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Background
The FAST Act Final Rule (23 CFR Part 1300.13 (c), 23 CFR Part 1300.13 requires that a state receiving 402 funds that allows the use of automated enforcement (AE) systems, conduct a survey of agencies using these systems, biennially, starting with the first report due March 1, 2018.

In compliance with the new FAST act regulation 23 CFR Part 1300.13 (c)(d), the Arizona Governor’s Office of Highway Safety (GOHS) sponsored a survey of results of its first Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems. In recommendation by NHTSA, GOHS used the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety’s (IIHS) list of “Communities using red/speed cameras”.

GOHS found the IIHS list to be outdated by several years, which included communities/municipalities that no longer use red and or speed automated enforcement traffic systems. Many of the communities listed on the IIHS report used automated traffic enforcement systems on State highways that intersected those communities. A few years ago, the Arizona State Legislature banned the use of automated traffic enforcement system on state roads, including state highways. Due to communities using the automated enforcement traffic systems on these State highways, they no longer could operate them and subsequently, the systems were taken out.

GOHS identified only six municipalities, all located in the phoenix metro area, that still operate automated traffic enforcement systems on city streets. The surveys appear in Appendix A.

The following cities/towns were sent the survey attached:

- City of Chandler
- City of El Mirage
- City of Eloy
- Town of Gilbert
- City of Glendale
- City of Globe
- City of Mesa
- City of Phoenix
- Town of Pima
- City of Scottsdale
- City of Show Low
- City of Sierra Vista
- City of Superior
- City of Tempe
Appendix A.

Automated Enforcement Survey Responses
## General

1. Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivision: Chandler, Arizona (Chandler Police Department)
2. Type of Government Entity (city, state, etc.): City
3. Population: 253,458
4. Type of automated enforcement system used:

   - Red Light Camera [ ]
   - Speed Enforcement Camera [ ]
   - Both [✓]

5. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow federal DOT “Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational Guidelines” when implementing its automated enforcement system?
   - Yes [✓]
   - No [ ]
   - Not Applicable (No Automated Speed Cameras) [ ]
   - Don’t Know [ ]

6. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA “Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines” when implementing its automated enforcement system?
   - Yes [✓]
   - No [ ]
   - Not Applicable (No Automated Red Light Cameras) [ ]
   - Don’t Know [ ]

7. Ownership of system (camera & equipment):

   - **Speed Camera:**
     - Jurisdiction-owned [ ]
     - Contracted/Leased [✓]

   - **Red Light Camera:**
     - Jurisdiction-owned [ ]
     - Contracted/Leased [✓]

## Transparency

1. Are placement locations of automated enforcement publicly available?
   - **Speed Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ]
   - **Red Light Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ]

2. Is information regarding automated enforcement revenue publicly available?
   - **Speed Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ]
   - **Red Light Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ]

3. Is information regarding the disbursement of this revenue publicly available?
   - **Speed Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ]
   - **Red Light Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ]

4. Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available?
   - **Speed Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ]
   - **Red Light Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ]

5. Upon deployment at a specific location, is there a warning period before citations are issued?
   - **Speed Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ]
   - **Red Light Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ]
(Survey Continued)

Accountability

1. Are citations reviewed and signed by a sworn law enforcement officer?
   
   Speed Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]

2. Is there a process in place for dispute resolution?
   
   Speed Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]

3. Is the automated enforcement program audited?
   
   Speed Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ] If yes, how often? Yearly
   Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ] If yes, how often? Yearly

Safety Attributes

1. Is traffic data (engineering & crash) utilized to determine placement of enforcement platforms?
   
   Speed Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]

2. Does the jurisdiction/political subdivision analyze traffic data to determine its automated enforcement’s impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.)?
   
