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## PREFACE

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), in conjunction with the Research and Innovative Technology Administration's Volpe National Transportation Systems Center (Volpe Center), conducts vehicle safety research in crash avoidance and crashworthiness. In particular, extensive analyses have been performed to define the crash and injury problems, identify intervention opportunities, assess the state-of-the-art technology for crash avoidance and injury mitigation systems, and estimate potential safety benefits of promising systems. This research supports NHTSA's mission to save lives, prevent injuries, and reduce health care and other economic costs associated with motor vehicle crashes.

This report presents results obtained from the analysis of the 2004 General Estimates System crash database. It describes a new typology of pre-crash scenarios leading to all police-reported crashes that involve at least one light vehicle (e.g., passenger car, sports utility vehicle, van, minivan, and light pickup truck).
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report defines and statistically describes a new pre-crash scenario typology for light vehicles (i.e., passenger car, sports utility vehicle, minivan, van, and light pickup truck) based on the 2004 General Estimates System (GES) crash database. This new typology consists of pre-crash scenarios that depict vehicle movements and dynamics as well as the critical event occurring immediately prior to a crash. The goal of this typology is to establish a common vehicle safety research foundation for public and private organizations, which will allow researchers to determine which traffic safety issues should be of first priority to investigate and to develop concomitant crash avoidance systems. Its main objectives are to identify all common pre-crash scenarios of all policereported crashes involving at least one light vehicle; quantify their severity in terms of frequency of occurrence, economic cost, and functional years lost; portray each scenario by crash contributing factors and circumstances in terms of the driving environment, driver, and vehicle; and provide nationally representative crash statistics that can be annually updated using GES and the Crashworthiness Data System (CDS) crash databases.

The following 37 pre-crash scenarios, including "other", comprise the new typology:

| $\mathbf{1}$ | Vehicle Failure |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Control Loss With Prior Vehicle Action |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Control Loss Without Prior Vehicle Action |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Running Red Light |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Running Stop Sign |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Road Edge Departure With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Road Edge Departure Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Road Edge Departure While Backing Up |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Animal Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Animal Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Pedestrian Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Pedestrian Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Pedalcyclist Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Pedalcyclist Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Backing Up Into Another Vehicle |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Vehicle(s) Turning - Same Direction |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | Vehicle(s) Parking - Same Direction |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Vehicle(s) Changing Lanes - Same Direction |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Vehicle(s) Drifting - Same Direction |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Vehicle(s) Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Vehicle(s) Not Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | Following Vehicle Making a Maneuver |


| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | Lead Vehicle Accelerating |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Lead Vehicle Moving at Lower Constant Speed |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | Lead Vehicle Decelerating |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | Lead Vehicle Stopped |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | Left Turn Across Path From Opposite Directions at Signalized Junctions |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | Vehicle Turning Right at Signalized Junctions |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | Left Turn Across Path From Opposite Directions at Non-Signalized Junctions |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | Straight Crossing Paths at Non-Signalized Junctions |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | Vehicle(s) Turning at Non-Signalized Junctions |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | Evasive Action With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | Evasive Action Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | Non-Collision Incident |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | Object Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |
| $\mathbf{3 6}$ | Object Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | Other |

- Vehicle Action refers to a vehicle decelerating, accelerating, starting, passing, parking, turning, backing up, changing lanes, merging, and successful corrective action to a previous critical event.
- Vehicle Maneuver denotes passing, parking, turning, changing lanes, merging, and successful corrective action to a previous critical event.

Pre-crash scenarios listed above accounted for approximately 5,942,000 police-reported crashes involving at least one light vehicle, and resulted in an estimated economic cost of $\$ 120$ billion and $2,767,000$ functional years lost. These statistics do not incorporate data from non-police-reported crashes. Excluding "other" scenario, this new pre-crash scenario typology represents about 99.4 percent of all light-vehicle crashes.

Pre-crash scenarios of this new typology were ranked using three measures: crash frequency, functional years lost, and economic cost. Table ES-1 lists the dominant precrash scenarios emerging from the top five scenarios in each of the three measures. Ranking by crash frequency, the five most frequent scenarios accounted for 45 percent of all police-reported light-vehicle crashes. Ranking by functional years lost, the top five scenarios resulted in 49 percent of all years lost. Ranking by economic cost, the top five scenarios contributed to 46 percent of all cost associated with light-vehicle crashes. As seen in Table ES-1, the three most dominant scenarios are:

1. Control loss without prior vehicle action
2. Lead vehicle stopped
3. Road edge departure without prior vehicle maneuver

Table ES-1. Dominant Pre-Crash Scenarios

| Scenario | Occurrence |  | Functional Years Lost |  | Direct Economic Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rank | Frequency | Rank | Years | Rank | Cost (\$) |
| Control Loss Without Prior Vehicle Action | 2 | 529,000 | 1 | 478,000 | 1 | 15,796,000,000 |
| Lead Vehicle Stopped | 1 | 975,000 | 3 | 240,000 | 2 | 15,388,000,000 |
| Road Edge Departure Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 5 | 334,000 | 2 | 270,000 | 3 | 9,005,000,000 |
| Vehicle(s) Turning at NonSignalized Junctions | 3 | 435,000 |  |  | 4 | 7,343,000,000 |
| Straight Crossing Paths at NonSignalized Junctions |  |  | 5 | 174,000 | 5 | 7,290,000,000 |
| Lead Vehicle Decelerating | 4 | 428,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle(s) Not Making a Maneuver <br> - Opposite Direction |  |  | 4 | 206,000 |  |  |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A number of crash typologies have been developed over the years in support of vehicle safety research. Crash typologies provide an understanding of distinct crash types and scenarios and explain why they occur. They serve as a tool to identify intervention opportunities, set research priorities and direction in technology development, and evaluate the effectiveness of selected crash countermeasure systems. Recently, two crash typologies have been widely used for crash avoidance research in support of the Intelligent Vehicle Initiative (IVI) within the U.S. Department of Transportation's (USDOT) Intelligent Transportation Systems program: 44-crashes and pre-crash scenarios.

The 44-crashes typology has been developed by General Motors (GM) and adopted by automakers for the design, development, and benefits assessment of potential crash countermeasure technologies [1,2]. This typology identified very specific crash scenarios representing all collisions in the United States and investigated the causes associated with each crash scenario using the 1991 General Estimates System (GES) crash database and samples of 1990-1991 police-reported crashes from Michigan and North Carolina. Shortcomings of this typology include the limited study of State crash data and the amount of effort required to replicate the results using recent crash data.

USDOT has devised the pre-crash scenarios typology based primarily on pre-crash variables in the National Automotive Sampling System (NASS) crash databases including GES and the Crashworthiness Data System (CDS) [3]. This typology has been utilized to identify intervention opportunities, develop performance guidelines and objective test procedures, and estimate the safety benefits for IVI crash countermeasure systems. Single-vehicle and two-vehicle crashes of common crash types were analyzed to produce the list of representative pre-crash scenarios. Multi-vehicle ( $>2$ ) crashes were not included in the analysis. Some low-frequency crash types were also excluded such as vehicle failure, non-collision incidents, and evasive action scenarios. As a result, the precrash scenario typology did not represent 100 percent of all police-reported crashes.

This report defines a new typology of pre-crash scenarios for crash avoidance research, which combines crash information from both typologies mentioned above. This new typology consists of pre-crash scenarios that depict vehicle movements and dynamics as well as the critical event occurring immediately prior to crashes involving at least one light vehicle (i.e., passenger car, sports utility vehicle, van, minivan, and light pickup truck). The goal of this typology is to establish a common vehicle safety research foundation for public and private organizations, which will allow researchers to determine which traffic safety issues should be of first priority to investigate and to develop concomitant crash avoidance systems. Its main objectives are to:

1. Identify all common pre-crash scenarios of all police-reported crashes involving at least one light vehicle.
2. Quantify the severity of each pre-crash scenario in terms of frequency of occurrence, direct economic cost, and functional years lost.
3. Portray each scenario by crash contributing factors and circumstances in terms of the driving environment, driver, and vehicle.
4. Provide nationally representative crash statistics that can be annually updated using GES and CDS crash databases.

This report describes a new typology that comprises all scenarios in the 44-crashes and pre-crash scenarios typologies using the 2004 GES crash database [4].

### 1.1. 44-Crashes Typology

Table 1 lists the 44 crashes developed by GM using multiple crash data sources [1]. This typology described the national crash problem based on an analysis of crash involved vehicles and factors that may increase the likelihood of occurrence. Three distributions of crashes were defined using the frequency of occurrence, losses due to direct costs, and losses due to years of functional life lost. There were originally 100 crash scenarios, each representing about one percent of the entire crash problem. Some scenarios have been combined because of similarities, thus bringing the list down to 44 crash scenarios.

The 44-crashes typology was developed to give in a simplistic sense an understanding of crashes and to prioritize crash countermeasure development. It also helps address some of the obstacles associated with trying to predict field effectiveness using raw statistics:

- Double counting: Consider two crash prevention measures that are each 10percent effective. If they influence totally different crashes, then together they are probably about 20-percent effective. However, if their benefit applies to exactly the same crashes, then together they are only about 10 -percent effective. To claim these redundant countermeasures are more than 10-percent effective is double-counting. The 44-crashes typology helps prevent double counting.
- Complexity of crash statistics: Crash statistics may be confusing and may take a long time to process, which cannot be used efficiently by technologists. This typology was proposed as a simple problem definition.
- Inconsistency: Crash avoidance has no standard metric, like emissions and fuel economy. Crash avoidance needed a standard problem definition that spanned organizations and time.

As seen in Table 1, the definition of the 44 scenarios incorporates vehicle dynamics, vehicle movements, critical events, crash causes, and crash contributing factors. Specifics of some scenario descriptions are not represented by GES variables and codes, such as pedal miss and other details of causal factors.
Table 1. List of 44 Crash Scenarios

| No. | Title | Scenario Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Struck Human | A pedestrian crossing a multi-lane roadway was struck by vehicle. The driver was looking for other vehicles and traffic controls, but did not see the pedestrian. This crash occurs more frequently in urban areas. The weather is typically clear and the road is usually dry. |
| 3 | Struck Animal | A male driving home after dark on a rural two-lane country road in November struck a deer crossing the road. The driver could not avoid hitting the deer. |
| 9 | Drowsy | The driver fell asleep and drifted off the right side of the road and struck a telephone pole. Witnesses say that there was no attempt to brake or steer away from the pole. The crash occurred in a rural area at night. |
| 10 | Aggressive, Departure | The male driver was driving too fast, as well as cutting in and out of traffic, maneuvering the vehicle to the limits of control. The driver lost control of the vehicle and went into a skid. The driver left the roadway and struck the guardrail and then a tree. |
| 11 | Slick Road Departure | The driver lost control while driving on an icy, wet road. The driver tried to bring the vehicle back under control by braking and steering. The vehicle spun out and came to rest in the ditch. |
| 12 | Rough Road Departure | Due to the patched and eroded condition of the road surface, the driver lost control of the vehicle and left the roadway. |
| 13 | Avoidance, Departure | The driver was alert and driving along a surface street. Suddenly something appeared in the driver's path (e.g., child, bicyclist, or animal). The driver slammed on the brakes and swerved to avoid the immediate threat. The vehicle drove over a curb and into an object. |
| 18 | Impaired, Departure | The young (under 25) male driver, who was legally impaired, was driving too fast. He lost control of the vehicle, which left the roadway and overturned. The crash occurred in a rural area between midnight and $2 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. on a weekend. |
| 19 | Back Into Object | Vehicle A was backing out of a driveway and struck Vehicle B that was parked along the side of the road. Driver A did not see the other vehicle. |
| 22 | Ran Red "TBone" | Driver ran the red light. The driver saw the light turn yellow but decided to continue through the intersection. The majority of these crashes occur during daylight hours in urban areas. |
| 28 | Slick Road, Ran Stop | As vehicle approached an intersection, the driver noticed the stop sign, applied the brakes hard, but slid on the wet pavement into crossing traffic. (This group does not include the condition where there is no sign.) |
| 30 | Inattentive, Ran Stop | An inattentive driver in a vehicle, heading north, did not see a stop sign (two-way only) and struck an eastbound vehicle on the passenger's side. |
| 33 | View Obstruction | A vehicle, at a two-way stop sign, could not see adequately down the road due to the hill. This vehicle pulled out and was struck on the driver's side by a lateral-crossing vehicle. This crash is most likely to occur in daylight in rural areas. |
| 35 | Looked but Didn't See | Vehicle A was turning right at a two-way stop sign. The driver did not see Vehicle B approaching from lateral direction as Vehicle A turned into the lane. Upon turning, Vehicle A was struck by Vehicle B. |
| 37 | Sirens | A police car, with lights and siren on, slowed to cross through an intersection with a red light. Another vehicle was on the crossing road and did not see the approaching police car. |
| 38 | Left Turn Clip | Vehicle A, in an attempt to turn left, cut the corner too sharply and clipped Vehicle B waiting at the intersection. Vehicle A began the turn too early and misjudged the distance between cars. |

Table 1. List of 44 Crash Scenarios (Cont. 1)

| No. | Title | Scenario Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | Wrong Driveway | Driver A observed Vehicle B approaching with the right turn signal on. A assumed that B was turning into the driveway that A was turning out of and proceeded in front of B. B was not turning until the intersection and struck A in the side. |
| 44 | Wave to Go | From a driveway, Vehicle A was waiting to make a left turn, but full view of all lanes was not possible due to other traffic. Driver B stopped-leaving a gap-and waved driver A through in front of him. However, Driver C was unaware of this arrangement and crashed into the driver's side of Vehicle A. |
| 47 | Turn into Passer | An impatient driver, A, was following behind a slower vehicle, B. Driver A passed vehicle B. Driver B turned left as A was passing and collided with A. |
| 48 | Back into Roadway | Driver A backed vehicle into roadway. Driver A did not see vehicle B heading west. |
| 52 | Tailgate | Vehicle B was following Vehicle A too closely. Vehicle A had to stop quickly; B could not stop in time and rear-ended A. |
| 56 | Distracted, Rear | The driver of Vehicle A was reaching down to retrieve an item from the floor of the vehicle and did not notice that Vehicle B was stopped ahead. |
| 58 | Avoidance, Rear | Vehicle A observed traffic slowing in the curb lane. A decided to change lanes and go around slowing traffic. A changed lanes to the inside lane only to find Vehicle B stopped directly in front. Driver A could not stop and struck B in the rear. (This also includes cases of three cars in the same lane. The middle vehicle pulled out of the lane at the last moment leaving the rear-most vehicle to collide with the foremost.) |
| 61 | Pedal Miss | Driver A was attempting to stop behind Vehicle B when Driver A's foot missed the brake pedal and Vehicle A struck Vehicle B from behind. |
| 62 | Inattentive, Rear | A northbound vehicle, A, was stopped waiting at a red traffic signal in an urban area on a major artery. Another vehicle, B, coming from some distance behind, didn't notice that A was stopped and could not stop in time. (This crash includes a lead vehicle just stopping or lead vehicle turning.) |
| 64 | Stutter Stop | A stopped vehicle, A, was looking left and right down a cross road waiting for traffic to clear before proceeding. Another driver, B, waiting behind A was also checking crossing traffic. Vehicle A started to go, decided that it wasn't safe, and abruptly stopped. Driver B , who had been watching traffic, thought that A had moved on and proceeded. Driver B rear-ended driver A. |
| 66 | Aggressive, Rear | Vehicle A was stopped in traffic. Driver B (at a distance from A) was driving too fast. By the time B realized he/she needed to stop, it was not possible. |
| 68 | Maintenance | Vehicle A was stopped prior to turning when struck by Vehicle B. Driver B stated that the brakes failed to stop the car. Vehicle B was an older vehicle (more than six years). (The failure is usually a maintenance problem.) |
| 74 | Slick Road, Rear | Vehicle A was braking for stopped traffic. Driver B, coming from some distance behind A, saw the brake lights. When B braked the road was very slick. B did not stop and struck $A$ in the rear. |
| 75 | Passing Clip | Vehicle A, in an attempt to pass vehicle B, cut around B, but too closely. Driver A misjudged the distance between cars and clipped the corner of B. |

Table 1. List of 44 Crash Scenarios (Cont. 2)

| No. | Title | Scenario Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | Lane Change Right | Driver of Vehicle A looked for traffic before changing lanes to the right on a four-lane road. The driver did not see Vehicle B in the curb lane. Vehicle B braked and steered to avoid Vehicle A. |
| 78 | Visibility, Rear | Driver A could not see well due to the blowing snow (whiteout conditions). Vehicle B was in front of A and traveling in the same direction. B had to brake for stopped traffic ahead and A did not notice the brake lights. |
| 79 | Lane Change Left | Driver of Vehicle A looked for traffic before changing lanes to the left on a four-lane road. The driver did not see Vehicle B in the next lane. Vehicle B had no time to react and nowhere to go to avoid Vehicle A. |
| 80 | Lane Change, Rear | Vehicle A saw Vehicle B approaching in the next lane. A determined that B was far enough back that A could change lanes. Driver A misjudged the distance and speed of Vehicle B. Driver B pressed the brake hard but was unable to stop and struck A from behind. Vehicle C could not stop and struck B from behind. |
| 82 | Back Track | Front Vehicle A stopped too far out in an intersection. Driver A did not see Vehicle B and backed up to allow other traffic through, striking vehicle B . |
| 83 | U-Turn | Vehicle A and vehicle B were both heading in the same direction on a multi-lane road in different lanes. B attempted to turn from the curb lane across the path of A onto a side street. Driver A struck illegally turning B in the driver's side. |
| 91 | Inexperience, Departure | Driver A was having a difficult time controlling the vehicle on the slippery road. The driver lost control of the vehicle while starting into a curve and applied the brakes. The vehicle crossed into the opposite direction traffic and collided head-on with Vehicle B. (This often involves a new driver or a driver who lacks experience on a roadway with a low coefficient of friction.) |
| 92 | Impaired, Head-on | A young male driver A, who was legally impaired, was driving too fast. He lost control of the vehicle, crossed the centerline, and struck an approaching vehicle head-on. The crash occurred in a rural area between midnight and $2 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. on a weekend. |
| 93 | Slick Road, head-on | Vehicle A attempted to stop at an intersection, but because of the slick road, lost control of the vehicle. Vehicle B was approaching head-on in the opposite direction and was struck by A. |
| 94 | Run Red Into Left Turner | A northbound vehicle, $A$, was waiting to make a left turn. The light changed and the northbound vehicle began to turn left. A southbound driver, B, accelerated hard, hoping to make the light and struck Vehicle A. |
| 96 | Misjudgment, Left Turn | Vehicle A was waiting to turn left. Driver A observed B approaching from the opposite direction, but thought there was enough time to complete the left turn. Driver A misjudged vehicle B's distance and was struck by Vehicle B. |
| 99 | View <br> Obstructed Left Turn | Vehicle A was stopped in the left lane of a four-lane road, facing north, waiting to complete a left turn. Vehicle C was also stopped in the left lane in the opposite direction waiting to complete a left turn. Driver A, able to see past C only a short distance, thought it was clear and completed the turn. Vehicle B, in the curb lane adjacent to Vehicle C was traveling south at the posted speed limit and struck Vehicle A head-on. |
| 100 | Miscellaneous | This is a miscellaneous assortment that could not be classified as any of the other previously mentioned crash descriptions. |
| 101 | New | This is a crash that may not have occurred without the introduction of a new safety technology. The driver may have used the new technology for increased mobility rather than an increase in safety as intended. A crash may evolve to another type under the driver's control rather than becoming eliminated. |

### 1.2. Pre-Crash Scenarios Typology

Table 2 lists the pre-crash scenarios developed by USDOT using primarily the Accident Type variable and the first two pre-crash variables in the NASS crash databases. These two pre-crash variables are the Movement Prior to Critical Event and Critical Event. The Accident Type variable categorizes the pre-crash situation. The Movement Prior to Critical Event variable records the attribute that best describes vehicle activity prior to the driver's realization of an impending critical event or just prior to impact if the driver took no action or had no time to attempt any evasive maneuver. The Critical Event variable identifies the circumstances that made the crash imminent.

