County SO
Caddo PD
Calera PD
Calumet PD
Calvin PD
Canadian County SO
Caney PD
Canton PD
Carl Albert State College PD
Carnegie PD
Carney PD
Carter County SO
Cashion PD
Catoosa PD
Cement PD
Chandler PD
Chattanooga PD
Checotah PD
Chelsea PD
Cherokee County SO
Cherokee Nation Marshal
Del City PD
Delaware County SO
Depew PD
Dewar PD
Dewey County SO
Dewey PD
Dibble PD
Dickson PD
Disney PD
Dover PD
Drummond PD
Drumright PD
Duncan PD
Durant PD
East Central State University PD
Eastern Oklahoma State College PD
Eastern Shawnee Tribal Police
Edmond PD
El Reno PD
Elgin PD
Elk City PD
Ellis County SO
Elmore City PD
Enid PD
Erick PD
Eufaula PD
Fairfax PD
Fairland PD
Fairview PD
Fletcher PD
Forest Park PD
Forgan Police Department
Fort Cobb PD
Fort Gibson PD
Fort Oakland PD
Fort Oakland Tribal PD
Fort Sill PD
Frederick PD
Gans PD
Garber PD
Garfield County SO
Garvin County SO
Geary PD
Geronimo PD
Glencoe PD
Glenpool PD
Goodwell PD
Gore PD
Gracemont PD
Grady County SO
Grand River Dam Authority
Grandfield PD
Granite PD
Grant County SO
Greer County SO
Grove PD
Guthrie PD
Guymon PD
Haileyville PD
Hanna PD
Harper County SO
Harrah PD
Hartselle PD
Haskell County SO
Haskell PD
Haworth PD
Healdton PD
Heavener PD
McCurtain County SO
McCurtain PD
McIntosh County SO
McLoud PD
Medford PD
Medicine Park PD
Meeker PD
Miami PD
Mid-America Christian University PD
Midwest City PD
Mill Creek PD
Minco PD
Moffett PD
Moore PD
Mooreland PD
Morris PD
Mounds PD
Mountain View PD
Muldrow PD
Murray County SO
Murray State College PD
Muscogee (Creek) Nation Lighthorse PD
Muskogee County SO
Muskogee PD
Mustang PD
Nash PD
Newcastle PD
Newkirk PD
Nichols Hills PD
Nicoma Park PD
Ninnekah PD
Noble County SO
Noble PD
Norman PD
Roger Mills County SO
Rogers County SO
Roland PD
Rush Springs PD
Sac and Fox Nation PD
Salina PD
Sallisaw PD
Sand Springs PD
Sapulpa PD
Savanna
Sayre PD
Selling PD
Seminole County SO
Seminole Nation Lighthorse PD
Seminole PD
Seminole State College PD
Sentinel PD
Sequoyah County SO
Shady Point PD
Shattuck PD
Shawnee PD
Skiatook PD
Snyder PD
South Coffeyville PD
Southeastern Oklahoma State University PD
Southwestern Oklahoma State University PD
Spavinaw PD
Spencer PD
Sperry PD
Spiro PD
Sportsmen Acres PD
Stephens County SO
Sterling PD
Stigler PD
Stillwater PD
Stilwell PD
Stratford PD
Stringtown PD
Stroud PD
Sulphur PD
Tahlequah PD
Talala PD
Talihina PD
Tecumseh PD
Texas County SO
Texhoma PD
Thackerville PD
Thomas PD
Tillman County SO
Tinker AFB PD
Tipton PD
Tishomingo PD
Tonkawa PD
Tryon PD
Tulsa County SO
Tulsa PD
Tupelo PD
Tushka PD
Tuttle PD
Tyrone PD
Union City PD
University of Central Oklahoma PD
University of Oklahoma PD
Valley Brook PD
Valliant PD
Vance AFB PD
Velma PD
Verden PD
Verdigris PD
Vian PD
Vici PD
Village PD
Vinita PD
Wagoner County SO
Wagoner PD
Walters PD
Wapanucka PD
Warner PD
Warr Acres PD
Washington County SO
Washington PD
Washita County SO
Watonga PD
Watts PD
Waukomis PD
Waurika PD
Wayne PD
Waynoka PD
Weatherford PD
Webbers Falls PD
Weleetka PD
Wellston PD
West Siloam Springs PD
Westville PD
Wetumka PD
Wewoka PD
Wichita Mountain Wildlife Refuge PD
Wilburton PD
Wilson PD
Wister PD
Woods County SO
Enter description of the State’s planned participation in the Click-it-or-Ticket national mobilization.

The Oklahoma Highway Safety Office actively supports NHTSA’s national “Click It or Ticket” mobilization and the “Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over” mobilizations in late August and December. OHSO uses an online electronic mobilization reporting system allowing law enforcement agencies to indicate their intent to participate and to report activity after the mobilization. Agency participation in this event is accomplished in a variety of ways.

- Each subrecipient law enforcement agency is required as a condition of their grant agreement to, as an agency and not specific to only grant funded activity, participate in and report enforcement/PI&E activities for the “Click It or Ticket” and “Drive Sober or Get Pulled Over” mobilizations, including submission of pre-mobilization and post-mobilization reports. This is not limited to use of grant funds, as some grant funds cannot be used for all purposes.
- Law enforcement agencies that are not subrecipients are contacted prior to the mobilization by the OHSO Impaired Driving Liaison (IDL) assigned to their region. These agencies are encouraged to support the statewide mobilization efforts.
- The OHSO actively promotes the mobilizations with earned media and support from our Safe Communities groups. Our paid media contractor promotes the mobilizations using the national messaging taglines, unless otherwise directed by the OHSO. The contractor is required to report on the number of impressions achieved in each advertising venue.