   Speed Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]

Data recorded by:

Sergeant Keith Aguiar
Name
keith.aguiar@chandleraz.gov
Email
(480) 782-4856
Phone

01/23/2020
Date
General

1. Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivision: City of Mesa (Mesa Police Department)
2. Type of Government Entity (city, state, etc.): City
3. Population: 511,334
4. Type of automated enforcement system used:
   - Red Light Camera [ ] Speed Enforcement Camera [ ] Both [✓]

5. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow federal DOT “Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational Guidelines” when implementing its automated enforcement system?
   - Yes [✓] No [ ] Not Applicable (No Automated Speed Cameras) [ ] Don’t Know [ ]

6. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA “Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines” when implementing its automated enforcement system?
   - Yes [✓] No [ ] Not Applicable (No Automated Red Light Cameras) [ ] Don’t Know [ ]

7. Ownership of system (camera & equipment):
   - Speed Camera: Jurisdiction-owned [✓] Contracted/Leased [✓]
   - Red Light Camera: Jurisdiction-owned [✓] Contracted/Leased [✓]

Transparency

1. Are placement locations of automated enforcement publicly available?
   - Speed Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]

2. Is information regarding automated enforcement revenue publicly available?
   - Speed Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]

3. Is information regarding the disbursement of this revenue publicly available?
   - Speed Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]

4. Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available?
   - Speed Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]

5. Upon deployment at a specific location, is there a warning period before citations are issued?
   - Speed Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]
Accountability

1. Are citations reviewed and signed by a sworn law enforcement officer?
   Speed Camera: Yes □ No □ Red Light Camera: Yes □ No □

2. Is there a process in place for dispute resolution?
   Speed Camera: Yes □ No □ Red Light Camera: Yes □ No □

3. Is the automated enforcement program audited?
   Speed Camera: Yes □ No □ If yes, how often? Monthly, Quarterly, Current Program Audit underway
   Red Light Camera: Yes □ No □ If yes, how often? Monthly, Quarterly, Current Program Audit underway

Safety Attributes

1. Is traffic data (engineering & crash) utilized to determine placement of enforcement platforms?
   Speed Camera: Yes □ No □ Red Light Camera: Yes □ No □

2. Does the jurisdiction/political subdivision analyze traffic data to determine its automated enforcement’s impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.)?
   Speed Camera: Yes □ No □ Red Light Camera: Yes □ No □

Data recorded by: Stephanie Derivan, Traffic Lieutenant
Name
stephanie.derivan@mesaaz.gov
Email
480-644-4022
Phone

02/24/2020
Date
FIXING AMERICA’S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST ACT)
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems
23 CFR Part 1300.13 (c)(d)

General

1. Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivision: City of Phoenix, Arizona (Phoenix PD)
2. Type of Government Entity (city, state, etc.): City
3. Population: 1,600,000
4. Type of automated enforcement system used:
   - Red Light Camera
   - Speed Enforcement Camera
   - Both ✔

5. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow federal DOT “Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational Guidelines” when implementing its automated enforcement system?
   - Yes ☐
   - No ☐
   - Not Applicable (No Automated Speed Cameras) ☐
   - Don’t Know ✔

6. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA “Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines” when implementing its automated enforcement system?
   - Yes ☐
   - No ☐
   - Not Applicable (No Automated Red Light Cameras) ☐
   - Don’t Know ✔

7. Ownership of system (camera & equipment):
   - Speed Camera:
     - Jurisdiction-owned ☐
     - Contracted/Leased ✔
   - Red Light Camera:
     - Jurisdiction-owned ☐
     - Contracted/Leased ✔

Transparency

1. Are placement locations of automated enforcement publicly available?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ✔ No ☐
   - Red Light Camera: Yes ✔ No ☐

2. Is information regarding automated enforcement revenue publicly available?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ✔ No ☐
   - Red Light Camera: Yes ✔ No ☐

3. Is information regarding the disbursement of this revenue publicly available?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ✔ No ☐
   - Red Light Camera: Yes ✔ No ☐

4. Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ✔ No ☐
   - Red Light Camera: Yes ✔ No ☐

5. Upon deployment at a specific location, is there a warning period before citations are issued?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ☐ No ✔
   - Red Light Camera: Yes ✔ No ☐
Accountability

1. Are citations reviewed and signed by a sworn law enforcement officer?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐
   - Red Light Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐

2. Is there a process in place for dispute resolution?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐
   - Red Light Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐

3. Is the automated enforcement program audited?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐ If yes, how often? At determination of City Management
   - Red Light Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐ If yes, how often? At determination of City Management

Safety Attributes

1. Is traffic data (engineering & crash) utilized to determine placement of enforcement platforms?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐
   - Red Light Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐

2. Does the jurisdiction/political subdivision analyze traffic data to determine its automated enforcement’s impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.)?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐
   - Red Light Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐

