#### 2024 SAE Government-Industry Meeting, January 16-18, 2024, Washington, DC

# A A NATIONAL HIGH WAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

## Motorcycle Helmet Test Procedure Development and Repeatability Analysis

Colleen Bendig, TRC Inc. Kedryn Wietholter, NHTSA

- All motorcycle helmets sold in the U.S. must comply with FMVSS No. 218 performance requirements. Performance tests in FMVSS No. 218 include an impact attenuation test, a quasi-static retention test, and a penetration test.
  - All three tests use a DOT standard headform
- NHTSA conducted research to evaluate test procedures for modified versions of the impact attenuation and retention tests, as well as tests in other standards.
  - The recorded performance of individual helmet makes and models relates solely to the studied test conditions and their associated criteria, and is not relevant to current FMVSS No. 218 requirements.
- This test series was a continuation of testing presented at the 2019 SAE Government-Industry Meeting\*

\* Nguyen, C., "NHTSA'S Motorcycle Helmet Testing Research Program", SAE Government Industry Meeting, Apr 2019

# **Objectives**

- Evaluate test procedures for modified versions of the impact attenuation and retention tests, as well as tests in other standards.
  - Evaluate test procedures for each test by applying them to a select sample of helmets
    - Impact attenuation test based on FMVSS No. 218
      - Use ASTM headforms
      - Reduce the maximum allowable acceleration of the headform from 400 g to 300 g
      - Eliminate the 4 ms dwell time requirement
    - Retention test based on FMVSS No. 218
      - Use ASTM headforms
    - Chin bar impact attenuation test based on BSI 6658
    - Positional stability test based on ASTM F1446-11a
    - Face shield impact test based on ECE R22
    - External rigid projection test based on ECE R22
  - Evaluate the repeatability of each test

## Headforms

- DOT headforms are used in FMVSS No. 218
- ASTM full headforms include a continuous face, chin, and neck region. ASTM headforms are used in other testing standards
   Headform Comparison



			Headform Co	ompari	son	
	DOT				M	
	Size	Mass	Circumference	Size	Mass Circumfere	
				Α	3.1 kg	495 mm
	Small	3.5 kg	490 mm	С	3.6 kg	515 mm
				E	4.1 kg	535 mm
	Medium	5.0 kg	560 mm	J	4.7 kg	575 mm
	Lorgo	6.1 kg	600 mm	М	5.6 kg	605 mm
	Large 0.1	o.1 kg		0	6.1 kg	625 mm



#### **Test Matrix**

Tests were conducted in sequence in ambient conditions, with no additional pre-conditioning (such as solvents or UV).

Helmet Model	HJC F70	Bilt Vertex	Scorpion EXO Covert X	Shoei Neotec II	Schuberth M1 Pro	Shark Street Drak
Туре	Complete	Complete	Modular	Modular	Full	Full
Helmet Size	М	L	М	XL	XL	XL
Headform Size	J	J	J	М	М	м
# of Repeats	5	5	5	5	15	15
Tests Performed	<ul> <li>DOT Impact Attenuation</li> <li>DOT Retention</li> <li>BSI Chin Bar</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>DOT Impact Attenuation</li> <li>DOT Retention</li> <li>BSI Chin Bar</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>DOT Impact Attenuation</li> <li>DOT Retention</li> <li>BSI Chin Bar</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>DOT Impact Attenuation</li> <li>DOT Retention</li> <li>BSI Chin Bar</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ASTM Positional Stability</li> <li>ECE Face Shield</li> <li>ECE Rigid Projection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ASTM Positional Stability</li> <li>ECE Face Shield</li> <li>ECE Rigid Projection</li> </ul>

**Helmets Tested** 



#### **Discrete Size Measurements**

- Followed the discrete size measurement methodologies developed by VRTC\* to select the appropriate size ASTM headform for testing.
  - The handheld scissor tool was used for this testing.



