

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



General

1. Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivision: Brantley, Alabama
2. Type of Government Entity (city, state, etc.): Town
3. Population: 900
4. Type of automated enforcement system used:
Red light camera Speed Enforcement Camera Both
5. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow federal DOT "Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Speed Cameras) Don't Know
6. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA "Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Red-Light Cameras) Don't Know
7. Ownership of system (camera & equipment):
Speed Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: Redflex
Red Light Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: N/A

Transparency

1. Are placement locations of automated enforcement publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Is information regarding automated enforcement revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No (It is in the budget)
3. Is information regarding the disbursement of this revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No (Budgeted)
4. Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No (Upon Request)
5. Upon deployment at a specific location, is there a warning period before citations are issued?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

Accountability

1. Are citations reviewed and signed by a sworn law enforcement officer?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Is there a process in place for dispute resolution?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
3. Is the automated enforcement program equipment calibrated?
Speed Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? Don't Know
Red Light Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? (N/A)

Safety Attributes

1. Was traffic data (engineering & crash) utilized to determine placement of enforcement platforms?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Number of enforcement platforms: 2
2. Does the jurisdiction/political subdivision analyze traffic data to determine its automated enforcement's impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.)?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



Source of Information

Name: Phillip Moseley

Title: Administrator

Agency: Town of Brantley, Alabama

Date: February 9, 2018

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



General

1. Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivision: Montgomery, Alabama
2. Type of Government Entity (city, state, etc.): City
3. Population: 200,000
4. Type of automated enforcement system used:
Red light camera Speed Enforcement Camera Both
5. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow federal DOT "Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Speed Cameras) Don't Know
6. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA "Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Red-Light Cameras) Don't Know
7. Ownership of system (camera & equipment):
Speed Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased (N/A)
Contractor:
Red Light Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: American Traffic Solutions

Transparency

1. Are placement locations of automated enforcement publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No (Publicized on webpage)
2. Is information regarding automated enforcement revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No (It is in the budget)
3. Is information regarding the disbursement of this revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No (Budgeted)
4. Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
5. Upon deployment at a specific location, is there a warning period before citations are issued?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

Accountability

1. Are citations reviewed and signed by a sworn law enforcement officer?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Is there a process in place for dispute resolution?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
3. Is the automated enforcement program equipment calibrated?
Speed Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? (N/A)
Red Light Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? (At least once yearly, sometimes quarterly)

Safety Attributes

1. Was traffic data (engineering & crash) utilized to determine placement of enforcement platforms?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Number of enforcement platforms: (20 cameras)
2. Does the jurisdiction/political subdivision analyze traffic data to determine its automated enforcement's impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.)?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



Source of Information

Name: William Guilford

Title: Corporal

Agency: Montgomery Police Department

Date: February 16, 2018

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



General

1. Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivision: Phenix City, Alabama
2. Type of Government Entity (city, state, etc.): City
3. Population: 37,000
4. Type of automated enforcement system used:
Red light camera Speed Enforcement Camera Both
5. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow federal DOT "Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Speed Cameras) Don't Know
6. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA "Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Red-Light Cameras) Don't Know
7. Ownership of system (camera & equipment):
Speed Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased (N/A)
Contractor:
Red Light Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: Redflex

Transparency

1. Are placement locations of automated enforcement publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No (Publicized on webpage)
2. Is information regarding automated enforcement revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No (It is in the budget)
3. Is information regarding the disbursement of this revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No (Budgeted)
4. Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
5. Upon deployment at a specific location, is there a warning period before citations are issued?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

Accountability

1. Are citations reviewed and signed by a sworn law enforcement officer?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Is there a process in place for dispute resolution?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
3. Is the automated enforcement program equipment calibrated?
Speed Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? (N/A)
Red Light Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? (At least once yearly, sometimes quarterly)

Safety Attributes

1. Was traffic data (engineering & crash) utilized to determine placement of enforcement platforms?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Number of enforcement platforms: (5 camera's placed at 3 different intersections)
2. Does the jurisdiction/political subdivision analyze traffic data to determine its automated enforcement's impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.)?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



Source of Information

Name: Raymond J. Smith

Title: Chief of Police

Agency: Phenix City Police Department

Date: February 13, 2018

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



General

1. Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivision: Tuscaloosa, Alabama
2. Type of Government Entity (city, state, etc.): City
3. Population: 95000
4. Type of automated enforcement system used:
Red light camera Speed Enforcement Camera Both
5. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow federal DOT "Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Speed Cameras) Don't Know
6. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA "Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Red-Light Cameras) Don't Know
7. Ownership of system (camera & equipment):
Speed Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: (N/A)
Red Light Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: GATSO USA

Transparency

1. Are placement locations of automated enforcement publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Is information regarding automated enforcement revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
3. Is information regarding the disbursement of this revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
4. Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
5. Upon deployment at a specific location, is there a warning period before citations are issued?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

Accountability

1. Are citations reviewed and signed by a sworn law enforcement officer?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Is there a process in place for dispute resolution?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
3. Is the automated enforcement program equipment calibrated?
Speed Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? (N/A)
Red Light Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? At least twice a year if not more.

