# STATE OF ARIZONA HIGHWAY SAFETY PLAN

# FISCAL YEAR 2008 (October 1, 2007 – September 30, 2008)

Prepared for:

# THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and Federal Highway Administration

Prepared by:



Janet Napolitano, Governor

Richard G. Fimbres, Director Governor's Highway Safety Representative Michael Hegarty, Deputy Director

# **Table of Contents**

1.	Performance Plan	· <b>-</b> 1
2.	Performance Goals	4
3.	Resources	5
4.	Statewide Overview and Data Summary	6
5.	Certifications and Assurances	27
6.	Accident Investigation Program Overview	36
7.	Accident Investigation Tasks	37
8.	Alcohol and Impaired Driving Program Overview	38
9.	Alcohol and Impaired Driving Tasks	42
10.	Emergency Medical Services Program Overview	46
11.	Emergency Medical Services Tasks	47
12.	Motorcycle Safety Program Overview	51
13.	Occupant Protection Program Overview	52
14.	Occupant Protection Program Tasks	55
15.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety Program Overview	59
16.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety Tasks	61
17.	Planning and Administration Overview	63
18.	Planning and Administration Tasks	64
19.	Police Traffic Services Program Overview 6	5

20.	Police Traffic Services Tasks	- 67
21.	School Bus Safety Program Overview	- 74
22.	School Bus Safety Tasks	- 75
23.	Traffic Records Program Overview	- 76
24.	Traffic Records Tasks	- 77
25.	Arizona Sliding Scale Rates	- 78
26.	Fiscal Program Areas	- 79

# **Performance Plan**

#### **Problem Identification Overview**

**Mission Statement**: The Arizona Governor's Office of Highway Safety (GOHS) is the focal point for highway safety issues in Arizona. GOHS provides leadership by developing, promoting, and coordinating programs; influencing public and private policy; and increasing public awareness of highway safety.

<u>Highway Safety</u> means the reduction of traffic crashes, deaths, injuries, and property damage on public roads. The Arizona Highway Safety Plan (HSP) is developed through annual problem identification and analysis of traffic records, citations, convictions, judicial outcome, incarcerations, assessments, screening, treatment, prevention, and surveys.

Through the Director of the Governor's Office of Highway Safety, a channel of communication and understanding has been developed between the Governor's Office, the Legislature, state agencies, political subdivisions, and activist groups concerning all aspects of the statewide highway safety program.

Executive Order 2004-24 designates the GOHS as the State Highway Safety Agency (SHSA) and, as such, the appropriate agency to administer the HSP on behalf of the Governor.

One emphasis of the highway safety funding process is to provide "seed" money to develop effective programs that can become operational within a three-year period. If the program(s) are successful, the state or local jurisdiction will establish the program(s) as a permanent responsibility of the jurisdiction.

Problem identification involves the study of relationships between crashes and the characteristics of population, licensed drivers, registered vehicles, and vehicle miles traveled. Drivers can be classified into subgroups according to age, sex, etc. Vehicles can be divided into subgroups according to year, make, body style, etc. Roads can be divided into subgroups according to number of lanes, type of surface, political subdivision, etc. Crashes can be further analyzed in terms of the time, day of the week, month; age and sex of drivers; primary crash factors; and use of safety equipment.

Other factors also influence motor vehicle crashes and should be considered in conducting comparative analyses between jurisdictions. For example, variations in composition of population, modes of transportation, the highway system, economic conditions, climate, and the effective strength of law enforcement agencies can be influential. The selection of crash comparisons requires the exercise of judgment.

#### Key Components of the Highway Safety Plan include:

• Alcohol and Other Drugs / Youth Enforcement (AL/YA) - To reduce the number and severity of crashes in which alcohol and/or drugs are contributing factors.

- Occupant Protection (OP) To increase the statewide seat belt / child safety seat (CSS) usage rate of motor vehicle occupants and to increase public information and education of the benefits of seat belt / CSS usage for adults and children.
- **Police Traffic Services (PT)** To achieve and maintain compliance with traffic laws such as aggressive driving, speeding and red light running. Enforcement must be consistent, impartial and uniformly applied to all street and highway users.
- **Traffic Records (TR)** -To develop a comprehensive data processing system that brings together the engineering, enforcement, educational, medical, behavioral health, prosecution, judicial, correctional, and emergency response disciplines.
- **Emergency Medical Services (EM)** To continue to support rural providers with emergency medical services (EMS) equipment.
- Motorcycle, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Safety (MC/PS) To increase the public's awareness and understanding of and participation in motorcycle, bicycle and pedestrian safety.
- **Public Information and Education (PI&E)** GOHS strives to have PI&E programs running throughout the year. GOHS produces printed materials that are available to the public and private sector. GOHS staff attends and participates in safety and health fairs throughout the year at locations throughout the state. The GOHS Director, Deputy Director and GOHS staff members speak at various events throughout the year. The GOHS Deputy Director has a media and journalism background which allows him to initiate and create media events throughout the year.
- Roadway Safety (RS) -To improve traffic conditions in identified corridors and local jurisdictions by funding minor traffic engineering improvements, correcting signing deficiencies and promoting safety programs.

#### Features of the Highway Safety Plan include:

- A working document that is revised throughout the year to accommodate unforeseen events and opportunities;
- A statewide overview and detailed summaries of traffic safety data as well as project/program descriptions, objectives, costs, and time frames;
- A plan that is operational during the federal fiscal year which commences October 1, 2007 and ends September 30, 2008;
- Traffic safety project activities and a budget for the allocation of resources;
- The opportunity by which the State is able to secure federal highway safety funds under the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU).

#### **Program/Project Development:**

During January of each year, a letter outlining the Proposal Process and priority areas is sent out to political subdivisions, state and non-profit agencies regarding the Governors' Office of Highway Safety's (GOHS) Proposal Process. All governmental and non-profit agencies are encouraged to take an active part in Arizona's Highway Safety Program. In addition to the written notification, the letter and Proposal Guide are posted on the GOHS website.

Proposals are due to the GOHS Phoenix Office on 1 April. Each proposal is date stamped, assigned a number and pertinent information is added to an Excel spreadsheet.

The GOHS Director, Deputy Director, Program Manager, Project Coordinators, DRE Operations Coordinator, and Occupant Protection Coordinator review each proposal and provide written comments in preparation of the Highway Safety Plan meeting.

The Highway Safety Plan meeting is held during June. This meeting is typically a multiple day meeting because each proposal is discussed and level of funding is determined. These discussions include the following evaluation criteria:

- 1. Is the proposal fundable?
- 2. Does the proposal address one or more of the priority areas identified in the Proposal Letter? Priority areas include those identified by NHTSA and the Governor.
- 3. Did the submitting agency follow the guidelines set forth in the Proposal Guide, i.e. did not exceed page count, provided statistical data, cover letter signed by agency CEO, etc.
- 4. Has the agency been included in the HSP before? If yes, how did they perform? Were narrative and financial reports completed in accordance with contractual requirements?
- 5. What is the status of the agency? Is the agency stable or is there significant internal turmoil and personnel turnover?
- 6. Political considerations.

It is the policy of GOHS to fund all proposals that meet the listed criteria. This ensures that the entire state is represented in the HSP.

Once funding levels are determined, the Program Manager assigns the Program Area, Project Coordinator and task number to each funded proposal. Project Coordinators then write their assigned tasks for inclusion in the HSP.

GOHS relies on the Arizona Department of Transportation, Motor Vehicle Division, Traffic Records Section for all crash related statistics.

### **Arizona's 2008 Performance Goals**

The primary highway safety goals for Arizona are:

Arizona's FFY2008 safety goal, as stated in Arizona's Strategic Highway Safety Plan is: "Arizona will reduce fatalities by 15% in each of its five emphasis areas over the five year period from 2008 to 2012. Fatality goal reductions are expected to stimulate a collateral reduction in serious injuries."

The five emphasis areas are: occupant restraints, young drivers, speeding, impaired driving, and roadway departure/intersection related.

#### Arizona Licensed Drivers, Motor Vehicle Registrations, and Crash History

				Property	Total	Total	Total	Total
Calendar	Total	Fatal	Injury	Damage	Persons	Persons	Licensed	Registered
Year	Crashes	Crashes	Crashes	Crashes	Killed	Injured	Drivers	Vehicles
2000	131,368	892	47,485	82,992	1,037	76,626	3,497,208	3,983,860
2001	131,573	944	46,150	84,489	1,057	73,962	3,550,776	4,037,359
2002	134,228	984	46,209	87,045	1,132	74,235	3,668,704	4,162,219
2003	130,895	971	45,177	84,747	1,118	71,901	3,819,823	4,316,219
2004	138,353	992	46,613	90,748	1,153	73,376	3,923,395	4,604,888
2005	139,805	1,042	45,546	93,217	1,183	70,607	3,943,625	4,878,917

# Resources

### Fiscal Year 2008

Funding resources are estimated during the Spring as the Highway Safety Plan is developed. The estimations are developed by using the previous year's allocated amounts. Actual amounts are obligated by Congress, may differ from the estimated amounts, and are relayed to the states in the late Fall. The amounts listed below are estimated as of the date this Highway Safety Plan was finalized.

#### **HSP Funding Amounts**

**402 Funds** 

2008 Federal Funds Allocation

\$3,600,000.00

# **Statewide Overview and Data Summary**

Table 1 Five Year Trend for Selected Highway Safety

Data

Table 2 Traffic Fatalities by County

Table 3 Summary of Statewide Commonly Reported

**Statistics** 

Table 4 Arizona Crash Facts

Highlights and Historical Trends

VMT Data

**Alcohol Crash Statistics** 

Average Time from Crash to EMS Arrival at Hospital

Key Indicators of Data System Integrity

Motorcycle Crash Statistics

Occupant Protection Crash Statistics and Seat Belt Survey

Pedestrian/Bicycle Crash Statistics

Fatal Crashes by Posted Speed Limit

TABLE 1

<u>Five Year Trend for Selected Highway Safety Data</u>
2001 – 2005

DATA ELEMENT	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	% Change 2004 – 2005
Fatality Rate per VMT*	2.08	2.17	2.10	2.01	1.97	-2.0%
Total Fatalities	1,057	1,132	1,118	1,153	1,183	2.60%
Total Urban Fatalities	495	489	432	551	561	1.81%
Total Rural Fatalities	552	630	686	600	622	3.67%
Total Alcohol-Related Fatalities	258	271	298	249	233	-6.43%
Total Alcohol-Related Injuries	6,880	6,644	6,213	6,187	5,746	-7.12%
Occupant Fa	talities - I	Percent R	estrained			
All Occupants	32%	29%	31%	32%	33%	3.12%
Occupants, age 16 - 20	14%	21%	25%	29%	28%	-3.57%
Infants, age 0 - 4	17%	28%	33%	40%	50%	25%
Pedestrian/B	icycle/Mo	torcycle	Fatalities	1		
Total Pedestrian Fatalities	166	158	126	132	165	21.3%
Total Bicycle Fatalities	29	15	15	27	36	33.3%
Total Motorcycle Fatalities	75	95	111	119	146	22.7%
% Helmeted Motorcycle Operators	29%	24%	28%	29.2%	40%	37%
Percentage of Fatal Crashes by Speed						
35 - 40 MPH	24%	20%	22%	21%	24%	14.28%
60 + MPH	25%	23%	30%	31%	29%	-6.89%

<sup>\*</sup>Vehicle Miles Traveled = fatality rate per 100 million miles driven

Data Source: Arizona Department of Transportation, Traffic Records Section

<sup>\*\*</sup>Preliminary Data

TABLE 2						
Traffic Fatalities by County						
	2004– 2005					
COUNTY	2004	2005	% Change			
APACHE						
Total	54	30	-44.4%			
	·					
COCHISE		T				
Total	53	47	-11.3%			
COCONINO						
Total	58	61	5.2%			
~ <del>~</del> .						
GILA	26	19	26.00/			
Total	20	19	-26.9%			
GRAHAM						
Total	10	8	-20%			
CDEENLEE						
GREENLEE Total	0	2	200%			
Total			20070			
LA PAZ						
Total	28	35	25%			
MARICORA						
MARICOPA Total	460	526	14.3%			
Tom	100	320	14.5 / 0			
MOHAVE						
Total	53	63	18.9%			
NAVAIO						
NAVAJO Total	53	47	-11.3%			
I VIIII		7/	-11.0 /0			

TABLE 2 CONTD.						
2004	2005	% Change				
147	138	-6.1%				
93	85	-8.6%				
12	13	8.3%				
79	72	-8.9%				
27	37	37%				
1.153	1.183	2.6%				
_,	-,	,,,				
	2004 147 93 12	2004     2005       147     138       93     85       12     13       79     72       27     37				