Child restraint inspection stations

Submit countermeasure strategies, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification.

*Reminder: When associating a countermeasure strategy to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paid Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating an active network of child passenger safety inspection stations and/or inspection events based on the State’s problem identification.

*Reminder: When associating a planned activity to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M2CPS-19-02-01-21</td>
<td>Safe Kids OK Child Passenger Safety Program</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2CPS-19-02-02-19</td>
<td>Tulsa Safe Kids Child Passenger Safety Program</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enter the total number of planned inspection stations and/or events in the State.

Planned inspection stations and/or events: 289

Enter the number of planned inspection stations and/or inspection events serving each of the following population categories: urban, rural, and at-risk.

Populations served - urban 134
Populations served - rural 156
Populations served - at risk 93

CERTIFICATION: The inspection stations/events are staffed with at least one current nationally Certified Child Passenger Safety Technician.

Child passenger safety technicians

Submit countermeasure strategies, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification.

*Reminder: When associating a countermeasure strategy to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countermeasure Strategy Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earned Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPS Technician Training &amp; Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), for recruiting, training and maintaining a sufficient number of child passenger safety technicians based on the State’s problem identification.

*Reminder: When associating a planned activity to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M2CPS-19-02-01-21</td>
<td>Safe Kids OK Child Passenger Safety Program</td>
<td>Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enter an estimate of the total number of classes and the estimated total number of technicians to be trained in the upcoming fiscal year to ensure coverage of child passenger safety inspection stations and inspection events by nationally Certified Child Passenger Safety Technicians.

Estimated total number of classes 21
Estimated total number of technicians 510

Maintenance of effort

ASSURANCE: The lead State agency responsible for occupant protection programs shall maintain its aggregate expenditures for occupant protection programs at or above the level of such expenditures in fiscal year 2014 and 2015.

Qualification criteria for a lower seat belt use rate State

To qualify for an Occupant Protection Grant in a fiscal year, a lower seat belt use rate State (as determined by NHTSA) must submit, as part of its HSP, documentation demonstrating that it meets at least three of the following additional criteria. Select application criteria from the list below to display the associated requirements.

Primary enforcement seat belt use statute Yes
Occupant protection statute No
Seat belt enforcement No
High risk population countermeasure program Yes
Comprehensive occupant protection program No
Occupant protection program assessment Yes

Primary enforcement seat belt use statute

Open each requirement below to provide legal citations to demonstrate that the State statute meets the requirement.

- The State's statute(s) demonstrates that the State has enacted and is enforcing occupant protection statutes that make a violation of the requirement to be secured in a seat belt or child restraint a primary offense.
  - 47 O.S. Section 12-417

High risk population countermeasure programs
Submit countermeasure strategies, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan.

*Reminder: When associating a countermeasure strategy to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

### Countermeasure Strategy Name
- OP Highway Safety Office Program Management
- High Visibility Saturation Patrols
- High Visibility Enforcement
- Earned Media
- Communication Campaign
- Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)

Submit planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), demonstrating that the State will implement data-driven programs to improve seat belt and child restraint use for at least two of the following at-risk populations: (i) Drivers on rural roadways; (ii) Unrestrained nighttime drivers; (iii) Teenage drivers; (iv) Other high-risk populations identified in the occupant protection program area plan.

*Reminder: When associating a planned activity to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-05-01-12</td>
<td>OHP Regional Impaired Driving Liaisons (IDL)</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-02-01-05</td>
<td>DCCCA Teen Seat Belt Safety Project</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-02-02-05</td>
<td>OK SAFE Conference</td>
<td>School Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-19-03-07-15</td>
<td>Enid Impaired Driving Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-02-15</td>
<td>Oklahoma City Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-03-03-01</td>
<td>Rogers County Occupant Protection Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Area PI&amp;E</td>
<td>Program Area Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-19-07-01-00</td>
<td>Occupant Protection Program Area Management</td>
<td>Program Area Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-01-01</td>
<td>Beaver County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-02-18</td>
<td>Bixby Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-03-10</td>
<td>Calera Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-04-08</td>
<td>Creek County Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-05-06</td>
<td>Drumright Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-06-16</td>
<td>Durant Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-07-10</td>
<td>Grove Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-08-03</td>
<td>Guthrie Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-09-06</td>
<td>Idabel Traffic Enforcement</td>
<td>High Visibility Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-10-01</td>
<td>Kay County Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-11-02</td>
<td>McIntosh County Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-12-04</td>
<td>McCloud Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-13-16</td>
<td>Midwest City Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-14-05</td>
<td>Mustang Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-15-11</td>
<td>Norman Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-16-12</td>
<td>Oklahoma County Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-17-12</td>
<td>Ponca City Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-18-09</td>
<td>Pottawatomie County Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-19-18</td>
<td>Sapulpa Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-20-17</td>
<td>Tahlequah Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-21-09</td>
<td>Warr Acres Traffic Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-22-06</td>
<td>Alva Traffic Enforcement Law Enforcement Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-23-03</td>
<td>McCurtain County Traffic Enforcement Law Enforcement Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-24-03</td>
<td>Seminole Traffic Enforcement Law Enforcement Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-25-01</td>
<td>Sequoyah County Traffic Enforcement Law Enforcement Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-03-26-03</td>
<td>Stillwater Traffic Enforcement Law Enforcement Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-04-01-00</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services PI&amp;E Public Information &amp; Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>Conference Planner Law Enforcement Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-06-02-00</td>
<td>Attitude &amp; Awareness Survey Attitude/Awareness Surveys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-19-07-01-00</td>
<td>Police Traffic Services Program Area Management Program Area Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE-19-02-02-09</td>
<td>Oklahoma Safety Council Alive at 25 Program Driver Education &amp; Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2CPS-19-02-01-21</td>
<td>Safe Kids OK Child Passenger Safety Program Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2CPS-19-02-02-19</td>
<td>Tulsa Safe Kids Child Passenger Safety Program Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2CSS-19-02-01-21</td>
<td>Safe Kids OK Car Seat Distribution Program Child Restraint System Inspection Station(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2CSS-19-02-02-19</td>
<td>Tulsa Safe Kids Car Seat Distribution Program CPS Car Seat Distribution Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2TR-19-02-01-21</td>
<td>Safe Kids OK Technician Training Program CPS Technician Training &amp; Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2TR-19-02-02-19</td>
<td>Tulsa Safe Kids Technician Training Program CPS Technician Training &amp; Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility OP Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2HVE-19-07-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide Occupant Protection Law Enforcement Coordinator High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2X-19-06-01-00</td>
<td>Attitude &amp; Awareness Survey - Occupant Protection Section Attitude/Awareness Surveys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5HVE-19-03-01-13</td>
<td>OHP Statewide High-Visibility Impaired Driving Enforcement High Visibility Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STCPS-19-02-01-03</td>
<td>Paid Media Child Passenger Safety Paid Media</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STCPS-19-02-02-00</td>
<td>Safe Kids Worldwide CPS Technician Training &amp; Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Occupant protection program assessment