The scenarios listed in Table 2 were identified within each of the following crash types: rear-end, off-road, lane change, crossing paths, opposite direction, backing, pedestrian, pedalcyclist, animal, and object crashes. Moreover, the identification of these scenarios was based on the analysis of single- and two-vehicle crashes. Crashes that involved more than two vehicles were excluded from the analysis due to the uncertainty and crosscutting among the various crash types as a result of associating the Accident Type variable with the pre-crash variables.

Table 2. List of Pre-Crash Scenarios Based on NASS Variables

| No. | Scenario Definition |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Animal: other |
| 2 | Animal: vehicle going straight and animal in road |
| 3 | Animal: vehicle negotiating a curve and animal in road |
| 4 | Off-road: single vehicle performing avoidance maneuver |
| 5 | Off-road: single vehicle going straight and departing road edge |
| 6 | Off-road: single vehicle going straight and losing control |
| 7 | Off-road: single vehicle initiating a maneuver and departing road edge |
| 8 | Off-road: single vehicle initiating a maneuver and losing control |
| 9 | Off-road: single vehicle negotiating a curve and departing road edge |
| 10 | Off-road: single vehicle negotiating a curve and losing control |
| 11 | Off-road: single vehicle and other loss of control |
| 12 | Off-road: single vehicle due to vehicle failure |
| 13 | Off-road: single vehicle and other road edge departure |
| 14 | Off-road: single vehicle with other/unknown |
| 15 | Off-road: backing |
| 16 | Off-road: no impact |
| 17 | Pedalcyclist: other/unknown |
| 18 | Pedalcyclist: vehicle going straight on crossing paths |
| 19 | Pedalcyclist: vehicle going straight on parallel paths |
| 20 | Pedalcyclist: vehicle starting in traffic lane on crossing paths |
| 21 | Pedalcyclist: vehicle turning left on crossing paths |
| 22 | Pedalcyclist: vehicle turning left on parallel paths |
| 23 | Pedalcyclist: vehicle turning right on crossing paths |
| 24 | Pedalcyclist: vehicle turning right on parallel paths |
| 25 | Pedestrian: other |
| 26 | Pedestrian: vehicle backing |
| 27 | Pedestrian: vehicle going straight and pedestrian crossing road |
| 28 | Pedestrian: vehicle going straight and pedestrian darting onto road |

Table 2. List of Pre-Crash Scenarios Based on NASS Variables (Cont.)

| No. | Scenario Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| 29 | Pedestrian: vehicle going straight and pedestrian playing/working on Road |
| 30 | Pedestrian: vehicle going straight and pedestrian walking along road |
| 31 | Pedestrian: vehicle turning left and pedestrian crossing road |
| 32 | Pedestrian: vehicle turning right and pedestrian crossing road |
| 33 | Backing: at driveways |
| 34 | Backing: at intersections |
| 35 | Backing: other |
| 36 | Lane change: 2 vehicles going straight and 1 vehicle encroaching in same lane |
| 37 | Lane change: 2 vehicles going straight and 1 vehicle encroaching into another lane |
| 38 | Lane change: 1 vehicle going straight and another changing lanes |
| 39 | Lane change: 1 vehicle going straight and another entering or leaving parking position |
| 40 | Lane change: 1 vehicle going straight and another passing |
| 41 | Lane change: 1 vehicle going straight and another turning |
| 42 | Lane change: 2 vehicles in other combinations |
| 43 | Lane change: 1 vehicle passing and another turning |
| 44 | Opposite direction: control loss |
| 45 | Opposite direction: 2 vehicles going straight and 1 vehicle encroaching |
| 46 | Opposite direction: 2 vehicles going straight both in same lane |
| 47 | Opposite direction: 2 vehicles negotiating a curve and 1 vehicle encroaching |
| 48 | Opposite direction: 2 vehicles negotiating a curve both in same lane |
| 49 | Opposite direction: other/unknown |
| 50 | Opposite direction: involves 1 vehicle passing |
| 51 | Opposite direction: involves vehicle failure |
| 52 | Rear-end: following vehicle changing lanes |
| 53 | Rear-end: lead vehicle accelerating |
| 54 | Rear-end: lead vehicle changing lanes |
| 55 | Rear-end: lead vehicle decelerating |
| 56 | Rear-end: lead vehicle moving at constant, slower speed |
| 57 | Rear-end: lead vehicle stopped |
| 58 | Rear-end: other/unknown |
| 59 | Crossing paths: left turn across path from lateral direction (LTAP/LD) |
| 60 | Crossing paths: left turn across path from opposite direction (LTAP/OD) |
| 61 | Crossing paths: left turn into path (LTIP) |
| 62 | Crossing paths: other/unknown |
| 63 | Crossing paths: right turn across path from lateral direction (RTAP/LD) |
| 64 | Crossing paths: right turn into path (RTIP) |
| 65 | Crossing paths: straight crossing paths (SCP) |

### 1.3. Report Outline

Following the introduction, this report delineates the approach used to identify and statistically describe the scenarios of the new pre-crash typology, and to estimate the societal cost measures of direct economic cost and functional years lost. This is followed by crash statistics of light-vehicle crashes. Afterwards, the new pre-crash typology is introduced and each of its scenarios is defined. After that, this report maps a sample of crash police reports, 44 crashes, and crash types to the new pre-crash typology. Finally, this report concludes with some comments about the overall analysis.

## 2. IDENTIFICATION OF NEW PRE-CRASH SCENARIO TYPOLOGY

GES was selected as the best available source for the identification and description of the new pre-crash scenario typology because it:

- Is nationally representative
- Is annually updated
- Contains the Accident Type variable and pre-crash variables that enable the identification of dynamically-distinct vehicle scenarios
- Features the availability of different sets of variables that describe the environmental and driving conditions at the time of the crash, driver and vehicle factors that might have contributed to the cause of the crash, and severity of the crash.


### 2.1. Scenario Coding Schemes

Appendix A presents coding schemes to identify common pre-crash scenarios leading to all single-vehicle and multi-vehicle $(\geq 2)$ crashes based on GES variables and codes. A total of 46 pre-crash scenarios are listed in a selected order starting with scenarios associated with crash contributing factors such as vehicle control loss and driver violation of red light/stop sign (numbers 2-6). Such scenarios result in different crash types. For example, loss of vehicle control due to excessive speed could lead to a vehicle running off the road, rear-ending another vehicle in front of it, or encroaching into another lane and side-swiping an adjacent vehicle. From a crash avoidance perspective, the problem of vehicle control loss is identical in all three cases. A potential crash countermeasure function would detect the excessive speed or the imminent loss of control regardless of what crash type these conditions might lead to. Therefore, scenarios based on crash contributing factors in Appendix A supersede remaining scenarios that represent dynamically distinct driving situations based on vehicle movements and dynamic states. The new pre-crash scenario typology was then created by deducting the scenarios in the same order listed in Appendix A using the process of elimination. The sum of the resulting frequency distribution adds to 100 percent, and thus eliminating double counting of crashes in each of the scenarios.

The Accident Type, Movement Prior to Critical Event, and Critical Event variables from the GES Vehicle File were primarily used to identify dynamically distinct pre-crash scenarios. The first event in a crash from the GES Event File helped to distinguish precrash scenarios in multi-vehicle crashes. In addition to these variables, the coding schemes utilize the following GES variables:

- Traffic Control Device: Indicates whether or not a traffic control device was present for the crash and the type of traffic control device.
- Violations Charged: Indicates which violations are cited to drivers.
- First Harmful Event: Indicates the first property damaging or injury-producing event in the crash.
- Crash Event Sequence Number: Number assigned to each harmful event in a crash, in chronological order.
- Vehicle Number-This Vehicle: Number assigned to an in-transport motor vehicle involved in the event.
- Vehicle Number-Other Vehicle or Object Contacted: Vehicle number of the other vehicle or object hit, or the type of non-collision involved in the event.
- Vehicle Role: Indicates vehicle role (e.g., striking, struck) in single or multivehicle crashes.
- Rollover Type: Indicates if a rollover occurred (tripped or untripped). Rollover is defined as any vehicle rotation of 90 degrees or more about any true longitudinal or lateral axis. Rollover can occur at any time during the crash.
- Hit-and-run: It is coded when a motor vehicle in transport, or its driver, departs from the scene; vehicles not in transport are excluded. It does not matter whether the hit-and-run vehicle was striking or struck.
- Number of Vehicles Involved: Indicates the number of vehicles involved in the crash.

The following GES variables and codes were queried to identify the light vehicle:

- Body Type (Hot-Deck Imputed) $=01-22,28-41$, and $45-49$
- Special Use $=00$. This variable indicates whether the vehicle has a special use, meaning "in use" and not necessarily emergency use.


### 2.2. Crash Contributing Factors and Circumstances

Statistical description of crash contributing factors and circumstances was performed for each of the pre-crash scenarios that made up the final list of all scenarios leading to lightvehicle crashes. These factors and circumstances were broken down into three categories: driving environment, driver, and vehicle.

The following GES variables describe the driving environment:

- Light Condition: General light conditions at the time of the crash, including light from external roadway illumination fixtures.
- Atmospheric Conditions: General atmospheric conditions at the time of the crash (e.g., no adverse conditions, rain, sleet, fog, etc.).
- Roadway Surface Condition: Condition of road surface at the time of the crash (e.g., dry, wet, ice, etc.).
- Roadway Alignment: Horizontal alignment of roadway (straight or curve).
- Roadway Profile: Vertical alignment of roadway (e.g., level, grade etc.).
- Land Use: Population of the area associated with the police jurisdiction from which the crash report is selected. An area is considered rural if its population is less than or equal to 50,000 .
- Day of Week
- Relation to Roadway: Indicates the location of the first harmful event.
- Relation to Junction: Indicates if the first harmful event is located within a junction or interchange area. If the first harmful event occurs off the roadway, the location classified is the point of departure.
- Posted Speed Limit
- Traffic Control Device

The following GES variables depict the driver factors:

- Driver Drinking in Vehicle: Reports alcohol use by driver of the vehicle.
- Driver's Vision Obscured by: Identifies visual circumstances that may have contributed to the cause of the crash.
- Driver Distracted by: Identifies a distraction that may have influenced driver performance and contributed to the cause of the crash. The distraction can be either inside or outside the vehicle.
- Speed Related: Indicates whether speed is a contributing factor to the cause of the crash.
- Violations Charged
- Person’s Physical Impairment: Identifies physical impairments (e.g., ill, drowsy, deaf, etc.) for all drivers, which may have contributed to the cause of the crash.
- Sex: Male or female
- Age: This report classifies younger drivers as age 24 or younger, middle-aged drivers as between the ages of 25 and 64, and older drivers as age 65 or older.

The following GES variables portray the vehicle factors:

- Vehicle Contributing Factors: Indicates vehicle factors that may have contributed to the cause of the crash (e.g., tires, brakes, wipers, etc.)
- Rollover Type
- Movement Prior to Critical Event: (This variable is listed here so as to help in identifying dynamic variations of already-defined pre-crash scenarios).
- Driver Maneuvered to Avoid: Identifies an action taken by the driver to avoid something or someone in the road. The maneuver may have subsequently contributed to the cause of the crash.
- Corrective Action Attempted: Describes the actions taken by the driver of the vehicle in response to the impending danger. Because this variable focuses upon the driver's action just prior to the first harmful event, it is coded independently of any maneuvers associated with this vehicle's Accident Type. It should be noted that this variable reports many unknowns as seen in the results presented in this report. This same variable in the Crashworthiness Data System crash database provides a better description of driver evasive maneuvers in response to the critical event.


### 2.3. Societal Harm Measures

This report determines the frequency of occurrence for each pre-crash scenario in the new typology. It also estimates for each scenario its concomitant societal harm expressed in terms of economic cost or functional years lost. The "functional years lost" measure was selected for this analysis over other measures such as "equivalent lives" in order to harmonize with automakers who have recently adopted this measure in their crash avoidance research [1, 2]. These harm measures are derived from the maximum injury severity of all people involved in a specific crash scenario.

## Economic Cost

Economic costs in this report account for goods and services that must be purchased or productivity that is lost as a result of motor vehicle crashes. They do not represent the intangible consequences of these events to individuals and families, such as pain and suffering and loss of life. Economic costs of crashes include lost productivity, medical costs, legal and court costs, emergency service costs, insurance administration costs, travel delay, property damage, and workplace losses.

The economic cost of crashes is computed on the basis of injury severity to the occupants of each vehicle involved in the crash according to the Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS). The AIS is a classification system for assessing impact injury severity developed by the Association for the Advancement of Automotive Medicine. It provides the basis for stratifying the economic costs of crashes by injury severity. The Maximum Abbreviated Injury Scale (MAIS) is a function of AIS on a single injured person that measures overall maximum injury severity. Significant elements of economic loss, such as medical costs and lost productivity, are highly dependent on injury outcome.

GES does not provide detailed information regarding injury severity based on the AIS coding scheme. Instead, GES records injury severity by crash victim on the KABCO scale from police crash reports. Police reports in almost every State use KABCO to classify crash victims as K - killed, A - incapacitating injury, B - non-incapacitating injury, C - possible injury, O - no apparent injury, or ISU - Injury Severity Unknown. The KABCO coding scheme allows non-medically trained persons to make on-scene injury assessments without a hands-on examination. However, KABCO ratings are imprecise and inconsistently coded between States and over time. To estimate injuries based on the MAIS coding structure, a translator derived from 1982-1986 NASS data was applied to the GES police-reported injury profile [5]. The following matrix equation shows the multiplicative factors used to convert injury severity from KABCO to MAIS designations:
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { MAIS0 } \\ \text { MAIS1 } \\ \text { MAIS2 } \\ \text { MAIS3 } \\ \text { MAIS4 } \\ \text { MAIS5 } \\ \text { MAIS6 }\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0.01516 & 0.04938 & 0.19919 & 0.92423 & 0.07523 \\ 0 & 0.49183 & 0.79229 & 0.71729 & 0.07342 & 0.70581 \\ 0 & 0.27920 & 0.12487 & 0.06761 & 0.00206 & 0.15708 \\ 0 & 0.16713 & 0.03009 & 0.01509 & 0.00029 & 0.04343 \\ 0 & 0.02907 & 0.00267 & 0.00064 & 0.00001 & 0.01712 \\ 0 & 0.01762 & 0.00069 & 0.00018 & 0.00000 & 0.00134 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{K} \\ \mathrm{A} \\ \mathrm{B} \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{ISU}\end{array}\right]$

It should be noted that K injuries in KABCO are converted only to fatalities and non- K injuries in KABCO are converted to MAIS 0-5 injuries. NHTSA recommends that fatal crashes and fatalities be extracted from the Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS), not GES, since it contains records on all fatal traffic crashes and thus provides a more accurate representation of fatal crashes and fatalities than the sample contained in GES. This report, however, counts fatalities from GES because FARS does not contain the Accident Type and Critical Event variables needed to identify the pre-crash scenarios of the new typology.

Table 3 provides MAIS values based on the 2000 crash economic cost [6]. These values are assigned to occupants of crash-involved vehicles in which one or more person suffered an injury. An amount of $\$ 2,532$ was allocated to each property-damage-only (PDO) vehicle, referring to a vehicle that was damaged in a crash but no occupant was injured. All PDO vehicles, including those involved in injury crashes, were counted under PDO vehicles. The total economic costs of motor vehicle crashes in 2000 were estimated at $\$ 230.6$ billion. Estimates of the number of crashes that occurred in 2000 included police-reported crashes from the 2000 GES as well as a significant number of non-reported crashes.

Table 3. MAIS Levels and Unit Costs in 2000 Dollars

| MAIS | Severity | 2000 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 0 | Uninjured | 1,962 |
| 1 | Minor | 10,562 |
| 2 | Moderate | 66,820 |
| 3 | Serious | 186,097 |
| 4 | Severe | 348,133 |
| 5 | Critical | $1,096,161$ |
| 6 | Fatal | 977,208 |

## Functional Years Lost

Functional years lost is a non-monetary measure that sums the years of life lost to fatal injury and the years of functional capacity lost to nonfatal injury [7]. This measure does not mirror the monetary economic cost. It assigns a different value to the relative
severity of injuries suffered from motor vehicle crashes. Table 4 presents the functional years lost by MAIS levels.

Table 4. Functional Years Lost by MAIS Per-Unit Basis

| MAIS | Severity | Functional Years Lost |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | Minor | 0.07 |
| 2 | Moderate | 1.1 |
| 3 | Serious | 6.5 |
| 4 | Severe | 16.5 |
| 5 | Critical | 33.1 |
| 6 | Fatal | 42.7 |

## 3. DESCRIPTION OF LIGHT-VEHICLE CRASHES

This section presents statistics on the frequency of occurrence, severity, and number of vehicles involved for light-vehicle police-reported crashes based on the 2004 GES. These statistics are also compared to those of all-vehicle crashes. In addition, this section describes driving environment, driver, and vehicle factors that may have contributed to the cause of light-vehicle crashes.

### 3.1. Crash Severity

Approximately 6,170,000 police-reported crashes of all vehicle types involving $10,945,000$ vehicles occurred in the United States based on 2004 GES statistics. A total of $15,342,000$ people were involved in these crashes. About $2,819,000$, or 18.4 percent of involved people were injured. By comparison, approximately $5,942,000$ policereported crashes involved at least one light vehicle, which accounted for 96 percent of all crashes in 2004. A total of $10,695,000$ vehicles and $15,027,000$ people were involved in these light-vehicle crashes resulting in $2,737,000$ injured people. Table 5 compares the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity between light-vehicle crashes and all-vehicle crashes using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. The two crash sets have almost similar injury distributions. "Died Prior" listed in the KABCO injury scale is indicated in police reports if the person died prior to the crash as a result of natural causes (e.g., heart attack), disease, drug overdose, or alcohol poisoning.