# Impact Attenuation Test

Based on FMVSS No. 218, using ASTM headforms

- Test Set-Up
  - Each helmet was tested eight times
    - Flat and hemispherical anvils
    - Two locations per anvil
    - Two impacts per location
- **Data Collection** 
  - Recorded high-speed video
  - Recorded acceleration of the headform
- **Performance Criteria** 
  - Peak acceleration could not exceed 300 g
  - Dwell time could not exceed a cumulative duration of 2 ms above 200 g





# **Impact Attenuation Test**

Based on FMVSS No. 218, using ASTM headforms

- FMVSS No. 218 specifies that the impact sites can be at any point on the area above the test line and separated by a distance not less than onesixth of the maximum circumference of the helmet in the test area.
- The four impact locations for this series were:
  - Flat anvil
    - Right front
    - Left rear
  - Hemispherical anvil
    - Right rear
    - Left front
  - All locations were at the midpoint between the midsagittal plane and the transversal plane and 65 mm above the test line





# **Retention Test**

Based on FMVSS No. 218, using ASTM headforms

- Test Set-Up
  - Each helmet was tested once
- Data Collection
  - Recorded real-time video
  - Recorded load on retention system throughout the duration of the test (not used as performance criteria)
- Performance Criteria
  - The retention system must hold the loads without separation
  - The adjustable portion of the retention system could not move more than 2.5 cm



# Chin Bar Test

Based on BSI 6658, with minor modifications

- Test Set-Up
  - Each helmet was tested once
- Data Collection
  - Recorded high-speed video
  - Recorded acceleration of the striker
- Performance Criteria
  - Peak acceleration could not exceed 300 g





# **Positional Stability Test**

Based on ASTM F1446-11a, with minor modifications

- Test Set-Up
  - Each helmet was tested once
- Data Collection
  - Recorded high-speed video
  - No data was recorded
- Performance Criteria
  - The helmet retention system must remain intact
  - No part of the coronal plane above the reference plane could be visible during the test







# Face Shield Test

Based on ECE R22, with minor modifications

- Test Set-Up
  - Each helmet was tested once
- Data Collection
  - Recorded high-speed video
  - No data was recorded
- Performance Criteria
  - No sharp splinters could be produced (any segment having an angle less than 60 degrees)
  - The striker could not contact the headform



#### Rigid Projection Test Based on ECE R22, with minor modifications

- Test Set-Up
  - One test was conducted on each projection
- Data Collection
  - Recorded high-speed video
  - Recorded velocity of carriage (not used as performance criteria)
- Performance Criteria
  - The projection must shear away, detach, or otherwise not prevent the bar from sliding past the projection





# **Rigid Projection Tests**

- Rigid projections were located:
  - Schuberth M1 Pro
    - Top vent
    - Rear rib
  - Shark Street Drak
    - Top vent



Rear Rib

#### **Results – Impact Attenuation**

- Peak Acceleration: All helmets met the criteria
- Dwell Time: All helmets met the criteria

								Im	pact At	tenuat	ion						
Helmet Model	Helmet	Tes	st 1	Tes	st 2	Te	st 3	Tes	st 4	Tes	st 5	Te	st 6	Te	st 7	Tes	st 8
	Number	Peak	Dwell	Peak	Dwell	Peak	Dwell	Peak	Dwell	Peak	Dwell	Peak	Dwell	Peak	Dwell	Peak	Dwell
		Accel	Time	Accel	Time	Accel	Time	Accel	Time	Accel	Time	Accel	Time	Accel	Time	Accel	Time
	22-044																
	22-045																
HJC F70	22-046																
	22-047																
	22-048																
	22-051															Yell         Peak         Dwell           Accel         Time           Accel         Time           I         I         I <t< td=""></t<>	
	22-052																
Bilt Vertex	22-053																
	22-054																
	22-055																
	22-030																
Scornion FXO	22-031																
Covert X	22-032																
	22-033																
	22-034																
	22-037																st 8 Dwell Time
	22-038																
Shoei Neotec II	22-039																
	22-040																
	22-041														Peak         Dwent         Peak         Dwent           Time         Accel         Ti           Image: Stress Str		

## **Results – Retention**

- Adjustment Movement: All helmets met the criteria
- Strap Detachment:
  - One strap fully detached
  - Three straps partially detached



Strap Fully Detached	Helmet Model	Helmet	Retention		
		Number	Straps Detach	Adjustment Movement	
and a second		22-044			
		22-045			
	HJC F70	22-046			
		22-047			
		22-048			
		22-051			
		22-052			
	Bilt Vertex	22-053			
		22-054			
Strap Partially Detached		22-055			
		22-030			
	Scorpion EXO	22-031			
	Covert X	22-032			
		22-033			
Aller and the second states and the second states		22-034			
A MM CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR		22-037			
And a second and a second a s		22-038			
	Shoei Neotec II	22-039			
		22-040			
A RAAA		22-041			

#### Results – Chin Bar

- Peak Acceleration:
  - One helmet did not meet the criteria

Helmet Model	Helmet Number	Chin Bar Peak Accel
	22-045	
	22-046	
HJC F70	22-047	
	22-048	
	22-049	
	22-051	
	22-052	
Bilt Vertex	22-053	
	22-054	
	22-055	
	22-030	
Scorpion EVO	22-031	
	22-032	
Covertix	22-033	
	22-034	
	22-037	
	22-038	
Shoei Neotec II	22-039	
	22-040	
	22-041	

#### **Results – Positional Stability**

. . . . . . . . . . . .