Enter the date of the NHTSA-facilitated assessment of all elements of its occupant protection program, which must have been conducted within three years prior to the application due date.

Date of the NHTSA-facilitated assessment  4/28/2017

9 405(c) - State Traffic Safety Information System Improvement Grant

Traffic records coordinating committee (TRCC)

Submit at least three meeting dates of the TRCC during the 12 months immediately preceding the application due date.

Meeting Date

5/23/2018
10/17/2017
4/17/2018

Enter the name and title of the State’s Traffic Records Coordinator

Name of State’s Traffic Records Coordinator:  Paul Harris
Title of State’s Traffic Records Coordinator:  Director, Oklahoma Highway Safety Office

Enter a list of TRCC members by name, title, home organization and the core safety database represented, provided that at a minimum, at least one member represents each of the following core safety databases: (A) Crash; (B) Citation or adjudication; (C) Driver; (D) Emergency medical services or injury surveillance system; (E) Roadway; and (F) Vehicle.

OKLAHOMA TRCC MEMBERSHIP/EXECUTIVE REPRESENTATION

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

Lt. Colonel Russell Maples
Oklahoma Highway Patrol
P.O. Box 11415
Oklahoma City, OK 73136
Phone: 405-425-2012
Fax: 405-419-2155
2501 N. Lincoln
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
Phone: 405-522-5606
Email: Tham@oktax.state.ok.us

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS
Phylisha Smotherman
Director, Training & Help Desk
MIS, Supreme Court Records
Court Administrator’s Office
1915 N. Stiles, Suite 305
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
Phone: 405-556-9300
Fax: 405-521-9688
Phylisha.Smotherman@OSCN.net

COMMERCIAL VEHICLE ENFORCEMENT
Brittany Berry
Administrative Programs Officer
Troop S
Oklahoma Highway Patrol
200 NE 38th Terrace
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
Phone: 405-521-6073
Email: brittany.berry@dps.ok.gov

TRAFFIC LAW ENFORCEMENT
Lt. Jason Samuel
Oklahoma City Police Department
Traffic Investigations Division
701 Colcord Drive
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
Phone: 405-316-5182
Cell: 405-417-8245
Email: jason.samuel@okc.gov

Sgt. JJ Peters
Tulsa Police Department
600 Civic Center
Tulsa, OK 74103
Phone: 918-586-6029
Cell: 918-633-3549
Email: jpeters@cityoftulsa.org

MUNICIPAL COURT SYSTEM
Annetta Franks
City Clerk/Finance Director
President, Oklahoma Municipal Court Clerks Association
City of Cleveland
105 West Caddo
Cleveland, OK 74020
Phone: 918-358-3506
Fax: 918-358-3600
affranks@cityofclevelandok.com

MOTORCYCLE SAFETY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Lt. Colonel J.D. Wilson
Oklahoma Highway Patrol
P.O. Box 11415
Oklahoma City, OK 73136
Phone: 405-425-2017
Fax: 405-419-2155
State traffic records strategic plan

Upload a Strategic Plan, approved by the TRCC, that—(i) Describes specific, quantifiable and measurable improvements, as described in paragraph (b)(3) of this section, that are anticipated in the State’s core safety databases, including crash, citation or adjudication, driver, emergency medical services or injury surveillance system, roadway, and vehicle databases; (ii) Includes a list of all recommendations from its most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment; (iii) Identifies which recommendations identified under paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section the State intends to address in the fiscal year, the countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement each recommendation, and the performance measures to be used to demonstrate quantifiable and measurable progress; and (iv) Identifies which recommendations identified under paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section the State does not intend to address in the fiscal year and explains the reason for not implementing the recommendations.

Documents Uploaded

PM2 IDOD backup docs.pdf
OTRC StrategicPlan_Revised Oct 2015.pdf
PM1 SAFE-T backup docs.pdf

Enter a direct copy of the section of the State traffic records strategic plan that lists all recommendations from the State’s most recent highway safety data and traffic records system assessment.

Traffic Record Assessment Report

Oklahoma

Assessment Date: May 26, 2015
The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) conducted a traffic records assessment for the State of Oklahoma from February 17, 2015 through May 22, 2015. The final report was issued on May 26, 2015. The NHTSA recommendations from that assessment and the State response to those recommendations are provided herein.