#### **Summary of Statewide Commonly Reported Statistics**

Table 3 – DATA ELEMENT	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Population	5,319,895	5,472,750	5,629,870	5,833,685	6,044,985
Motor Vehicle Registrations	4,037,359	4,162,219	4,316,219	4,604,888	4,878,927
Licensed Drivers	3,550,766	3,668,704	3,819,823	3,923,395	3,943,625
Vehicle Miles Traveled (Millions)	50,860	52,014	53,345	57,417	59,796
Fatality Rate per VMT	2.08	2.17	2.10	2.01	1.97
Total Crashes	131,573	134,228	130,895	138,353	139,805
Total Injury Crashes	46,150	46,209	45,177	46,613	45,546
Total Fatal Crashes	944	984	971	992	1.042
Fatal Rate Per 100,000 Population	17.74	17.98	17.24	17.00	17.23
Total Injuries	73,962	74,230	71,901	73,376	70,607
Total Fatalities	1,057	1,132	1,118	1,153	1,183
Fatality Rate per 100,000 Population	19.87	20.68	19.86	19.76	19.56

### **TABLE 4**

### **Arizona Crash Facts**

### 2004 - 2005

CATEGORY	2004	2005	Percentage of Change
Total Reported Crashes	138,353	139,805	1.05%
Total Fatalities	1,153	1,183	2.60%
Total Injuries	73,376	70,607	-3.77%
Total Pedestrian Fatalities	136	165	21.3%
Total Pedestrian Injuries	1,568	1,476	-5.86%
Total Motorcyclist Fatalities	119	146	22.7%
Total Motorcyclist Injuries	2,456	2,466	0.41%
Total Bicyclist Fatalities	27	36	33.33%
Total Bicyclist Injuries	1,703	1,723	1.17%
Millions of Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)	57,417	59,796	4.14%
Fatalities Per 100 Million VMT	2.01	1.97	-2%
Injuries Per 100 Million VMT	128	118	-7.8%

# **Highlights and Historical Trends**

#### 2005 Crash Overview

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	PERCENTAGE
Total Crashes	139,805	100.00%
Fatal Crashes	1,042	0.75%
Killed	1,183	not applicable
Injury Crashes	45,546	32.58%
Injured	70,607	not applicable
Property Damage	93,217	66.68%

#### 2005 Crashes by Geographic Location

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	PERCENTAGE
<b>Total Crashes</b>	139,805	100.00%
Urban Crashes	116,332	83.21%
Fatal Crashes	520	.45%
Killed	561	not applicable
Injury Crashes	37,850	32.54%
Injured	57,962	not applicable
Property Damage	77,962	67.02%
Rural Crashes	23,473	16.79%
Fatal Crashes	522	2.22%
Killed	622	not applicable
Injury Crashes	7,696	32.79%
Injured	12,645	not applicable
Property Damage	15,255	64.99%

#### **2005 Crash Description**

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	PERCENTAGE
<b>Total Crashes</b>	139,805	100.00%
Single Vehicle	26,600	19.03%
Fatal Crashes	596	2.24%
Injury Crashes	9,788	36.8%
Property Damage	16,216	60.96%
Multi-Vehicle	113,205	80.97%
Fatal Crashes	446	0.39%
Injury Crashes	35,758	31.59%
Property Damage	77,001	68.02%

#### **2005 Safety Devices**

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	PERCENTAGE
Total Impacted	382,323	not applicable
<u>Drivers</u> Total	267,796	not applicable
With Safety Device	224,866	83.98%
Fatalities	236	not applicable
Injuries	14,877	not applicable
Without Safety Device	8,671	3.24%
Fatalities	279	not applicable
Injuries	2,585	not applicable
Unknown	17,707	not applicable
Passengers Total	114,527	not applicable
With Safety Device	102,363	89.38%
Fatalities	80	not applicable
Injuries	16,973	not applicable
Without Safety Device	6,558	5.73%
Fatalities	120	not applicable
Injuries	1,661	mot applicable
Unknown	1,364	not applicable

#### **2005 Motor Vehicle and Driver Descriptions**

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	PERCENTAGE
<b>Total Crashes</b>	139,805	100.00%
<b>Total Vehicles</b>	268,829	100.00%
Passenger Cars	179,617	66.81%
Trucks	77,717	28.91%
Motorcycles	2,967	1.10%
Buses	1,437	0.53%
Other	7091	2.64%

#### 2005 Alcohol Related Crashes

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	PERCENTAGE
<b>Total Crashes</b>	7,686	100.00%
Fatal Crashes	213	2.77%
Killed	233	not applicable
Injury Crashes	3,426	44.57%
Injured	5,799	not applicable
Property Damage	4,047	52.65%

#### 2005 Pedestrian and Pedalcyclist Crashes

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	PERCENTAGE
<b>Total Crashes</b>	139,805	100.00%
Pedestrian Crashes	1,586	1.13%
Fatal Crashes	157	9.90%
Killed	165	not applicable
Injury Crashes	1,320	83.23%
Injured	1,476	not applicable
Property Damage	109	6.87%
Pedalcyclist Crashes	2,025	1.45%
Fatal Crashes	36	1.78%
Killed	36	not applicable
Injury Crashes	1,714	84.64%
Injured	1,723	not applicable
Property Damage	275	13.58%

#### **2005 Motorcycle Crashes**

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	PERCENTAGE
<b>Total Crashes</b>	138,791	100.00%
Motorcycle Crashes	2,876	2.06%
Fatal Crashes	140	4.90%
Killed	150	not applicable
Injury Crashes	2,260	78.58%
Injured	2,638	not applicable
Property Damage	476	16.55%

#### **Fatalities By Month**

						Urban	Rural	Total
Month	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2005	2005
January	71	64	101	85	82	47	50	97
February	71	66	97	76	86	43	44	87
March	74	88	95	85	90	53	56	109
April	83	92	90	91	114	58	50	108
May	98	99	93	110	99	40	41	81
June	86	78	98	84	87	48	53	101
July	107	90	99	93	87	43	86	129
August	79	105	93	98	114	44	70	114
September	90	91	92	96	105	38	32	70
October	95	112	102	101	91	64	37	101
November	87	80	86	105	100	42	56	108
December	96	86	86	94	98	41	47	88
Total	1,037	1,057	1,132	1,118	1,153	561	622	1,183
VMT	2.08	2.08	2.17	2.10	2.01	1.32	3.55	1.97

#### LICENSED DRIVERS, REGISTERED VEHICLES, POPULATION & VMT DATA

	Licensed	Registered		Vehicle Miles
Year	Drivers	Vehicles	Population	Traveled (Millions)
2000	3,497,208	3,983,860	5,130,632	49,725
2001	3,550,766	4,037,359	5,319,895	50,860
2002	3,668,704	4,162,219	5,472,750	52,014
2003	3,819,823	4,316,219	5,629,870	53,345
2004	3,923,395	4,604,888	5,833,685	57,417
2005	3,943,625	4,878,927	6,044,985	59,796

#### Alcohol-Related Crashes 2000 - 2005

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Crashes	8,048	8,088	8,100	7,800	7,794	7,686
<b>Fatal Crashes</b>	219	227	237	253	218	213
Injury Crashes	3,969	3,881	3,766	3,587	3,598	3,426
<b>Property Damage</b>	3,860	3,980	4,097	3,960	4,178	4,047
Fatalities	266	258	274	298	249	233
Injuries	7,087	6,880	6,644	6,215	6,187	5,799

#### <u>Drinking Drivers Involved in Crashes</u> 2000 - 2005

AGE	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
15-18 years	435	427	497	452	435	408
19-20 years	574	604	631	606	584	581
21-24 years	1,441	1,518	1,512	1,527	1,653	1,512
25-34 years	2,319	2258	2,248	2,204	2,292	2,233
35-44 years	1,780	1673	1,597	1,501	1,413	1,417
45-54 years	868	881	911	892	949	924
55-64 years	360	304	370	378	392	350
65 and older	196	240	208	160	125	362
Unknown/ Not Reported	188	323	250	198	270	0
TOTAL	8,161	8,221	8,224	7,918	8,113	7,787

#### <u>Driver Fatalities with Known Alcohol Test Results in Arizona by County</u> <u>and the Drivers' Alcohol Test Results</u> <u>2005</u>

		TOTAL									
	BAC = .00 BAC = 0.01- BAC = 0.10+								- 0		
	#	# % # % # %							#		
			CO	UNT	Y	•					
Coconino County	1	50%		0	(	)%		1	50	0%	2
Maricopa County	92	53.5%		10	5.8	3%		70	40.	7%	172
Pima County	Pima County 32 61.5% 5 9.6% 15 28.9									9%	52
All Other Counties	51 56% 9 9.9% 31 34.19							1%	91		
TOTAL	176	55.5%		24	7.6	%		117	36.9	9%	317

#### <u>Driver Fatalities with Known Alcohol Test Results in Arizona by County</u> <u>and the Drivers' Alcohol Test Results</u> <u>2004</u>

ALCOHOL TEST RESULTS									
	BAC = .00 BAC = 0.01-0.09 BAC = 0.10+			TOTAL					
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
			COUNT	Y					
Coconino County	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6		
Maricopa County	124	64.9%	16	8.4%	51	26.7%	191		
Pima County	Pima County 12 66.7% 0 0.0% 6 33.3%								
All Other Counties	58 69.0% 5 6.0% 21 25.0%				84				
TOTAL	199	66.6%	22	7.4%	78	26.1%	299		

#### <u>Driver Fatalities with Known Alcohol Test Results in Arizona by County</u> <u>and the Drivers' Alcohol Test Results</u> <u>2003</u>

ALCOHOL TEST RESULTS									
	BAC = .00 BAC = 0.01-0.09 BAC = 0.10+					TOTAL			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
			COUNT	Y					
Coconino County	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2		
Maricopa County	127	58.5%	26	12.0%	64	29.5%	217		
Pima County	15	60.0%	3	12.0%	7	28.0%	25		
All Other Counties	51 64.6% 9 11.4% 19 24.1%					79			
TOTAL	194	60.1%	38	11.8%	91	28.2%	323		

#### <u>Driver Fatalities with Known Alcohol Test Results in Arizona by County</u> <u>and the Drivers' Alcohol Test Results</u> <u>2002</u>

ALCOHOL TEST RESULTS									
	BAC	= .00 BAC = 0.01-0.09 BAC = 0.10+			TOTAL				
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
			COUNT	Y					
Coconino County	2	100.0%	0	0%	0	0%	2		
Maricopa County	128	65.0%	13	6.6%	56	28.4%	197		
Pima County	20	20 52.6% 3 7.9% 15 39.5%							
All Other Counties	30	44.1%	7	10.3%	31	45.6%	68		
TOTAL	180	59.0%	23	7.5%	102	33.4%	305		

#### <u>Driver Fatalities with Known Alcohol Test Results in Arizona by County</u> <u>and the Drivers' Alcohol Test Results</u> <u>2001</u>

	ALCOHOL TEST RESULTS						
	BAC	00. =	BAC = 0.01-0.09		BAC = 0.10+		TOTAL
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
			COUNT	Y			
Coconino County	2	3.3%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	6
Maricopa County	122	56.5%	20	9.3%	74	34.3%	216
Pima County	12	66.7%	1	5.6%	5	27.8%	18
All Other Counties	38	61.3%	3	4.8%	21	33.9%	62
TOTAL	174	57.6%	25	8.3%	103	34.1%	302

#### <u>Driver Fatalities with Known Alcohol Test Results in Arizona by County</u> <u>and the Drivers' Alcohol Test Results</u> <u>2000</u>

	ALCOHOL TEST RESULTS						
	BAC	= .00	BAC = 0.01-0.09		BAC = 0.10+		TOTAL
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
			COUNT	Y			
Coconino County	4	100.0%	0	0	0	0	4
Maricopa County	104	61.5%	14	8.3%	51	30.2%	169
Pima County	22	59.5%	2	5.4%	13	35.1%	37
All Other Counties	39	48.8%	8	10.0%	33	41.3%	80
TOTAL	169	58.3%	24	8.3%	97	33.4%	290

# <u>Drivers Involved in Fatal Crashes by Alcohol Test Results</u> (by Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC)) 2000 - 2005

YEAR	.00	.0107	.08+*	Unknown	Total	% .08+*
2000	213	23	116	996	1,348	33.0%
2001	202	22	124	1,059	1,407	35.6%
2002	206	19	125	1,087	1,437	35.7%
2003	225	34	123	1,135	1,517	32.2%
2004	230	22	104	1,150	1,506	29.2%
2005	207	22	139	1,210	1,578	37.8%

\* 
$$08+$$
 (Unknown not used)

Total Known

Unknown = Combination of: Not Tested, Tested with Unknown Results, Unknown if Tested, and Refused Test

# 15-20 Year Old Drivers Involved in Fatal Crashes by Alcohol Test Results (by Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC))

2000 - 2005

YEAR	.00	.01+**	Unknown	Total	% .01+**
2000	27	19	123	169	41.3%
2001	28	15	145	188	34.9%
2002	30	18	139	187	37.5%
2003	30	14	125	169	31.8%
2004	35	20	151	206	36.4%
2005	35	22	153	210	38.6%

\*\* 
$$\frac{.01+}{00+01}$$
 = % .01+ (Unknown not used)

Unknown = Combination of: Not Tested, Tested with Unknown Results, and Unknown if Tested

### Average Time From Crash to EMS Arrival at Hospital\* 2000 - 2005

YEAR	MINUTES	% TIME UNKNOWN
2000	55.4	97.7%
2001	49.7	96.1%
2002	49.0	92.8%
2003	81.5	93.9%
2004	50.3	95.0%
2005	56.7	71.8%

<sup>\*</sup> Unknown reliability due to limited reporting of EMS data

# Key Indicators of Data System Integrity\* 2000 - 2005

YEAR	% UNKNOWN DRIVER FATALITIES BY BAC	% UNKNOWN OCCUPANT RESTRAINT USE	% UNKNOWN TIME CRASH TO HOSPITAL
2000	43.4%	15.2%	97.7%
2001	42.0%	10.9%	96.1%
2002	45.6%	18.4%	92.8%
2003	47.6%	15.4%	93.9%
2004	50.9%	14.9%	95.0%
2005	51.02%	14.1%	71.8%

<sup>\*</sup> Unknown reliability due to limited reporting of EMS data

# Motorcycle Occupant Fatalities by Helmet Use\* 2000 - 2005

YEAR	USED	NOT USED	UNKNOWN USE	TOTAL	% USED
2000	32	42	23	97	32.98%
2001	21	46	3	70	30.00%
2002	23	57	15	95	24.21%
2003	31	67	13	11	27.93%
2004	41	70	7	118	34.75%
2005	59	85	7	151	39.07%

<sup>\*</sup>Figures include 3 or 4 wheel ATVs and exclude mopeds, motor scooters, and mini-bikes.