- Helmet stays on headform:
  - One helmet did not meet the criteria

Helmet Model	Helmet	Positional Stability	
	Number	Stays on Headform	•
	22-010		
	22-011		
	22-012		
	22-013		
	22-014		
	22-015		
Schuborth M1	22-016		
Bro	22-017		
FIU	22-018		
	22-019		
	22-020		
	22-021		
	22-022		
	22-023		
	22-024		
	22-001		
	22-002		
	22-003		
	22-004		
	22-005		
	22-006		
Shark Straat	22-007		
	22-008		
DIAK	22-009		
	22-058		
	22-059		
	22-060		
	22-061		
	22-062		
	22-063		

. . . . . . . . .

### **Results – Face Shield**

- Small Fragments: All helmets met the criteria
- Headform Contact:
  - All Shark Street Drak helmets allowed the striker to contact the headform



	Helmet Model	Helmet	Face Shield		
-		Number	Small Fragments	Headform Contact	• •
		22-013			
		22-014			
		22-015			
		22-016			
		22-017			
		22-018			
	Schuborth M1	22-019			
	Bro	22-020			
	FIU	22-021			
		22-022			
		22-023			
		22-024			
		22-025			
		22-026			
		22-027			
		22-001			
		22-002			
		22-003			
		22-004			
		22-005			
		22-006			
	Shark Street	22-007			
	Drak	22-008			
	Drak	22-009			
		22-058			
		22-059			
		22-060			
		22-061			
		22-062			
		22-063			

19

## **Results – Rigid Projection**

• Shear bar passes over projection: All helmets met the criteria

n	Helmet Model	Helmet	Rigid Pro	ojection		
••••		Top Vent Rear Rib				
		22-010				
		22-011				
		22-012				
		22-013				
		22-014				
		22-015				
	Schuberth M1	22-016				
	Pro	22-017				
		22-018				
		22-019				
		22-020				
		22-021				
		22-022				
		22-023				
		22-024				
		22-001				
		22-002				
		22-003				
		22-004				
		22-005				
		22-006				
	Shark Street	22-007				
	Drak	22-008				
		22-009				
		22-058				
		22-059				
		22-060				
		22-061				
		22-062				
	1	1 22-063				

. . .

## Repeatability

- Impact Attenuation (only peak acceleration) and Chin Bar
  - Repeatability was evaluated using %CV and Sigma to limit (SigmaL)
    - If the %CV was below 10%, the test was deemed repeatable
    - SigmaL calculated the number of standard deviations between the average response and the performance limit (<sup>Performance limit-Average</sup>/<sub>Standard deveiation</sub>)
      - For this analysis, if SigmaL was more than two standard deviations, the responses were considered far below the performance limit, and therefore the measured variation would not be the determining factor for whether the helmet would meet the performance criteria
  - Performed a one-way ANOVA
    - Grouped the five tests with each helmet model together and compared to the other models
    - We would expect the four helmets to have different results
      - If the p-value was above 0.05, that would indicate that variation between repeat tests might be overshadowing the differences we expect between the helmet models
- Retention, Positional Stability, Face Shield, and Rigid Projection
  - Repeatability was evaluated by consistency of test results relative to the performance criteria. If all or almost all of the tests met the requirement or did not meet the requirement, the repeatability was deemed acceptable

## **Repeatability – Impact Attenuation**

#### **Peak Acceleration**

- 1/32 %CVs was above 10%
- The one elevated %CV did not correspond with a SigmaL of less than 2