**Data System: TRCC Management**

**Recommendation:** None

State Response: None

**Data System: Crash**

**Recommendation:** Improve the data dictionary for the Crash data system.

State Response: In correlation with the MMUCC data element updates to take place in 2017, the State will review and update its data dictionary as necessary.

**Recommendation:** Improve the data quality control program for the Crash data system.

State Response: The TRCC has formed a subcommittee named “PARIS Steering Committee”. The purpose of the committee is review all crash data being submitted with particular attention to electronic crash data and make recommendations for improvement. This will also go hand in hand with improvements to the data dictionary.

**Data System: Driver**

**Recommendation:** Improve the data quality control program for the Driver data system.

State Response: This was included as part of the DPS Data Modernization Project. The basis would be to move from an individual mainframe system to an integrated data sharing system with 2-3 years. Currently budgetary limitations the State is experiencing may slow or modify this process and time frame.

**Recommendation:** Improve the data dictionary for the Driver data system.

State Response: In correlation with the MMUCC data element updates to take place in 2017, the State will review and update its data dictionary as necessary.

**Data System: Vehicle**

**Recommendation:** Improve the data dictionary for the Vehicle data system.

State Response: In correlation with the MMUCC data element updates to take place in 2017, the State will review and update its data dictionary as necessary.

**Recommendation:** Improve the data quality control program for the Vehicle data system.

State Response: The Oklahoma Tax Commission does not feel that they can make any significant changes or improvements to the current system at this time.

**Recommendation:** Improve the procedures/ process flows for the Vehicle data system.

State Response: The Oklahoma Tax Commission does not feel that they can make any significant changes or improvements to the current system at this time.
Data System: Roadway

**Recommendation:** Improve the applicable guidelines for the Roadway data system.

State Response: The Oklahoma Department of Transportation will review the detail of recommendations to determine if the recommended improvements can be made. The TRCC is working to re-establish a working Roadway Inventory System document to track any changes or improvements which may occur to any of the model elements.

**Recommendation:** Improve the data quality control program for the Roadway data system.

State Response: The Oklahoma Department of Transportation will review the detail of recommendations to determine if the recommended improvements can be made.

**Recommendation:** Improve the procedures/process flows for the Roadway data system.

State Response: The Oklahoma Department of Transportation will review the detail of recommendations to determine if the recommended improvements can be made.

**Recommendation:** Improve the interfaces with the Roadway data system.

State Response: The Oklahoma Department of Transportation will review the detail of recommendations to determine if the recommended improvements can be made.

**Recommendation:** Improve the data dictionary for the Roadway data system.

State Response: In correlation with the MMUCC data element updates to take place in 2017, the State will review and update its data dictionary as necessary.

Data System: Citation/Adjudication

**Recommendation:** Improve the data quality control program for the Citation and Adjudication systems.

State Response: DPS and the State Court Clerks Association will continue the training process for submitting citation information.

**Recommendation:** Improve the data dictionary for the Citation and Adjudication systems.

State Response: A review process is already in place with yearly revisions in accordance with state statutes. In correlation with the MMUCC data element updates to take place in 2017, the State will review and update its data dictionary as necessary.

Data System: Injury Surveillance

**Recommendation:** Improve the description and contents of the Injury Surveillance systems.
State Response: The State Department of Health EMS Division will look into what specific IS they now have for recommendations on improvement. The TRCC is working to re-establish a working IS Inventory System document to track any changes or improvements which may occur.

**Recommendation:** Improve the interfaces with the Injury Surveillance systems.

State Response: The State Department of Health EMS Division will look into what specific IS there now have for recommendations on improvement.

**Recommendation:** Improve the data quality control program for the Injury Surveillance systems.

State Response: The trauma registry and OKEMSIS are moving toward creation of a new data dictionary.

**Recommendation:** Improve the data dictionary for the Injury Surveillance systems.

State Response: In correlation with the MMUCC data element updates to take place in 2017, the State will review and update its data dictionary as necessary.

**Data System: Data Use & Integration**

**Recommendation:** Improve the traffic records systems capacity to integrate data.

State Response: The State is working to improve in this area. Mandated changes in law effective November 1, 2016 will allow for better data collection on DUI arrests reports and initiate creation of a database to track that data. The State is also working on expansion of its electronic crash data reporting systems and reviewing several options, including commercial programs, to better integrate the variety of existing crash, medical and judicial databases to aid in problem identification and analysis.

Enter a direct copy of the section of the State traffic records strategic plan that identifies which recommendations the State intends to address in the fiscal year, the countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under 23 C.F.R. 1300.11(d), that implement each recommendation, and the performance measures to be used to demonstrate quantifiable and measurable progress.

Recommendations the State intends to address in the fiscal year, the countermeasure strategies and planned activities, at the level of detail required under 23 C.F.R. 1300.11(d), that implement each recommendation, and the performance measures to be used to demonstrate quantifiable and measurable progress.