# Motorcycle Crashes\* 2000 - 2005

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Crashes	2,138	2,042	2,299	2,402	2,652	2,876
Fatalities	97	75	95	111	119	150
Injuries	2,107	1,924	2,166	2,287	2,456	2,651

<sup>\*</sup>Figures include 3 or 4 wheel ATVs and exclude mopeds, motor scooters, and mini-bikes.

# Occupant Fatalities / Age 16 - 20 2000 - 2005

YEAR	USED	NOT USED	UNKNOWN USE	TOTAL	% USED
2000	26	87	17	130	20.0%
2001	19	100	18	137	13.9%
2002	26	79	22	127	20.5%
2003	34	61	28	123	27.6%
2004	41	78	22	141	29.1%
2005	35	89	14	138	25.4%

#### Occupant Fatalities / Age 0 - 4 2000 - 2005

YEAR	USED	NOT USED	UNKNOWN USE	TOTAL	% USED
2000	9	17	3	29	31.0%
2001	4	12	3	19	21.05%
2002	4	8	2	14	28.57%
2003	6	6	2	14	42.86%
2004	4	6	0	10	40.0%
2005	2	9	2	13	15.45%

#### <u>Urban/Rural Occupant Fatalities by Restraint Usage - All Ages</u> 2000 - 2005

YEAR	USED	NOT USED	UNKNOWN USE	TOTAL	% USED
2000	145 Rural	304 Rural	91 Rural	540 Rural	26.9%
	96 Urban	112 Urban	32 Urban	240 Urban	40.0%
Total	241	416	123	798	30.9%
2001	158 Rural	259 Rural	77 Rural	494 Rural	32.0%
	91 Urban	156 Urban	44 Urban	291 Urban	31.3%
Total	249	415	121	785	31.7%
2002	136 Rural	277 Rural	111 Rural	524 Rural	25.9%
	133 Urban	149 Urban	58 Urban	340 Urban	39.1%
Total	269	426	169	864	31.1%
2003	175 Rural	281 Rural	124 Rural	586 Rural	29.9%
	104 Urban	123 Urban	53 Urban	280 Urban	37.1%
Total	279	404	177	866	32.2%
2004	171 Rural	293 Rural	84 Rural	548 Rural	31.2%
	145 Urban	226 Urban	63 Urban	434 Urban	39.4%
Total	316	519	147	982	32.2%
2005	142 Rural	262 Rural	93 Rural	497 Rural	28.6%
	168 Urban	258 Urban	49 Urban	475 Urban	35.4%
Total	310	520	142	972	31.9%

#### Occupant Fatalities by Restraint Usage - All Ages 2000 – 2005

YEAR	USED	NOT USED	UNKNOWN USE	TOTAL	% USED
2000	241	416	123	780	30.9%
2001	249	415	121	785	31.7%
2002	269	426	169	864	31.1%
2003	279	410	177	866	32.2%
2004	321	426	138	885	36.3%
2005	310	520	142	972	31.9%

#### RESULTS OF ARIZONA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF HIGHWAY SAFETY ANNUAL SEAT BELT USAGE SURVEY 2000 - 2006

GROUPS OBSERVED	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003*	2004	2005*	2006**
All Drivers Seat Belt Use	74.99%	74.29%	73.99%	79.5%	86.00%	95.12%	94.42%	79.4%
Front Seat Occupants Seat Belt Use	75.17%	74.35%	73.71%	79.46%	85.84%	95.28%	94.22%	76.4%
Children Safety Restraint Use	71.68%	72.63%	71.60%	82.24%	89.69%	97.57%	96.66%	88.4%
Motorcycles Helmet Use	39.01%	41.69%	43.50%	35.84%	44.85%	35.93%	57.52%	59.0%

<sup>\*</sup>Post "Click It or Ticket" Survey - Source: CSI Santa Rita Research Center \*\*Post "Click It or Ticket" Survey - Source: Behavioral Research Center

#### <u>Pedestrian Crashes</u> 2000 - 2005

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Crashes	1,654	1,601	1,608	1,595	1,629	1,586
Fatalities	132	159	158	126	136	165
Injuries	1,560	1,509	1,481	1,528	1,568	1,476

#### <u>Pedestrian Fatality Rates</u> 2000 - 2005

YEAR	NUMBER	RATE PER 100,000 POPULATION
2000	132	2.57
2001	159	2.98
2002	158	2.89
2003	126	2.24
2004	136	2.33
2005	165	2.73

# Urban Pedestrian Fatalities by Non-Motorist Location 2000 - 2005

YEAR	Intersection Crosswalk	Intersection Other	Intersection Unknown	Non- Intersection Crosswalk	Non- Intersection Other	Non- Intersection Unknown
2000	12 (11%)	17	6	1	66	2
2001	15 (12%)	15	7	2	81	3
2002	19 (17%)	14	3	1	71	1
2003	12 (12%)	10	3	2	70	0
2004	11 (11%)	12	5	1	58	4
2005	12	15	1	8	111	1

#### Bicycle Crashes 2000 - 2005

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Crashes	2,200	1,993	1,893	1,874	2,001	2,025
Fatalities	25	29	15	15	27	36
Injuries	1,915	1,757	1,618	1,617	1,703	1,723

#### <u>Bicycle Fatalities by Contributing Factors (of Bicyclist)</u> 2001-2005

FACTOR	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
No contributing factor	7	5	3	6	8
Failure to yield right-of-way	8	4	4	7	5
Failure to obey traffic control device	3	2	2	3	1
Darting, running, or stumbling into road	3	1	2	4	6
Operating without required equipment	2	1	2	3	2
Failure to keep in proper lane or running off road	2	1	2	3	2
Unknown/Other	8	3	10	16	27
TOTAL*	33	17	25	42	51

<sup>\*</sup>Multiple factors for some bicyclists

# $\frac{Fatal\ Crashes\ by\ Posted\ Speed\ Limit}{2000-2005}$

Posted Speed	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	% of Total
25 and less	41	50	58	43	52	57	5.5%
30 to 40	205	244	233	191	250	254	24.5%
45 – 50	199	194	202	220	237	232	22.4%
55 – 60	133	117	116	116	143	102	9.8%
65 – 70	90	94	117	143	148	141	13.6%
75	133	128	137	145	203	143	13.7%
Not Stated	91	117	121	113	117	113	10.5%
Total	892	944	984	971	1,150	1,042	100%

# **State Certifications and Assurances**

Failure to comply with applicable Federal statutes, regulations and directives may subject State officials to civil or criminal penalties and/or place the State in a high risk grantee status in accordance with 49 CFR §18.12.

Each fiscal year the State will sign these Certifications and Assurances that the State complies with all applicable Federal statutes, regulations, and directives in effect with respect to the periods for which it receives grant funding. Applicable provisions include, but not limited to, the following:

- 23 U.S.C. Chapter 4 Highway Safety Act of 1966, as amended;
- 49 CFR Part 18 Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments
- 49 CFR Part 19 Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals and Other Nonprofit Organizations
- 23 CFR Chapter II (§§1200, 1205, 1206, 1250, 1251, & 1252) Regulations governing highway safety programs
- NHTSA Order 462-6C Matching Rates for State and Community Highway Safety Programs
- Highway Safety Grant Funding Policy for Field-Administered Grants

#### **Certifications and Assurances**

The Governor is responsible for the administration of the State highway safety program through a State highway safety agency which has adequate powers and is suitably equipped and organized (as evidenced by appropriate oversight procedures governing such areas as procurement, financial administration, and the use, management, and disposition of equipment) to carry out the program (23 USC 402(b) (1) (A));

The political subdivisions of this State are authorized, as part of the State highway safety program, to carry out within their jurisdictions local highway safety programs which have been approved by the Governor and are in accordance with the uniform guidelines promulgated by the Secretary of Transportation (23 USC 402(b) (1) (B));

At least 40 per cent of all Federal funds apportioned to this State under 23 USC 402 for this fiscal year will be expended by or for the benefit of the political subdivision of the State in carrying out local highway safety programs (23 USC 402(b) (1) (C)), unless this requirement is waived in writing;

The State will implement activities in support of national highway safety goals to reduce motor vehicle related fatalities that also reflect the primary data-related crash factors within the State as identified by the State highway safety planning process, including:

- National law enforcement mobilizations.
- Sustained enforcement of statutes addressing impaired driving, occupant protection, and driving in excess of posted speed limits,
- An annual statewide safety belt use survey in accordance with criteria established by the Secretary for the measurement of State safety belt use rates to ensure that the measurements are accurate and representative,
- Development of statewide data systems to provide timely and effective data analysis to support allocation of highway safety resources.

The State shall actively encourage all relevant law enforcement agencies in the State to follow the guidelines established for vehicular pursuits issued by the International Association of Chiefs of Police that are currently in effect.

This State's highway safety program provides adequate and reasonable access for the safe and convenient movement of physically handicapped persons, including those in wheelchairs, across curbs constructed or replaced on or after July 1, 1976, at all pedestrian crosswalks (23 USC 402(b) (1) (D));

Cash draw-downs will be initiated only when actually needed for disbursement, cash disbursements and balances will be reported in a timely manner as required by NHTSA, and the same standards of timing and amount, including the reporting of cash disbursement and balances, will be imposed upon any secondary recipient organizations (49 CFR 18.20, 18.21, and 18.41). Failure to adhere to these provisions may result in the termination of drawdown privileges);

The State has submitted appropriate documentation for review to the single point of contact designated by the Governor to review Federal programs, as required by Executive Order 12372 (Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs);

Equipment acquired under this agreement for use in highway safety program areas shall be used and kept in operation for highway safety purposes by the State; or the State, by formal agreement with appropriate officials of a political subdivision or State agency, shall cause such equipment to be used and kept in operation for highway safety purposes (23 CFR 1200.21);

The State will comply with all applicable State procurement procedures and will maintain a financial management system that complies with the minimum requirements of 49 CFR 18.20;

The State highway safety agency will comply with all Federal statutes and implementing regulations relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to: (a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin (and 49 CFR Part 21); (b) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1683, and 1685-1686), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; (c) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. §794), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps (and 49 CFR Part 27); (d) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (42U.S.C. §§ 6101-6107), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; (e) the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-255), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of drug abuse; (f) the comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970(P.L. 91-616), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of alcohol abuse of alcoholism; (g) §§ 523 and 527 of the Public Health Service Act of 1912 (42 U.S.C. §§ 290 dd-3 and 290 ee-3), as amended, relating to confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records; (h) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. §§ 3601 et seq.), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination in the sale, rental or financing of housing; (i) any other nondiscrimination provisions in the specific statute(s) under which application for Federal assistance is being made; and, (i) the requirements of any other nondiscrimination statute(s) which may apply to the application.

#### The Drug-free Workplace Act of 1988(49 CFR Part 29 Sub-part F):

The State will provide a drug-free workplace by:

- a) Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;
- b) Establishing a drug-free awareness program to inform employees about:
  - 1) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace.
  - 2) The grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace.
  - 3) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs.
  - 4) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug violations occurring in the workplace.
- c) Making it a requirement that each employee engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph (a).
- d) Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph (a) that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will --
  - 1) Abide by the terms of the statement.