Data recorded by: Lieutenant Seth Jahnke
Name
Seth.Jahnke@Phoenix.gov
Email
(602) 495-6701
Phone
01/24/2020
Date
**General**

1. Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivision: City of Scottsdale Police Department (Scottsdale PD)
2. Type of Government Entity (city, state, etc.): City
3. Population: 255,000
4. Type of automated enforcement system used:
   - Red Light Camera [ ]
   - Speed Enforcement Camera [ ]
   - Both [✓]

5. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow federal DOT “Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational Guidelines” when implementing its automated enforcement system?
   - Yes [ ]
   - No [ ]
   - Not Applicable (No Automated Speed Cameras) [ ]
   - Don’t Know [✓]

6. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA “Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines” when implementing its automated enforcement system?
   - Yes [ ]
   - No [ ]
   - Not Applicable (No Automated Red Light Cameras) [ ]
   - Don’t Know [✓]

7. Ownership of system (camera & equipment):
   - **Speed Camera:**
     - Jurisdiction-owned [ ]
     - Contracted/Leased [✓]
   - **Red Light Camera:**
     - Jurisdiction-owned [ ]
     - Contracted/Leased [✓]

**Transparency**

1. Are placement locations of automated enforcement publicly available?
   - **Speed Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]

2. Is information regarding automated enforcement revenue publicly available?
   - **Speed Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]

3. Is information regarding the disbursement of this revenue publicly available?
   - **Speed Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]

4. Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available?
   - **Speed Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]

5. Upon deployment at a specific location, is there a warning period before citations are issued?
   - **Speed Camera:** Yes [✓] No [ ] Red Light Camera: Yes [✓] No [ ]
Accountability

1. Are citations reviewed and signed by a sworn law enforcement officer?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐ Red Light Camera: Yes ☑ No ☑

2. Is there a process in place for dispute resolution?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐ Red Light Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐

3. Is the automated enforcement program audited?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐ If yes, how often? Monthly by Contract Administrator, periodically by City Auditor.
   - Red Light Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐ If yes, how often? Monthly by Contract Administrator, periodically by City Auditor.

Safety Attributes

1. Is traffic data (engineering & crash) utilized to determine placement of enforcement platforms?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐ Red Light Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐

2. Does the jurisdiction/political subdivision analyze traffic data to determine its automated enforcement’s impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.)?
   - Speed Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐ Red Light Camera: Yes ☑ No ☐

Data recorded by: Alex Ristuccia B1379
Name
aristuccia@scottsdaleaz.gov
Email
(480) 312-5643
Phone

01/28/2020
Date
Hi Renee –

The City of El Mirage no longer has traffic cameras in place.

Aimee Currey – Police Records Supervisor/Background Investigator
El Mirage Police Department
12401 W. Cinnabar Avenue, El Mirage, AZ 85335
P: 623-500-3006 | F: 623-500-3001 | E: acurrey@elmirageaz.gov

Due to the City of El Mirage having an automated traffic enforcement system, GOHS is required to have those Cities/Agencies fill out an automated traffic enforcement survey to comply with Federal Regulations. Below is a brief on the Federal FAST Act and the requirement that GOHS must adhere to. Also attached is both guideline books that are cited in the brief.

The FAST Act Final Rule (23 CFR Part 1300.13 (c), 23 CFR Part 1300.13 (d), see attached excerpt) requires that a state receiving 402 funds that allows the use of automated enforcement systems, conduct a survey of agencies using these systems, biennially, starting with the first report due March 1, 2018.

The survey must include a list of automated traffic enforcement systems in the State; adequate data to measure the transparency,
accountability, and safety attributes of each automated traffic enforcement system; and a comparison of each automated traffic enforcement system with Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational guidelines (DOT HS 810 916, March 2008); and Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines (FHWA-SA-06-002, January 2005). These two guidelines are attached.

Please complete or forward to anyone you think will best be able to complete the survey. We ask that you return the completed survey to us no later than Friday, February 7th. If you have any questions or concerns, please don't hesitate to call or email.

Renee Bracamonte
Grant Program Manager
Governor's Office of Highway Safety
1700 West Washington Street
Executive Tower, Suite 430
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
**Direct: 602-255-3204**

Fax: 602-255-1265

The City of El Mirage's official business hours are Monday through Thursday, 7:00 AM - 5:30 PM.
Good Afternoon Renee,

We no longer has Speed enforcement Cameras within the City, and haven’t this past year (2019).