1-B Strategic Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Responsible Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Conduct an annual meeting to evaluate program status and update Annual Meeting to coincide with the regularly scheduled April meeting, or by special meeting called by the Strategic Plan as needed.</td>
<td>Council Chair.</td>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>U/I</td>
<td>Chair/Vice Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Conduct regular quarterly meetings of the Traffic Records Council. Meetings to be conducted in January, April, July and October subject to approval in accordance with State</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>U/I</td>
<td>Chair/Vice chair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Educate executive level members on program status. Agency head briefings to be conducted after annual meeting (see PM 1 of this section). 1-6 U/I Chair/ vice chair

1-C Data Use & Integration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Responsible Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Continue to promote this council as the focal point for questions and issues regarding public access to data. Effective upon approval of the Strategic Plan at the October 2015 meeting. Ongoing focus required.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,3,4,5,6</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Chairman/Vice Chair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2-A Crash

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Responsible Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Improve the data dictionary for the Crash data system by updating to the new MMUCC standards. Update December 31, 2017.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>Kathy Evans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Incorporate Lat/Long data in all electronic crash reports. By December 31, 2017 provide means for and strongly encourage Lat/long inclusion in all electronic crash reports.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>CPT Ronnie Hampton, OHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Improve the percentage of mappable crashes in SAFE-T by integrating data from Google Maps, the ODOT city/street database and the collision data within SAFE-T, thereby giving the system more accurate data overall. Increase the percentage of mappable crashes from 66% in March 2015 to 73% in March of 2016.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>David Glabas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2-B Roadway
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Priority Performance Area</th>
<th>Responsible Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Incorporate use of RDS in SAFE-T to predict probability of collisions by location.</td>
<td>Incorporate into SAFE-T by December 31, 2016</td>
<td>1, 3, 4, 6</td>
<td>A/I</td>
<td>David Glabas ODOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Develop ability in SAFE-T to query MV crashes by intersection signalization at non-signal controlled intersections.</td>
<td>Complete development by June 30, 2016.</td>
<td>3, 5, 6</td>
<td>A/I</td>
<td>David Glabas, ODOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Upgrade mapping capabilities in SAFE-T by inclusion of roadway data in Sliding Scale Analysis Reports.</td>
<td>Complete by June 30, 2016.</td>
<td>3, 5, 6</td>
<td>A/I</td>
<td>David Glabas, ODOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Develop ability within SAFE-T to query crash reports by Tribal boundaries.</td>
<td>Complete development by December 31, 2015.</td>
<td>3, 5, 6</td>
<td>A/I</td>
<td>David Glabas, ODOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Upgrade the help feature capabilities of SAFE-T.</td>
<td>Develop help files within SAFE-T by December 31, 2015.</td>
<td>1, 3, 4, 6</td>
<td>A/Ac</td>
<td>David Glabas, ODOT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2-C  Driver

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Priority Performance Area</th>
<th>Responsible Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Continue to educate courts on the necessity to submit conviction files</td>
<td>Conduct semi-annual meetings with court clerks and judges.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Virgil Bonham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Increase the number of electronically submitted abstracts from municipal courts to DPS</td>
<td>Commence rebuilding of OCRS within two years and complete the rebuild within three years. OCRS</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>Rhonda Larson, DPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Increase the efficiency of electronically submitted abstracts by更新 formats and codes for submission of electronic data as necessary, based on legislative actions and encouraging updates to the data dictionary used by 3rd party vendors such as Kell Pro.</td>
<td>Update the formats and codes for submission of electronic data as necessary, based on legislative actions and encouraging updates to the data dictionary used by 3rd party vendors such as Kell Pro.</td>
<td>1, 4, 5</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Virgil Bonham, DPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Improve DPS data dictionary.</td>
<td>Maintain updates of Kell Pro, AOC and 3rd party municipal vendors as needed.</td>
<td>1, 4, 5</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>Virgil Bonham, DPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Improve DPS data quality program.</td>
<td>Incorporate new MMUCC guidelines as necessary by December 31, 2017.</td>
<td>1, 4, 5</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>Virgil Bonham, DPS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2-E  Citation and Adjudication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Priority Performance Area</th>
<th>Responsible Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Involve the Municipal Court Clerks Association in planning efforts of abstracting e-citations</td>
<td>OTRC members will make presentation to the Municipal Court Clerks Association on a regular basis.</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td>I/A</td>
<td>Virgil Bonham, DPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Continue to promote further development of the E-citation system for both district and municipal courts.</td>
<td>Meet on a regular basis, at least quarterly, to evaluate and report to TRCC on status.</td>
<td>1, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>I/A</td>
<td>Virgil Bonham, DPS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Improve the data dictionary for the Citation and Adjudication Edit annually in accordance with state statutes. System by updating the systems to reflect the latest updates.


2-F Injury Surveillance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Priority Performance Area</th>
<th>Responsible Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Begin instituting data quality checks in OKEMSIS to reduce the OKEMSIS 2.2 validity is currently around 94%. With OKEMSIS Version 3.34, end of 2016 validity will be 80%. rate of missing information; continue to promote OKEMSIS and with 90% of EMS services current with required submissions. Between 2017 and 2020, an increase in validity scores each year to achieve a &gt;95% validity score by end of 2020.</td>
<td>1, 3, 5</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>Dale Adkerson</td>
<td>OSDH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Encourage and support development and implementation of an Timelines and specific measures have not yet been established. This will be updated as soon as available. emergency department discharge data system by OSDH IPS and HCL divisions to coordinate efforts to provide ED for injury analysis.</td>
<td>1, 3, 5</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>Sheryll Brown</td>
<td>OSDH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit the planned activities, at the level of detail required under § 1300.11(d), that implement recommendations.
Reminder: When associating a planned activity to an incentive grant, you must ensure sufficient detail is provided to satisfy the additional incentive grant criteria, where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned activity unique identifier</th>
<th>Planned Activity Name</th>
<th>Primary Countermeasure Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-05-01-06</td>
<td>DPS/OHP Traffic Enforcement Planner</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-05-02-12</td>
<td>DPS Traffic Records Support</td>
<td>Improves timeliness of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-01-01</td>
<td>DPS-0090 - Drivers Records</td>
<td>Improves integration between one or more core highway safety databases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-02-01</td>
<td>OHP Fatality Crash Reporting Training</td>
<td>Accident Investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-03-03</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Database Hosting</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-04-16</td>
<td>SAFE-T System Improvement</td>
<td>Improves Analysis and Evaluation of Crash Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-05-13</td>
<td>PARIS System Improvement</td>
<td>Improves accuracy of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-06-02</td>
<td>Impaired Driving Database System Development</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3DA-19-06-07-03</td>
<td>Traffic Records Online Access</td>
<td>Improves accessibility of a core highway safety database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-19-07-01-00</td>
<td>Traffic Records Program Area Management</td>
<td>Program Area Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter a direct copy of the section of the State traffic records strategic plan that identifies which recommendations the State does not intend to address in the fiscal year and explains the reason for not implementing the recommendations.