- 2) Notify the employer of any criminal drug statute conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace no later than five days after such conviction.
- e) Notifying the agency within ten days after receiving notice under subparagraph (d) (2) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction.
- f) Taking one of the following actions, within 30 days of receiving notice under subparagraph (d) (2), with respect to any employee who is so convicted -
  - 1) Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination.
  - 2) Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency.
- g) Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f) above.

#### **BUY AMERICA ACT**

The State will comply with the provisions of the Buy America Act (23 USC 101 Note) which contains the following requirements:

Only steel, iron and manufactured products produced in the United States may be purchased with Federal funds unless the Secretary of Transportation determines that such domestic purchases would be inconsistent with the public interest; that such materials are not reasonably available and of a satisfactory quality; or that inclusion of domestic materials will increase the cost of the overall project contract by more than 25 percent. Clear justification for the purchase of non-domestic items must be in the form of a waiver request submitted to and approved by the Secretary of Transportation.

#### POLITICAL ACTIVITY (HATCH ACT).

The State will comply with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. §§ 1501-1508 and implementing regulations of 5 CFR Part 151, concerning "Political Activity of State or Local Offices, or Employees".

#### **CERTIFICATION REGARDING FEDERAL LOBBYING**

Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.
- (3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all sub-award at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grant, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

#### **RESTRICTION ON STATE LOBBYING**

None of the funds under this program will be used for any activity specifically designed to urge or influence a State or local legislator to favor or oppose the adoption of any specific legislative proposal pending before any State or local legislative body. Such activities include both direct and indirect (e.g., "grassroots") lobbying activities, with one exception. This does not preclude a State official whose salary is supported with NHTSA funds from engaging in direct communications with State or local legislative officials, in accordance with customary State practice, even if such communications urge legislative officials to favor or oppose the adoption of a specific pending legislative proposal.

#### CERTIFICATION REGARDING DEBARMENT AND SUSPENSION

#### **Instructions for Primary Certification**

- 1. By signing and submitting this proposal, the prospective primary participant is providing the certification set out below.
- 2. The inability of a person to provide the certification required below will not necessarily result in denial of participation in this covered transaction. The prospective participant shall submit an

explanation of why it cannot provide the certification set out below. The certification or explanation will be considered in connection with the department or agency's determination whether to enter into this transaction. However, failure of the prospective primary participant to furnish a certification or an explanation shall disqualify such person from participation in this transaction.

- 3. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when the department or agency determined to enter into this transaction. If it is later determined that the prospective primary participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency may terminate this transaction for cause or default.
- 4. The prospective primary participant shall provide immediate written notice to the department or agency to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective primary participant learns its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.
- 5. The terms covered transaction, debarred, suspended, ineligible, lower tier covered transaction, participant, person, primary covered transaction, principal, proposal, and voluntarily excluded, as used in this clause, have the meaning set out in the Definitions and coverage sections of 49 CFR Part 29. You may contact the department or agency to which this proposal is being submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.
- 6. The prospective primary participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency entering into this transaction.
- 7. The prospective primary participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transaction," provided by the department or agency entering into this covered transaction, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.
- 8. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may, but is not required to, check the list of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Non-procurement Programs.
- 9. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge

and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

10. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 6 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency may terminate this transaction for cause or default.

#### <u>Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters-Primary</u> Covered Transactions

- (1) The prospective primary participant certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that its principals:
- (a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded by any Federal department or agency;
- (b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of record, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;
- (c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or Local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and
- (d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State, or local) terminated for cause or default.
- (2) Where the prospective primary participant is unable to certify to any of the Statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

#### Instructions for Lower Tier Certification

- 1. By signing and submitting this proposal, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out below.
- 2. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

- 3. The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.
- 4. The terms covered transaction, debarred, suspended, ineligible, lower tier covered transaction, participant, person, primary covered transaction, principal, proposal, and voluntarily excluded, as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definition and Coverage sections of 49 CFR Part 29. You may contact the person to whom this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.
- 5. The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.
- 6. The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that is it will include the clause titled "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion -- Lower Tier Covered Transaction," without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions. (See below)
- 7. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may, but is not required to, check the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Non-procurement Programs.
- 8. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.
- 9. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 5 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

<u>Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion -- Lower Tier Covered Transactions:</u>

- 1. The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- 2. Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

The Governor's Representative for Highway Safety has reviewed the State's Fiscal Year 20086 highway safety planning document and hereby declares that no significant environmental impact will result from implementing this Highway Safety Plan. If, under a future revision, this Plan will be modified in such a manner that a project would be instituted that could affect environmental quality to the extent that a review and statement would be necessary, this office is prepared to take the action necessary to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 USC 4321 et seq.) and the implementing regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR Parts 1500-1517).

Richard & Timber	
	September 4, 2007
Richard G. Fimbres, Director Governor's Highway Safety Representative	Date

## **ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION**

The Overview for this Program is included in the Police Traffic Services and Roadway Safety Program Overviews.

### **Accident Investigation**

### Task 1 Glendale Police Department – Vehicular Crimes Unit (VCU)

Federal Funds will support In-State Travel for training purposes - \$3,200.00

Project Coordinator: PA

### Task 2 Glendale Police Department – Vehicular Crime Unit (VCU)

Federal Funds will support Capitol Outlay (One (1) Sokkia Measuring Device). **Total** - \$10,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

### Task 3 Phoenix Police Department – Vehicular Crimes Unit (VCU)

Federal Funds will support In-State Travel for training purposes - \$18,600.00, Out-of-State Travel for training purposes, Materials and Supplies (Two (2) Crash Data Retrieval (CDR) annual update software). **Total** - \$30,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

### Task 4 Tohono O'Odham Nation – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal funds will support Travel Out-of-State for training purposes - \$26,000.00 and Capital outlay (one (1) crash data retrieval system - \$3,000.00 and one (1) globe positioning system - \$30,000.00) Total - \$59,000.00.

Program Coordinator: TR

### **ALCOHOL AND IMPAIRED DRIVING PROGRAM**

### POLICY AND LEGISLATION

• Administrative License Revocation

Status: A.R.S. §28-1385

• Zero Tolerance for Drivers Under Age 21

Status: A.R.S. §4-244(34), §4-246(B), §13-701, §13-707, §13-801, §13-

802

• State Law on .08 BAC

Status: A.R.S. 28-1381.A.2

• Graduated Driver's License

Status: A.R.S. §28-3153, §28-3154, §28-3155, §28-3174

• Open Container Law

Status: A.R.S. §4-251

• Repeat Intoxicated Driver

Status: A.R.S. §9-499.07, §11-456, §28-1381, §28-1383, §28-1387

State Law on Extreme DUI of .15 BAC and higher

Status: A.R.S. §28-1382

• Ignition Interlock

Status: A.R.S. §28-1381, §28-1383, §28-1464

• Minor Liquor Consumption – Violation is class 2 misdemeanor for person under age 21 to have in the body any spirituous liquor, except if consumed for bona fide medicinal purpose or as integral part of religious exercise

Status: A.R.S. §4-244(40)

### ORGANIZATIONAL PRACTICES

• Frequent sobriety checkpoints with strong community awareness

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• Multi-agency DUI task force enforcement programs supported with aggressive media activity and community awareness

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

 School-based programs such as Grad/Prom Night – Students Against Destructive Decisions (SADD) and Drug Impairment Training for Educational Professionals (DITEP).

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

 Youth enforcement programs targeting alcohol sales to minors and keg parties attended by underage drinkers

Status: Programs include:

- Phoenix Police Department Youth Alcohol Squad
- > Tucson Police Department Youth Alcohol Squad
- > Pima County Sheriff's Office
- Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control
- > Statewide DUI Task Forces
- ➤ Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Program (OJJDP) Enforcement and Education of Underage Drinking Laws
- Training for judges and prosecutors on DUI law issues

<u>Status</u>: Training is coordinated by GOHS. Instructors include judges, the Arizona Supreme Court, the Arizona Prosecuting Attorney's Advisory Council (APAAC), officers, criminalists, and representatives from NHTSA, FHWA, Federal Motor Carrier, and highway safety advocates.

• Police training in DUI detection, drug evaluation and classification, phlebotomy, and standardized field sobriety tests

Status: Training is ongoing statewide through GOHS, police agencies, and/or the Arizona Peace Officers Standards and Training Board (AZPOST)

• Spanish Language Training for Law Enforcement

Status: Ongoing statewide

• Impaired Driver Training for Law Enforcement

Status:

On-going statewide. A Phoenix Police Department officer is assigned full-time to GOHS as Arizona's DRE Operations Coordinator. His tasks include overseeing and coordinating all aspects of Arizona's DRE Program, Phlebotomy Program, DUI Task Forces, DITEP, SFSTs, and reporting of statistics for Holiday DUI Task Forces.

An SFST Assessment was conducted in June 2007. As a result of the findings, GOHS has added an SFST Coordinator to its staff.

- Impaired Driver Assessment
  - Status:

Assessment was completed in May 2005. One of the primary recommendations was to have a Traffic Records Assessment. The assessment is now complete and we are incorporating the recommendations of the assessment team into the everyday practices of this office which includes the addition of a Traffic Records Analyst.

• Alcohol training programs for servers and sellers of alcohol

Status:

Server training is not mandatory by state statutes; however, it is mandated through Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control's regulations

• Program for drivers with high BAC

Status:

ARS, Chapter 4, "Driving Under the Influence", addresses this issue

Extreme DUI §28-1382A BAC .15 or higher

Young adult drinking and driving program

<u>Status</u>: Activities being implemented include:

- Prevention and intervention programs designed to create changes in drinking and driving behavior patterns
- ➤ University based programs such as SADD, Safe Rides, parent education meetings, and youth conferences
- Designated driver program (bars, restaurants, hotels, mass media)
- ➤ Alternative transportation programs (Care Cab, Tipsy Taxi, Safe Rides)
- ➤ Host education (Party Planners, etc.)
- Sobriety checkpoints
- ➤ Arizona/Mexico Border development of public information campaign targeting university students
- ➤ Identification and allocation of public and/or private sector resources
- > DITEP Training statewide

### **COALITIONS AND NETWORKS**

• Community coalitions that support activities to stop impaired driving

<u>Status</u>: GOHS provides administrative and financial support to these organizations:

- ➤ Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD)
- > Students Against Destructive Decisions (SADD)
- ➤ Statewide DUI Task Forces

Arizona Institutions for Higher Education
 Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• Northern Arizona University, Arizona State University and University of Arizona

Statewide / Ongoing

• Employer coalitions promoting alcohol and traffic safety issues

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

### **COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND EDUCATION**

• Drunk and Drugged Driving Prevention Month (3D Month) and other public information activities

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• Drunk Driving. Over the Limit. Under Arrest

Status: GOHS is an active participant of the NHTSA-developed national

public information campaigns

Holiday Campaigns

Status: GOHS continues to implement innovative campaigns such as

"Don't let these lights silent your night." for the Christmas Holiday, a newspaper insert, and the "DUI? Expect the Max"

campaign.

### **Alcohol and Other Drugs**

## Task 1 Arizona Emergency Nurses Association – Out-of-State Travel and Public Information and Education

Federal Funds will support Out-of-State Travel Expenses for the annual Lifesavers Conference and Materials and Supplies for public information and educational materials statewide. **Total:** \$10,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

## Task 2 Arizona Students Against Destructive Decisions – Enhancement of Educational Youth Programs

Federal Funds will support Personnel Services (Coordinator Services), Professional and Outside Services (One (1) Key Note Speaker for SADD Conference, In-State Travel for training purposes, Out-of-State Travel for training purposes, Materials and Supplies for schools and conferences, Other Expenses (Postage and Telephones). **Total** - \$82,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

### Task 3 Benson Police Department - Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal 402 funds will support Professional and Outside Services for installation of in-car video systems and Capital Outlay equipment (five (5) in-car video systems -\$28,000.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 4 Chandler Police Department – Impaired Driver Processing Equipment

Federal funds will support Capital Outlay (one (1) chromatograph) to increase implementation of blood alcohol analysis. **Total** - \$64,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

### Task 5 Eagar Police Department – DUI Processing Trailer

Federal funds will support Capital Outlay (one (1) DUI Processing Trailer) to provide assistance with DUI Task Force Enforcement Details and during safety events as an educational tool. **Total** - \$30,000.00

### Task 6 GOHS – Kids' Calendar

Federal funds will support at 100% Professional and Outside Services and Materials and Supplies for the development and distribution of the 2009 Kids' Calendar. - **Total** \$6,250.00

Project Coordinator: AY

### Task 7 GOHS – Program Support – Spanish Media Coordinator (Alma Yubeta)

Program support will include coordination of activities and projects outlined in the Highway Safety Plan, coordination of interagency program activities, development and facilitation of public information and education projects, and providing status reports and updates on project activity to the Governor's Highway Safety Representative / GOHS Director and the NHTSA Regional Headquarters. Additionally, program support will include monitoring project activity, preparing and maintaining project documentation, and evaluating task accomplishments. Funding will be provided for Personnel Services including Overtime, Employee Related Expenses, Professional and Outside Services, In-State/Out-Of-State Travel, Capital Outlay Equipment, Materials and Supplies, and Other Operating Expenses. - **Total** \$50,000.00