Table 5. Injury Severity Comparison between Light-Vehicle and All-Vehicle Crashes

|  | Injury Severity | Light-Vehicle Crashes | All-Vehicle Crashes | Light/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KABCO <br> Injury Scale | None | 0.8179 | 0.8163 | 1.00 |
|  | Possible | 0.1092 | 0.1085 | 1.01 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.0482 | 0.0495 | 0.97 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.0192 | 0.0201 | 0.95 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0018 | 0.0020 | 0.92 |
|  | Unknown | 0.0037 | 0.0037 | 1.00 |
|  | Died prior | 0.000025 | 0.000024 | 1.02 |
|  | Sum | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.7806 | 0.7791 | 1.00 |
|  | Minor | 0.1886 | 0.1894 | 1.00 |
|  | Moderate | 0.0210 | 0.0214 | 0.98 |
|  | Serious | 0.0067 | 0.0069 | 0.97 |
|  | Severe | 0.0008 | 0.0009 | 0.97 |
|  | Critical | 0.00040 | 0.00041 | 0.96 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0018 | 0.0020 | 0.92 |
|  | Sum | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.555 | 0.549 | 1.01 |

### 3.2. Crash Breakdown by Number of Vehicles Involved Per Crash

Figure 1 breaks down light-vehicle crashes and all-vehicle crashes by the number of vehicles involved per crash. Table 6 shows that the crash severity in terms of people involved or injured people per crash is the same between light-vehicle and all-vehicle crashes by the three categories of number of vehicles involved per crash.


Figure 1. Distribution of Light-Vehicle and All-Vehicle Crashes by Number of Vehicles Involved per Crash

Table 6. Comparison of Crash Severity Between Light-Vehicle and All-Vehicle Crashes by Number of Vehicles Involved per Crash

|  | Type | Number of Crashes | Number of Persons | Number of Injured Persons | Persons per Crash | Injured Persons per Crash |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Vehicle Crash | All | 1,879,000 | 2,657,000 | 709,000 | 1.41 | 0.38 |
|  | Light | 1,673,000 | 2,398,000 | 637,000 | 1.43 | 0.38 |
|  | Light/All | 89.0\% | 90.3\% | 89.8\% | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| 2-Vehicle Crash | All | 3,890,000 | 10,885,000 | 1,722,000 | 2.80 | 0.44 |
|  | Light | 3,869,000 | 10,829,000 | 1,712,000 | 2.80 | 0.44 |
|  | Light/All | 99.5\% | 99.5\% | 99.4\% | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Greater <br> Than 2- <br> Vehicle <br> Crash | All | 401,000 | 1,801,000 | 388,000 | 4.49 | 0.97 |
|  | Light | 401,000 | 1,800,000 | 388,000 | 4.49 | 0.97 |
|  | Light/All | 99.9\% | 99.9\% | 100.0\% | 1.00 | 1.00 |

### 3.3. Contributing Factors and Circumstances of Light-Vehicle Crashes

Table 7 presents statistics on driving environment factors, which are associated with all light-vehicle crashes.

Table 7. Driving Environment Statistics of Light-Vehicle Crashes

| Lighting | Daylight | 69\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 15\% |
|  | Dark | 12\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 4\% |
| Weather | Clear | 84\% |
|  | Adverse | 16\% |
| Road Surface | Dry | 76\% |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 24\% |
| Road Alignment | Straight | 86\% |
|  | Curve | 14\% |
| Road Profile | Level | 78\% |
|  | Other | 22\% |
| Land Use | Rural | 52\% |
|  | Urban | 48\% |
| Day | Weekday | 77\% |
|  | Weekend | 23\% |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 78\% |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 4\% |
|  | Off Roadway | 17\% |
|  | Left Turn Lane | 0.2\% |


|  | Unknown | 0.1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 44\% |
|  | Intersection | 21.8\% |
|  | Intersection-Related | 19\% |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 9.4\% |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 3\% |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | 0.2\% |
|  | Other/Unknown | 2\% |
| Posted Speed Limit (mph) | < $=20$ | 2\% |
|  | 25 | 13\% |
|  | 30 | 9\% |
|  | 35 | 22\% |
|  | 40 | 9\% |
|  | 45 | 17\% |
|  | 50 | 4\% |
|  | $>=55$ | 24\% |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | 59\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 22\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | 12\% |
|  | Other | 7\% |

A recent field operational test of a collision avoidance system, employing 66 subjects who drove instrumented vehicles as their own personal cars, revealed that approximately 10 percent and 25 percent of the distance traveled were done respectively in adverse weather and in the dark [8]. In addition, year 2000 data from the Bureau of Transportation Statistics showed that about 40 percent of the mileage driven in the United States was traveled in rural areas. Normalizing by distance traveled, light-vehicle crashes are over-represented at night, in adverse weather, and in rural areas. The reader is cautioned that this is a simple comparison of percentages and that these factors might not be over-represented.

Table 8 shows descriptive statistics of driver factors for light-vehicle crashes. Based on the 1995 Nationwide Personal Transportation Survey (NPTS), female drivers accounted for about 40 percent of the distance traveled by motor vehicles in the United States; younger and older drivers accumulated respectively 12 and 9 percent of the distance traveled [9]. Normalizing by distance traveled, younger drivers are greatly overrepresented in light-vehicle crashes. As stated above, this over-representation of younger drivers is based on a simple comparison of percentages. Table 9 lists descriptive statistics of vehicle factors and evasive maneuvers for light-vehicle crashes.

Table 8. Driver Factors Statistics of All Light-Vehicle Drivers

| Alcohol | Yes | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | 96\% |
| Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 71\% |
|  | Vision Obscured | 3\% |
|  | Unknown | 26\% |
| Driver Distracted | Inattention | 14\% |
|  | Sleepy | 1\% |
|  | Not Distracted | 44\% |
|  | Unknown | 42\% |
| Speeding | Yes | 12\% |
|  | No | 85\% |
|  | Unknown | 3\% |
| Violation | Speeding | 0.1\% |
|  | Reckless | 1\% |
|  | None | 69\% |
|  | Other | 27\% |
|  | Unknown | 4\% |
| Impairment | Ill/Blackout | 0.2\% |
|  | Drowsy | 1\% |
|  | None | 93\% |
|  | Other | 2\% |
|  | Unknown | 4\% |
| Gender | Male | 56\% |
|  | Female | 44\% |
| Age | Younger $<=24$ | 30\% |
|  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 63\% |
|  | Older $>=65$ | 8\% |

Table 9. Vehicle Factor Statistics of All Light Vehicles

| Contributing <br> Factors | Yes | $1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | No | $91 \%$ |
|  | Unknown | $7 \%$ |
|  | Yes | $3 \%$ |
| Pre-Event <br> Movement | No | $97 \%$ |
|  | Go Driver Present | $0.2 \%$ |
|  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | $7 \%$ |
|  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | $0.1 \%$ |
|  | Starting in Traffic Lane | $3 \%$ |
|  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | $14 \%$ |
|  | Passing Another Vehicle | $1 \%$ |
|  | Parked in Travel Lane | $0.1 \%$ |
|  | Leaving a Parked Position | $1 \%$ |
|  | Entering a Parked Position | $0.2 \%$ |
|  | Turning Right | $3 \%$ |
|  | Turning Left | $10 \%$ |
|  | Making U-turn | $0.5 \%$ |
|  | Backing Up | $2 \%$ |
| Negotiating a Curve | $4 \%$ |  |
| Changing Lanes | $3 \%$ |  |
| Merging | $0.4 \%$ |  |


|  | Prior Corrective Action | 0.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Other | 1\% |
| Driver Avoidance Maneuver | Object in Road | 0.2\% |
|  | Poor Road Conditions | 0.05\% |
|  | Animal in Road | 1\% |
|  | Vehicle in Road | 8\% |
|  | Non-Motorist in Road | 0.2\% |
|  | Hit \& Run | 5\% |
|  | No Driver Present | 0.2\% |
|  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | 0.02\% |
|  | Unknown | 56\% |
|  | None | 29\% |
|  | Phantom Vehicle | 0.2\% |
| Corrective <br> Action <br> Attempted | No Driver Present | 0.2\% |
|  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 24\% |
|  | Braking | 6\% |
|  | Releasing Brakes | 0.01\% |
|  | Steering | 4\% |
|  | Braked and Steered | 1\% |
|  | Accelerated | 0.2\% |
|  | Accelerated and Steered | 0.03\% |
|  | Other | 0.2\% |
|  | Unknown | 65\% |

## 4. DETAILS OF NEW PRE-CRASH SCENARIO TYPOLOGY

The new pre-crash scenario typology of all light-vehicle crashes was derived by integrating lists of pre-crash scenarios from single-, two-, and multi-vehicle (more than two) crashes based on 2004 GES statistics. This section first presents results for each of the three crash categories. Afterward, the list of pre-crash scenarios for all light-vehicle crashes is discussed in terms of the frequency of occurrence, economic cost, and functional years lost. This is followed by a detailed description of crash characteristics for each scenario in the new pre-crash scenario typology. Such portrayal of scenario severity and crash characteristics will enable researchers to:

- Prioritize crash problem areas to be targeted for crash avoidance technology intervention
- Devise appropriate crash countermeasure concepts
- Determine applicable scenarios and define concomitant functional requirements
- Specify sensing and processing needs to assist drivers in preventing crashes via warning signals or automatic vehicle controls
- Develop guidelines for objective test procedures based on dynamic scenarios and driving characteristics most relevant to each applicable pre-crash scenario
- Estimate system effectiveness in each applicable pre-crash scenario and collectively assess potential safety benefits

This new typology is created to establish a consistent crash problem definition for developers of crash avoidance technologies, simplify crash characteristics for system designers, and prevent double counting of system safety benefits.

### 4.1. Single-Vehicle Pre-Crash Scenarios

Table 10 lists pre-crash scenarios of all single light-vehicle crashes in descending order in terms of frequency of occurrence. A total of 31 pre-crash scenarios represent 100 percent of all single light-vehicle crashes. The top three scenarios - control loss without prior vehicle action, road edge departure without prior vehicle maneuver, and animal crash without prior vehicle maneuver - account for about two thirds of all single light-vehicle crashes. The following twelve scenarios represent about 29 percent of all these crashes. The remaining sixteen pre-crash scenarios only correspond to five percent of all single light-vehicle crashes. It should be noted that vehicle action refers to a vehicle decelerating, accelerating, starting, passing, parking, turning, backing up, changing lanes, merging, and successful corrective action to a previous critical event. On the other hand, vehicle maneuver denotes passing, parking, turning, changing lanes, merging, and successful corrective action to a previous critical event.

Single light-vehicle crashes resulted in an estimated economic cost of about $\$ 37$ billion and 1.1 million functional years lost. In terms of economic cost and functional years lost, the top three scenarios in descending order are:

1. Control loss without prior vehicle action: 36.7 percent of economic cost and 38.4 percent of functional years lost.
2. Road edge departure without prior vehicle maneuver: 24 percent of economic cost and 24.7 percent of functional years lost.
3. Pedestrian crash without prior vehicle maneuver: 10.3 percent of economic cost and 12.6 percent of functional years lost.

Thus, the top three scenarios listed above accounted for a total of 71 and 76 percent respectively of all economic cost and functional years lost due to single-vehicle lightvehicle crashes.

Table 10. Pre-Crash Scenarios of Single-Vehicle Light-Vehicle Crashes

| No. | Scenario | Frequency | Rel. Freq. |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Control Loss Without Prior Vehicle Action | 471,000 | $28.15 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Road Edge Departure Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 330,000 | $19.73 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Animal Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 300,000 | $17.91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Control Loss With Prior Vehicle Action | 74,000 | $4.41 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Road Edge Departure While Backing Up | 66,000 | $3.93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Road Edge Departure With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 66,000 | $3.92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Object Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 55,000 | $3.26 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Pedestrian Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 37,000 | $2.22 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Vehicle Failure | 33,000 | $1.99 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Object Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 30,000 | $1.81 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Vehicle Changing Lanes - Same Direction | 29,000 | $1.75 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Pedalcyclist Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 23,000 | $1.40 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Vehicle(s) Not Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | 23,000 | $1.40 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Animal Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 23,000 | $1.37 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Pedalcyclist Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 18,000 | $1.07 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Non-Collision Incident | 17,000 | $1.00 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | Evasive Action Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 16,000 | $0.98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Pedestrian Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 16,000 | $0.98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Lead Vehicle Decelerating | 9,000 | $0.55 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Vehicle(s) Turning at Non-Signalized Junctions | 7,000 | $0.43 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Lead Vehicle Stopped | 4,000 | $0.26 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | Running Stop Sign | 4,000 | $0.25 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | No Driver Present | 4,000 | $0.24 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Evasive Action With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 4,000 | $0.21 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | On-Road Rollover | 3,000 | $0.21 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | Straight Crossing Paths at Non-Signalized Junctions | 2,000 | $0.15 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | Vehicle(s) Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | 2,000 | $0.12 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | Following Vehicle Making a Maneuver | 2,000 | $0.12 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | Lead Vehicle Moving at Lower Constant Speed | 1,000 | $0.07 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | Running Red Light | 1,000 | $0.06 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | Vehicle(s) Parking - Same Direction | 1,000 | $0.05 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |

### 4.2. Two-Vehicle Pre-Crash Scenarios

Table 11 ranks pre-crash scenarios of two-vehicle crashes in descending order in terms of frequency of occurrence. A total of 31 pre-crash scenarios represent 99.3 percent of all two-vehicle crashes involving at least one light vehicle. The top three scenarios - lead vehicle stopped, vehicle(s) turning at non-signalized junctions, and lead vehicle decelerating - account for about 40 percent of all two-vehicle crashes and the following five scenarios represent about 31 percent of all these crashes. The remaining 23 precrash scenarios correspond to 28 percent of these crashes. There are "other" scenarios that only account for 0.7 percent of two-vehicle crashes involving at least one light vehicle including animal and cyclist with prior vehicle maneuver ( 0.01 percent each), onroad rollover ( 0.01 percent), hit-and-run ( 0.13 percent), and other non-specific or nodetails scenarios. In about 50 percent of the lead-vehicle-stopped crashes, the lead vehicle first decelerates to a stop and is later struck by the following vehicle. This typically happens in the presence of a traffic control device or the lead vehicle is slowing down to make a turn. Thus, this particular scenario overlaps with the lead-vehicledecelerating scenario.

Two-vehicle crashes involving at least one light vehicle resulted in an estimated economic cost of about $\$ 69$ billion and 1.4 million functional years lost. In terms of economic cost, the top three scenarios in descending order are:

1. Lead vehicle stopped (14.9\%)
2. Vehicle(s) turning at non-signalized junctions (10\%)
3. Straight crossing paths at non-signalized junctions (9.9\%)

The top three scenarios listed above accounted for a total of 34.9 percent of all economic cost due to two-vehicle light-vehicle crashes. In terms of functional years lost, the top three scenarios in descending order are:

1. Straight crossing paths at non-signalized junctions (11.6\%)
2. Opposite direction without prior vehicle maneuver (11.6\%)
3. Lead vehicle stopped ( $10.9 \%$ )

The top three scenarios listed above resulted in a total of 34 percent of all functional years lost due to two-vehicle light-vehicle crashes.

Table 11. Pre-Crash Scenarios of Two-Vehicle Light-Vehicle Crashes

| No. | Scenario | Frequency | Rel. Freq. |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Lead Vehicle Stopped | 792,000 | $20.46 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Vehicle(s) Turning at Non-Signalized Junctions | 419,000 | $10.83 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Lead Vehicle Decelerating | 347,000 | $8.96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Vehicle(s) Changing Lanes - Same Direction | 295,000 | $7.62 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Straight Crossing Paths at Non-Signalized Junctions | 252,000 | $6.52 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Running Red Light | 233,000 | $6.02 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Vehicle(s) Turning - Same Direction | 220,000 | $5.68 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | LTAP/OD at Signalized Junctions | 205,000 | $5.29 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Lead Vehicle Moving at Lower Constant Speed | 186,000 | $4.82 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | LTAP/OD at Non-Signalized Junctions | 181,000 | $4.68 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Backing Up Into Another Vehicle | 131,000 | $3.38 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Vehicle(s) Not Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | 94,000 | $2.43 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Vehicle(s) Drifting - Same Direction | 91,000 | $2.35 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Following Vehicle Making a Maneuver | 74,000 | $1.92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Control Loss Without Prior Vehicle Action | 52,000 | $1.33 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Vehicle(s) Parking - Same Direction | 47,000 | $1.21 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | Running Stop Sign | 43,000 | $1.12 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Evasive Action Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 37,000 | $0.95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Vehicle Turning Right at Signalized Junctions | 34,000 | $0.89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Control Loss With Prior Vehicle Action | 26,000 | $0.68 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Non-Collision Incident | 25,000 | $0.64 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | Lead Vehicle Accelerating | 16,000 | $0.41 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | Vehicle(s) Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | 13,000 | $0.33 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Evasive Action With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 8,000 | $0.21 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | Vehicle Failure | 8,000 | $0.20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | Animal Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 6,000 | $0.14 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | Road Edge Departure Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 3,000 | $0.08 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | Pedestrian Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 2,000 | $0.05 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | Road Edge Departure With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 2,000 | $0.04 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | Pedestrian Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 1,000 | $0.02 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | Pedalcyclist Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 1,000 | $0.02 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | Other | 28,000 | $0.73 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |

### 4.3. Multi-Vehicle Pre-Crash Scenarios

Table 12 ranks pre-crash scenarios of multi-vehicle (more than two) crashes involving at least one light vehicle in descending order in terms of frequency of occurrence. A total of 24 pre-crash scenarios represent 99.4 percent of all these crashes. The top three scenarios - lead vehicle stopped, decelerating, and moving at lower constant speed account for 68 percent of all multi-vehicle crashes and lead mostly to rear-end crashes. The following 11 scenarios represent about 27 percent of all these crashes. The
remaining 10 pre-crash scenarios correspond to only 4 percent of these crashes. There are "other" scenarios that only account for 0.6 percent of multi-vehicle crashes involving at least one light vehicle including road edge departure with prior vehicle maneuver, animal and pedestrian without prior vehicle maneuver, backing up into another vehicle, parking, on-road rollover, hit-and-run, and other non-specific or no-details scenarios.