Recommendations the State does not intend to address in the fiscal year and explains the reason for not implementing the recommendations.

1-C  Data Use & Integration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Establish a statewide intelligent common operating platform that captures traffic records, processes and maximizes interrelated programs. To be reviewed at a later date.</td>
<td>This has been placed on hold temporarily pending development of other automation and efficiencies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2-A  Crash

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Support the continued development of PARIS within the A new electronic crash reporting system is being developed for all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oklahoma Highway Patrol as well as selected municipal users.

1. Improve collection of geospatial data in PARIS by integration of an “incident localizing tool”.

3. A new electronic crash reporting system is being developed for all agencies use to replace the PARIS system.

5. Evaluate further expansion of the CRS program for non-PARIS Law Enforcement agencies.

A new electronic crash reporting system is being developed for all agencies use to replace the PARIS system.

Quantitative improvement

Enter a direct copy of the section of the State traffic records strategic plan that describes specific, quantifiable and measurable improvements, as described in 23 C.F.R. 1300.22(b)(3), that are anticipated in the State’s core safety databases, including crash, citation or adjudication, driver, emergency medical services or injury surveillance system, roadway, and vehicle databases.

Specifically, the State must demonstrate quantitative improvement in the data attribute of accuracy, completeness, timeliness, uniformity, accessibility or integration of a core database by providing a written description of the performance measures that clearly identifies which performance attribute for which core database the State is relying on to demonstrate progress using the methodology set forth in the “Model Performance Measures for State Traffic Records Systems” (DOT HS 811 441), as updated.

Goal #1: To adopt and support effective programs and systems; to improve the timeliness, accuracy, completeness, uniformity, integration and accessibility of state data to identify priorities for national, state, and local highway and traffic safety programs.

Goal #2: To develop effective performance measures for traffic records.

Goal #3: To support linkage of Oklahoma’s traffic records data with other data systems within the State.

Goal #4: To improve the compatibility of the State data systems with national and other states’ data systems to enhance the ability to observe and analyze local and national trends in crash occurrences, rates, outcomes and circumstances.

Goal #5: To maintain use of nationally identified model elements in data collection, including but not limited to: Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS), Model Minimum Uniform Crash Criteria (MMUCC), ANSI (American National Standard) D16, ANSI D20, Commercial Vehicle Analysis Reporting System (CVARS), National Emergency Medical Services Information System (NEMSIS) and others to the extent practicable within State systems.

Goal #6: Support the statewide implementation and use of improved spatial data.

Performance Areas: T=Timeliness  A=Accuracy  C=Completeness  U=Uniformity  I=Integration  Ac=Accessibility

2-A Crash

# Performance Measure Benchmark Goal Priority Responsible Person Performance Area

6. Improve the percentage of mappable crashes in SAFE- Increase the percentage of mappable crashes from 66% in March 2015 to T by integrating data from Google Maps, the ODOT 73% in March of 2016.

73% by integrating data from Google Maps, the ODOT 73% in March of 2016.

1,3 A David Glabas

ODOT

2-E Citation and Adjudication

# Performance Measure Benchmark Goal Priority Responsible Person Performance Area
The Oklahoma Traffic Records 5-year Strategic Plan has never included a section that demonstrates "quantitative improvement in the data attribute of accuracy, completeness, timeliness, uniformity, accessibility or integration of a core database by providing a written description of the performance measures that clearly identifies which performance attribute for which core database the State is relying on to demonstrate progress using the methodology set forth in the “Model Performance Measures for State Traffic Records Systems.” That information was provided on an annual basis in the Interim Progress Report that was submitted with the Section 405(c) application. The below information is provided in lieu of submission of the Interim Progress Report.

SAFE-T Performance Measure

System to be Impacted: Crash
Performance Area to be Impacted: Accuracy

Description of the Performance Measure used to track Improvement: SAFE-T expansion and improvement. The SAFE-T system saw continued improvement in the percentage of mappable crashes, thereby giving the system more accurate data overall. The term "mappable" is defined as collisions for which associated geographic coordinates are available, allowing the collisions to be plotted in mapping applications.

Title, number and strategic Plan page reference for this Traffic Records System improvement project: OTRC Strategic Plan, 2-A Crash, Page 6, Performance Measure #6

Improvement anticipated: Ongoing geocoding efforts within SAFE-T should result in a substantial increase in the percentage of mappable city street collisions made available to law enforcement and governmental planning agencies statewide.

Description of Calculation / Estimation Method: The percentage of mappable crashes is improved by refining a geocoded city street database and integrating it with collision data. Direct database queries and statistical analysis were conducted to compare the number of mappable city street collisions implemented within SAFE-T.

Date and Baseline Value for the Measure: As of March 31, 2017, 82.6% of city street collisions statewide (598,568 of 724,750) had been plotted and made available in the Reporting, Data Export, and Collision Explorer tools.

Date and Current Value for the Measure: As of March 31, 2018, 84.9% of city street collisions statewide (647,285 of 761,801) had been plotted and made available in the Reporting, Data Export, and Collision Explorer tools.