Project Coordinator: LL

## Task 8 GOHS - Program Support - Deputy Director/Public Information Officer (Michael Hegarty)

Program support will include coordination of activities and projects outlined in the Highway Safety Plan, coordination of interagency program activities, development and facilitation of public information and education projects, and providing status reports and updates on project activity to the Governor's Highway Safety Representative / GOHS Director and the NHTSA Regional Headquarters. Additionally, program support will include monitoring project activity, preparing and maintaining project documentation, and evaluating task accomplishments. Funding will be provided for Personnel Services including Overtime, Employee Related Expenses, Professional and Outside Services, In-State/Out-Of-State Travel, Capital Outlay Equipment, Materials and Supplies, and Other Operating Expenses. - **Total** \$90,000.00

Project Coordinator: LL

### Task 9 GOHS– Spanish Immersion Support

Federal funds will support Professional and Outside Services, In-State/Out-Of-State Travel, Capital Outlay Equipment, Materials and Supplies Materials and Supplies for the ten-day Spanish immersion course and the five-day basic Spanish language class. - **Total** \$37,500.00

### Task 10 GOHS – GHSA Conference

Federal Funds will support Professional and Outside Services, In-State Travel and Out of-State Travel to attend conferences and workshops, Materials and Supplies (GOHS will have exhibit booth and hand out materials), Other Expenses (rental equipment, etc.).**Total** - \$25,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

### **Task 11 GOHS - Annual Training Summit**

Federal funds will support Professional and Outside Services, In-State Travel and Out-of State Travel to attend training conferences and workshops, Materials and Supplies, Other Expenses (rental equipment) and Capitol Outlay. **Total** - \$100,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

### Task 12 Holbrook Police Department – DUI Equipment

Federal funds will support Capital Outlay (4 PBTs and 2 radars) in order to implement this new technology. **Total** - \$2,400.00

Project Coordinator: LM

### Task 13 Mohave County Sheriff's Office – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal funds will support Personnel Services, ERE, and Capital Outlay (radars, PBTs And portable light tower) to enhance traffic enforcement efforts. **Total** - \$60,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

### Task 14 Nogales Police Department –DUI Enforcement and Prevention

Federal 402 Funds will support Personnel Services (Overtime -\$21,000.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 15 Oro Valley Police Department – Impaired Driver Enforcement Program

Federal funds will support Personnel Services (overtime) and Employee Related Expenses. **Total** - \$25,000.00

Program Coordinator: TR

# Task 16 Phoenix Police Department – Liquor Enforcement Detail (LED) and Hotspot Liquor Task Force

Federal funds will support Capital Outlay. **Total** - \$12,000.00

### **EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES**

### POLICY AND LEGISLATION

• State EMS authority responsible for overall planning, development of EMS systems, and certification of personnel and training

Status: Statutory / Statewide

### **ORGANIZATIONAL PRACTICES**

• All emergency rescue personnel meet recommended state-established training standards Status: Statutory / Statewide

• All emergency rescue vehicles meet state equipment standards

Status: Statutory / Statewide

• Available rescue resources with extrication equipment

Status: Ongoing evaluation of system/resources

• First responder training available to fire department personnel, law enforcement, school and public work employees, and volunteers

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• Established trauma registry as well as EMS rescue and activity data

Status: Statutory / Statewide

• Communication system capable of on-line medical direction and transmission of realtime patient data

Status: Ongoing evaluation of system/resources

• Quality improvement throughout the EMS system

Status: Ongoing evaluation of system/resources

### **COALITIONS AND NETWORKS**

• Coalitions such as the EMS Injury Control Coalition and the Safe Kids Coalition to promote motor vehicle related injury prevention through education

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

### **Emergency Medical Services**

#### Task 1 **Camp Verde Fire District – Extrication Equipment**

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (Hurst LKE Battery Operated Combi-Tool -\$10,000.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

#### Task 2 **Coolidge Fire Department – Extrication Equipment**

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (one (1) power unit -\$6,100.00, one (1) spreader -\$5,700.00, one (1) cutter -\$5,100.00 and hoses -\$1,100.00)

Project Coordinator: SH

#### Task 3 Flagstaff Fire Department –Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (Two (2) Rescue 42 Vehicle Stabilization Struts Kits -\$4,400.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

#### Task 4 Ft Thomas Volunteer Fire Department –Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (one (1) cutter -\$4,390.00, one (1) spreader -\$6,790.00, one (1) power unit -\$ 4,250.00, Rescue 42 Truck Kit -\$2,635.00, and a hose -\$2,100.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

#### Task 5 Gila River Emergency Medical Services –Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (One (1) spreader -\$5,353.00, one (1) cutter 4,907.00, three (3) RAMs \$10,610.00 and four hoses -\$6,083.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

#### Task 6 **Globe Fire Department – Emergency Equipment**

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (Emergency Light Equipment which includes: lights, light bar, bed box siren and distribution box and light stripes -\$5,000.00).

### Task 7 GOHS - Program Support

Program support will include coordination of activities and projects outlined in the Highway Safety Plan, coordination of interagency program activities, development and facilitation of public information and education projects, and providing status reports and updates on project activity to the Governor's Highway Safety Representative / GOHS Director and the NHTSA Regional Headquarters. Additionally, program support will include monitoring project activity, preparing and maintaining project documentation, and evaluating task accomplishments. Funding will be provided for Personnel Services including Overtime, Employee Related Expenses, Professional and Outside Services, In-State/Out-Of-State Travel, Capital Outlay Equipment, Materials and Supplies, and Other Operating Expenses -\$50,000.

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 8 GOHS – Red Badge Program

Federal funds will support Professional and Outside Services to expand the Red Badge Program. Total - \$50,000.00

Program Coordinator: TR

### Task 9 Golden Shores Fire Department –Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (one (1) cutter -\$4,372.00, one (1) spreader -\$5,700.00, one (1) power unit -\$6,781.00, Rescue 42 Truck Kit -\$ 2,995.00, and one (1) RAMs -\$ 2,277.66).

Coordinator: SH

### Task 10 Groom Creek Fire District –Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (One (1) combination tool with cutter/spreaders -\$5,220.00, one (1) vehicle stabilization kit-\$2,765.00, airbag set - \$4,829, one (1) RAM \$3,500.00, one (1) power unit -\$6,781.00 and one (1) hose \$450.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 11 Hualapai Valley Fire Department –Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (one (1) Rescue Saw Kit -\$2,500, one (1) cutting tool \$4,700.00, cribbing set -\$2,500.00, auto extrication hand tools -\$1,500.00, and one (1) Porta Power extrication Set -\$2,900.00).

### Task 12 Pleasant Valley Fire District –Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (Twenty Five percent of one (1) rescue truck -\$12,000.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 13 Rio Rico Fire District –Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (One (1) cutter -\$5,165, one (1) spreader -\$5,635.00, one (1) power unit - \$8,195.00 and one (1) RAM - \$5,000.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 14 Rio Verde Fire District – Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (One (1) power unit - \$4,796.00, one (1) spreader -\$4,500.00, one (1) cutter - \$3496.02, one (1) RAM -\$1,700.00, Combi tool - \$3,900.00, cribbing set -\$2,300.00 and air bag set -\$4,425.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 15 Somerton/Cocopah Fire Department - Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (One (1) airbag set -\$16,646.00 and three (3) stabilization jacks -\$ 2,686.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 16 Sun City Fire Department – Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (Hurst/Vetter 346 ton 14 bag lift set - \$13,100.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 17 Tombstone Fire Department – Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (Air bag set -\$4,950.00, hand pump -\$600.00, air bag kit -\$2,390.00 and cribbing -\$400.00).

### Task 18 Tonopah Valley Fire District – Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (one (1) cutter -\$5,395.00, two (2) control unit -\$1,992.00, two (2) Haligan tools \$336.00, one (1) power unit -\$4,796.00, two (2) RAMs -\$2,992.00 and three (3) hoses -\$1,308.00 and one (1) sledge hammer -\$46.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 19 Verde Valley Fire District – Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (one (1) cutter -\$4,300.00, one (1) spreader -\$5,700.00, one (1) power unit -\$8,200.00, one (1) RAM -\$4,300.00 and two (2) hoses -\$1,560.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 20 White Mountain Tribal Traffic Safety Coalition – Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (one (1) cutter -\$3,621.00, one (1) spreader -\$6,171.00, one (1) power unit -\$6568.00, two (2) RAMs -\$4,316.00 and one (1) hose -\$384.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 21 City of Winslow – Extrication Equipment

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (one (1) cutter -\$4,395, one (1) spreader -\$6,795.00, one (1) power unit -\$6,850.00, two (2) extended RAMs -\$6,415.00.)

### **MOTORCYCLE SAFETY**

### POLICY AND LEGISLATION

Motorcycle Safety Fund

Status: A.R.S. §28-2010 (effective August 22, 2002). Fund to be administered by GOHS Director in consultation with Motorcycle Safety Advisory Council

• Law/ordinance requiring helmet for all riders (Universal Helmet Law)

<u>Status</u>: Arizona has a motorcycle helmet usage law for drivers under age 18

### ORGANIZATIONAL PRACTICES

- Enforcement of requirement for motorcycle license endorsement and proper helmet use <a href="Status">Status</a>: Statewide / Ongoing
- Community motorcycle safety education available for new riders Status: Statewide / Ongoing
- Enforcement of impaired driving laws for motorcyclists

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• Implementation of recommendations from 2007 State of Arizona Motorcycle Safety Program Assessment

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

#### COALITIONS AND NETWORKS

• Coalition of law enforcement, medical/healthcare, injury prevention, education, and safety personnel to promote proper helmet usage

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

Statewide Motorcycle Safety Advisory Council

<u>Status</u>: GOHS Director is administrator of Motorcycle Safety Fund in consultation with Motorcycle Safety Advisory Council. These funds are earmarked for public information and education activities statewide

### COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND EDUCATION

 Public information promoting licensing, motorcycle safety, dangers of impaired riding, and proper helmet usage

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

Arizona's FY2008 HSP

## **OCCUPANT PROTECTION**

### POLICY AND LEGISLATION

• Standard (primary) safety belt law/ordinance

Status: Secondary Enforcement Law (A.R.S. §28-909)

Revised in 2002 to cover all passengers under the age of 16 years in any seating position

Pursuing primary seat belt law in 2007 Legislative Session

• Upgraded child passenger safety laws

Status: Primary Enforcement Law (A.R.S. §28-907)

• Pick-up truck safety legislation (riding of passengers in the beds of pick-up trucks)

<u>Status</u>: Legislation has been introduced and supported in two legislative sessions, but, to date, has failed

### ORGANIZATIONAL PRACTICES

- Birthing hospital policy requiring child safety seat usage for discharged newborns Status: A.R.S. §28-907(H)
- One Phoenix Police Department officer is assigned fulltime to GOHS as Arizona's Occupant Protection Coordinator. This officer is bilingual, a certified CSS technician and instructor.

<u>Status</u>: On-going statewide. This individual is currently increasing the number of certified CSS technicians and instructors with a focus on rural communities. In addition, the Children Are Priceless Passengers Program is being expanded with a focus on rural communities. All of these programs are available in English and Spanish.

During June 2007, the first Occupant Protection Conference was held in Tucson. There were 135 participants. The agenda was developed to ensure that participants received sufficient credit to meet re-certification requirements. After reviewing the conference evaluations, it is apparent that this training is needed. GOHS has begun planning for another conference in 2008.

This statute states as follows:

"Before the release of any newly born child from a hospital, the hospital, in

conjunction with the attending physician, shall provide the parents of the child

with a copy of this section and information with regard to the availability of loaner or rental programs for child passenger restraint devices that may be available in the community where the child is born."

• Regular targeted occupant protection activities for low usage groups such as children (ages 0-4), teen drivers, etc. through enforcement, education and public awareness activities.

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• Occupant protection checkpoints and clinics on correct use of child safety seats

Status: Statewide / Ongoing Community low and no cost child safety seat program

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• GOHS provides funding to law enforcement agencies to conduct seat belt and child safety seat usage enforcement waves to increase their use throughout Arizona

Status: Statewide.

• GOHS provides funding for a diversion program for those receiving citations under A.R.S. §28-907

Status: Partnership with eleven (11) hospitals in four (4) counties, city and municipal courts, and law enforcement agencies issuing citations in their respective jurisdictions to provide child safety seats, if needed, along with instruction on correct installation. In FY2008, this program will continue to be expanded with a focus on rural Arizona.

### **COALITIONS AND NETWORKS**

 Coalitions such as SafeKids and Arizona Emergency Nurses promoting child safety seat issues

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• Partnerships with 11 hospitals statewide and more than 25 sports teams, businesses and civic organizations in child safety seat and booster seat training and seat distribution

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• Booster seats distributed to agencies with child safety seat certified technicians

Status: Statewide / Ongoing. Approximately 3,500 seats are distributed to low income families, CPS classes are conducted statewide and more than 400 technicians have been trained.