Thank you, Have a good day.

Jeremy Sammons | Corporal
Patrol Division

ELOY POLICE DEPARTMENT
630 N. Main St.
Eloy, AZ 85131

Office: 520-466-7324
Fax: 520-466-3159

JSammons@EloyAZ.gov
www.eloyaz.gov
Due to the City of Eloy having an automated traffic enforcement system, GOHS is required to have those Cities/Agencies fill out an automated traffic enforcement survey to comply with Federal Regulations. Below is a brief on the Federal FAST Act and the requirement that GOHS must adhere to. Also attached is both guideline books that are cited in the brief.

The FAST Act Final Rule (23 CFR Part 1300.13 (c), 23 CFR Part 1300.13 (d), see attached excerpt) requires that a state receiving 402 funds that allows the use of automated enforcement systems, conduct a survey of agencies using these systems, biennially, starting with the first report due March 1, 2018.

The survey must include a list of automated traffic enforcement systems in the State; adequate data to measure the transparency, accountability, and safety attributes of each automated traffic enforcement system; and a comparison of each automated traffic enforcement system with Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational guidelines (DOT HS 810 916, March 2008); and Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines (FHWA-SA-06-002, January 2005). These two guidelines are attached.

Please complete or forward to anyone you think will best be able to complete the survey. We ask that you return the completed survey to us no later than Friday, February 7th. If you have any questions or concerns, please don’t hesitate to call or email.

Renee Bracamonte
Grant Program Manager
Governor’s Office of Highway Safety
1700 West Washington Street
Executive Tower, Suite 430
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Direct: 602-255-3204
Fax: 602-255-1265
Good morning,

The Town of Gilbert has never, and currently does not have or intend to have, any type of photo enforcement systems in place.

Thank you,

Brian

Lieutenant Brian Blunt
Gilbert Police Department
Traffic Enforcement Section
480-635-7278 (desk)

Hello,

Was this ever forwarded to you?

Renee Bracamonte
Grant Program Manager
Governor's Office of Highway Safety
1700 West Washington Street
Due to the Town of Gilbert having an automated traffic enforcement system, GOHS is required to have those Cities/Agencies fill out an automated traffic enforcement survey to comply with Federal Regulations. Below is a brief on the Federal FAST Act and the requirement that GOHS must adhere to. Also attached is both guideline books that are cited in the brief.

The FAST Act Final Rule (23 CFR Part 1300.13 (c), 23 CFR Part 1300.13 (d), see attached excerpt) requires that a state receiving 402 funds that allows the use of automated enforcement systems, conduct a survey of agencies using these systems, biennially, starting with the first report due March 1, 2018.

The survey must include a list of automated traffic enforcement systems in the State; adequate data to measure the transparency, accountability, and safety attributes of each automated traffic enforcement system; and a comparison of each automated traffic enforcement system with Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational guidelines (DOT HS 810 916, March 2008); and Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines (FHWA-SA-06-002, January 2005). These two guidelines are attached.

Please complete or forward to anyone you think will best be able to complete the survey. We ask that you return the completed survey to us no later than Friday, February 7th. If you have any questions or concerns, please don’t hesitate to call or email.

Renee Bracamonte
Grant Program Manager
Governor's Office of Highway Safety
1700 West Washington Street
Executive Tower, Suite 430
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Direct: 602-255-3204
Fax: 602-255-1265
Renee, 

Glendale does not have an automated traffic enforcement system. Can you tell me what system is noted that we have? How would you like me to proceed?

From: Renee Bracamonte <rbracamonte@azgohs.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2020 1:10 PM
To: McCauslin, Marc <MMcCauslin@GLENDALEAZ.com>
Subject: GOHS - Automated Traffic Enforcement Survey

Due to the City of Glendale having an automated traffic enforcement system, GOHS is required to have those Cities/Agencies fill out an automated traffic enforcement survey to comply with Federal Regulations. Below is a brief on the Federal FAST Act and the requirement that GOHS must adhere to. Also attached is both guideline books that are cited in the brief.

The FAST Act Final Rule (23 CFR Part 1300.13 (c), 23 CFR Part 1300.13 (d), see attached excerpt) requires that a state receiving 402 funds that allows the use of automated enforcement systems, conduct a survey of agencies using these systems, biennially, starting with the first report due March 1, 2018.