Impaired Driving Offender Database Performance Measure

System to be Impacted: Citation/Adjudication
Performance Area to be Impacted: Accessibility

Description of the Performance Measure used to track Improvement: Improvement will be measured by the number of arrest reports submitted and the number of agencies using the new Impaired Driving Offender Database.

Title, number and strategic Plan page reference for this Traffic Records System improvement project: OTRC Strategic Plan, 2-E Citation and Adjudication, Page 10, Performance Measure #4

Improvement anticipated: Effective November 1, 2016 a new Impaired Driving Offender Database (IDOD) was created as required by new state law. The new law also required that all DUI (Driving Under the Influence) arrests be filed through courts of record, which are currently all the District Courts and the Municipal Courts of Oklahoma City and Tulsa. This new system, once fully developed and deployed, will collect all specified data related to impaired driving arrests in the State of Oklahoma as specified in a new electronic DUI Arrest Report form within the system. This system will track the progress of the arrest through the various stages and can be accessed and used by specified Law Enforcement, Judicial, and treatment agencies.

Description of Calculation / Estimation Method: The System Administrator (Department of Public Safety) will track the number of arrest reports submitted and the number of agencies approved to access the IDOD system.

Date and Baseline Value for the Measure: From April 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017, there were 20 arrest reports submitted by 4 law enforcement agencies using the IDOD. (Note that the IDOD did not go online until March 1 of 2017.)

Date and Current Value for the Measure: From April 1, 2017 through March 31, 2018, there were 5,104 arrest reports submitted by 32 law enforcement agencies using the IDOD.

Upload supporting documentation covering a contiguous 12-month performance period starting no earlier than April 1 of the calendar year prior to the application due date, that demonstrates quantitative improvement when compared to the comparable 12-month baseline period.

Documents Uploaded

PM2 IDOD backup docs.pdf
OTRC StrategicPlan_Revised Oct 2015.pdf
PM1 SAFE-T backup docs.pdf

State highway safety data and traffic records system assessment
Enter the date of the assessment of the State’s highway safety data and traffic records system that was conducted or updated within the five years prior to the application due date and that complies with the procedures and methodologies outlined in NHTSA’s “Traffic Records Highway Safety Program Advisory” (DOT HS 811 644), as updated.

Date of Assessment: 5/26/2015

Requirement for maintenance of effort

ASSURANCE: The lead State agency responsible for State traffic safety information system improvements programs shall maintain its aggregate expenditures for State traffic safety information system improvements programs at or above the average level of such expenditures in fiscal years 2014 and 2015.
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Impaired driving assurances

Impaired driving qualification - Mid-Range State

ASSURANCE: The State shall use the funds awarded under 23 U.S.C. 405(d)(1) only for the implementation and enforcement of programs authorized in 23 C.F.R. 1300.23(j).

ASSURANCE: The lead State agency responsible for impaired driving programs shall maintain its aggregate expenditures for impaired driving programs at or above the average level of such expenditures in fiscal years 2014 and 2015.

Authority to operate

Enter a direct copy of the section of the statewide impaired driving plan that describes the authority and basis for the operation of the Statewide impaired driving task force, including the process used to develop and approve the plan and date of approval.

3.3 Governor’s Impaired Driving Prevention Advisory Council (GIDPAC)

The OHSO requested and received a technical assessment of Oklahoma’s impaired driving program from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) that was conducted in Oklahoma City from November 4-9, 2012. Among the sixty-six (66) recommendations were two (2) priority recommendations that encouraged the State to pass and implement proposed legislation establishing a State impaired driving task force and one (1) priority recommendation to engage the Governor in high-profile activities and leadership events in support of the impaired driving program.

At the direction of Governor Mary Fallin, the Governor’s Impaired Driving Prevention Advisory Council (GIDPAC) was created on February 5, 2013, by Executive Order 2013-03. The establishment of GIDPAC provides a mechanism to involve key players who address impaired driving issues to share information, explore options, and close potential loopholes in the circle of impaired driving legislation, prevention, enforcement, prosecution, adjudication, and treatment. Gov. Fallin signed Executive Order 2015-14 on March 13, 2015 to renew the charge to the GIDPAC group to review, evaluate, and monitor the impaired driving system in Oklahoma; modify, implement, and oversee plans for addressing identified gaps in the State’s impaired driving system; and provide a network of communication and cooperation among the various stakeholders to coordinate and integrate state and local efforts and resources. Membership includes traditional and non-traditional partners in an effort to engage sectors of the continuum of the impaired driving system from prevention to enforcement to treatment and areas in between. Members appointed by Governor Fallin include representatives from the following entities:

- Alcoholic Beverage Laws Enforcement (ABLE) Commission
- Oklahoma Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs
- Oklahoma Department of Public Safety
- Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services
- Oklahoma District Attorney’s Council
- Oklahoma Highway Safety Office
- Oklahoma State Department of Health
- Oklahoma State Legislature
- Member of the Judiciary
- Stop D.U.I. Oklahoma, a citizen activist organization
GIDPAC developed and submitted a strategic plan to Governor Fallin on February 5, 2014, as a response to Oklahoma’s assessment recommendations. This document, the OHSO Impaired Driving Strategic Plan, is intended to be deployed in conjunction with GIDPAC’s strategic plan, as a foundation to the initiatives set forth by the GIDPAC members. The OHSO recognizes the need to execute a robust impaired driving program that includes elements in high visibility enforcement, training, testing, and media. In addition to traditional enforcement and other associated impaired driving programs, the OHSO is actively participating in and providing administrative support for the GIDPAC. Director Taylor currently serves as the appointed Chair of GIDPAC, enabling the OHSO to be an integral part of the process and solutions for the issues identified.