### **COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND EDUCATION**

• Public information for "National Child Passenger Safety Week" in February and "Buckle Up America Week" in May

<u>Status</u>: GOHS is an active participant in the NHTSA-developed national public information campaigns such as "Child Passenger Awareness Week" in February "Click It or Ticket" in May of each year.

• More than 100 public awareness and education events on law enforcement activities to increase and maintain high safety belt and child safety seat use levels

Status: Statewide / Ongoing to include both English and Spanish.

An Arizona Department of Public Safety who is assigned to GOHS full-time as Arizona's La Protectora Coordinator is working closely with the Hispanic community promoting proper use of seat belts and child restraints. She is currently a certified child safety seat technician.

Five members of the GOHS staff are certified child safety seat technicians and one member is a certified child safety seat instructor.

• Correct usage workshops and clinics for child safety seats

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

### **Occupant Protection**

## Task 1 Arizona Emergency Nurses Association – Out-of-State Travel and Public Information and Education

Federal funds will support Out-of-State Travel Expenses for the annual Lifesavers Conference and Material and Supplies for public information and educational materials statewide. **Total:** \$10,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

### Task 2: Arizona Trucking Association – Educational Program

Federal Funds will support Materials and Supplies regarding safe practices with trucks and seatbelts. **Total:** \$25,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

### Task 3 Chandler Fire Department – Child Restraint Program

Federal funds will support Personnel Services (overtime) and Employee Related Expenses for personnel to conduct child safety seat check programs - \$28,444.00, Professional and Outside Services - \$5,000.00, Travel Out of State - \$2,420.00, Materials and Supplies - \$848.90 and Capital Outlay (car seats and boosters - \$8,287.10). **Total** - \$45,000.00

Project Coordinator: TR

## Task 4 Chandler Police Department – Child Safety Week and Click It or Ticket Campaign

Federal funds will support Personnel Services, Employee Related Expenses utilized in conjunction with the designated Child Safety Week event in February 2008 and the Click It or Ticket two week events in May 2008. **Total** - \$20,000.00

Project Coordinator: AC

### Task 5 Drexel Heights Fire District

Federal funds will support Professional and Outside Services for rental of billboards - \$4,200.00 and Materials and Supplies - \$300.00 to educate drivers on occupant protection. **Total** \$4,500.00

### Task 6 Glendale Police Department – Click It or Ticket Campaign

Federal funds will support Personnel Services, Employee Related Expenses utilized in conjunction with Click It or Ticket event in May 2008. **Total** - \$12,000.00

Project Coordinator: AC

### Task 7 GOHS – Children Are Priceless Passengers (CAPP)

Federal funds will support providing payment for instructors providing educational and Public awareness presentations for the Children Are Priceless Passengers (CAPP) child safety seat diversion program. **Total** - \$75,000.00

Project Coordinator: AC

### Task 8 GOHS – Click It or Ticket (CIOT)

Federal funds will support 100% two (2) week Occupant Protection Enforcement Wave, including planning meetings, pre & post surveys. **Total** - \$100,000.00

Project Coordinator: AC

### Task 9 GOHS – Kids' Calendar

Federal funds will support at 100% Professional and Outside Services and Materials and Supplies for the development and distribution of the 2009 Kids' Calendar. - \$6,250.00

Project Coordinator: AY

### Task 10 GOHS – Media Campaign

Federal funds will support at 100% Professional and Outside Services and Materials and Supplies for the development and distribution of multi-media (print, television, radio, and billboard ads), multi-lingual (English, Spanish and Native American languages) occupant protection-related safety messages statewide. - \$100,000.00

Project Coordinator: MH

### Task 11 GOHS – Occupant Protection Public Information and Education

Federal funds will support Professional and Outside Services, Materials and Supplies, Other Expenses (rental equipment). **Total** - \$10,000.00

### Task 12 GOHS – GHSA Conference

Federal funds will support Federal Funds will support Professional and Outside Services, In State Travel and Out-of-State Travel to attend conferences and workshops, Materials And Supplies (GOHS will have exhibit booth and hand out materials), Other Expenses (rental equipment, etc.). **Total** - \$25,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

### Task 13 Town of Marana

Federal funds will support Personnel Services (overtime) for personnel to conduct child safety seat check programs. Total \$10,000.00

Project Coordinator: TR

### Task 14 Phoenix Police Department – Traffic Safety Education and Enforcement

Federal funds will support Personnel Services (\$20,000.00 Overtime), Out-of-State Travel for training purposes, Materials and Supplies (brochures, etc. for schools and events, small tools/equipment (cones, vests, cables, etc.)). **Total** - \$35,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

### Task 15 Phoenix Police Department – Arizona's Occupant Protection Coordinator

Federal funds will support Personnel Services including Overtime and Employee Related Expenses. To provide funding for Personnel Services including Overtime and Employee Related Expenses for one (1) Officer (Officer Arnie Cuellar) to be assigned fulltime to GOHS as Arizona's Occupant Protection Coordinator. **Total** – \$90,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

### Task 16 Pima Community College Public Safety

Federal funds will support Personnel Services (overtime) and Employee Related Expenses for personnel to conduct child safety seat check programs. Total \$2,000.00

Project Coordinator: TR

Task 17

Federal funds will support Materials and Supplies. Total \$240.00

**Pima County Health Department** 

Project Coordinator: TR

R

### Task 18 Pregnancy Care Center

Federal funds will support Travel In-State - \$354.00 and Travel Out-of-State - \$2,346.00. Total - \$2,700.00

Project Coordinator: TR

### Task 19 Rincon Valley Fire Department

Federal funds will support Personnel Services (overtime) and Employee Related Expenses for personnel to conduct child safety seat check programs. Total \$2,400.00

Project Coordinator: TR

### Task 20 Tucson Police Department

Federal funds will support Personnel Services (overtime) and Employee Related Expenses for personnel to conduct child safety seat check programs. Total \$75,000.00

Project Coordinator: TR

### Task 21 White Mountain Tribal Traffic Safety Coalition

Federal funds will support Materials and Supplies. Total \$5,000.00

### PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE SAFETY

#### POLICY AND LEGISLATION

• Bicycle helmet law/ordinance

<u>Status</u>: Arizona does not have bicycle helmet law legislation; however, several cities have enacted bicycle helmet usage ordinances.

### **ORGANIZATIONAL PRACTICES**

- Enforcement of pedestrian crosswalk, bicycle, and right-of-way laws/ordinances <a href="Status">Status</a>: Statewide / Ongoing
- Comprehensive school-based pedestrian and bicycle safety education programs Status: Statewide / Ongoing
- Bicycle helmet distribution programs

Status: Limited / Distribution through GOHS and various law enforcement agencies

- Use of pedestrian and bicycle highway design/operation standards <u>Status</u>: Statewide / Ongoing
- Participation in the "Walk Your Children to School" yearly campaign Status: Statewide / Ongoing
- Implementation of SRST (Safe Routes to School) and participation with Statewide Coordinator

Status: Statewide/Ongoing

• Implementation of "Safe Streets" designing streets compatible for motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians and participation with various State agencies.

Status: Statewide/Ongoing

- Participation in Maricopa Association Governments (MAG) and Pima Association of Governments (PAG) Transportation Safety Committee - Statewide Pedestrian Safety Plan Status: Statewide/Ongoing
- Participation in GTSAC (Governor's Traffic Safety Advisory Council) School-Based Initiatives for Safe Mobility

Status: Statewide/Ongoing

### COALITIONS AND NETWORKS

• Coalitions such as pedestrian/bicycle coalitions, EMS injury control coalitions, SafeKids, Arizona Emergency Nurses, AAA, and Injury Fee Coalition for Kids of Phoenix to promote pedestrian and bicycle safety

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

### **COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND EDUCATION**

• Public information for "Bicycle Safety Month" in May, "Back to School Pedestrian Safety Month" in September

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

Public information for school zone and crosswalk safety

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• Public information about older adults and impaired pedestrians

<u>Status</u>: Limited / GOHS is working with various agencies and organizations to develop public information materials.

### **Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety**

### Task 1 Arizona Department of Transportation – Bicycle Workshops

Federal funds will support In-of-State Travel expenses, Professional and Outside Services and Materials and Supplies in order to provide bicycle training statewide. **Total:** \$15,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

#### Task 2 GOHS – Kids' Calendar

Federal funds will support at 100% Professional and Outside Services and Materials and Supplies for the development and distribution of the 2009 Kids' Calendar. - **Total** \$6,250.00

Project Coordinator: AY

### Task 3 GOHS – Public Information and Educational Materials

Federal funds will support Materials and Supplies in order for the Arizona Governor's Office of Highway to provide information and educational information to the public regarding pedestrian and bicycle safety statewide. **Total:** \$10,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

### Task 4 GOHS – Bicycle Helmets

Federal funds will support Materials and Supplies (bicycle helmets) in order to provide safety messages regarding helmet use and distribution statewide. **Total:** 15,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

### Task 5 GOHS Program Support – Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety

Program support will include coordination of activities and projects outlined in the Highway Safety Plan, coordination of interagency program activities, development and facilitation of public information and education projects, and providing status reports and updates on project activity to the Governor's Highway Safety Representative / GOHS Director and the NHTSA Regional Headquarters. Additionally, program support will include monitoring project activity, preparing and maintaining project documentation, and evaluating task accomplishments. Funding will be provided for Personnel Services including Overtime, Employee Related Expenses, Professional and Outside Services, In-State/Out-Of-State Travel, Capital Outlay Equipment, Materials and Supplies, and Other Operating Expenses -\$60,000.

### Task 6 GOHS – Media Campaign

Federal funds will support at 100% Professional and Outside Services and Materials and Supplies for the development and distribution of multi-media (print, television, radio, and billboard ads), multi-lingual (English, Spanish and Native American languages) pedestrian and bicycle-related safety messages statewide. - **Total** \$100,000.00

Project Coordinator: MH

## Task 7 Phoenix Street Transportation Department – Halloween/Pedestrian Safety Packets

Federal funds will support Materials and Supplies (public information and educational materials) targeted towards elementary schools for students in grades 3-5 in relation to pedestrian safety). **Total** - \$9,000.000

### PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION

The Planning and Administration program area includes those activities and costs necessary for the overall management and operations of the Arizona GOHS.

The Director of the GOHS is responsible for Arizona's Highway Safety Program and serves as the Governor's Highway Safety Representative. As the Governor's representative, the GOHS Director participates in activities that impact the highway safety programs and policies nationwide.

### **GOHS STAFF ACTIVITIES INCLUDE:**

- Identifying the state's most significant traffic safety problems
- Prioritizing problems and developing methods for the distribution of funds
- Developing the annual Highway Safety Plan (HSP)
- Selecting individual projects to be funded
- Developing planned projects
- Monitoring projects
- Evaluating project accomplishments
- Preparing a variety of program and project reports
- Conducting project audits
- Directing the Highway Safety Legislative Program
- Increasing public awareness and community support
- Participating in various highway safety committees and task forces
- Generally promoting and coordinating traffic safety in Arizona

### GOALS AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

It is the goal of the Planning and Administration Program to provide the management, supervision, and support services for the activities necessary to operate the Highway Safety Program in the State of Arizona. The performance measures to support this goal include:

- Developing a coordinated Highway Safety Plan (HSP) by September 1 of each calendar year.
- Developing, coordinating, monitoring, and administratively evaluating traffic safety projects identified in the HSP
- Continuing to promote highway safety awareness through educational programs and public awareness campaigns
- Promoting traffic safety legislation in the Arizona Legislature
- Preparing the Annual Evaluation Report by December 31<sup>st</sup> of each calendar year
- Utilizing all available means for improving and promoting Arizona's Highway Safety Program

### **PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION**

### Task 1 GOHS – Planning and Administration

To coordinate and monitor activities and projects relating to the planning and administration of the Arizona Highway Safety Program including the Arizona Strategic Highway Safety Plan and support of the Governor's Transportation Safety Advisory Council.