Multi-vehicle light-vehicle crashes resulted in an estimated economic cost of about \$14 billion and 292 thousand functional years lost based on 2004 GES statistics. The top three scenarios, accounting for a total of 57.8 percent of all direct economic cost, are listed below in descending order:

1. Lead vehicle stopped (35.9\%)
2. Lead vehicle decelerating ( $14.8 \%$ )
3. Opposite direction without prior vehicle maneuver (7.1\%)

The top three scenarios, resulting in a total of 55 percent of all functional years lost, are listed below in descending order:

1. Lead vehicle stopped (29.6\%)
2. Lead vehicle decelerating (13.3\%)
3. Opposite direction without prior vehicle maneuver (11.7\%)

Table 12. Pre-Crash Scenarios of Multi-Vehicle Light-Vehicle Crashes

| No. | Scenario | Frequency | Rel. Freq. |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Lead Vehicle Stopped | 179,000 | $44.56 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Lead Vehicle Decelerating | 72,000 | $18.05 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Lead Vehicle Moving at Lower Constant Speed | 22,000 | $5.50 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Running Red Light | 20,000 | $4.93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | LTAP/OD at Signalized Junctions | 16,000 | $3.91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Vehicle(s) Changing Lanes - Same Direction | 14,000 | $3.54 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Following Vehicle Making a Maneuver | 9,000 | $2.25 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Straight Crossing Paths at Non-Signalized Junctions | 9,000 | $2.24 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | LTAP/OD at Non-Signalized Junctions | 9,000 | $2.23 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Vehicle(s) Turning at Non-Signalized Junctions | 9,000 | $2.14 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Vehicle(s) Drifting - Same Direction | 7,000 | $1.81 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Control Loss Without Prior Vehicle Action | 6,000 | $1.62 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Vehicle(s) Not Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | 6,000 | $1.60 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Non-Collision Incident | 5,000 | $1.15 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Evasive Action Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 3,000 | $0.77 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Lead Vehicle Accelerating | 3,000 | $0.67 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | Control Loss With Prior Vehicle Action | 3,000 | $0.64 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Vehicle(s) Turning - Same Direction | 2,000 | $0.49 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Evasive Action With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 1,000 | $0.33 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Vehicle Failure | 1,000 | $0.32 \%$ |


| No. | Scenario | Frequency | Rel. Freq. |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Running Stop Sign | 1,000 | $0.24 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | Vehicle Turning Right at Signalized Junctions | 1,000 | $0.17 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | Vehicle(s) Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | 1,000 | $0.16 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Road Edge Departure Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 1,000 | $0.14 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | Other | 2,000 | $0.55 \%$ |

### 4.4. All Light-Vehicle Pre-Crash Scenarios

Table 13 ranks pre-crash scenarios of all light-vehicle crashes in descending order in terms of frequency of occurrence. A total of 36 pre-crash scenarios represent 99.4 percent of all light-vehicle crashes. The top scenario with an individual relative frequency over ten percent - lead vehicle stopped - accounts for 16 percent of all lightvehicle crashes. The following six scenarios with an individual relative frequency between 5 and 10 percent represent about 40 percent of all these crashes. The remaining 29 pre-crash scenarios correspond to 43 percent of all light-vehicle crashes. There are "other" scenarios that only account for 0.6 percent of all light-vehicle crashes including on-road rollover ( $0.06 \%$ ), hit-and-run ( $0.09 \%$ ), no driver present ( $0.07 \%$ ), and other nonspecific or no-details scenarios.

Table 14 ranks pre-crash scenarios of all light-vehicle crashes in descending order in terms of economic cost. Overall, police-reported light-vehicle crashes resulted in an estimated cost of $\$ 120$ billion based on 2004 GES statistics. It should be noted that these societal harm estimates are based solely on police-reported crashes captured by the GES crash database, excluding a large number of non-police-reported crashes. The top three scenarios - control loss without prior vehicle action, lead vehicle stopped, and road edge departure without prior vehicle maneuver - account for a total of 34 percent of all economic cost.

Table 15 ranks pre-crash scenarios of all light-vehicle crashes in descending order in terms of functional years lost, which totaled about $2,767,000$ years based on 2004 GES statistics. The top five scenarios, accounting for a total of 49 percent of all functional years lost, are listed below in descending order along with their respective ranks in terms of frequency of occurrence (frequency) and economic cost (cost):

1. Control loss without prior vehicle action - second in frequency and first in cost
2. Road edge departure without prior vehicle maneuver - fifth in frequency and third in cost
3. Lead vehicle stopped - first in frequency and second in cost
4. Opposite direction without prior vehicle maneuver - fifteenth in frequency and seventh in cost
5. Straight crossing paths at non-signalized junctions - eighth in frequency and fifth in cost

The following lists three scenarios that appear in the top five pre-crash scenarios in frequency of occurrence, economic cost, and functional years lost:

1. Control loss without prior vehicle action
2. Lead vehicle stopped
3. Road edge departure without prior vehicle maneuver

Table 13. Pre-Crash Scenarios of All Light-Vehicle Crashes

| No. | Scenario | 1- <br> Frequency | Frequency | Rel. Freq. |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Lead Vehicle Stopped | 974,855 | 975,000 | $16.41 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Control Loss Without Prior Vehicle Action | 528,930 | 529,000 | $8.90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Vehicle(s) Turning at Non-Signalized Junctions | 434,892 | 435,000 | $7.32 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Lead Vehicle Decelerating | 428,067 | 428,000 | $7.20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Road Edge Departure Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 333,706 | 334,000 | $5.62 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Vehicle(s) Changing Lanes - Same Direction | 338,309 | 338,000 | $5.69 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Animal Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 305,102 | 305,000 | $5.13 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Straight Crossing Paths at Non-Signalized Junctions | 263,840 | 264,000 | $4.44 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Running Red Light | 253,618 | 254,000 | $4.27 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Vehicle(s) Turning - Same Direction | 221,791 | 222,000 | $3.73 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | LTAP/OD at Signalized Junctions | 220,206 | 220,000 | $3.71 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Lead Vehicle Moving at Lower Constant Speed | 209,610 | 210,000 | $3.53 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | LTAP/OD at Non-Signalized Junctions | 189,816 | 190,000 | $3.19 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Backing Up Into Another Vehicle | 130,701 | 131,000 | $2.20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Vehicle(s) Not Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | 123,699 | 124,000 | $2.08 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Control Loss With Prior Vehicle Action | 102,617 | 103,000 | $1.73 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | Vehicle(s) Drifting - Same Direction | 97,973 | 98,000 | $1.65 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Following Vehicle Making a Maneuver | 85,373 | 85,000 | $1.44 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Road Edge Departure With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 67,528 | 68,000 | $1.14 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Road Edge Departure While Backing Up | 65,809 | 66,000 | $1.11 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Object Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 54,526 | 55,000 | $0.92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | Evasive Action Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 56,199 | 56,000 | $0.95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | Vehicle(s) Parking - Same Direction | 48,138 | 48,000 | $0.81 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Running Stop Sign | 48,296 | 48,000 | $0.81 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | Non-Collision Incident | 45,910 | 46,000 | $0.77 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | Vehicle Failure | 42,147 | 42,000 | $0.71 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | Pedestrian Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 39,324 | 39,000 | $0.66 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | Vehicle Turning Right at Signalized Junctions | 34,951 | 35,000 | $0.59 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | Object Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 30,301 | 30,000 | $0.51 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | Pedalcyclist Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 24,071 | 24,000 | $0.41 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | Animal Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 23,322 | 23,000 | $0.39 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | Pedalcyclist Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 18,325 | 18,000 | $0.31 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | Pedestrian Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 17,118 | 17,000 | $0.29 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | Lead Vehicle Accelerating | 19,000 | $0.32 \%$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | Vehicle(s) Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | 15,000 | $0.26 \%$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3 6}$ | Evasive Action With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 36,000 | $0.60 \%$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | Other |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13,000 | $0.22 \%$ |  |

Table 14. Ranking of Light-Vehicle Pre-Crash Scenarios by Economic Cost

| No. | Scenario |  | Cost (\$) | Rel. Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Control Loss Without Prior Vehicle Action | \$ | 15,796,000,000 | 13.18\% |
| 2 | Lead Vehicle Stopped | \$ | 15,388,000,000 | 12.84\% |
| 3 | Road Edge Departure Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | \$ | 9,005,000,000 | 7.51\% |
| 4 | Vehicle(s) Turning at Non-Signalized Junctions | \$ | 7,343,000,000 | 6.13\% |
| 5 | Straight Crossing Paths at Non-Signalized Junctions | \$ | 7,290,000,000 | 6.08\% |
| 6 | Running Red Light | \$ | 6,627,000,000 | 5.53\% |
| 7 | Vehicle(s) Not Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | \$ | 6,407,000,000 | 5.35\% |
| 8 | Lead Vehicle Decelerating | \$ | 6,390,000,000 | 5.33\% |
| 9 | LTAP/OD at Signalized Junctions | \$ | 5,749,000,000 | 4.80\% |
| 10 | LTAP/OD at Non-Signalized Junctions | \$ | 5,137,000,000 | 4.29\% |
| 11 | Vehicle(s) Changing Lanes - Same Direction | \$ | 4,247,000,000 | 3.54\% |
| 12 | Pedestrian Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | \$ | 4,022,000,000 | 3.36\% |
| 13 | Lead Vehicle Moving at Lower Constant Speed | \$ | 3,910,000,000 | 3.26\% |
| 14 | Vehicle(s) Turning - Same Direction | \$ | 2,810,000,000 | 2.34\% |
| 15 | Control Loss With Prior Vehicle Action | \$ | 1,970,000,000 | 1.64\% |
| 16 | Animal Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | \$ | 1,632,000,000 | 1.36\% |
| 17 | Vehicle(s) Drifting - Same Direction | \$ | 1,383,000,000 | 1.15\% |
| 18 | Evasive Action Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | \$ | 1,349,000,000 | 1.13\% |
| 19 | Running Stop Sign | \$ | 1,310,000,000 | 1.09\% |
| 20 | Pedalcyclist Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | \$ | 1,301,000,000 | 1.09\% |
| 21 | Following Vehicle Making a Maneuver | \$ | 1,212,000,000 | 1.01\% |
| 22 | Road Edge Departure With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | \$ | 1,144,000,000 | 0.95\% |
| 23 | Vehicle Failure | \$ | 1,051,000,000 | 0.88\% |
| 24 | Backing Up Into Another Vehicle | \$ | 947,000,000 | 0.79\% |
| 25 | Vehicle(s) Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | \$ | 943,000,000 | 0.79\% |
| 26 | Pedestrian Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | \$ | 843,000,000 | 0.70\% |
| 27 | Object Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | \$ | 687,000,000 | 0.57\% |
| 28 | Vehicle(s) Parking - Same Direction | \$ | 623,000,000 | 0.52\% |
| 29 | Non-Collision Incident | \$ | 592,000,000 | 0.49\% |
| 30 | Pedalcyclist Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | \$ | 523,000,000 | 0.44\% |
| 31 | Vehicle Turning Right at Signalized Junctions | \$ | 355,000,000 | 0.30\% |
| 32 | Road Edge Departure While Backing Up | \$ | 350,000,000 | 0.29\% |
| 33 | Lead Vehicle Accelerating | \$ | 273,000,000 | 0.23\% |
| 34 | Evasive Action With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | \$ | 198,000,000 | 0.17\% |
| 35 | Object Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | \$ | 155,000,000 | 0.13\% |
| 36 | Animal Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | \$ | 120,000,000 | 0.10\% |
| 37 | Other | \$ | 764,000,000 | 0.64\% |

Table 15. Ranking of Light-Vehicle Pre-Crash Scenarios by Functional Years Lost

| No. | Scenario | Years Lost | Rel. Yrs Lost |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Control Loss Without Prior Vehicle Action | 478,000 | $17.27 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Road Edge Departure Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 270,000 | $9.76 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Lead Vehicle Stopped | 240,000 | $8.69 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Vehicle(s) Not Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | 206,000 | $7.44 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Straight Crossing Paths at Non-Signalized Junctions | 174,000 | $6.29 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Pedestrian Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 144,000 | $5.21 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Vehicle(s) Turning at Non-Signalized Junctions | 138,000 | $5.00 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Running Red Light | 135,000 | $4.87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | LTAP/OD at Signalized Junctions | 121,000 | $4.36 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | LTAP/OD at Non-Signalized Junctions | 113,000 | $4.09 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Lead Vehicle Decelerating | 100,000 | $3.62 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Lead Vehicle Moving at Lower Constant Speed | 78,000 | $2.81 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Vehicle(s) Changing Lanes - Same Direction | 71,000 | $2.57 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Control Loss With Prior Vehicle Action | 49,000 | $1.76 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Vehicle(s) Turning - Same Direction | 47,000 | $1.68 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Pedalcyclist Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 39,000 | $1.42 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | Vehicle(s) Drifting - Same Direction | 37,000 | $1.32 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Evasive Action Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 36,000 | $1.31 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Road Edge Departure With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 34,000 | $1.22 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Vehicle(s) Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | 32,000 | $1.14 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Running Stop Sign | 28,000 | $1.02 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | Vehicle Failure | 2,000 | $0.06 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | Pedestrian Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 26,000 | $0.93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Animal Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 24,000 | $0.88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | Object Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 24,000 | $0.86 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | Following Vehicle Making a Maneuver | 19,000 | $0.68 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | Non-Collision Incident | 18,000 | $0.67 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | Vehicle(s) Parking - Same Direction | 13,000 | $0.45 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | Pedalcyclist Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 11,000 | $0.41 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | Backing Up Into Another Vehicle | 11,000 | $0.39 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | Road Edge Departure While Backing Up | 9,000 | $0.32 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | Lead Vehicle Accelerating | 6,000 | $0.21 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | Vehicle Turning Right at Signalized Junctions | 4,000 | $0.15 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | Evasive Action With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 4,000 | $0.15 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | Object Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | $0.13 \%$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3 6}$ | Animal Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | $0.10 \%$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | Other |  | 000 |

### 4.5. Statistical Description of All Light-Vehicle Pre-Crash Scenarios

The following provides a detailed description for each of the 37 scenarios based on the same order as listed in Appendix A. Appendix B also lists in tabular format descriptive statistics about driving environment, driver, and vehicle factors for each of these scenarios.

## Vehicle Failure

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in a rural area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, on a dry road with a posted speed limit
 of 55 mph or more, and then loses control due to catastrophic component failure at a non-junction and runs off the road. Failure of tires, brakes, power train, steering system, and wheels contributed to about 95 percent of these crashes, with tires alone accounting for 62 percent of vehicle failure crashes.

Factor Over-Representation: Rural area, non-junction, high-speed road, younger driver, and rollover are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is negotiating a curve and then loses control due to component failure ( $24 \%$ of crashes).

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 1.78 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 42,000 | 0.71\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 53,000 | 0.50\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 89,000 | 0.59\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$1,051,000,000 | 0.88\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 26,000 | 0.93\% |
| KABCO <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.718 | 0.878 |
|  | Possible | 0.097 | 0.884 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.133 | 2.759 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.043 | 2.261 |
|  | Fatal | 0.002 | 1.101 |
|  | Unknown | 0.007 | 1.860 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS Injury Scale | None | 0.691 | 0.885 |
|  | Minor | 0.253 | 1.344 |
|  | Moderate | 0.038 | 1.796 |
|  | Serious | 0.013 | 1.969 |
|  | Severe | 0.002 | 2.175 |
|  | Critical | 0.001 | 2.226 |
|  | Fatal | 0.002 | 1.092 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.655 | 1.181 |

## Control Loss With Prior Vehicle Action

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is turning left or right at an intersection-related area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 45 mph or less, and then loses control due to wet or slippery roads and runs off the road.


Factor Over-Representation: Dark, adverse weather, wet or slippery road, intersection-related, speeding, younger driver, and rollover are overrepresented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is decelerating in the traffic lane or changing lanes and then loses control.

Scenario Severity: The table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 1.43 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of crashes | 103,000 | 1.73\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 135,000 | 1.26\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 192,000 | 1.28\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$1,970,000,000 | 1.64\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 49,000 | 1.76\% |
|  | None | 0.780 | 0.954 |
|  | Possible | 0.105 | 0.959 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.074 | 1.532 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.035 | 1.827 |
|  | Fatal | 0.002 | 1.263 |
|  | Unknown | 0.004 | 0.999 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS Injury Scale | None | 0.747 | 0.956 |
|  | Minor | 0.211 | 1.117 |
|  | Moderate | 0.028 | 1.344 |
|  | Serious | 0.010 | 1.497 |
|  | Severe | 0.001 | 1.634 |
|  | Critical | 0.001 | 1.746 |
|  | Fatal | 0.002 | 1.252 |
|  | Injured people per cras | 0.474 | 0.855 |

## Control Loss Without Prior Vehicle Action

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in a rural area, in daylight, under adverse weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 55 mph or more, and then loses control due to wet or
 slippery roads and runs off the road.

Factor Over-Representation: Dark, adverse weather, wet/slippery road, rural area, nonjunction, high-speed road, speeding, younger driver, and rollover are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is negotiating a curve and loses control ( $42 \%$ of crashes).
Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 2.67 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal). Approximately 1,000 pedestrians were involved in this crash scenario.

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 529,000 | 8.90\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 596,000 | 5.57\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 825,000 | 5.49\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$15,796,000,000 | 13.18\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 478,000 | 17.27\% |
|  | None | 0.672 | 0.821 |
|  | Possible | 0.139 | 1.271 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.121 | 2.506 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.056 | 2.928 |
|  | Fatal | 0.008 | 4.443 |
|  | Unknown | 0.004 | 1.163 |
|  | Died prior | 0.0003 | 11.118 |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.656 | 0.840 |
|  | Minor | 0.275 | 1.459 |
|  | Moderate | 0.042 | 2.006 |
|  | Serious | 0.015 | 2.310 |
|  | Severe | 0.002 | 2.565 |
|  | Critical | 0.001 | 2.785 |
|  | Fatal | 0.008 | 4.405 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.537 | 0.967 |

## Running Red Light

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 35 mph ; vehicle then runs a red light, crossing an intersection and colliding with another vehicle crossing the intersection from a lateral direction.

Factor Over-Representation: Urban area, inattention,
 female driver, and younger and older drivers are overrepresented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle runs a red light while turning left and collides with another straight crossing vehicle from a lateral direction.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 1.18 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of crashes | 254,000 | 4.27\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 528,000 | 4.94\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 740,000 | 4.92\% |
| Societal Economic cost |  | \$6,627,000,000 | 5.53\% |
| Cost | Functional years lost | 135,000 | 4.87\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { KABCO } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{array}$ | None | 0.726 | 0.888 |
|  | Possible | 0.169 | 1.546 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.073 | 1.522 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.025 | 1.283 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.457 |
|  | Unknown | 0.006 | 1.666 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS Injury Scale | None | 0.709 | 0.909 |
|  | Minor | 0.249 | 1.320 |
|  | Moderate | 0.030 | 1.422 |
|  | Serious | 0.009 | 1.393 |
|  | Severe | 0.001 | 1.366 |
|  | Critical | 0.001 | 1.319 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.453 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.848 | 1.528 |

## Running Stop Sign

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in a rural area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 35 mph or less; and runs a stop sign at an intersection.

Factor Over-Representation: Low posted speed limit ( 35 mph or less), inattention, and younger and older drivers are over-represented (based on a simple
 comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle runs a stop sign while turning either left or right.
Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 1.33 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of crashes | 48,000 | 0.81\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 93,000 | 0.87\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 133,000 | 0.88\% |
| Societal Economic cost |  | \$1,310,000,000 | 1.09\% |
| Cost | Functional years lost | 28,000 | 1.02\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { KABCO } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{array}$ | None | 0.710 | 0.868 |
|  | Possible | 0.162 | 1.487 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.088 | 1.830 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.026 | 1.386 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.671 |
|  | Unknown | 0.012 | 3.169 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.694 | 0.889 |
|  | Minor | 0.260 | 1.377 |
|  | Moderate | 0.033 | 1.555 |
|  | Serious | 0.010 | 1.530 |
|  | Severe | 0.001 | 1.592 |
|  | Critical | 0.001 | 1.448 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.665 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.839 | 1.513 |

## Road Edge Departure With Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is turning left/right at an intersection-related location, in a rural area at night, under clear weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 25 mph ; and then departs the edge of the road.

Factor Over-Representation: Dark, intersection-related, low-speed road, alcohol, inattention, and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).