The survey must include a list of automated traffic enforcement systems in the State; adequate data to measure the transparency, accountability, and safety attributes of each automated traffic enforcement system; and a comparison of each automated traffic enforcement system with Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational guidelines (DOT HS 810 916, March 2008); and Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines (FHWA-SA-06-002, January 2005). These two guidelines are attached.

Please complete or forward to anyone you think will best be able to complete the survey. We ask that you return the completed survey to us no later than Friday, February 7th. If you have any questions or concerns, please don’t hesitate to call or email.

Renee Bracamonte
Grant Program Manager
Governor's Office of Highway Safety
1700 West Washington Street
Executive Tower, Suite 430
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Direct: 602-255-3204
Fax: 602-255-1265
Charles Haines

City of Globe Police Department
Administrative Staff Sergeant
Office phone: (928) 425-5751
Cell phone: (928) 200-1565

Good afternoon,

I apologize. I left a voicemail for you a couple of days after you sent this to me as I had some questions. The City of Globe has not been utilizing the automated traffic enforcement measures for several years now. My question to you, was if this was something we would still be required to respond to?

Hello,

I never received a response back for this?
So Sorry. Please use the revised form attached.
Due to the Town of Globe having an automated traffic enforcement system, GOHS is required to have those Cities/Agencies fill out an automated traffic enforcement survey to comply with Federal Regulations. Below is a brief on the Federal FAST Act and the requirement that GOHS must adhere to. Also attached is both guideline books that are cited in the brief.

The FAST Act Final Rule (23 CFR Part 1300.13 (c), 23 CFR Part 1300.13 (d), see attached excerpt) requires that a state receiving 402 funds that allows the use of automated enforcement systems, conduct a survey of agencies using these systems, biennially, starting with the first report due March 1, 2018.

The survey must include a list of automated traffic enforcement systems in the State; adequate data to measure the transparency, accountability, and safety attributes of each automated traffic enforcement system; and a comparison of each automated traffic enforcement system with Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational guidelines (DOT HS 810 916, March 2008); and Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines (FHWA-SA-06-002, January 2005). These two guidelines are attached.

Please complete or forward to anyone you think will best be able to complete the survey. We ask that you return the completed survey to us no later than Friday, February 7th. If you have any questions or concerns, please don’t hesitate to call or email.

Renee Bracamonte
Grant Program Manager
Governor’s Office of Highway Safety
1700 West Washington Street
Executive Tower, Suite 430
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Direct: 602-255-3204
Fax: 602-255-1265

All messages created in this system belong to the City of Globe and may be considered a public record subject to disclosure under the Arizona Public Records Law (A.R.S. 39-121). City employees, City public officials, and those who generate email to them, should be aware that these e-mails may be subject to public disclosure to the media and or individuals making a public records request.

In addition, to ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, Council or Board/Commission members who are recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the Council or Board/Commission of the City of Globe. Council Members or Board/Commission Members may reply to a staff member regarding this message, but they should not send a copy of a reply to other Council or Board Members.
RE: GOHS - Automated Traffic Enforcement Survey

1 message

Brett F. Bernstein <Brett.Bernstein@sheriff.pima.gov>  
To: Renee Bracamonte <rbracamonte@azgohs.gov>  
Wed, Jan 22, 2020 at 2:02 PM

Renee,

Pima County had used Automated Traffic Enforcement in the past, but no longer. If my memory serves me right, the citizens of Pima County voted to get rid of it or something like that.

Do you need anything from me because of the change, it’s been several years.

Brett

From: Renee Bracamonte <rbracamonte@azgohs.gov>  
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2020 1:12 PM  
To: Brett F. Bernstein <Brett.Bernstein@sheriff.pima.gov>  
Subject: GOHS - Automated Traffic Enforcement Survey

Due to the County of Pima having an automated traffic enforcement system, GOHS is required to have those Cities/Agencies fill out an automated traffic enforcement survey to comply with Federal Regulations. Below is a brief on the Federal FAST Act and the requirement that GOHS must adhere to. Also attached is both guideline books that are cited in the brief.

The FAST Act Final Rule (23 CFR Part 1300.13 (c), 23 CFR Part 1300.13 (d), see attached excerpt) requires that a state receiving 402 funds that allows the use of automated enforcement systems, conduct a survey of agencies using these systems, biennially, starting with the first report due March 1, 2018.