## **POLICE TRAFFIC SERVICES**

#### POLICY AND LEGISLATION

• Vehicle impoundment law/ordinance allowing impoundment of vehicles driven by unlicensed or suspended drivers

Status: Yes. ARS §28-3511

• "Double Fine" program to reduce persistent speeding and aggressive driving violations

<u>Status</u>: Statewide – Action is taken on driver's license via a point system as follows:

A person who accumulates eight (8) points within twelve (12) months may either have his/her license suspended for not more than one (1) year or be required to attend a traffic education and training course. The following points are assessed for speeding and aggressive driving violations:

- 1. Violation of any provision of A.R.S.  $\S 28-701 = 2$  points
- 2. Reckless driving or racing on the highways = 8 points
- 3. Any other moving violation = 2 points
- "Double Fine" program for speed in excess of posted limit in construction zones when workers present

Status: A.R.S. §28-737

• Racing on Highways – Reclassified from class 2 to class 1 misdemeanor for first violation and from class 2 misdemeanor to class 6 felony for second or subsequent violation within 24 months

Status: A.R.S. §28-708

• Hit and Run – Penalties for violations revised to five (5) year revocation of driver's license for accident causing death or serious physical injury and three (3) year revocation if accident involved other, lesser injuries

Status: A.R.S. §28-661

### ORGANIZATIONAL PRACTICES

• Appropriately designed speed and traffic calming measures <u>Status</u>: Statewide / Ongoing • Speed management and aggressive driving enforcement programs Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• Red light running intersection safety programs

<u>Status</u>: Chandler, Paradise Valley, Phoenix, Scottsdale, Tempe, Mesa, Glendale, and Tucson have programs

• Commitment to using both traditional methods and state of the art equipment in setting and enforcing speed limits

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• Systematic program of speed surveys

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• Training of judges, prosecutors, and law enforcement personnel on consequences of speeding and aggressive driving

Status: GOHS provides training through annual conference

### COALITIONS AND NETWORKS

- Professional organizations:
  - ➤ NHTSA Western Region Staff
  - > Arizona Safety Management System Committee
  - > Arizona Transportation and Traffic Institute
  - > Arizona Society of Civil Engineers
  - > Arizona Traffic Investigators Association
  - ➤ Red Means Stop Coalition
  - ➤ Governor's Traffic Safety Advisory Council

### COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND EDUCATION

• Public information program on speed management and aggressive driving aimed at increasing driver compliance with traffic safety laws

Status: Statewide / Ongoing

• Public information and education program on speeding and driving too fast for conditions Status: Statewide / Ongoing

### **Police Traffic Services**

## Task 1 Apache County Sheriff's Department – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal funds will support Capital Outlay (two (2) radar units - \$10,000.00). **Total** \$10,000

Program Coordinator: TR

### Task 2 GOHS - Program Support

Program support will include coordination of activities and projects outlined in the Highway Safety Plan, coordination of interagency program activities, development and facilitation of public information and education projects, and providing status reports and updates on project activity to the Governor's Highway Safety Representative / GOHS Director and the NHTSA Regional Headquarters. Additionally, program support will include monitoring project activity, preparing and maintaining project documentation, and evaluating task accomplishments. Funding will be provided for Personnel Services including Overtime, Employee Related Expenses, Professional and Outside Services, In-State/Out-Of-State Travel, Capital Outlay Equipment, Materials and Supplies, and Other Operating Expenses - **Total** 50,000.

Program Coordinator: TR

## Task 3 Apache Junction Police Department – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal funds will support Capital Outlay (two (2) radar units - \$6,000.00). **Total** \$6,000

Program Coordinator: TR

### Task 4 Arizona Department of Public Safety – El Protector Program

Federal funds will support Personnel Services (including overtime) and Employee Related Expenses for one officer to be assigned fulltime to GOHS to coordinate the El Protector Program. - **Total** \$65,000.00

Project Coordinator: LL

### Task 5 Arizona Department of Public Safety – Operation Max Impact

Federal funds will support Personnel Services and ERE to conduct DUI Task Force Details.

**Total:** \$75,000.00

#### Task 6 **Arizona Trucking Association – Educational Program**

Federal Funds will support Materials and Supplies regarding safe practices with trucks and seatbelts. **Total:** \$25,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

#### Task 7 **Bullhead City Police Department – STEP**

Federal Funds will support Personnel Services and ERE, and Capital Outlay (radars, PBTs and tint meters) in order to conduct a Selective Traffic Enforcement Program. **Total**: \$34,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

#### Task 8 **Chino Valley Police Department – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program**

Federal funds will support Personnel Services (overtime) - \$10,080.00, Employee Related Expenses - \$2,882.00 and Capital Outlay (one (1) speed detection device - \$3,0380.00). Total \$16,000.00

Program Coordinator: TR

#### Task 9 Cochise County Sheriff's Office – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal funds will support Personnel Services (overtime) and Employee Related Expenses. **Total** \$27,600.00

Program Coordinator: TR

#### Task 10 Coconino County Sheriff's Office – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal funds will support Capital Outlay. **Total** - \$15,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

#### Florence Police Department – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program Task 11

Federal funds will support Capital Outlay (six (6) speed detection devices - \$9,000.00). **Total** \$9,000.00

Program Coordinator: TR

### Task 12 GOHS – Kids' Calendar

Federal funds will support at 100% Professional and Outside Services and Materials and Supplies for the development and distribution of the 2009 Kids' Calendar. - **Total** \$6,250.00

Project Coordinator: AY

### Task 13 GOHS – Program Manager

The Program Manager plans, directs and manages the activities and projects outlined in the Highway Safety Plan through the Project Coordinators, DRE Coordinator, Occupant Protection Coordinator SFST Coordinator, Traffic Records Analyst, and El Protector Coordinator; plans, directs and manages interagency program activities, development and facilitation of public information and education projects, and providing status reports and updates on project activity to the Governor's Highway Safety Representative / GOHS Director and the NHTSA Regional Headquarters. Additionally, program management will include monitoring project activity, reviewing, preparing and maintaining project documentation, as well as evaluating task accomplishments. Funding will be provided for Personnel Services including Overtime, Employee Related Expenses, Professional and Outside Services, In-State/Out-Of-State Travel, Capital Outlay Equipment, Materials and Supplies, and Other Operating Expenses. **Total** \$90,000.00

Program Coordinator: LL

### Task 14 GOHS – Media Campaign

Federal funds will support at 100% Professional and Outside Services and Materials and Supplies for the development and distribution of multi-media (print, television, radio, and billboard ads), multi-lingual (English, Spanish and Native American languages) speed-related safety messages statewide. - **Total** \$100,000.00

Project Coordinator: MH

### Task 15 GOHS– Spanish Immersion Support

Federal funds will support Professional and Outside Services, In-State/Out-Of-State Travel, Capital Outlay Equipment, Materials and Supplies Materials and Supplies for the ten-day Spanish immersion course and the five-day basic Spanish language class.- **Total** \$38,060.00

Project Coordinator: MM

### Task 16 GOHS - Program Support

Program support will include coordination of activities and projects outlined in the Highway Safety Plan, coordination of interagency program activities, development and facilitation of public information and education projects, and providing status reports and updates on project

activity to the Governor's Highway Safety Representative / GOHS Director and the NHTSA Regional Headquarters. Additionally, program support will include monitoring project activity, preparing and maintaining project documentation, and evaluating task accomplishments. Funding will be provided for Personnel Services including Overtime, Employee Related Expenses, Professional and Outside Services, In-State/Out-Of-State Travel, Capital Outlay Equipment, Materials and Supplies, and Other Operating Expenses - **Total** \$55,000.00

Project Coordinator: MM

#### Task 17 GOHS – GHSA Conference

Federal Funds will support Professional and Outside Services, In-State Travel and Out of-State Travel to attend conferences and workshops, Materials and Supplies (GOHS will have exhibit booth and hand out materials), Other Expenses (rental equipment, etc.). **Total** - \$25,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

### Task 18 GOHS – GOHS Project Coordinator

Federal funds will support at 100% Personnel Services, Employee Related Expenses, Overtime, Professional and Outside Services, In-State Travel and Out-of-State Travel Including Transportation, Lodging, Per Diem, Costs associated with attending conferences/workshops, Materials and Supplies, Equipment Rental, Other Operating Expenses, and Capital Outlay. **Total** – \$50,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

#### Task 19 Goodyear Police Department – Unmarked Aggressive Driver Vehicle

Federal funds will support Capital Outlay (One (1) unmarked enforcement vehicle with equipment). **Total** - \$40,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

### Task 20 Holbrook Police Department – DUI Equipment

Federal Funds will support Capital Outlay (four (4) PBTs and two (2) radars) for use in traffic enforcement. **Total:** \$4,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

### Task 21 La Paz County Sheriff's Office – Traffic Safety and Educational Plan

Federal funds will support Capital Outlay (Six (6) Radars, Twenty-Five (25) Stop Sticks, One Intoxilyzer 8000, One (1) Digital Eyewitness Media Manager. **Total** \$53,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

#### Task 22 Maricopa County Sheriff's Office – STEP

Federal Funds will support Capital Outlay (one (1) fully equipped police package vehicle) in order to conduct a Selective Traffic Enforcement Program. **Total:** \$40,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

## Task 23 Maricopa Police Department – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal funds will support Capital Outlay (one (1) speed trailer - \$15,000.00). Total \$15,000.00

Program Coordinator: TR

## Task 24 Northern Arizona University Department of Public Safety DUI Equipment

Federal Funds will support Capital Outlay (one (1) radar and battery) for traffic enforcement purposes. **Total:** \$1,700.00

Project Coordinator: LM

#### Task 25 Nogales Police Department – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal 402 funds will support Capital Outlay Equipment (One (1) fully equipped motorcycle that includes, at a minimum, emergency lights, siren, police radio, helmet with microphone, and speed detection device -\$25,000.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

## Task 26 Phoenix Street Transportation Department – Traffic Safety Challenge Program

Federal funds will support Materials and Supplies (game prizes and supplies targeted towards elementary schools aged children and parents in relation to traffic safety). **Total** \$4,500.00

Project Coordinator: PA

## Task 27 Pima Community College Public Safety – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal funds will support Capital outlay (two (2) speed detection devices - \$2,000.00). **Total** \$2,000.00

Program Coordinator: TR

## Task 28 Pima County Sheriff's Department – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal funds will support Personnel Services (overtime), Employee Related Expenses and Capital Outlay (one (1) Kustom Digital Eyewitness ION Eclipse Video System \$8,450.00, three (3) Golden Eagle Radar units \$4,500.00, and four (4) CMI Intox 300 Preliminary Breath Test Instruments \$2,000.00). **Total** \$65,000.00

Program Coordinator: TR

### Task 29 Prescott Police Department – DUI Equipment

Federal Funds will support Capital Outlay (two (2) lasers) for traffic enforcement purposes. **Total:** \$5,300.00

Project Coordinator: LM

#### Task 30 Prescott Valley Police Department – STEP

Federal Funds will support Personnel Services and ERE and Capital Outlay (one (1) fully equipped police package motorcycle) in order to conduct a Selective Traffic Enforcement Program. **Total:** \$30,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

#### Task 31 Safford Police Department – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal 402 funds will support Personnel Services - \$8,5000.00 and ERE (employee related expenses -\$2,000.00) and Capital Outlay Equipment (One (1) in-car video camera -\$4,500.00). **Total** \$15,000.00

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 32 San Luis Police Department – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal 402 funds will support Personnel Services - \$12,000.00 and ERE (employee related expenses -\$3,000.00) and Capital Outlay Equipment (Five (5) PBTs with test tubes -\$5,000.00).

Project Coordinator: SH

### Task 33 Sedona Police Department – Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

Federal funds will support Personnel Services (\$10,000.00 STEP OT), Capital Outlay (Four (4) In-Car Videos - \$20,000.00). **Total** - \$30,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

### Task 34 Wickenburg Police Department – Traffic and Speed Program

Federal funds will support Capital Outlay (One (1) Police Motorcycle with equipment, One (1) Smart VMS Model I Display Trailer. **Total** - \$50,000.00

Project Coordinator: PA

# **Program Overview**

### **SCHOOL BUS SAFETY**

#### POLICY AND LEGISLATION

• Administered through the Arizona Department of Public Safety (DPS) School Bus Advisory Council appointed by the Governor

#### **ORGANIZATIONAL PRACTICES**

- Certification includes:
  - Operational standards
  - ➤ Application/screening process
  - > Licensing

#### **COALITIONS AND NETWORKS**

- Public and Private Schools
- Day Care Facilities
- Religious Organizations
- Activist Groups
- Tour Buses
- AAA

#### COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND EDUCATION

- Public information & educational materials are made available statewide
- Arizona DPS Public Information and Education Program
- Phoenix Police Department Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Officer

### **School Bus Safety**

### Task 1 GOHS – School Bus Public Information and Educational Materials

Federal funds will support Materials and Supplies (public information and education materials) for distribution statewide. **Total:** \$10,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

## **Program Overview**

### TRAFFIC RECORDS

#### POLICY AND LEGISLATION

- Database for crash fatalities and injuries
  Status: Statutory / Arizona Department of Transportation
- Database for high hazard locations
   <u>Status</u>: Statewide / Ongoing
- Database for Emergency Medical Services (EMS)
   <u>Status</u>: Statutory / Arizona Department of Health Services

#### ORGANIZATIONAL PRACTICES

• Uniform accident reports

Status: Statutory / Arizona Department of Transportation

• Annual traffic crash report

Status: Statutory / Arizona Department of Transportation

#### **COALITIONS AND NETWORKS**

• Coordination of accident reporting

<u>Status</u>: The Traffic Records Coordinating Committee includes enforcement, engineering, EMS personnel, and data users