Dynamic Variations: Vehicle attempts to change lanes/pass or enter/leave a parking position and departs the edge of the road. The first harmful event of the "road edge departure with prior vehicle maneuver" scenario occurs at road shoulder or parking lane in one-third of these crashes. Moreover, the vehicle departs the road edge to the right in about two-thirds of these crashes.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 1.42 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal). Approximately 1,000 pedestrians were involved in this crash scenario.

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of crashes | 68,000 | 1.14\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 70,000 | 0.65\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 98,000 | 0.65\% |
| Societa Cost | Economic cost | \$1,144,000,000 | 0.95\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 34,000 | 1.22\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { KABCO } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{array}$ | None | 0.827 | 1.011 |
|  | Possible | 0.059 | 0.540 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.079 | 1.642 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.022 | 1.130 |
|  | Fatal | 0.005 | 2.925 |
|  | Unknown | 0.008 | 2.162 |
|  | Died prior | - |  |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.781 | 1.000 |
|  | Minor | 0.182 | 0.965 |
|  | Moderate | 0.023 | 1.087 |
|  | Serious | 0.007 | 1.115 |
|  | Severe | 0.001 | 1.235 |
|  | Critical | 0.0005 | 1.155 |
|  | Fatal | 0.005 | 2.899 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.318 | 0.574 |

## Road Edge Departure Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in a rural area at night, under clear weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 55 mph or more, and departs the edge of the road at a non-junction area.


Factor Over-Representation: Dark, rural area, non-junction, alcohol, inattention, speeding, drowsiness, younger driver, and rollover are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is negotiating a curve and departs the edge of the road (26\% of crashes). The first harmful event of the "road edge departure without prior vehicle maneuver" scenario occurs at road shoulder or parking lane in about 27 percent of these crashes. Moreover, the vehicle departs the road edge to the right in about two-thirds of these crashes.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 2.79 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal). Approximately 2,000 pedestrians were involved in this crash scenario.

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 334,000 | 5.62\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 338,000 | 3.16\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 456,000 | 3.03\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$9,005,000,000 | $7.51 \%$ |
|  | Functional years lost | 270,000 | 9.76\% |
| KABCO <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.652 | 0.798 |
|  | Possible | 0.131 | 1.201 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.141 | 2.930 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.058 | 3.023 |
|  | Fatal | 0.008 | 4.410 |
|  | Unknown | 0.009 | 2.572 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.638 | 0.817 |
|  | Minor | 0.289 | 1.532 |
|  | Moderate | 0.045 | 2.164 |
|  | Serious | 0.016 | 2.462 |
|  | Severe | 0.002 | 2.795 |
|  | Critical | 0.001 | 2.915 |
|  | Fatal | 0.008 | 4.371 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.495 | 0.892 |

## Road Edge Departure While Backing Up

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is backing up in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 25 mph ; and then departs the road edge on the shoulder/parking lane in a driveway/alley location.


Factor Over-Representation: Driveway/alley location, low-speed road, alcohol, inattention, and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is leaving/entering a parked position while backing up and departs the edge of the road.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.27 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal). Approximately 4,000 pedestrians were involved in this crash scenario.

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 66,000 | 1.11\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 66,000 | 0.62\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 95,000 | 0.63\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$350,000,000 | 0.29\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 6,000 | 0.21\% |
|  | None | 0.941 | 1.150 |
|  | Possible | 0.037 | 0.342 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.016 | 0.336 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.003 | 0.131 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.358 |
|  | Unknown | 0.002 | 0.605 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS Injury Scale | None | 0.878 | 1.125 |
|  | Minor | 0.112 | 0.591 |
|  | Moderate | 0.008 | 0.359 |
|  | Serious | 0.002 | 0.275 |
|  | Severe | 0.0002 | 0.227 |
|  | Critical | 0.0001 | 0.165 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.355 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.176 | 0.318 |

## Animal Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is leaving a parked position in a rural area at night, under clear weather conditions; and encounters an animal at a non-junction area.


Factor Over-Representation: Dark, wet, or slippery road, rural area, non-junction, and high-speed road are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is passing another vehicle and encounters an animal.
Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.36 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 23,000 | 0.39\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 24,000 | 0.22\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 27,000 | 0.18\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$120,000,000 | 0.10\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 2,000 | 0.06\% |
|  | None | 0.889 | 1.087 |
|  | Possible | 0.083 | 0.759 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.022 | 0.451 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.005 | 0.240 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0002 | 0.099 |
|  | Unknown | 0.002 | 0.498 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.839 | 1.075 |
|  | Minor | 0.145 | 0.771 |
|  | Moderate | 0.012 | 0.557 |
|  | Serious | 0.003 | 0.449 |
|  | Severe | 0.0003 | 0.344 |
|  | Critical | 0.0001 | 0.286 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0002 | 0.098 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.186 | 0.336 |

## Animal Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in a rural area at night, under clear weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 55 mph or more; and encounters an animal at a non-junction location.


Factor Over-Representation: Dark, rural area, nonjunction, and high-speed road are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is negotiating a curve and encounters an animal ( $11 \%$ of crashes).

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.38 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of crashes | 305,000 | 5.13\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 311,000 | 2.90\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 414,000 | 2.76\% |
| Societal Economic cost |  | \$1,632,000,000 | 1.36\% |
| Cost | Functional years lost | 24,000 | 0.86\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { KABCO } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{gathered}$ | None | 0.921 | 1.126 |
|  | Possible | 0.040 | 0.364 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.030 | 0.618 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.008 | 0.412 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0001 | 0.065 |
|  | Unknown | 0.001 | 0.324 |
|  | Died prior | - |  |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.861 | 1.103 |
|  | Minor | 0.124 | 0.660 |
|  | Moderate | 0.011 | 0.509 |
|  | Serious | 0.003 | 0.468 |
|  | Severe | 0.0004 | 0.440 |
|  | Critical | 0.0002 | 0.425 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0001 | 0.064 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.189 | 0.340 |

## Pedestrian Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is turning left in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions with a posted speed limit of 35 mph ; and encounters a pedestrian in the crosswalk at a signaled intersection.

Factor Over-Representation: Urban area, intersection and
 intersection-related locations, low-speed road, vision obscured, and inattention are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is turning right and encounters a pedestrian. The pedestrian is running into the road or playing in the roadway in about 15 percent of overall scenario crashes.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 2.87 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of crashes | 17,000 | 0.29\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 18,000 | 0.17\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 41,000 | 0.27\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$843,000,000 | 0.70\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 24,000 | 0.88\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { KABCO } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{gathered}$ | None | 0.545 | 0.666 |
|  | Possible | 0.228 | 2.090 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.150 | 3.119 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.054 | 2.799 |
|  | Fatal | 0.007 | 4.148 |
|  | Unknown | 0.016 | 4.288 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.558 | 0.715 |
|  | Minor | 0.360 | 1.910 |
|  | Moderate | 0.053 | 2.509 |
|  | Serious | 0.018 | 2.651 |
|  | Severe | 0.002 | 2.877 |
|  | Critical | 0.001 | 2.806 |
|  | Fatal | 0.007 | 4.111 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 1.060 | 1.910 |

## Pedestrian Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 25 mph ; and then encounters a pedestrian at a non-junction location.

Factor Over-Representation: Dark, adverse weather,
 non-junction area, low-speed road, vision obscured, and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is starting in traffic lane or negotiating a curve and encounters a pedestrian. The pedestrian is running into the road in 36 percent of overall scenario crashes. Moreover, the pedestrian is improperly crossing the roadway in 26 percent of overall scenario crashes.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 5.74 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of crashes | 39,000 | 0.66\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 42,000 | 0.39\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 98,000 | 0.65\% |
| Societal Economic cost |  | \$4,022,000,000 | 3.36\% |
| Cost | Functional years lost | 144,000 | 5.21\% |
| KABCOInjuryScale | None | 0.587 | 0.717 |
|  | Possible | 0.124 | 1.131 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.131 | 2.715 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.115 | 5.997 |
|  | Fatal | 0.025 | 14.008 |
|  | Unknown | 0.019 | 5.236 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.576 | 0.738 |
|  | Minor | 0.305 | 1.618 |
|  | Moderate | 0.061 | 2.899 |
|  | Serious | 0.026 | 3.878 |
|  | Severe | 0.004 | 4.957 |
|  | Critical | 0.002 | 5.462 |
|  | Fatal | 0.025 | 13.884 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 1.055 | 1.902 |

## Pedalcyclist Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is turning right in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 25 mph ; and encounters a pedalcyclist at an intersection.

Factor Over-Representation: Clear weather, dry road, intersection and intersection-related locations, low-speed road,
 vision obscured, inattention, and younger driver are overrepresented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is turning left and encounters a pedalcyclist. The pedalcyclist is in the crosswalk in about one-third of overall scenario crashes. Moreover, the pedalcyclist fails to yield the right-of-way and is riding on the wrong side of the road respectively in about 13 and 24 percent of overall scenario crashes.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 1.65 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 18,000 | 0.31\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 19,000 | 0.18\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 48,000 | 0.32\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$523,000,000 | 0.44\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 11,000 | 0.39\% |
| KABCOInjury Scale | None | 0.645 | 0.788 |
|  | Possible | 0.126 | 1.158 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.189 | 3.922 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.035 | 1.821 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0002 | 0.127 |
|  | Unknown | 0.005 | 1.279 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.631 | 0.809 |
|  | Minor | 0.308 | 1.634 |
|  | Moderate | 0.044 | 2.091 |
|  | Serious | 0.014 | 2.062 |
|  | Severe | 0.002 | 2.039 |
|  | Critical | 0.001 | 1.956 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0002 | 0.126 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.975 | 1.757 |

## Pedalcyclist Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 25 mph ; and encounters a pedalcyclist at an intersection.

Factor Over-Representation: Clear weather, dry road,
 intersection, low-speed road, vision obscured, and female driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is starting in traffic lane and encounters a pedalcyclist. The pedalcyclist fails to yield the right-of-way and is riding on the wrong side of the road respectively in about 46 and 6 percent of overall scenario crashes.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 3.27 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 24,000 | 0.41\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 25,000 | 0.23\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 58,000 | 0.39\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$1,301,000,000 | 1.09\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 39,000 | 1.42\% |
| KABCO Injury Scale | None | 0.593 | 0.726 |
|  | Possible | 0.134 | 1.229 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.184 | 3.823 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.070 | 3.663 |
|  | Fatal | 0.009 | 4.837 |
|  | Unknown | 0.009 | 2.518 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.586 | 0.751 |
|  | Minor | 0.327 | 1.733 |
|  | Moderate | 0.054 | 2.585 |
|  | Serious | 0.020 | 2.964 |
|  | Severe | 0.003 | 3.362 |
|  | Critical | 0.001 | 3.537 |
|  | Fatal | 0.009 | 4.795 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 1.003 | 1.808 |

## Backing Up Into Another Vehicle

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is backing up in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at a driveway or alley location, with a posted speed limit of 25 mph ; and collides with another vehicle.

Factor Over-Representation: Daylight, driveway or
 alley and intersection-related locations, low-speed road, vision obscured, inattention, and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is leaving a parked position and backs into another vehicle.
Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.13 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/AII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of crashes | 131,000 | 2.20\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 261,000 | 2.44\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 363,000 | 2.42\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$947,000,000 | 0.79\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 9,000 | 0.32\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { KABCO } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{array}$ | None | 0.957 | 1.170 |
|  | Possible | 0.034 | 0.313 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.007 | 0.143 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.001 | 0.030 |
|  | Fatal | 0.00003 | 0.019 |
|  | Unknown | 0.001 | 0.371 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.892 | 1.142 |
|  | Minor | 0.102 | 0.538 |
|  | Moderate | 0.006 | 0.263 |
|  | Serious | 0.001 | 0.173 |
|  | Severe | 0.0001 | 0.109 |
|  | Critical | 0.00002 | 0.058 |
|  | Fatal | 0.00003 | 0.019 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.301 | 0.542 |

## Vehicle(s) Turning - Vehicles Traveling in Same Direction

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is turning left at an intersection in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 35 mph ; and then cuts across the path of another vehicle initially traveling in the same direction.


Factor Over-Representation: Clear weather, dry road, low-speed road, and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is turning right and cuts across the path of another vehicle initially traveling in the same direction.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.44 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of crashes | 222,000 | 3.73\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 446,000 | 4.17\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 641,000 | 4.26\% |
| Societa Cost | Economic cost | \$2,810,000,000 | 2.34\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 47,000 | 1.68\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { KABCO } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{array}$ | None | 0.900 | 1.100 |
|  | Possible | 0.066 | 0.608 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.023 | 0.470 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.009 | 0.455 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0003 | 0.190 |
|  | Unknown | 0.002 | 0.574 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury Scale | None | 0.846 | 1.084 |
|  | Minor | 0.137 | 0.728 |
|  | Moderate | 0.012 | 0.568 |
|  | Serious | 0.003 | 0.521 |
|  | Severe | 0.0004 | 0.485 |
|  | Critical | 0.0002 | 0.465 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0003 | 0.189 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.444 | 0.801 |

## Vehicle(s) Parking - Vehicles Traveling in Same Direction

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is leaving a parked position in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 25 mph ; and encounters another vehicle traveling in the same direction at a non-junction area.


Factor Over-Representation: Adverse weather, non-junction area, low-speed road, inattention, and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is making a U-turn and encounters a vehicle traveling in the same direction.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.45 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 48,000 | 0.81\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 95,000 | 0.89\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 125,000 | 0.83\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$623,000,000 | 0.52\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 11,000 | 0.41\% |
|  | None | 0.892 | 1.090 |
|  | Possible | 0.064 | 0.582 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.038 | 0.781 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.004 | 0.228 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0009 | 0.485 |
|  | Unknown | 0.002 | 0.543 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS Injury Scale | None | 0.839 | 1.074 |
|  | Minor | 0.144 | 0.766 |
|  | Moderate | 0.012 | 0.588 |
|  | Serious | 0.003 | 0.473 |
|  | Severe | 0.0003 | 0.376 |
|  | Critical | 0.0001 | 0.295 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0009 | 0.480 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.426 | 0.768 |

## Vehicle(s) Changing Lanes - Vehicles Traveling in Same Direction

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is changing lanes in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at a non-junction with a posted speed limit
 of 55 mph or more; and then encroaches into another vehicle traveling in the same direction.

Factor Over-Representation: Non-junction area, high-speed road, inattention, and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is passing another vehicle and encroaches into another vehicle traveling in the same direction ( $15 \%$ of crashes). Vehicle may also be merging ( $8 \%$ of crashes). When changing lanes or passing, the vehicle is equally as likely to be moving to the right as to the left. On the other hand, the vehicle merges to the left in about 75 percent of the merging crashes.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.42 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 338,000 | 5.69\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 635,000 | 5.94\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 884,000 | 5.88\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$4,247,000,000 | 3.54\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 71,000 | 2.57\% |
|  | None | 0.924 | 1.129 |
|  | Possible | 0.048 | 0.441 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.017 | 0.351 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.008 | 0.421 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0007 | 0.396 |
|  | Unknown | 0.002 | 0.666 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.864 | 1.107 |
|  | Minor | 0.121 | 0.644 |
|  | Moderate | 0.010 | 0.471 |
|  | Serious | 0.003 | 0.441 |
|  | Severe | 0.0004 | 0.437 |
|  | Critical | 0.0002 | 0.419 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0007 | 0.392 |
|  | Injured people per cras | 0.387 | 0.697 |

## Vehicle(s) Drifting - Vehicles Traveling in Same Direction

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at a non-junction with a posted speed limit of 55 mph or more; and then drifts into an adjacent
 vehicle traveling in the same direction.

Factor Over-Representation: High-speed road, speeding, and younger driver are overrepresented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle drifts into another vehicle stopped in traffic lane.
Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.58 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 98,000 | 1.65\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 235,000 | 2.20\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 330,000 | 2.19\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$1,383,000,000 | 1.15\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 37,000 | 1.32\% |
|  | None | 0.893 | 1.092 |
|  | Possible | 0.067 | 0.612 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.026 | 0.534 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.011 | 0.598 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.587 |
|  | Unknown | 0.001 | 0.374 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS Injury Scale | None | 0.841 | 1.077 |
|  | Minor | 0.141 | 0.745 |
|  | Moderate | 0.013 | 0.618 |
|  | Serious | 0.004 | 0.600 |
|  | Severe | 0.0005 | 0.577 |
|  | Critical | 0.0002 | 0.590 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.581 |
|  | Injured people per cr | 0.413 | 0.744 |

Vehicle(s) Making a Maneuver - Vehicles Traveling in Opposite Direction
Typical Scenario: Vehicle is passing another vehicle in a rural area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at a non-junction with a posted speed limit of 55 mph or more; and encroaches into another vehicle traveling in the opposite direction.


Factor Over-Representation: Dark, adverse weather, rural area, non-junction, high-speed road, alcohol, vision obscured, inattention, speeding, male, and young driver are overrepresented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is changing lanes or in the middle of a corrective maneuver and encroaches into another vehicle traveling in the opposite direction.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 3.16 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 15,000 | 0.26\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 30,000 | 0.28\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 40,000 | 0.27\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$943,000,000 | 0.79\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 32,000 | 1.14\% |
|  | None | 0.710 | 0.868 |
|  | Possible | 0.130 | 1.189 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.079 | 1.649 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.063 | 3.305 |
|  | Fatal | 0.013 | 7.125 |
|  | Unknown | 0.005 | 1.251 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS Injury Scale | None | 0.687 | 0.881 |
|  | Minor | 0.243 | 1.286 |
|  | Moderate | 0.039 | 1.833 |
|  | Serious | 0.015 | 2.288 |
|  | Severe | 0.0022 | 2.684 |
|  | Critical | 0.0012 | 3.031 |
|  | Fatal | 0.013 | 7.062 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.816 | 1.470 |

Vehicle(s) Not Making a Maneuver - Vehicles Traveling in Opposite Direction
Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in a rural area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at a non-junction with a posted speed limit of 55 mph or more; and drifts and encroaches into another vehicle traveling in the opposite direction.


Factor Over-Representation: Dark, adverse weather, wet or slippery road surface, nonlevel road, rural area, non-junction, alcohol, male, and younger driver are overrepresented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is negotiating a curve and then drifts and encroaches into another vehicle traveling in the opposite direction. About 42 percent of overall scenario crashes occur on curves.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 2.58 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 124,000 | 2.08\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 232,000 | 2.17\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 330,000 | 2.20\% |
| SocietalCost | Economic cost | \$6,407,000,000 | 5.35\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 206,000 | 7.44\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { KABCO } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{array}$ | None | 0.723 | 0.884 |
|  | Possible | 0.119 | 1.086 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.092 | 1.906 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.049 | 2.536 |
|  | Fatal | 0.010 | 5.448 |
|  | Unknown | 0.008 | 2.122 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS Injury Scale | None | 0.698 | 0.894 |
|  | Minor | 0.240 | 1.274 |
|  | Moderate | 0.036 | 1.701 |
|  | Serious | 0.013 | 1.972 |
|  | Severe | 0.002 | 2.265 |
|  | Critical | 0.0009 | 2.403 |
|  | Fatal | 0.010 | 5.400 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.806 | 1.452 |

## Following Vehicle Making a Maneuver and Approaching Lead Vehicle

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is changing lanes or passing in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at a non-junction with a posted speed limit of 55 mph ; and closes in on a lead vehicle.