			Flat Anvil			Hemisperical Anvil				
		Right	Front	Left	Rear	Right	<b>Right Rear</b>		Left Front	
		Impact 1	Impact 2	Impact 1	Impact 2	Impact 1	Impact 2	Impact 1	Impact 2	
	Average	155.4	189.0	145.9	169.5	87.5	95.7	84.6	96.8	
	St. Dev.	7.5	5.2	4.5	2.9	9.9	6.0	3.7	6.5	
	%CV	4.8	2.7	3.1	1.7	11.3	6.2	4.4	6.7	
	SigmaL	19.2	21.4	34.5	45.4	21.5	34.3	58.3	31.2	
	Average	162.9	199.3	135.5	168.1	84.3	111.2	96.0	110.3	
Pilt Vortov	St. Dev.	6.0	6.7	4.5	6.7	2.9	9.4	3.8	5.9	
Dirt Vertex	%CV	3.7	3.3	3.3	4.0	3.4	8.4	3.9	5.3	
	SigmaL	22.7	15.1	36.5	19.6	75.3	20.1	53.9	32.1	
	Average	152.6	179.4	182.7	197.6	91.2	94.3	82.8	84.3	
Scorpion EXO Covert X	St. Dev.	12.5	11.2	10.6	14.4	4.9	6.0	3.6	3.5	
Scorpion Exo Covert x	%CV	8.2	6.2	5.8	7.3	5.4	6.4	4.3	4.2	
	SigmaL	11.8	10.8	11.0	7.1	42.3	34.2	60.9	60.9	
	Average	154.1	178.8	173.8	196.2	109.7	114.2	102.2	108.3	
Shaqi Naatac II	St. Dev.	4.7	8.1	9.7	7.2	5.3	5.3	6.1	1.8	
	%CV	3.0	4.5	5.6	3.7	4.8	4.7	6.0	1.7	
	SigmaL	31.2	15.0	13.0	14.4	36.2	35.0	32.3	103.9	

Performance limit Peak Acceleration: 300g

#### **Repeatability – Impact Attenuation**

Only one impact had a p-value above 0.05, indicating that variability within the repeated tests was small enough to still statistically detect the differences between the helmet models.

		Right	Front	Left	Rear	Right	Rear	Left	Front
		Impact 1	Impact 2						
	p-value	> 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05

# Repeatability – Chin Bar

#### **Peak Acceleration**

- 1/4 %CVs was above 10%
- The corresponding SigmaL was less than 2
  - This shows that there was variability with the Scorpion EXO Covert X and this variability could influence whether a test met or did not meet the performance criteria due to the proximity of the results to the performance limit
- 1/5 chin bar tests with the Scorpion EXO Covert X did not meet this requirement
- This helmet displays a sticker on the chin bar that says "Does not protect chin from impacts"



**Performance limit** Peak Acceleration: 300g

#### WARNING

Use the removable mask only for weather protection, not for impact protection.

Improper use of the mask may cause severe injury or death by impacts exceeding the helmet's protection capability.



## Repeatability – Chin Bar

P-value was less than 0.05, indicating that variability within the repeated tests was small enough to still statistically detect the differences between the helmet models.

# Summary

- Test procedures were evaluated for each test and applied to a select sample of helmets
  - Used ASTM headforms in the impact attenuation and retention tests
  - Performed the impact attenuation test with the stated changes to the performance criteria
  - Performed the chin bar impact attenuation test, positional stability test, face shield impact test, and external rigid projection test per the reference standard with minor modifications

# Summary

- Evaluated the repeatability of each test
  - Overall, the results were repeatable

Test	Performance Criteria	Repeatability Result
Impact Attenuation	Peak Accel	<ul> <li>✓ 31/32 %CVs below 10%</li> <li>✓ Corresponding SigmaL above 2</li> <li>✓ 7/8 p-values below 0.05</li> </ul>
	Dwell Time	<ul> <li>All helmets met the requirement</li> </ul>
Retention	Strap Detachment	<ul> <li>✓ 4/5 Scorpion EXO Covert X helmets did not meet the requirement</li> <li>✓ All other helmets did meet the requirement</li> </ul>
	Strap Adjustment Movement	<ul> <li>All helmets met the requirement</li> </ul>
Chin Bar	Peak Accel	X 3/4 %CVs below 10% X Corresponding SigmaL below 2 ✓ p-value below 0.05
Positional Stability	Stays on Headform	<ul> <li>1/15 Shark Street Drak helmets did not meet the requirement</li> <li>All other helmets did meet the requirement</li> </ul>
	Small Fragments	<ul> <li>All helmets meet the requirement</li> </ul>
Face Shield	Headform Contact	<ul> <li>All Shark Street Drak helmets did not meet the requirement</li> <li>All Schuberth M1 Pro helmets did meet the requirement</li> </ul>
<b>Rigid Projection</b>	Shear Bar Passes Over Projection	<ul> <li>All helmets met the requirement</li> </ul>

#### Thank you

Contact information: Kedryn Wietholter, NHTSA: <u>Kedryn.Wietholter@dot.gov</u> Colleen Bendig, TRC Inc: <u>colleen.bendig.ctr@dot.gov</u>