#### COMMUNITY INFORMATION AND EDUCATION

• Traffic Records Coordinating Committee Status: Statewide / Ongoing

#### **Traffic Records**

#### Task 1 **Arizona Department of Transportation – Out-of-State Travel**

Federal funds will support Out-of-State Travel Expenses in order for two employees to attend the AAMVA Conference. Total: \$4,000.00

Project Coordinator: LM

#### Task 2 **Arizona Department of Transportation – Out-of-State Travel**

Federal funds will support Out-of-State Travel expenses in order for three employees to attend the annual Lifesavers Conference. **Total:** \$4,500.00

Project Coordinator: LM

#### Task 3 **Glendale City Court – Electronic Citation Program**

Federal funds will support Professional and Outside Services to expand the City of Glendale's Electronic Citation Program. - Total \$22,000.00

Project Coordinator: JW

#### Task 4 **Glendale City Court – Electronic Citation Program**

Federal funds will support Capital Outlay (electronic handheld traffic citation devices) to be deployed to traffic officers throughout the City of Glendale. **Total** \$30,000.00

Project Coordinator: JW

#### Task 5 **GOHS - Project Coordinator**

This Project Coordinator provides assistance to Arizona law enforcement agencies, the public and private sector, and highway safety advocacy groups to effectively utilize local and federal resources for highway safety efforts with a focus on traffic records. Federal funds will support at 100% personnel services, employee related expenses, overtime, professional and outside services, travel in-state and travel out-of-state including transportation, lodging, per diem, costs associated with attending conferences/workshops, materials and supplies, equipment rental, other operating expenses, and capital outlay. **Total** \$18,000.00

Project Coordinator: JW

# **Arizona Sliding Scale Rates**

Pursuant to NHTSA Order 462-6C issued November 30, 1993, Matching Rates for State and Community Highway Safety Program, Section 120 (b) 1 of Title 23 United States Code, the State of Arizona has selected Table Number 1, Sliding Rates of Federal-Aid Participation in Public Land States – Paragraph (b) (1).

Accident Investigation								
Agency Name	Task	PA	Local	State	PC	Funding		
Glendale Police Department	1	ΑI	3,200.00	0.00	PA	3,200.00		
Glendale Police Department	2	AI	10,000.00	0.00	PA	10,000.00		
Phoenix Police Department	3	AI	30,000.00	0.00	PA	30,000.00		
Tohono O'Odham Nation	4	AI	0.00	59,000.00	TR	59,000.00		
Total	43,200.00	59,000.00		102,200.00				

Alcohol and Other Drugs								
Agency Name	Task	PA	Local	State	PC	Funding		
Arizona Emergency Nurses Association	1	AL	0.00	10,000.00	LM	10,000.00		
Arizona SADD	2	AL	0.00	82,000.00	PA	82,000.00		
Benson Police Department	3	AL	28,000.00	0.00	SH	28,000.00		
Chandler Police Department	4	AL	64,000.00	0.00	LM	64,000.00		
Eagar Police Department	5	AL	30,000.00	0.00	LM	30,000.00		
GOHS - Kids Calendar	6	AL	0.00	6,250.00	AY	6,250.00		
GOHS - Alma Yubeta	7	AL	0.00	50,000.00	LL	50,000.00		
GOHS - Michael Hegarty	8	AL	0.00	90,000.00	LL	90,000.00		
GOHS - Spanish Immersion Support	9	AL	0.00	37,500.00	MM	37,500.00		
GOHS - GHSA Conference	10	AL	0.00	25,000.00	PA	25,000.00		
GOHS - GOHS Summit	11	AL	0.00	100,000.00	PA	100,000.00		
Holbrook Police Department	12	AL	2,400.00	0.00	LM	2,400.00		
Mohave County Sheriff's Office	13	AL	60,000.00	0.00	LM	60,000.00		
Nogales Police Department	14	AL	21,000.00	0.00	SH	21,000.00		
Oro Valley Police Department	15	AL	25,000.00	0.00	TR	25,000.00		
Phoenix Police Department	16	AL	12,000.00	0.00	PA	12,000.00		
Total			242,400.00	400,750.00		643,150.00		

Emergency Medical Services								
Agency Name	Task	PA	Local	State	PC	Funding		
Camp Verde Fire District	1	EM	10,000.00	0.00	SH	10,000.00		
Coolidge, City of	2	EM	18,000.00	0.00	SH	18,000.00		
Flagstaff, City of	3	EM	4,400.00	0.00	SH	4,400.00		
Ft Thomas Fire District	4	EM	20,165.00	0.00	SH	20,165.00		
Gila River Emergency Medical Services	5	EM	27,000.00	0.00	SH	27,000.00		
Globe Fire Department	6	EM	5,000.00	0.00	SH	5,000.00		
GOHS - Sean Hammond	7	EM	0.00	50,000.00	SH	50,000.00		
GOHS - Red Badge	8	EM	0.00	50,000.00	TR	50,000.00		
Golden Shores Fire Department	9	EM	23,000.00	0.00	SH	23,000.00		
Groom Creek Fire District	10	EM	24,000.00	0.00	SH	24,000.00		
Hualapai Valley Fire Department	11	EM	15,000.00	0.00	SH	15,000.00		
Pleasant Valley Fire District	12	EM	12,050.00	0.00	SH	12,050.00		
Rio Rico Fire District	13	EM	25,000.00	0.00	SH	25,000.00		
Rio Verde Fire District	14	EM	25,000.00	0.00	SH	25,000.00		
Somerton/Cocopah Fire Department	15	EM	20,000.00	0.00	SH	20,000.00		
Sun City Fire District	16	EM	13,100.00	0.00	SH	13,100.00		
Tombstone Fire Department	17	EM	8,340.00	0.00	SH	8,340.00		
Tonopah Valley Fire District	18	EM	17,285.00	0.00	SH	17,285.00		
Verde Valley Fire District	19	EM	25,000.00	0.00	SH	25,000.00		
White Mountain Tribal Traffic Safety Coalition	20	EM	0.00	21,060.00	SH	21,060.00		
Winslow, City of	21	EM	25,000.00	0.00	SH	25,000.00		
Total			317,340.00	121,060.00		438,400.00		

Occupant Protection								
Agency Name	Task	PA	Local	State	PC	Funding		
Arizona Emergency Nurses Association	1	OP	0.00	10,000.00	LM	10,000.00		
Arizona Trucking Association	2	OP	0.00	25,000.00	LM	25,000.00		
Chandler Fire Department	3	OP	45,000.00	0.00	TR	45,000.00		
Chandler Police Department	4	OP	20,000.00	0.00	AC	20,000.00		
Drexel Heights Fire District	5	OP	4,500.00	0.00	TR	4,500.00		
Glendale Police Department	6	OP	12,000.00	0.00	AC	12,000.00		
GOHS - CAPP	7	OP	0.00	75,000.00	AC	75,000.00		
GOHS – CIOT	8	OP	0.00	100,000.00	AC	100,000.00		
GOHS - Kids Calendar	9	OP	0.00	6,250.00	AY	6,250.00		
GOHS – Media	10	OP	0.00	100,000.00	МН	100,000.00		
GOHS - PI&E	11	OP	0.00	10,000.00	PA	10,000.00		
GOHS - GHSA Conference	12	OP	0.00	25,000.00	PA	25,000.00		
Marana, Town of	13	OP	10,000.00	0.00	TR	10,000.00		
Phoenix Police Department	14	OP	35,000.00	0.00	PA	35,000.00		
Phoenix Police Department	15	OP	90,000.00	0.00	PA	90,000.00		
Pima Community College Public Safety	16	OP	2,000.00	0.00	TR	2,000.00		
Pima County Health Department	17	OP	240.00	0.00	TR	240.00		
Pregnancy Care Center	18	OP	0.00	2,700.00	TR	2,700.00		
Rincon Valley Fire District	19	OP	2,400.00	0.00	TR	2,400.00		
Tucson Police Department	20	OP	75,000.00	0.00	TR	75,000.00		
White Mountain Tribal Traffic Safety Coalition	21	OP	0.00	5,000.00	TR	5,000.00		
Total	296,140.00	358,950.00		655,090.00				

Pedestrian/Bicycle								
Agency Name	Task	PA	Local	State	PC	Funding		
Arizona Department of Transportation	1	PB	0.00	15,000.00	LM	15,000.00		
GOHS - Kids Calendar	2	PB	0.00	6,250.00	AY	6,250.00		
GOHS - PI&E	3	PB	0.00	10,000.00	LM	10,000.00		
GOHS - Bike Helmets	4	PB	0.00	15,000.00	LM	15,000.00		
GOHS - Linda Mendyka	5	PB	0.00	60,000.00	LM	60,000.00		
GOHS – Media	6	PB	0.00	100,000.00	МН	100,000.00		
Phoenix Street Transportation	7	PB	9,000.00	0.00	PA	9,000.00		
Total	9,000.00	206,250.00		215,250.00				

Planning and Administration							
Agency Name	Task	PA	Local	State	PC	Funding	
GOHS - Planning and Administration	1	PA		360,000.00	LL	360,000.00	

Police Traffic Services									
Agency Name	Task	PA	Local	State	PC	Funding			
Apache County Sheriff's Office	1	PT	10,000.00	0.00	TR	10,000.00			
GOHS - Terry Rutan	2	PT	0.00	50,000.00	TR	50,000.00			
Apache Junction Police Department	3	PT	6,000.00	0.00	TR	6,000.00			
Arizona Department of Public Safety	4	PT	0.00	65,000.00	LL	65,000.00			
Arizona Department of Public Safety	5	PT	0.00	75,000.00	LM	75,000.00			
Arizona Trucking Association	6	PT	0.00	25,000.00	LM	25,000.00			
Bullhead City Police Department	7	PT	34,000.00	0.00	LM	34,000.00			
Chino Valley Police Department	8	PT	16,000.00	0.00	TR	16,000.00			
Cochise County Sheriff's Office	9	PT	27,600.00	0.00	TR	27,600.00			
Coconino County Sheriff's Office	10	PT	15,000.00	0.00	PA	15,000.00			
Florence Police Department	11	PT	9,000.00	0.00	TR	9,000.00			
GOHS - Kids Calendar	12	PT	0.00	6,250.00	AY	6,250.00			
GOHS - La Retta Lehan	13	PT	0.00	90,000.00	LL	90,000.00			
GOHS – Media	14	PT	0.00	100,000.00	МН	100,000.00			
GOHS - Spanish Immersion Support	15	PT	0.00	38,060.00	MM	38,060.00			
GOHS - Marty Moreno	16	PT	0.00	55,000.00	MM	55,000.00			
GOHS - GHSA Conference	17	PT	0.00	25,000.00	PA	25,000.00			
GOHS - Patricia Arteaga	18	PT	0.00	50,000.00	PA	50,000.00			
Goodyear Police Department	19	PT	40,000.00	0.00	PA	40,000.00			
Holbrook Police Department	20	PT	4,000.00	0.00	LM	4,000.00			
La Paz County Sheriff's Office	21	PT	53,000.00	0.00	PA	53,000.00			
Maricopa County Sheriff's Office	22	PT	40,000.00	0.00	LM	40,000.00			
Maricopa Police Department	23	PT	15,000.00	0.00	TR	15,000.00			
NAU Department of Public Safety	24	PT	1,700.00	0.00	LM	1,700.00			
Nogales Police Department	25	PT	25,000.00	0.00	SH	25,000.00			
Phoenix Street Transportation	26	PT	4,500.00	0.00	PA	4,500.00			
Pima Community College Public Safety	27	PT	2,000.00	0.00	TR	2,000.00			
Pima County Sheriff's Office	28	PT	65,000.00	0.00	TR	65,000.00			
Prescott Police Department	29	PT	5,300.00	0.00	LM	5,300.00			
Prescott Valley Police Department	30	PT	30,000.00	0.00	LM	30,000.00			
Safford Police Department	31	PT	15,000.00	0.00	SH	15,000.00			
San Luis Police Department	32	PT	20,000.00	0.00	SH	20,000.00			
Sedona Police Department	33	PT	30,000.00	0.00	PA	30,000.00			
Wickenburg Police Department	34	PT	50,000.00	0.00	PA	50,000.00			
Total			518,100.00	579,310.00		1,097,410.00			

School Bus							
Agency Name	Task	PA	Local	State	PC	Funding	
GOHS - PI&E	1	SB	0.00	10,000.00	LM	10,000.00	

Traffic Records							
Agency Name	Task	PA	Local	State	PC	Funding	
Arizona Department of Transportation	1	TR	0.00	4,000.00	LM	4,000.00	
Arizona Department of Transportation	2	TR	0.00	4,500.00	LM	4,500.00	
Glendale City Court	3	TR	22,000.00	0.00	JW	22,000.00	
Glendale City Court	4	TR	30,000.00	0.00	JW	30,000.00	
GOHS - Jim Williams	5	TR	0.00	18,000.00	JW	18,000.00	
Total	52,000.00	26,500.00		78,500.00			