Factor Over-Representation: Intersection-related location, inattention, speeding, and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is turning right and then closes in on a lead vehicle ( $22 \%$ of crashes).

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.50 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of crashes | 85,000 | 1.44\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 180,000 | 1.69\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 249,000 | 1.66\% |
| Societa Cost | Economic cost | \$1,212,000,000 | 1.01\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 18,000 | 0.67\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { KABCO } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{array}$ | None | 0.860 | 1.052 |
|  | Possible | 0.103 | 0.946 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.023 | 0.482 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.009 | 0.487 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0001 | 0.053 |
|  | Unknown | 0.004 | 1.049 |
|  | Died prior | - |  |
| AIS Injury Scale | None | 0.817 | 1.047 |
|  | Minor | 0.163 | 0.864 |
|  | Moderate | 0.015 | 0.707 |
|  | Serious | 0.004 | 0.632 |
|  | Severe | 0.0005 | 0.573 |
|  | Critical | 0.0002 | 0.516 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0001 | 0.053 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.533 | 0.962 |

## Following Vehicle Approaching an Accelerating Lead Vehicle

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at an intersection-related location with a posted speed limit of 45 mph ; and closes in on an accelerating lead vehicle. $\square$
Factor Over-Representation: Dry road, intersectionrelated, high-speed road, traffic signal, inattention, speeding, female, and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is starting in traffic lane and then closes in on an accelerating lead vehicle ( $34 \%$ of crashes).

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.55 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 19,000 | 0.32\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 40,000 | 0.38\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 54,000 | 0.36\% |
| Societal Economic cost |  | \$273,000,000 | 0.23\% |
| Cost | Functional years lost | 4,000 | 0.15\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { KABCO } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{array}$ | None | 0.865 | 1.058 |
|  | Possible | 0.088 | 0.802 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.035 | 0.724 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.012 | 0.625 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0001 | 0.057 |
|  | Unknown | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS Injury Scale | None | 0.819 | 1.049 |
|  | Minor | 0.160 | 0.848 |
|  | Moderate | 0.015 | 0.733 |
|  | Serious | 0.005 | 0.690 |
|  | Severe | 0.0005 | 0.611 |
|  | Critical | 0.0003 | 0.633 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0001 | 0.056 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.518 | 0.934 |

## Following Vehicle Approaching Lead Vehicle Moving at Lower Constant Speed

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at a nonjunction with a posted speed limit of 55 mph or more; and closes in on a lead vehicle moving at lower constant speed.


Factor Over-Representation: Non-junction location, high-speed road, inattention, speeding, and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is decelerating in traffic lane and then closes in on a lead vehicle moving at lower constant speed.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.71 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 210,000 | 3.53\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 445,000 | 4.16\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 612,000 | $4.07 \%$ |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$3,910,000,000 | 3.26\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 78,000 | 2.81\% |
|  | None | 0.836 | 1.022 |
|  | Possible | 0.116 | 1.065 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.031 | 0.652 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.013 | 0.694 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.548 |
|  | Unknown | 0.002 | 0.593 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS Injury Scale | None | 0.797 | 1.022 |
|  | Minor | 0.178 | 0.943 |
|  | Moderate | 0.018 | 0.836 |
|  | Serious | 0.005 | 0.785 |
|  | Severe | 0.0006 | 0.714 |
|  | Critical | 0.0003 | 0.707 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.543 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.592 | 1.066 |

## Following Vehicle Approaching a Decelerating Lead Vehicle

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight and following another lead vehicle in a rural area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at a non-junction with a posted speed limit of 55 mph or more; and the lead vehicle suddenly decelerates.


Factor Over-Representation: Daylight, adverse weather, rural area, intersection-related, high-speed road, inattention, speeding, and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is decelerating in traffic lane and then closes in on a decelerating lead vehicle ( $11 \%$ of crashes).

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.49 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 428,000 | 7.20\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 936,000 | 8.76\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 1,283,000 | 8.54\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$6,390,000,000 | 5.33\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 100,000 | 3.62\% |
|  | None | 0.856 | 1.047 |
|  | Possible | 0.112 | 1.026 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.022 | 0.455 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.009 | 0.452 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0003 | 0.140 |
|  | Unknown | 0.001 | 0.293 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.815 | 1.044 |
|  | Minor | 0.166 | 0.878 |
|  | Moderate | 0.015 | 0.698 |
|  | Serious | 0.004 | 0.611 |
|  | Severe | 0.0004 | 0.495 |
|  | Critical | 0.0002 | 0.479 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0003 | 0.139 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.555 | 1.001 |

## Following Vehicle Approaching a Stopped Lead Vehicle

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at an intersection-related location with a posted speed limit of 35 mph ; and closes in on a stopped lead vehicle.


Factor Over-Representation: Rural area, intersectionrelated, inattention, speeding and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is decelerating in traffic lane and closes in on a stopped lead vehicle ( $12 \%$ of crashes). Vehicle may also be starting in traffic lane and closes in on a stopped lead vehicle ( $8 \%$ of crashes). In about 50 percent of the lead-vehiclestopped crashes, the lead vehicle first decelerates to a stop and is struck afterwards by the following vehicle. This typically happens in the presence of a traffic control device or the lead vehicle is slowing down to make a turn. Thus, this particular scenario overlaps with the lead vehicle-decelerating scenario.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.50 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 975,000 | 16.41\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 2,162,000 | 20.21\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 3,032,000 | 20.18\% |
| Societa Cost | Economic cost | \$15,388,000,000 | 12.84\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 240,000 | 8.69\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { KABCO } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{array}$ | None | 0.844 | 1.032 |
|  | Possible | 0.121 | 1.108 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.023 | 0.482 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.008 | 0.397 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0002 | 0.128 |
|  | Unknown | 0.004 | 0.995 |
|  | Died prior | 0.00005 | 1.921 |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.806 | 1.032 |
|  | Minor | 0.174 | 0.920 |
|  | Moderate | 0.016 | 0.738 |
|  | Serious | 0.004 | 0.627 |
|  | Severe | 0.0004 | 0.522 |
|  | Critical | 0.0002 | 0.446 |
|  | Fatal | 0.0002 | 0.127 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.604 | 1.088 |

## Left Turn across Path from Opposite Directions at Signalized Junctions

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is turning left in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at a signalized intersection with a posted speed limit of 35 mph ; and cuts across the path of another vehicle straight crossing from an opposite direction.

Factor Over-Representation: Intersection, lowspeed road, vision obscured, inattention, female, and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is turning left across the path of another vehicle that is also turning left from the opposite direction.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 1.16 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of crashes | 220,000 | 3.71\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 457,000 | 4.28\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 664,000 | 4.42\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$5,749,000,000 | 4.80\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 121,000 | 4.36\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { KABCO } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{gathered}$ | None | 0.753 | 0.920 |
|  | Possible | 0.144 | 1.314 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.074 | 1.526 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.025 | 1.319 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.531 |
|  | Unknown | 0.004 | 1.043 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.729 | 0.934 |
|  | Minor | 0.232 | 1.228 |
|  | Moderate | 0.028 | 1.336 |
|  | Serious | 0.009 | 1.341 |
|  | Severe | 0.001 | 1.325 |
|  | Critical | 0.0005 | 1.331 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.527 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.818 | 1.474 |

## Vehicle Turning Right at Signalized Junctions

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is turning right in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at a signalized intersection with a posted speed limit of 35 mph ; and turns into the same direction of another vehicle crossing straight initially from a lateral direction.


Factor Over-Representation: Adverse weather, intersection or intersection-related locations, low-speed road, vision obscured, and younger and older drivers are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is turning right at a signalized intersection and then turns into the opposite direction of another vehicle traveling or stopped initially from a lateral direction.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.27 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 35,000 | 0.59\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 71,000 | 0.66\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 98,000 | 0.65\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$355,000,000 | 0.30\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 4,000 | 0.15\% |
| KABCOInjury Scale | None | 0.900 | 1.100 |
|  | Possible | 0.076 | 0.698 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.019 | 0.400 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.002 | 0.108 |
|  | Fatal | - | - |
|  | Unknown | 0.002 | 0.617 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS Injury Scale | None | 0.848 | 1.087 |
|  | Minor | 0.139 | 0.735 |
|  | Moderate | 0.010 | 0.493 |
|  | Serious | 0.002 | 0.364 |
|  | Severe | 0.0002 | 0.251 |
|  | Critical | 0.0001 | 0.168 |
|  | Fatal | - | - |
|  | Injured people per cr | 0.425 | 0.767 |

## Left Turn Across Path From Opposite Directions at Non-Signalized Junctions

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is turning left, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at an intersection without traffic controls, with a posted speed limit of 35 mph ; and then cuts across the path of another vehicle traveling from the opposite direction.


Factor Over-Representation: Rural area, intersection and driveway/alley locations, lowspeed road, vision obscured, inattention, and younger and older drivers are overrepresented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Two vehicles are traveling in opposite directions and then both vehicles may turn left across their paths.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 1.24 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 190,000 | 3.19\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 389,000 | 3.64\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 558,000 | 3.71\% |
| Societal <br> Cost | Economic cost | \$5,137,000,000 | 4.29\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 113,000 | 4.09\% |
|  | None | 0.749 | 0.916 |
|  | Possible | 0.144 | 1.322 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.073 | 1.522 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.027 | 1.412 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.737 |
|  | Unknown | 0.005 | 1.275 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.726 | 0.930 |
|  | Minor | 0.233 | 1.237 |
|  | Moderate | 0.029 | 1.368 |
|  | Serious | 0.009 | 1.392 |
|  | Severe | 0.001 | 1.405 |
|  | Critical | 0.0006 | 1.414 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.731 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.806 | 1.453 |

## Straight Crossing Paths at Non-Signalized Junctions

Typical Scenario: Vehicle stops at a stop sign in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at an intersection with a posted speed limit of 25 mph ; and then proceeds against lateral crossing traffic.

Factor Over-Representation: Rural area, low-speed road, vision obscured, female, and younger and older drivers are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of
 percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is going straight through an uncontrolled intersection and then cuts across the path of another straight crossing vehicle from lateral direction. Another scenario involves both vehicles first stopping and then proceeding on straight crossing paths.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 1.21 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of crashes | 264,000 | 4.44\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 535,000 | 5.00\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 765,000 | 5.09\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$7,290,000,000 | 6.08\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 174,000 | 6.29\% |
|  | None | 0.769 | 0.940 |
|  | Possible | 0.139 | 1.276 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.062 | 1.279 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.024 | 1.245 |
|  | Fatal | 0.002 | 1.252 |
|  | Unknown | 0.004 | 1.103 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.742 | 0.951 |
|  | Minor | 0.220 | 1.166 |
|  | Moderate | 0.026 | 1.237 |
|  | Serious | 0.008 | 1.245 |
|  | Severe | 0.001 | 1.238 |
|  | Critical | 0.0005 | 1.246 |
|  | Fatal | 0.002 | 1.241 |
|  | Injured people per cras | 0.748 | 1.348 |

## Vehicle(s) Turning at Non-Signalized Junctions

Typical Scenario: Vehicle stops at a stop sign in a rural area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at an intersection with a posted speed limit of 35 mph ; and proceeds to turn left against lateral crossing traffic.

Factor Over-Representation: Rural area, intersection and driveway/alley locations, low-speed road, vision obscured, inattention, female, and younger and older
 drivers are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle stops at a stop sign and then proceeds to turn right against lateral crossing traffic.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.71 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 435,000 | 7.32\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 872,000 | 8.15\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 1,212,000 | 8.07\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$7,343,000,000 | 6.13\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 138,000 | 5.00\% |
| KABCO Scale | None | 0.843 | 1.030 |
|  | Possible | 0.101 | 0.925 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.038 | 0.788 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.015 | 0.778 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.331 |
|  | Unknown | 0.003 | 0.736 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS Injury Scale | None | 0.801 | 1.027 |
|  | Minor | 0.174 | 0.921 |
|  | Moderate | 0.018 | 0.851 |
|  | Serious | 0.006 | 0.823 |
|  | Severe | 0.001 | 0.790 |
|  | Critical | 0.0003 | 0.784 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.328 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.554 | 0.998 |

Vehicle Taking Evasive Action With Prior Vehicle Maneuver
Typical Scenario: Vehicle is turning left at an intersectionrelated location, in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, with a posted speed limit of 35 mph ; and takes an evasive action to avoid an obstacle.

Factor Over-Representation: Dark, urban area, intersection-related location, and younger driver are overrepresented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).


Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is passing, turning right, or changing lanes and then takes an evasive action to avoid an obstacle. The first harmful event occurs on the road in 66 percent of overall scenario crashes and off the road or shoulder/parking lane in 32 percent of the crashes.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.64 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 13,000 | 0.22\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 25,000 | 0.23\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 36,000 | 0.24\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$198,000,000 | 0.17\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 4,000 | 0.13\% |
|  | None | 0.864 | 1.057 |
|  | Possible | 0.098 | 0.895 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.022 | 0.452 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.016 | 0.812 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.293 |
|  | Unknown | - | - |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.820 | 1.050 |
|  | Minor | 0.158 | 0.840 |
|  | Moderate | 0.015 | 0.735 |
|  | Serious | 0.005 | 0.743 |
|  | Severe | 0.001 | 0.703 |
|  | Critical | 0.0003 | 0.775 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.290 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.496 | 0.895 |

Vehicle Taking Evasive Action Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver
Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in an urban area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at a non-junction location with a posted speed limit of 35 mph ; and takes an evasive action
 to avoid an obstacle.

Factor Over-Representation: Driveway/alley and younger driver are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: The first harmful event occurs on the road in 65 percent of overall scenario crashes and off the road or shoulder/parking lane in 34 percent of the crashes.

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 1.23 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 56,000 | 0.95\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 99,000 | 0.93\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 137,000 | 0.91\% |
| Societa Cost | Economic cost | \$1,349,000,000 | 1.13\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 36,000 | 1.31\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { KABCO } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{array}$ | None | 0.824 | 1.007 |
|  | Possible | 0.086 | 0.789 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.058 | 1.201 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.023 | 1.217 |
|  | Fatal | 0.003 | 1.917 |
|  | Unknown | 0.005 | 1.438 |
|  | Died prior | - |  |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.782 | 1.002 |
|  | Minor | 0.183 | 0.972 |
|  | Moderate | 0.022 | 1.051 |
|  | Serious | 0.007 | 1.105 |
|  | Severe | 0.001 | 1.192 |
|  | Critical | 0.0005 | 1.196 |
|  | Fatal | 0.003 | 1.900 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.530 | 0.956 |

## Non-Collision Incident

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in a rural area, in daylight, under clear weather conditions, at a non-junction location with a posted speed limit of over 55 mph ; and then fire starts.


Factor Over-Representation: Clear weather, dry road, rural area, non-junction, highspeed road, and vehicle contributing factors are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is negotiating a curve and has a non-collision incident. The first harmful event occurs on the road in 90 percent of overall scenario crashes and off the road or shoulder/parking lane in ten percent of the crashes. In this overall scenario, the first harmful events cited are fire or explosion (26\%), pavement surface irregularities such as potholes ( $13 \%$ ), injured in vehicle or fell from vehicle ( $10 \%$ ), thrown or falling object ( $7 \%$ ), and other non-collision events. Moreover, this scenario experiences many vehicle-contributing factors such as trailer hitch (10\%), tires ( $9 \%$ ), power train ( $7 \%$ ), wheels ( $6 \%$ ), brakes ( $2 \%$ ), body or doors ( $2 \%$ ), and exhaust system ( $1 \%$ ).

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.56 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 46,000 | 0.77\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 82,000 | 0.77\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 112,000 | 0.75\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$592,000,000 | 0.49\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 13,000 | 0.45\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{KABCO} \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { Scale } \end{gathered}$ | None | 0.920 | 1.125 |
|  | Possible | 0.038 | 0.350 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.028 | 0.576 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.012 | 0.622 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.666 |
|  | Unknown | 0.001 | 0.148 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.860 | 1.101 |
|  | Minor | 0.123 | 0.653 |
|  | Moderate | 0.011 | 0.540 |
|  | Serious | 0.004 | 0.551 |
|  | Severe | 0.000 | 0.560 |
|  | Critical | 0.0002 | 0.598 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.660 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.342 | 0.617 |

## Vehicle Contacting Object with Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is leaving a parked position at night, in an urban area, under clear weather conditions, at a nonjunction location with a posted speed limit of 25 mph ; and collides with an object on road shoulder or parking lane.

Factor Over-Representation: Dark, wet/slippery road, urban area, non-junction, low-speed road, alcohol, younger driver (71\%), and hit-and-run are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).


Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is turning right and collides with an object. The first harmful event occurs on the road shoulder or parking lane in 64 percent of overall scenario crashes and off the road in 30 percent of the crashes. The first harmful events that are commonly cited are parked motor vehicle (67\%) and post, pole, or support (10\%).

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 0.35 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 30,000 | 0.51\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 30,000 | 0.28\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 34,000 | 0.23\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$155,000,000 | 0.13\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 3,000 | 0.10\% |
| KABCOInjury Scale | None | 0.957 | 1.170 |
|  | Possible | 0.022 | 0.201 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.013 | 0.270 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.005 | 0.280 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.641 |
|  | Unknown | 0.002 | 0.457 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.890 | 1.140 |
|  | Minor | 0.100 | 0.531 |
|  | Moderate | 0.007 | 0.325 |
|  | Serious | 0.002 | 0.294 |
|  | Severe | 0.0002 | 0.293 |
|  | Critical | 0.0001 | 0.277 |
|  | Fatal | 0.001 | 0.636 |
|  | Injured people per cr | 0.125 | 0.226 |

## Vehicle Contacting Object Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Typical Scenario: Vehicle is going straight in a rural area, at night, under clear weather conditions, at a non-junction location with a posted speed limit of 55 mph or more; and collides with an object on the road.


Factor Over-Representation: Dark, rural area, nonjunction, high-speed road, alcohol, younger driver, rollover, and hit-and-run are overrepresented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Dynamic Variations: Vehicle is negotiating a curve and collides with an object. The first harmful event occurs on the road in 54 percent of overall scenario crashes, and on shoulder/parking lane and off the road respectively in 14 and 30 percent of the crashes. The first harmful events that are commonly cited are parked motor vehicle ( $15 \%$ ), post, pole, or support ( $8 \%$ ), tree ( $6 \%$ ), and culvert or ditch ( $4 \%$ ). Many objects were coded as "other".

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 1.12 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 55,000 | 0.92\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 55,000 | 0.51\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 76,000 | 0.51\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$687,000,000 | 0.57\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 19,000 | 0.68\% |
|  | None | 0.861 | 1.052 |
|  | Possible | 0.069 | 0.629 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.042 | 0.875 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.024 | 1.243 |
|  | Fatal | 0.003 | 1.839 |
|  | Unknown | 0.001 | 0.319 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.812 | 1.040 |
|  | Minor | 0.158 | 0.840 |
|  | Moderate | 0.019 | 0.881 |
|  | Serious | 0.007 | 0.983 |
|  | Severe | 0.0009 | 1.061 |
|  | Critical | 0.0005 | 1.169 |
|  | Fatal | 0.003 | 1.823 |
|  | Injured people per cras | 0.263 | 0.474 |

## Other

Other scenarios include on-road rollover, no driver present, hit-and-run, and crash types without any details or specifics. These crashes mostly occur in daylight, under clear weather conditions, dry road surface, straight road, in an urban area, at a non-junction location with a posted speed limit of 25 mph . Vehicle is going straight and encounters a critical event. First harmful event happens on the road.