Safety Attributes

1. Was traffic data (engineering & crash) utilized to determine placement of enforcement platforms?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Number of enforcement platforms: 9
2. Does the jurisdiction/political subdivision analyze traffic data to determine its automated enforcement's impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.)?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



Source of Information

Name: SGT Brian N Oswalt

Title: Sergeant – Administration/Technology Division

Agency: Tuscaloosa Police Department

Date: 02/15/2018

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



General

1. Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivision: Selma, Alabama
2. Type of Government Entity (city, state, etc.): City
3. Population: 27,000
4. Type of automated enforcement system used:
Red light camera Speed Enforcement Camera Both
5. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow federal DOT "Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Speed Cameras) Don't Know
6. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA "Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Red-Light Cameras) Don't Know
7. Ownership of system (camera & equipment):
Speed Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: N/A
Red Light Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: American Traffic Solutions

Transparency

1. Are placement locations of automated enforcement publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Is information regarding automated enforcement revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
3. Is information regarding the disbursement of this revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
4. Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
5. Upon deployment at a specific location, is there a warning period before citations are issued?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

Accountability

1. Are citations reviewed and signed by a sworn law enforcement officer?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Is there a process in place for dispute resolution?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
3. Is the automated enforcement program equipment calibrated?
Speed Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? N/A
Red Light Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? Monthly

Safety Attributes

1. Was traffic data (engineering & crash) utilized to determine placement of enforcement platforms?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Number of enforcement platforms: 6
2. Does the jurisdiction/political subdivision analyze traffic data to determine its automated enforcement's impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.)?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



Source of Information

Name: Roy L. Nix

Title: Commander Traffic Division

Agency: City of Selma, Alabama Police Department

Date: February 16, 2018

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



General

1. Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivision: Center Point, Alabama
2. Type of Government Entity (city, state, etc.): City
3. Population: 16,496
4. Type of automated enforcement system used:
Stop sign Speed Enforcement Camera Both
5. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow federal DOT "Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Speed Cameras) Don't Know
6. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA "Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Red-Light Cameras) Don't Know
7. Ownership of system (camera & equipment):
Speed Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: Redflex
Stop sign: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: Redflex

Transparency

1. Are placement locations of automated enforcement publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Stop sign: Yes No
2. Is information regarding automated enforcement revenue publicly available? Upon Request
Speed Camera: Yes No Stop sign: Yes No
3. Is information regarding the disbursement of this revenue publicly available? Upon Request
Speed Camera: Yes No Stop sign: Yes No
4. Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Stop sign: Yes No
5. Upon deployment at a specific location, is there a warning period before citations are issued?
Speed Camera: Yes No Stop sign: Yes No

Accountability

1. Are citations reviewed and signed by a sworn law enforcement officer?
Speed Camera: Yes No Stop sign: Yes No
2. Is there a process in place for dispute resolution?
Speed Camera: Yes No Stop sign: Yes No
3. Is the automated enforcement program equipment calibrated?
Speed Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? Daily
Stop sign: Yes No If yes, how often? Monthly

Safety Attributes

1. Was traffic data (engineering & crash) utilized to determine placement of enforcement platforms?
Speed Camera: Yes No Stop sign: Yes No
2. Number of enforcement platforms: 5
2. Does the jurisdiction/political subdivision analyze traffic data to determine its automated enforcement's impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.)?
Speed Camera: Yes No Stop sign: Yes No

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



Source of Information

Name: Antonio Weatherly

Title: Public Safety, Director

Agency: City of Center Point, Alabama

Date: February 5, 2018

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



General

1. Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivision: Midfield, Alabama
2. Type of Government Entity (city, state, etc.): City
3. Population: 5,900
4. Type of automated enforcement system used:
Red light camera Speed Enforcement Camera Both
5. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow federal DOT "Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Speed Cameras) Don't Know
6. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA "Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Red-Light Cameras) Don't Know
7. Ownership of system (camera & equipment):
Speed Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: American Traffic Solutions
Red Light Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: American Traffic Solutions

Transparency

1. Are placement locations of automated enforcement publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Is information regarding automated enforcement revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
3. Is information regarding the disbursement of this revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
4. Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
5. Upon deployment at a specific location, is there a warning period before citations are issued?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

Accountability

1. Are citations reviewed and signed by a sworn law enforcement officer?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Is there a process in place for dispute resolution?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
3. Is the automated enforcement program equipment calibrated?
Speed Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? Daily
Red Light Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? Daily

Safety Attributes

1. Was traffic data (engineering & crash) utilized to determine placement of enforcement platforms?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Number of enforcement platforms: 5
2. Does the jurisdiction/political subdivision analyze traffic data to determine its automated enforcement's impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.)?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



Source of Information

Name: Frank Belcher

Title: Chief of Police

Agency: City of Midfield, Alabama Police Department

Date: February 12, 2018

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



General

1. Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivision: Opelika, Alabama
2. Type of Government Entity (city, state, etc.): City
3. Population: 29,500
4. Type of automated enforcement system used:
Red light camera Speed Enforcement Camera Both
5. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow federal DOT "Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Speed Cameras) Don't Know
6. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA "Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines" when implementing its automated enforcement system?
Yes No Not Applicable (No Automated Red-Light Cameras) Don't Know
7. Ownership of system (camera & equipment):
Speed Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: N/A
Red Light Camera: Jurisdiction-owned Contracted/leased
Contractor: American Traffic Solutions

Transparency

1. Are placement locations of automated enforcement publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Is information regarding automated enforcement revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
3. Is information regarding the disbursement of this revenue publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
4. Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
5. Upon deployment at a specific location, is there a warning period before citations are issued?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

Accountability

1. Are citations reviewed and signed by a sworn law enforcement officer?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Is there a process in place for dispute resolution?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
3. Is the automated enforcement program equipment calibrated?
Speed Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? N/A
Red Light Camera: Yes No If yes, how often? Not Sure

Safety Attributes

1. Was traffic data (engineering & crash) utilized to determine placement of enforcement platforms?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No
2. Number of enforcement platforms: 4
2. Does the jurisdiction/political subdivision analyze traffic data to determine its automated enforcement's impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.)?
Speed Camera: Yes No Red Light Camera: Yes No

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



Source of Information

Name: Guy Gunter III

Title: City Attorney

Agency: City of Opelika, Alabama

Date: January 5, 2018