

2020

# Automated Enforcement Survey Report



1/22/2020

## Contents

Overview.....	2
Survey Request .....	3
Survey Form.....	0
Response .....	1

## Overview

The Section 402 program, officially known as the State and Community Highway Safety Grant Program, provides federal funding to states for the purpose of improving driver behavior and reducing the number of injuries and fatalities from vehicle crashes. In order to comply with the funding conditions for this program, states with jurisdictions/political subdivisions that use automated enforcement (AE) systems are required to perform a biennial voluntary survey of their systems (according to §1300.13 of the Federal Register). Ohio is a Section 402 grant recipient with a number of jurisdictions/political subdivisions currently utilizing AE.

In 2018, the Ohio Traffic Safety Office distributed an automated enforcement survey to 746 law enforcement agencies in the State of Ohio requesting information. Responses were received from ten (10) agencies, two (2) currently utilizing automated enforcement equipment. To obtain a more accurate result in 2020, the Ohio Traffic Safety Office requested the assistance of the Ohio State Highway Patrol. Post commanders statewide were asked to identify jurisdictions within their area of responsibility who utilize automated enforcement. Once identified, survey information was completed by the jurisdiction responsible for the automated enforcement. Eleven (11) jurisdictions were identified as using automated enforcement. It should be noted that the results reported in this document are based solely on the survey responses; there was no attempt made to independently verify the answers reported.

## Survey Request

# Information Request

*The Ohio Department of Public Safety (ODPS) - Ohio Traffic Safety Office (OTSO) is required under federal law (Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, US Code Title 23, § 402) to conduct a biennial survey of all automated traffic enforcement systems (specifically automated speed enforcement cameras and automated red-light enforcement cameras) installed in the state of Ohio, including political subdivisions. The survey is one page and is designed to minimize the time spent fulfilling federal requirements.*

***Please fill out one survey for each jurisdiction in the post area that is currently using automated traffic enforcement systems.***

- ***The survey is voluntary!***
- ***There is no need for follow-up if all the data is not available, we can report the information provided.***

*Each District is requested to compile the surveys from their posts, scan, and email one submission to The Ohio Traffic Safety Office at [TRAFFICSAFETY@DPS.OHIO.GOV](mailto:TRAFFICSAFETY@DPS.OHIO.GOV) by **December 31, 2019**. Please indicate a negative response from any post that does not have any automated traffic enforcement systems in their post area. If a post is having difficulty obtaining information from a political subdivision, simply complete the survey with the information available to the post.*

Your cooperation during this process, as well as your continued support as a partner in traffic safety, is greatly appreciated.

# Survey Form

**FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST)  
US CODE Title 23; Public Law 114-94, Title IV – Highway Safety  
§ 4002 – Special Funding Conditions for Section 402 Grants  
Biennial Survey of State Automated Traffic Enforcement Systems**



## OHIO TRAFFIC SAFETY OFFICE

### General

1. Name of Jurisdiction/Political Subdivision: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Type of Government Entity (city, state, etc.): \_\_\_\_\_
3. Population: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Type of automated enforcement system used:  
Red light camera  Speed Enforcement Camera  Both
5. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow federal DOT “*Speed Enforcement Camera Systems Operational Guidelines*” when implementing its automated enforcement system?  
Yes  No  Not Applicable (No Automated Speed Cameras)  Don't Know
6. Did the jurisdiction/political subdivision refer to and follow FHWA “*Red Light Camera Systems Operational Guidelines*” when implementing its automated enforcement system?  
Yes  No  Not Applicable (No Automated Red Light Cameras)  Don't Know
7. Ownership of system (camera & equipment):  
**Speed Camera:** Jurisdiction-owned  Contracted/leased   
**Red Light Camera:** Jurisdiction-owned  Contracted/leased

### Transparency

1. Are placement locations of automated enforcement publicly available?  
**Speed Camera:** Yes  No  **Red Light Camera:** Yes  No
2. Is information regarding automated enforcement revenue publicly available?  
**Speed Camera:** Yes  No  **Red Light Camera:** Yes  No
3. Is information regarding the disbursement of this revenue publicly available?  
**Speed Camera:** Yes  No  **Red Light Camera:** Yes  No
4. Is the number of automated enforcement citations issued publicly available?  
**Speed Camera:** Yes  No  **Red Light Camera:** Yes  No
5. Upon deployment at a specific location, is there a warning period before citations are issued?  
**Speed Camera:** Yes  No  **Red Light Camera:** Yes  No

### Accountability

1. Are citations reviewed and signed by a sworn law enforcement officer?  
**Speed Camera:** Yes  No  **Red Light Camera:** Yes  No
2. Is there a process in place for dispute resolution?  
**Speed Camera:** Yes  No  **Red Light Camera:** Yes  No
3. Is the automated enforcement program audited?  
**Speed Camera:** Yes  No  If yes, how often? \_\_\_\_\_  
**Red Light Camera:** Yes  No  If yes, how often? \_\_\_\_\_

### Safety Attributes

1. Is traffic data (engineering & crash) utilized to determine placement of enforcement platforms?  
**Speed Camera:** Yes  No  **Red Light Camera:** Yes  No
2. Does the jurisdiction/political subdivision analyze traffic data to determine its automated enforcement's impact on safety elements (i.e. crashes, speed, etc.)?  
**Speed Camera:** Yes  No  **Red Light Camera:** Yes  No

Data recorded by: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name Date

## Response

G1	G2	G3	G4	G5	G6	G7	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	A1	A2	A3	S1	S2
Dayton	City	140,489	Light	Yes	N/A	Contracted	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Annual	Yes	Yes
Toledo	City	300,00	Both	Yes	Yes	Contracted	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Annual	Yes	Yes
Newburg Heights	Village	2,500	Speed	Yes	N/A	Contracted	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Annual	Yes	No
Coal Grove	Village	2,141	Both	N/A	N/A	Contracted	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Annual	No	Yes
Greenwich	Village	1,450	Speed	Yes	N/A	Contracted	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Monroeville	Village	1,400	Both	Yes	Yes	Contracted	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Annual	Yes	Yes
Girard	City	9,477	Speed	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Weathersfield Twp	Township	24,790	Speed	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Liberty	Township	38,248	Speed	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Brice	Village	114	Speed	Yes	Yes	Contracted	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Biennially	Yes	Yes
Higginsport	Village	250	Speed	Yes	N/A	Contracted	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Annual	Yes	Yes