Factor Over-Representation: Dark, driveway or alley location, low-speed road, rollover, no driver present, hit-and-run, and making a U-turn are over-represented (based on a simple comparison of percentages).

Scenario Severity: Table below quantifies the annual severity of this crash scenario in terms of five different metrics based on 2004 GES statistics. This table also provides the ratios of people involved by maximum injury severity using the KABCO and AIS injury scales. About 1.16 percent of all people involved in this crash scenario suffered highlevel MAIS 3+ injuries (serious, severe, critical, or fatal).

| Crash Severity |  | Scenario | Scenario/All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of crashes |  | 36,000 | 0.60\% |
| No. of vehicles involved |  | 65,000 | 0.61\% |
| No. of people involved |  | 78,000 | 0.52\% |
| Societal Cost | Economic cost | \$764,000,000 | 0.64\% |
|  | Functional years lost | 21,000 | 0.75\% |
|  | None | 0.855 | 1.045 |
|  | Possible | 0.073 | 0.670 |
|  | Non-incapacitating | 0.042 | 0.865 |
|  | Incapacitating | 0.022 | 1.143 |
|  | Fatal | 0.004 | 2.105 |
|  | Unknown | 0.005 | 1.253 |
|  | Died prior | - | - |
| AIS <br> Injury <br> Scale | None | 0.807 | 1.034 |
|  | Minor | 0.162 | 0.861 |
|  | Moderate | 0.019 | 0.892 |
|  | Serious | 0.006 | 0.966 |
|  | Severe | 0.0009 | 1.067 |
|  | Critical | 0.0004 | 1.098 |
|  | Fatal | 0.004 | 2.105 |
|  | Injured people per crash | 0.418 | 0.754 |

## 5. MAPPING TO NEW PRE-CRASH SCENARIO TYPOLOGY

### 5.1. Mapping of a Sample of Police-Reported Crashes

A sample of 236 crash police reports was obtained from the department of motor vehicles in the State of Massachusetts. The dates of these reports spanned from September 2004 through March 2005. It should be noted that this time period in Massachusetts covers the severe winter months (November - March), which experienced a substantial amount of snowfall. Each of these police reports was carefully reviewed and assigned to each of the pre-crash scenarios of the new typology. All of them were successfully mapped to this new pre-crash scenario typology as shown in Table 16, except for one crash (other) in which a car being towed by a truck sideswiped six parallel-parked cars. Six scenarios were represented by at least 10 cases, which are listed below by a descending order of number of cases:

1. Lead vehicle stopped: 40 cases ( $17 \%$ )
2. Control loss without prior vehicle action: 21 cases ( $9 \%$ )
3. Control loss with prior vehicle action: 16 cases (7\%)
4. Lead vehicle decelerating: 13 cases ( $6 \%$ )
5. Vehicle(s) turning at non-signalized intersections: 10 cases (4\%)
6. Backing up into another vehicle: 10 cases (4\%)

It is interesting to note that the first two scenarios listed above actually correspond to the top two most-frequent scenarios in the United States as indicated in Table 13. Moreover, the "lead vehicle decelerating" scenario and the "vehicle(s) turning at non-signalized intersections" scenario in the list shown above are ranked respectively fourth and third in the United States.

Table 16. Mapping of a Sample of Crash Reports to New Pre-Crash Scenario

| No. |  | Pre-Crash Scenario | No. Cases | Pct. Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Vehicle Failure |  | 3 | 1.3\% |
| 2 | Control Loss With Prior Vehicle Action |  | 16 | 6.8\% |
| 3 | Control Loss Without Prior Vehicle Action |  | 21 | 8.9\% |
| 4 | Running Red Light |  | 8 | 3.4\% |
| 5 | Running Stop Sign |  | 7 | 3.0\% |
| 6 | Road Edge Departure With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  | 2 | 0.8\% |
| 7 | Road Edge Departure Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  | 5 | 2.1\% |
| 8 | Road Edge Departure While Backing Up |  | 2 | 0.8\% |
| 9 | Animal Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  | 1 | 0.4\% |
| 10 | Animal Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  | 4 | 1.7\% |
| 11 | Pedestrian Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  | 3 | 1.3\% |
| 12 | Pedestrian Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  | 1 | 0.4\% |
| 13 | Pedalcyclist Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 14 | Pedalcyclist Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 15 | Backing Up Into Another Vehicle |  | 10 | 4.2\% |
| 16 | Vehicle(s) Turning - Same Direction |  | 6 | 2.5\% |
| 17 | Vehicle(s) Parking - Same Direction |  | 3 | 1.3\% |
| 18 | Vehicle(s) Changing Lanes - Same Direction |  | 9 | 3.8\% |
| 19 | Vehicle(s) Drifting - Same Direction |  | 8 | 3.4\% |
| 20 | Vehicle(s) Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction |  | 2 | 0.8\% |
| 21 | Vehicle(s) Not Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction |  | 5 | 2.1\% |
| 22 | Following Vehicle Making a Maneuver |  | 1 | 0.4\% |
| 23 | Lead Vehicle Accelerating |  | 1 | 0.4\% |
| 24 | Lead Vehicle Moving at Lower Constant Speed |  | 5 | 2.1\% |
| 25 | Lead Vehicle Decelerating |  | 13 | 5.5\% |
| 26 | Lead Vehicle Stopped |  | 40 | 16.9\% |
| 27 | LTAP/OD at Signalized Junctions |  | 6 | 2.5\% |
| 28 | Vehicle Turning Right at Signalized Junctions |  | 2 | 0.8\% |
| 29 | LTAP/OD at Non-Signalized Junctions |  | 3 | 1.3\% |
| 30 | Straight Crossing Paths at Non-Signalized Junctions |  | 7 | 3.0\% |
| 31 | Vehicle(s) Turning at Non-Signalized Junctions |  | 10 | 4.2\% |
| 32 | Evasive Action With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  | 5 | 2.1\% |
| 33 | Evasive Action Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  | 3 | 1.3\% |
| 34 | Non-Collision Incident |  | 1 | 0.4\% |
| 35 | Object Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  | 6 | 2.5\% |
| 36 | Object Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  | 5 | 2.1\% |
| 37 | Other | Hit-and-Run (7 cases) | 12 | 5.1\% |
|  |  | On-Road Rollover (3 cases) |  |  |
|  |  | No Driver Present (1 case) |  |  |
|  |  | Other (1 case) |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 236 | 100.0\% |

### 5.2. Mapping of 44 Crashes

Table 17 maps the 44 crashes to this new pre-crash scenario typology. Most of the 44 crashes are represented either directly or indirectly by the different variations of pre-crash scenarios in the new typology. For example, number 37 addresses emergency vehicles as they pass through signalized intersections on red. This crash is assigned to "running red light" scenario in the new typology even though the analysis of light-vehicle crashes in this report excludes emergency vehicles. However, the GES contains the needed variables to explicitly describe emergency-vehicle crashes that involve police cars, ambulances, or firefighting vehicles. Moreover, number 101 (new crash due to new safety technology) is assigned to "other" since it is not practical at this time to quantify this crash using existing national crash databases. Other crash numbers, such as 52 (tailgate), 61 (pedal miss), and 64 (stutter stop), are classified, respectively, under lead vehicle decelerating, stopped, and accelerating due to the lack of GES variables and codes that refer to these particular events. As seen in Table 17, there are 11 pre-crash scenarios in the new typology, accounting for about 10 percent of all light-vehicle crashes, which do not match any of the 44 crashes.

Table 17. Mapping of 44 Crashes to New Pre-Crash Scenario Typology

| No. | New Crash Typology | 44 Crashes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Vehicle Failure | 68 |
| 2 | Control Loss With Prior Vehicle Action | 10 |
| 3 | Control Loss Without Prior Vehicle Action | 11, 12, 18, 91 |
| 4 | Running Red Light | 22, 37, 94 |
| 5 | Running Stop Sign | 28, 30 |
| 6 | Road Edge Departure With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 10 |
| 7 | Road Edge Departure Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 9,18 |
| 8 | Road Edge Departure While Backing Up | 19 |
| 9 | Animal Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 3 |
| 10 | Animal Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 3 |
| 11 | Pedestrian Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 1 |
| 12 | Pedestrian Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 1 |
| 13 | Pedalcyclist Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  |
| 14 | Pedalcyclist Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  |
| 15 | Backing Up Into Another Vehicle | 48, 82 |
| 16 | Vehicle(s) Turning - Same Direction | 47, 83 |
| 17 | Vehicle(s) Parking - Same Direction |  |
| 18 | Vehicle(s) Changing Lanes - Same Direction | 75,76, 79, 80 |
| 19 | Vehicle(s) Drifting - Same Direction |  |
| 20 | Vehicle(s) Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction |  |
| 21 | Vehicle(s) Not Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | 91, 92, 93 |
| 22 | Following Vehicle Making a Maneuver | 58 |
| 23 | Lead Vehicle Accelerating | 64 |
| 24 | Lead Vehicle Moving at Lower Constant Speed |  |
| 25 | Lead Vehicle Decelerating | 52, 62, 74, 78 |
| 26 | Lead Vehicle Stopped | 56,61, 62, 66 |
| 27 | LTAP/OD at Signalized Junctions | 96, 99 |
| 28 | Vehicle Turning Right at Signalized Junctions |  |
| 29 | LTAP/OD at Non-Signalized Junctions | 96, 99 |
| 30 | Straight Crossing Paths at Non-Signalized Junctions | 33 |
| 31 | Vehicle(s) Turning at Non-Signalized Junctions | 35, 38, 40, 44 |
| 32 | Evasive Action With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  |
| 33 | Evasive Action Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver | 13 |
| 34 | Non-Collision Incident |  |
| 35 | Object Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  |
| 36 | Object Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  |
| 37 | Other | 100, 101 |

### 5.3. Mapping of Crash Types

Table shows an approximate mapping of pre-crash scenarios in the new typology to the eleven crash types identified in prior NHTSA studies. This is an approximation because some of these pre-crash scenarios can lead to different crash types. These eleven crash types are defined as follows:

- Rear-End: The front of a following vehicle strikes the rear of a lead vehicle, both traveling in the same direction.
- Crossing Paths: One moving vehicle cuts across the path of another, initially approaching from either lateral or opposite directions, in such a way that they collide at or near a junction.
- Run-Off-Road: The first harmful event occurs off the roadway after a vehicle in transport departs the travel portion of the roadway.
- Lane Change: A vehicle attempts to change lanes, merge, pass, leave/enter a parking position, or drift and strikes or is struck by another vehicle in the adjacent lane, both traveling in the same direction.
- Animal: A moving vehicle collides with an animal.
- Opposite Direction: A vehicle strikes another vehicle in the adjacent lane, traveling in the opposite direction, resulting in a frontal or sideswipe impact.
- Backing: A vehicle strikes or is struck by an obstacle or another vehicle while moving backwards.
- Pedestrian: A moving vehicle collides with a pedestrian.
- Pedalcyclist: A vehicle strikes or is struck by a pedalcyclist.
- Object: A vehicle strikes an object on the road.
- Other: This type encompasses the remaining crashes that are coded as "Other", "Unknown", or "No Impact" (e.g., fire or immersion) in the Accident Type variable.

Table 18. Mapping of Crash Types to New Pre-Crash Scenario Typology

| No. | Pre-Crash Scenario | Crash Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Vehicle Failure | Run-Off- <br> Road |
| 2 | Control Loss With Prior Vehicle Action |  |
| 3 | Control Loss Without Prior Vehicle Action |  |
| 4 | Running Red Light | Crossing Paths |
| 5 | Running Stop Sign |  |
| 6 | Road Edge Departure With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | Run-Off- <br> Road |
| 7 | Road Edge Departure Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  |
| 8 | Road Edge Departure While Backing Up |  |
| 9 | Animal Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | Animal |
| 10 | Animal Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  |
| 11 | Pedestrian Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | Pedestrian |
| 12 | Pedestrian Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  |
| 13 | Pedalcyclist Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | Pedalcyclist |
| 14 | Pedalcyclist Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  |
| 15 | Backing Up Into Another Vehicle | Backing |
| 16 | Vehicle(s) Turning - Same Direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } \\ & \text { Change } \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | Vehicle(s) Parking - Same Direction |  |
| 18 | Vehicle(s) Changing Lanes - Same Direction |  |
| 19 | Vehicle(s) Drifting - Same Direction |  |
| 20 | Vehicle(s) Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction | Opposite Direction |
| 21 | Vehicle(s) Not Making a Maneuver - Opposite Direction |  |
| 22 | Following Vehicle Making a Maneuver | Rear-End |
| 23 | Lead Vehicle Accelerating |  |
| 24 | Lead Vehicle Moving at Lower Constant Speed |  |
| 25 | Lead Vehicle Decelerating |  |
| 26 | Lead Vehicle Stopped |  |
| 27 | LTAP/OD at Signalized Junctions | Crossing Paths |
| 28 | Vehicle Turning Right at Signalized Junctions |  |
| 29 | LTAP/OD at Non-Signalized Junctions |  |
| 30 | Straight Crossing Paths at Non-Signalized Junctions |  |
| 31 | Vehicle(s) Turning at Non-Signalized Junctions |  |
| 32 | Evasive Action With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | Run-OffRoad |
| 33 | Evasive Action Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  |
| 34 | Non-Collision Incident | Other |
| 35 | Object Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver | Object |
| 36 | Object Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver |  |
| 37 | Other | Other |

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

This report defined and statistically described a novel typology of pre-crash scenarios representing all light-vehicle crashes based on 2004 GES statistics. These pre-crash scenarios depict vehicle movements and dynamics as well as the critical event that occur immediately before impact in a crash. This report quantified the severity of these scenarios and portrayed them by crash contributing factors and circumstances in terms of the driving environment, driver, and vehicle. This typology establishes a common vehicle safety research foundation for public and private organizations, which will serve as a tool to identify intervention opportunities, set research priorities and direction in technology development, and evaluate the effectiveness of selected crash countermeasure systems. It also provides a consistent crash problem definition for developers of crash avoidance technologies, simplifies crash characteristics for system designers, and prevents double counting of system safety benefits.

This new typology consists of 37 pre-crash scenarios (including "other") that accounted for approximately $5,942,000$ police-reported crashes involving at least one light vehicle. These crashes resulted in an estimated economic cost of $\$ 120$ billion and 2,767,000 functional years lost. These statistics do not incorporate data from non-police-reported crashes. Excluding "other" scenario, this new pre-crash scenario typology represents about 99.4 percent of all light-vehicle crashes. This typology is nationally representative and can be updated on an annual basis using GES and CDS crash databases.

Pre-crash scenarios of this new typology were ranked using three measures: crash frequency, economic cost, and functional years lost. The following dominant scenarios emerged using the top five scenarios in each of the three measures:

1. Control loss without prior vehicle action
2. Lead vehicle stopped
3. Road edge departure without prior vehicle maneuver
4. Vehicle(s) turning at non-signalized junctions
5. Straight crossing paths at non-signalized junctions
6. Lead vehicle decelerating
7. Vehicle(s) not making a maneuver - opposite direction

Despite its limitations, GES remains the best available source to identify nationally representative, dynamically distinct pre-crash scenarios. Moreover, GES contains a multitude of variables that allow the statistical description of driving circumstances at the time of the crash, driver contributing factors, and vehicle conditions. It is noteworthy that GES underestimates some crash scenarios or contributing factors due to the lack of information or non-specific information in police collision reports.

Crash statistics of this new typology should be updated on an annual basis using GES or CDS so as to ensure the consistency of its scenario ranking and national representativeness of all light-vehicle crashes over time. Such updates also serve to
identify trends in crash statistics and assess effectiveness of new automotive safety technologies in the vehicle fleet such as electronic stability control systems.

Some hot-deck imputed GES variables were used to derive counts of crash frequency. It is recommended for further analysis that the percentage distribution between the original and the hot-deck variables be examined to assess any significant difference between the two sets of variables. If any significant difference existed, then further investigation might be necessary to determine which variables are more appropriate to be used for crash frequency counts.
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APPENDIX A. IDENTIFICATION CODES OF PRE-CRASH SCENARIOS USING THE GENERAL ESTIMATES SYSTEM

| No. | Scenario | Single-Vehicle Crashes (VEH_INVL $=1$ ) | Multi-Vehicle Crashes (VEH_ INVL $>=$ 2), First Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | No driver present | MANEUV I $=0$ |  |
| 2 | Vehicle failure | P CRASH2 $=1-4$ | P CRASH2 $=1-4$ (at least one vehicle) |
| 3 | Control loss/vehicle action | P_CRASH2 $=5-9$ AND MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=2-4,6,8-13,15-97$ | Vx_P_CRASH2 $=5-9$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=2-4,6,8-13,15-97$ |
|  |  | ACC_TYPE $=2,7$ AND MANEUV_ $\mathrm{I}=2-4,6,8-13,15-97$ | $V_{x}$ ACC_TYPE $=34,36,54,56$ AND Vx_MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=2-4,6,8-13,15-97$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{V}_{X}$ ACC_TYPE $=2,7$ AND $\mathrm{V}_{\text {¢ }}$ MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=2-4,6,8-13,15-97$ |
| 4 | Control loss/no vehicle action | P CRASH2 $=5-9$ AND MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=1,14$ | $\mathrm{V}_{X} \mathrm{P}$ CRASH2 $=5-9$ AND $\mathrm{V}_{\text {x }}$ MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=1,14$ |
|  |  | ACC_TYPE $=2,7$ AND MANEUV_I $=1,14$ | Vx_ACC_TYPE $=34,36,54,56$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=1,14$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Vx}_{\text {_ }}$ ACC _TYPE $=2,7$ AND Vx_MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=1,14$ |
| 5 | Running red light | TRAF_CON $=1,4$ AND MVIOLATN $=7$ | TRAF CON $=1$ AND ACC TYPE $=76,77,82,83,86-91$ |
|  |  |  | TRAF CON $=1,4$ AND MVIOLATN $=7$ |
| 6 | Running stop sign | TRAF_CON $=21$ AND MVIOLATN $=7$ | TRAF_CON $=21$ AND MVIOLATN $=7$ |
| 7 | Road edge departure/maneuver | P_CRASH2 $=10-14$ AND MANEUV_ $\mathrm{I}=6,8-12,15-97$ | Vx_ACC_TYPE $=1,6,14$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=6,8-12,15-97$ |
|  |  | ACC TYPE $=1,6,14$ AND MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=6,8-12,15-97$ |  |
| 8 | Road edge departure/no maneuver | P CRASH2 $=10-14$ AND MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=1-5,7,14$ | $\mathrm{Vx}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{ACC}$ TYPE $=1,6,14 \mathrm{AND} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}$ MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=1-5,7,14$ |
|  |  | ACC_TYPE $=1,6,14$ AND MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=1-5,7,14$ |  |
| 9 | Road edge departure/backing | P_CRASH2 $=10-14$ AND MANEUV I $=13$ | Vx_ACC_TYPE $=1,6,14$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=13$ |
|  |  | ACC_TYPE $=1,6,14$ AND MANEUV_I $=13$ |  |
|  |  | ACC _TYPE $=92$ |  |
| 10 | Animal/maneuver | EVENT1 I I 24 AND MANEUV I $=6,8$ - 13 , 15-97 | Vx_P_CRASH2 $=87-89$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=6,8-13,15-97$ |
|  |  | P_CRASH2 $=87-89$ AND MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=6,8-13,15-97$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EVENTNUM = } 1 \text { AND VEHNUM }=x \text { AND OBJCONT }=124 \text { AND } \\ & \text { Vx_MANEUV_I }=6,8-13,15-97 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | Animal/no maneuver | EVENT1 I = 24 AND MANEUV I $=1-5,7,14$ | Vx P CRASH2 $=87-89$ AND Vx MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=1-5,7,14$ |
|  |  | P_CRASH2 $=87-89$ AND MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=1-5,7,14$ | EVENTNUM $=1$ AND VEHNUM $=x$ AND OBJCONT $=124$ AND Vx_MANEUV I $=1-5,7,14$ |
| 12 | Pedestrian/maneuver | EVENT1_I = 21 AND MANEUV_I $=6,8-13,15-97$ | Vx_P_CRASH2 $=80-82$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=6,8-13,15-97$ |
|  |  | P_CRASH2 $=80-82$ AND MANEUV_I $=6,8-13,15-97$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EVENTNUM }=1 \text { AND VEHNUM }=x \text { AND OBJCONT }=121 \text { AND } \\ & \text { Vx_MANEUV_ } I=6,8-13,15-97 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | Pedestrian/no maneuver | EVENT1 I $=21$ AND MANEUV_I $=1-5,7,14$ | Vx_P CRASH2 $=80-82$ AND Vx_MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=1-5,7,14$ |
|  |  | P_CRASH2 $=80-82$ AND MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=1-5,7,14$ | EVENTNUM $=1$ AND VEHNUM $=x$ AND OBJCONT $=121$ AND Vx MANEUV $I=1-5,7,14$ |
| 14 | Cyclistmaneuver | EVENT1 I $=22$ AND MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=6,8-13,15-97$ | Vx P CRASH2 $=83-85$ AND Vx MANEUV $\mathrm{I}=6,8-13,15-97$ |