4.0 Strategic Plan

Outlined in this section are the core strategies which the OHSO will implement and/or support to aid in combating the impaired driving problem in Oklahoma. These strategies are in compliance with the NHTSA Uniform Guidelines for Highway Safety Programs No. 8 – Impaired Driving and the Countermeasures That Work, Eighth Edition, 2016. Additionally the OHSO will engage traditional and non-traditional partners through GIDPAC in a systematic approach to closing identified gaps in Oklahoma’s impaired driving program in an effort to ENDUI.
Input the date that the Statewide impaired driving plan was approved by the State’s task force.

Date impaired driving plan approved by task force: 9/9/2015

Task force member information

Enter a direct copy of the list in the statewide impaired driving plan that contains names, titles and organizations of all task force members, provided that the task force includes key stakeholders from the State highway safety agency, law enforcement and the criminal justice system (e.g., prosecution, adjudication, probation) and, as determined appropriate by the State, representatives from areas such as 24–7 sobriety programs, driver licensing, treatment and rehabilitation, ignition interlock programs, data and traffic records, public health and communication.
Governor’s Impaired Driving Prevention Advisory Council Appointees (GIDPAC)
April 2017

Director Paul Harris, Chair
Oklahoma Highway Safety Office
3223 N. Lincoln Blvd.
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
(405) 523-1570

Representative Mike Sanders
Oklahoma State Capitol
2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Room 440
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
(405) 557-7365

Director Keith Burt
Alcoholic Beverage Laws Enforcement Commission
812 N. Santa Fe, Suite 200
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73118
(405) 521-3484

Director John Scully
Oklahoma Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs Control
419 N.E. 38th Terrace
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
(405) 521-2885
Senator Kim David, Vice Chair
Oklahoma State Capitol
2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Room 417A
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105
(405) 521-5590

Dr. Tracy Wendling
Injury Prevention Service
Oklahoma State Department of Health
1000 N.E. 10th St. Rm. 408
Oklahoma City, OK 73117
(405) 271-3430

Dist. Attorney Stephen A. Kunzweiler
Tulsa County District Attorney's Office
500 South Denver, Suite 900
Tulsa, OK 74103
(918) 596-4899

Vacant
Oklahoma County District Court
Oklahoma County Courthouse
321 Park Avenue, Room 809
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
(405) 713-2352596-4899

Michael C. Thompson
Oklahoma Department of Public Safety
PO Box 11415
Strategic plan details

Select whether the State will use a previously submitted Statewide impaired driving plan that was developed and approved within three years prior to the application due date.

Click link to view Highway Safety Guidelines No. 8

http://icsw.nhtsa.gov/nhtsa/whatsup/tea21/tea21programs/pages/ImpairedDriving.htm

Continue to use previously submitted plan

Yes

ASSURANCE: The State continues to use the previously submitted Statewide impaired driving plan.
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Motorcycle safety information

To qualify for a Motorcyclist Safety Grant in a fiscal year, a State shall submit as part of its HSP documentation demonstrating compliance with at least two of the following criteria. Select application criteria from the list below to display the associated requirements.

Motorcycle rider training course  Yes
Motorcyclist awareness program  No
Reduction of fatalities and crashes  No
Impaired driving program  No
Reduction of impaired fatalities and accidents  No
Use of fees collected from motorcyclists  Yes

Motorcycle rider training course

Enter the name and organization of the head of the designated State authority over motorcyclist safety issues.

State authority agency:  Department of Public Safety
State authority name/title:  Lt. Colonel James D. Wilson

Select the introductory rider curricula that has been approved by the designated State authority and adopted by the State.

Approved curricula:  (i) Motorcycle Safety Foundation Basic Rider Course

CERTIFICATION: The head of the designated State authority over motorcyclist safety issues has approved and the State has adopted the selected introductory rider curricula.

Enter a list of the counties or political subdivisions in the State where motorcycle rider training courses will be conducted during the fiscal year of the grant and the number of registered motorcycles in each such county or political subdivision according to official State motor vehicle records, provided the State must offer at least one motorcycle rider training course in counties or political subdivisions that collectively account for a majority of the State's registered motorcycles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County or Political Subdivision</th>
<th>Number of registered motorcycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beckham County</td>
<td>1048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter County</td>
<td>2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland County</td>
<td>9441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comanche County</td>
<td>3974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creek County</td>
<td>3387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garfield County</td>
<td>3096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson County</td>
<td>1051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingfisher County</td>
<td>711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskogee County</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma County</td>
<td>24049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payne County</td>
<td>2623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulsa County</td>
<td>17908</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter the total number of registered motorcycles in State.
133640

Use of fees collected from motorcyclists for motorcycle programs
A State shall have a process under which all fees collected by the State from motorcyclists for the purposes of funding motorcycle training and safety programs are used for motorcycle training and safety programs. A State may qualify under this criterion as either a Law State or a Data State.

Use of fees criterion

Law State

Enter legal citations for each law state criteria.

- The State law or regulation requiring that all fees collected by the State from motorcyclists for the purpose of funding motorcycle training and safety programs are to be used for motorcycle training and safety programs.
  - 47 O.S. 40-123
- The State law appropriating funds demonstrates that for the current fiscal year, for requiring all fees collected by the State from motorcyclists for the purpose of funding motorcycle training and safety programs are spent on motorcycle training and safety programs.
  - 47 O.S. 40-123

12 Certifications, Assurances, and Highway Safety Plan PDFs

Documents Uploaded

2019 Certification & Assurances_signed.pdf