The survey must include a list of automated traffic enforcement systems in the State; adequate data to measure the transparency, accountability, and safety attributes of each automated traffic enforcement system; and a comparison of each automated traffic enforcement system with Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational guidelines (DOT HS 810 916, March 2008); and Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines (FHWA-SA-06-002, January 2005). These two guidelines are attached.

Please complete or forward to anyone you think will best be able to complete the survey. We ask that you return the completed survey to us no later than Friday, February 7th. If you have any questions or concerns, please don’t hesitate to call or email.

Renee Bracamonte
Good Morning Renee,

Show Low does NOT have an automated traffic enforcement system. We had speed and red light cameras at one time, but those went away about four or five years ago.

Please let me know if you need anything else regarding this.

Thanks,

Brad Provost
Commander
Show Low Police Department
411 E. Deuce of Clubs
Show Low, AZ 85901
(928) 537-5091 ext 227
bprovost@showlowaz.gov

Disclaimer: All messages created in this system are the property of the City of Show Low, Arizona, and should be considered a public record subject to disclosure under the Arizona public records law (A.R.S. §39-121).

To ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, the Council and/or Board/Commission members who are recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the Council or Board/Commission of the City of Show Low. Council members or Board/Commission members may reply to this message, but they should not “reply all” or otherwise send a copy of the reply to other Council or Board/Commission members.
Due to the City of Show Low having an automated traffic enforcement system, GOHS is required to have those Cities/Agencies fill out an automated traffic enforcement survey to comply with Federal Regulations. Below is a brief on the Federal FAST Act and the requirement that GOHS must adhere to. Also attached is both guideline books that are cited in the brief.

The FAST Act Final Rule (23 CFR Part 1300.13 (c), 23 CFR Part 1300.13(d), see attached excerpt) requires that a state receiving 402 funds that allows the use of automated enforcement systems, conduct a survey of agencies using these systems, biennially, starting with the first report due March 1, 2018.

The survey must include a list of automated traffic enforcement systems in the State; adequate data to measure the transparency, accountability, and safety attributes of each automated traffic enforcement system; and a comparison of each automated traffic enforcement system with Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational guidelines (DOT HS 810 916, March 2008); and Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines (FHWA-SA-06-002, January 2005). These two guidelines are attached.

Please complete or forward to anyone you think will best be able to complete the survey. We ask that you return the completed survey to us no later than Friday, February 7th. If you have any questions or concerns, please don’t hesitate to call or email.

Renee Bracamonte
Grant Program Manager
Governor’s Office of Highway Safety
1700 West Washington Street
Executive Tower, Suite 430
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Direct: 602-255-3204
Fax: 602-255-1265
I miss stated, it was actually late 2014 that they were banned.

Hello Renee, we had an initiative that that voters voted on in 2015 banning red light cameras and automated speed measurement devices. We have not had them since the vote in late 2015.

Lawrence L. Boutte
Sierra Vista Police Department
Police Division Commander
520-452-7500

Due to the City of Sierra Vista having an automated traffic enforcement system, GOHS is required to have those Cities/Agencies fill out an automated traffic enforcement survey to comply with Federal Regulations. Below is a brief on the Federal FAST Act and the requirement that GOHS must adhere to. Also attached is both guideline books that are cited in the brief.

The FAST Act Final Rule (23 CFR Part 1300.13 (c), 23 CFR Part 1300.13 (d), see attached excerpt) requires that a state receiving 402 funds that allows the use of automated enforcement systems, conduct a survey of agencies using these systems, biennially, starting with the first report due March 1, 2018.

The survey must include a list of automated traffic enforcement systems in the State; adequate data to measure the transparency, accountability, and safety attributes of each automated traffic enforcement system; and a comparison of each
automated traffic enforcement system with Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational guidelines (DOT HS 810 916, March 2008); and Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines (FHWA-SA-06-002, January 2005). These two guidelines are attached.

Please complete or forward to anyone you think will best be able to complete the survey. We ask that you return the completed survey to us no later than Friday, February 7th. If you have any questions or concerns, please don’t hesitate to call or email.

**Renee Bracamonte**  
**Grant Program Manager**  
Governor’s Office of Highway Safety  
1700 West Washington Street  
Executive Tower, Suite 430  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007  
**Direct: 602-255-3204**  
Fax: 602-255-1265
Fwd: GOHS - Automated Traffic Enforcement Survey
1 message

Frank Alanis <frank.alanis@pinal.gov>
To: rbracamonte@azgohs.gov

Frank Alanis
Chief of Police
Superior Police Department

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Frank Alanis <frank.alanis@pinal.gov>
Date: Wed, Jan 22, 2020 at 3:52 PM
Subject: Re: GOHS - Automated Traffic Enforcement Survey
To: Mark Shackelford <mark.shackelford@pinal.gov>

Renee,

We don't have a speed enforcement or red light camera in Superior. In fact, we don't even have an intersection with a light. I spoke with my Admin Assistant who was born and raised in Superior and she said in 2008-2009 Superior had a photo radar. I took over the agency 6 months ago. The prior administration didn't leave much information behind. Did Superior have a grant for this equipment through GOHS? If so, is it still active?

Frank Alanis
Chief of Police
Superior Police Department

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Renee Bracamonte <rbracamonte@azgohs.gov>
Date: Wed, Jan 22, 2020 at 12:50 PM
Subject: GOHS - Automated Traffic Enforcement Survey
To: <mark.shackelford@pinalcountyaz.gov>

Due to the Town of Superior having an automated traffic enforcement system, GOHS is required to have those Cities/Agencies fill out an automated traffic enforcement survey to comply with Federal Regulations. Below is a brief on the Federal FAST Act and the requirement that GOHS must adhere to. Also attached is both guideline books that are cited in the brief.

The FAST Act Final Rule (23 CFR Part 1300.13 (c), 23 CFR Part 1300.13 (d), see attached excerpt) requires that a state receiving 402 funds that allows the use of automated enforcement systems, conduct a
survey of agencies using these systems, biennially, starting with the first report due March 1, 2018.

The survey must include a list of automated traffic enforcement systems in the State; adequate data to measure the transparency, accountability, and safety attributes of each automated traffic enforcement system; and a comparison of each automated traffic enforcement system with Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational guidelines (DOT HS 810 916, March 2008); and Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines (FHWA-SA-06-002, January 2005). These two guidelines are attached.

Please complete or forward to anyone you think will best be able to complete the survey. We ask that you return the completed survey to us no later than Friday, February 7th. If you have any questions or concerns, please don’t hesitate to call or email.
Renee Bracamonte
Grant Program Manager
Governor's Office of Highway Safety
1700 West Washington Street
Executive Tower, Suite 430
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Direct: 602-255-3204
Fax: 602-255-1265
Hi Renee,

I’m sorry but we no longer have photo speed or red light enforcement systems and have not since about 2011.

Mike

---

From: Renee Bracamonte <rbracamonte@azgohs.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2020 12:58 PM
To: Curtis, Michael <michael_curtis@tempe.gov>
Subject: GOHS - Automated Traffic Enforcement Survey

Due to the City of Tempe having an automated traffic enforcement system, GOHS is required to have those Cities/Agencies fill out an automated traffic enforcement survey to comply with Federal Regulations. Below is a brief on the Federal FAST Act and the requirement that GOHS must adhere to. Also attached is both guideline books that are cited in the brief.

The FAST Act Final Rule (23 CFR Part 1300.13 (c), 23 CFR Part 1300.13 (d), see attached excerpt) requires that a state receiving 402 funds that allows the use of automated enforcement systems, conduct a survey of agencies using these systems, biennially, starting with the first report due March 1, 2018.

The survey must include a list of automated traffic enforcement systems in the State; adequate data to measure the transparency, accountability, and safety attributes of each automated traffic enforcement system; and a comparison of each automated traffic enforcement system with Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational guidelines (DOT HS 810 916, March 2008); and Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines (FHWA-SA-06-002, January 2005). These two guidelines are attached.

Please complete or forward to anyone you think will best be able to complete the survey. We ask that you return the completed survey to us no later than Friday, February 7th. If you have any questions or concerns, please don’t hesitate to call or email.

Renee Bracamonte
Grant Program Manager
Governor’s Office of Highway Safety
1700 West Washington Street
Executive Tower, Suite 430
Phoenix, Arizona 85007