| No. | Scenario | Single-Vehicle Crashes (VEH_INVL $=1$ ) | Multi-Vehicle Crashes (VEH_INVL >= 2), First Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | P_CRASH2 $=83-85$ AND MANEUV_I $=6,8-13,15-97$ | EVENTNUM $=1$ AND VEHNUM $=\mathrm{x}$ AND OBJCONT $=122$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=6,8-13,15-97$ |
| 15 | Cyclist/no maneuver | EVENT1_I = 22 AND MANEUV_I $=1-5,7,14$ | Vx_P_CRASH2 $=83-85$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=1-5,7,14$ |
|  |  | P_CRASH2 $=83-85$ AND MANEUV_I $=1-5,7,14$ | EVENTNUM = 1 AND VEHNUM = x AND OBJCONT = 122 AND Vx_MANEUV_I = 1-5, 7, 14 |
| 16 | Backing into vehicle | P_CRASH2 $=56$ | ACC_TYPE $=92,93$ AND EVENT1_I $=25$ |
| 17 | Turning/same direction |  | ACC_TYPE $=44-49,70-73$ AND MANEUV_ $\mathrm{I}=10-12$ |
|  |  |  | ACC_TYPE $=20-43$ AND Vx_VROLE_I $=2$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=10-12$ |
|  |  |  | MANEUV_I $=10-12$ AND P_CRASH2 $=60,61$ |
| 18 | Parking/same direction | P_CRASH2 $=64$ | ACC_TYPE $=44-49,70-73$ AND MANEUV_ $\mathrm{I}=8,9$ |
|  |  |  | ACC_TYPE $=20-43$ AND Vx_VROLE_I $=2$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=8,9$ |
|  |  |  | MANEUV_I $=8,9$ AND P_CRASH2 2060,61 |
|  |  |  | P_CRASH2 $=64$ |
| 19 | Changing lanes/same direction | P_CRASH2 $=60,61$ | ACC_TYPE $=44-49,70-73$ AND MANEUV_I $=6,15,16$ |
|  |  |  | ACC_TYPE $=20-43$ AND Vx_VROLE_I $=2$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=6,15,16$ |
|  |  |  | MANEUV_I $=6,15,16$ AND P_CRASH2 $=60,61$ |
| 20 | Drifting/same lane |  | ACC_TYPE $=44-49,70-73$ AND MANEUV_I $=1-5,7,14$ |
|  |  |  | ACC_TYPE $=20-43$ AND Vx_VROLE_I $=2$ AND Vx_P_CRASH2 $=10,11$ |
| 21 | Opposite direction/maneuver | P_CRASH2 $=54,62,63$ AND MANEUV_I $=6,8-13,15-97$ | ACC_TYPE $=50-67$ AND MANEUV_ $\mathrm{I}=6,8-13,15-97$ |
| 22 | Opposite direction/no maneuver | P_CRASH2 $=54,62,63$ AND MANEUV_ $\mathrm{I}=1-5,7,14$ | ACC_TYPE $=50-67$ AND MANEUV_I $=1-5,7,14$ |
| 23 | Rear-end/striking maneuver | P_CRASH2 $=50-52$ AND MANEUV_I $=6,8-13,15-97$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACC_TYPE }=20-43 \text { AND Vx_VROLE_I }=1 \text { AND Vx_MANEUV_I }=6,8-13 \text {, } \\ & 15-97 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | Vx_VROLE_I = 1 AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=6,8-13,15-97$ AND Vx_P_CRASH2 $=50,51,52$ |
| 24 | Rear-end/LVA |  | ACC_TYPE $=20-43$ AND Vx_VROLE_I $=2$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=3,4$ |
|  |  |  | Vx_MANEUV_I $=3,4$ AND Vx_P_CRASH2 $=53$ |
| 25 | Rear-end/LVM | P_CRASH2 $=51$ | ACC_TYPE $=25-27$ |
|  |  |  | ACC_TYPE $=20-43$ AND Vx_VROLE_I $=2$ AND Vx_MANEUV I $=1,14$ |
|  |  |  | ACC_TYPE $=20-43$ AND Vx_VROLE_ $\mathrm{I}=1$ AND Vx_P_CRASH2 $=51$ |
|  |  |  | P_CRASH2 $=51$ |
|  |  |  | Vx_MANEUV_I $=1,14$ AND Vx_P_CRASH2 $=53$ |
| 26 | Rear-end/LVD | P_CRASH2 $=52$ | ACC_TYPE $=29-31$ |
|  |  |  | ACC_TYPE $=20-43$ AND Vx_VROLE_I $=2$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=2$ |
|  |  |  | ACC_TYPE $=20-43$ AND Vx_VROLE_I $=1$ AND Vx_P_CRASH2 $=52$ |


| No. | Scenario | Single-Vehicle Crashes (VEH_INVL = 1) | Multi-Vehicle Crashes (VEH_INVL >= 2), First Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | P_CRASH2 $=52$ |
|  |  |  | Vx_MANEUV_I $=2$ AND Vx_P_CRASH2 $=53$ |
| 27 | Rear-end/LVS | P_CRASH2 $=50$ | ACC_TYPE $=21-23$ |
|  |  |  | ACC_TYPE $=20-43$ AND Vx_VROLE_I $=2$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=5,7$ |
|  |  |  | ACC_TYPE $=20-43$ AND Vx_VROLE_ $\mathrm{I}=1$ AND Vx_P_CRASH2 $=50$ |
|  |  |  | P_CRASH2 $=50$ |
|  |  |  | Vx_MANEUV_I $=5,7$ AND Vx_P_CRASH2 $=53$ |
|  |  |  | ACC_TYPE $=20-43$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I $=1$ AND Vy_MANEUV_I $=0$ |
| 28 | LTAP/OD @ signal |  | TRAF_CON $=1$ AND ACC_TYPE $=68,69$ |
|  |  |  | TRAF_CON = 1 AND MANEUV_I $=11$ AND P_CRASH2 $=54,62,63$ |
|  |  |  | TRAF_CON = 1 AND Vx_P_CRASH2 $=15$ AND Vy_P_CRASH2 $=54,62,63$ |
|  |  |  | TRAF_CON $=1$ AND Vx_MANEUV_I = 11 AND Vy_MANEUV_I not 10 AND ACC_TYPE $=74,75$ |
| 29 | Turn right @ signal |  | TRAF_CON $=1$ AND ACC_TYPE $=78-81$ |
|  |  |  | TRAF_CON $=1$ AND MANEUV_I $=10$ AND P_CRASH2 $=65-68$ |
|  |  |  | TRAF_CON $=1$ AND Vx_P_CRASH2 $=16$ AND Vy_P_CRASH2 $=65-68$ |
|  |  |  | TRAF_CON $=1$ AND V_MANEUV_I $=10$ AND ACC_TYPE $=74,75,84,85$ |
| 30 | LTAP/OD @ non signal |  | TRAF_CON not 1 AND ACC_TYPE $=68,69$ |
|  |  |  | TRAF_CON not 1 AND MANEUV_I $=11$ AND P_CRASH2 $=54,62,63$ |
|  |  |  | TRAF_CON not 1 AND Vx_P_CRASH2 $=15$ AND Vy_P_CRASH2 $=54,62,63$ |
| 31 | SCP @ non signal | TRAF_CON not 1 AND P_CRASH2 $=66,71$ | TRAF_CON not 1 AND ACC_TYPE $=86-91$ |
|  |  |  | TRAF_CON not 1 AND MANEUV_I not $10-12$ AND P_CRASH2 $=65-68,70-1$. 78 |
|  |  |  | TRAF_CON not 1 AND Vx_P_CRASH2 not 15, 16 AND Vy_P_CRASH2 $=65-$ 68,70-78 |
| 32 | Turn@ non signal | TRAF_CON not 1 AND P_CRASH2 $=65,67,68,70,72,73$ | TRAF_CON not 1 AND ACC_TYPE $=74-85$ |
|  |  |  | TRAF_CON not 1 AND MANEUV_I $=10-12$ AND P_CRASH2 $=65-68,70-78$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRAF_CON not } 1 \text { AND Vx_P_CRASH2 }=15,16 \text { AND Vy_P_CRASH2 }=65-68 \text {, } \\ & 70-78 \end{aligned}$ |
| 33 | Avoidance/maneuver | ACC_TYPE $=3,8$ AND MANEUV_I $=6,8-13,15-97$ |  |
|  |  | P_CRASH2 $=50-78$ AND MANEUV_I $=6,8-13,15-97$ |  |
| 34 | Avoidance/no maneuver | ACC_TYPE $=3,8$ AND MANEUV_I $=1-5,7,14$ |  |
|  |  | P_CRASH2 $=50-78$ AND MANEUV_ $\mathrm{I}=1-5,7,14$ |  |




## APPENDIX B. CRASH CHARACTERISTICS OF PRE-CRASH SCENARIOS

## Vehicle Failure

Driving Environment

| Lighting | Daylight | 67\% | Alcohol | Yes | 2\% | Contributing Factors | Yes | 99\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 12\% |  | No | 98\% |  | No | - |
|  | Dark | 15\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 83\% |  | Unknown | 1\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 6\% |  | Vision Obscured | 1\% | Rollover | Yes | 22\% |
| Weather | Clear | 87\% |  | Unknown | 16\% |  | No | 78\% |
|  | Adverse | 13\% | Driver Distracted | Inattention | 2\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | - |
| Road Surface | Dry | 83\% |  | Sleepy | 0.01\% |  | Going Straight | 75\% |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 17\% |  | Not Distracted | 54\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | 2\% |
| RoadAlignment | Straight | 76\% |  | Unknown | 43\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | 0.2\% |
|  | Curve | 24\% | Speeding | Yes | 8\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | 0.2\% |
| Road <br> Profile | Level | 71\% |  | No | 91\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | 1\% |
|  | Other | 29\% |  | Unknown | 1\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | 1\% |
| Land Use | Rural | 64\% | Violation | Speeding | - |  | Parked in Travel Lane | 1\% |
|  | Urban | 36\% |  | Reckless | 1\% |  | Leaving a Parked Position | 0.02\% |
| Day | Weekday | 73\% |  | None | 77\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | - |
|  | Weekend | 27\% |  | Other | 22\% |  | Turning Right | 2\% |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 27\% |  | Unknown | 1\% |  | Turning Left | 4\% |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 5\% | Impairment | Il1/Blackout | - |  | Making U-turn | - |
|  | Off Roadway | 67\% |  | Drowsy | 0.01\% |  | Backing Up | 1\% |
|  | Left Turn Lane | - |  | None | 97\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | 10\% |
|  | Unknown | 1\% |  | Other | 1\% |  | Changing Lanes | 1\% |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 81\% |  | Unknown | 2\% |  | Merging | 0.2\% |
|  | Intersection | 4\% | Gender | Male | 64\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | 0.1\% |
|  | Intersection-Related | 9\% |  | Female | 36\% |  | Other | 2\% |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 2\% | Age | Younger < $=24$ | 39\% | Driver Avoidance Maneuver | Object in Road | - |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 3\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 57\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | 0.1\% |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | 1\% |  | Older $>=65$ | 4\% |  | Animal in Road | - |
|  | Other/Unknown | 1\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | 1\% |
| Posted <br> Speed <br> Limit <br> (mph) | < $=20$ | 1\% |  |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | - |
|  | 25 | 8\% |  |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 2\% |
|  | 30 | 5\% |  |  |  |  | No Driver Present | - |
|  | 35 | 10\% |  |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | - |
|  | 40 | 5\% |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 55\% |
|  | 45 | 13\% |  |  |  |  | None | 41\% |
|  | 50 | 3\% |  |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | - |
|  | $>=55$ | 55\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action <br> Attempted | No Driver Present | - |
| Traffic Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | 81\% |  |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 18\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 9\% |  |  |  |  | Braking | 6\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | 3\% |  |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | 8\% |  |  |  |  | Steering | 7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | 2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | 0.02\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | 2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 67\% |

Driver and vehicle statistics represent the light vehicle with a component failure.

## Control Loss With Prior Vehicle Action

Driving Environment
Driver
Vehicle


Driver and vehicle statistics represent the light vehicle that lost control.

## Control Loss Without Prior Vehicle Action

Driving Environment
Driver
Vehicle

| Lighting | Daylight | 53\% | Alcohol | Yes | 12\% | Contributing Factors | Yes | 2\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 14\% |  | No | 88\% |  | No | 90\% |
|  | Dark | 27\% | Vision <br> Obscured | No Obstruction | 70\% |  | Unknown | 8\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 5\% |  | Vision Obscured | 2\% | Rollover | Yes | 23\% |
| Weather | Clear | 56\% |  | Unknown | 29\% |  | No | 77\% |
|  | Adverse | 44\% | Driver <br> Distracted | Inattention | 11\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | - |
| Road Surface | Dry | 38\% |  | Sleepy | 2\% |  | Going Straight | 65\% |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 62\% |  | Not Distracted | 44\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
| Road Alignment | Straight | 58\% |  | Unknown | 43\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Curve | 42\% | Speeding | Yes | 58\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | - |
| RoadProfile | Level | 65\% |  | No | 39\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Other | 35\% |  | Unknown | 2\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | - |
| Land Use | Rural | 66\% | Violation | Speeding | 0.2\% |  | Parked in Travel Lane | - |
|  | Urban | 34\% |  | Reckless | 2\% |  | Leaving a Parked Position | - |
| Day | Weekday | 69\% |  | None | 59\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | - |
|  | Weekend | 31\% |  | Other | 35\% |  | Turning Right | - |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 11\% |  | Unknown | 3\% |  | Turning Left | - |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 4\% | Impairment | Ill/Blackout | 2\% |  | Making U-turn | - |
|  | Off Roadway | 85\% |  | Drowsy | 2\% |  | Backing Up | - |
|  | Left Turn Lane | - |  | None | 83\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | 35\% |
|  | Unknown | 0.3\% |  | Other | 7\% |  | Changing Lanes | - |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 88\% |  | Unknown | 6\% |  | Merging | - |
|  | Intersection | 0.5\% | Gender | Male | 61\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | - |
|  | Intersection-Related | 4\% |  | Female | 39\% |  | Other | - |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 0.3\% | Age | Younger <= 24 | 45\% | Driver <br> Avoidance Maneuver | Object in Road | 0.4\% |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 4\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 52\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | 1\% |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | 0.2\% |  | Older $>=65$ | 3\% |  | Animal in Road | 1\% |
|  | Other/Unknown | 2\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | 3\% |
| Posted Speed Limit (mph) | <=20 | 2\% |  |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | 0.03\% |
|  | 25 | 8\% |  |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 6\% |
|  | 30 | 7\% |  |  |  |  | No Driver Present | - |
|  | 35 | 11\% |  |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | 0.1\% |
|  | 40 | 5\% |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 46\% |
|  | 45 | 14\% |  |  |  |  | None | 43\% |
|  | 50 | 3\% |  |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | 1\% |
|  | $>=55$ | 50\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action <br> Attempted | No Driver Present | - |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | 89\% |  |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 14\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 1\% |  |  |  |  | Braking | 6\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | 1\% |  |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | 0.03\% |
|  | Other | 8\% |  |  |  |  | Steering | 11\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | 0.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | 0.02\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 67\% |

Driver and vehicle statistics represent the light vehicle that lost control.

## Running Red Light

Driving Environment
Driver
Vehicle

| Lighting | Daylight | 75\% | Alcohol | Yes | 4\% | Contributing Factors | Yes | 1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 19\% |  | No | 96\% |  | No | 95\% |
|  | Dark | 3\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 71\% |  | Unknown | 5\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 3\% |  | Vision Obscured | 3\% | Rollover | Yes | 2\% |
| Weather | Clear | 88\% |  | Unknown | 26\% |  | No | 98\% |
|  | Adverse | 12\% | Driver Distracted | Inattention | 32\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | - |
| Road Surface | Dry | 81\% |  | Sleepy | 0.2\% |  | Going Straight | 85\% |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 19\% |  | Not Distracted | 37\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | 2\% |
| Road <br> Alignment | Straight | 94\% |  | Unknown | 31\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | 0.05\% |
|  | Curve | 6\% | Speeding | Yes | 3\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | 1.8\% |
| Road Profile | Level | 82\% |  | No | 96\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | 0.1\% |
|  | Other | 18\% |  | Unknown | 1\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | 1\% |
| Land Use | Rural | 40\% | Violation | Speeding | 0.1\% |  | Parked in Travel Lane | - |
|  | Urban | 60\% |  | Reckless | 0.2\% |  | Leaving a Parked Position | - |
| Day | Weekday | 75\% |  | None | - |  | Entering a Parked Position | - |
|  | Weekend | 25\% |  | Other | 100\% |  | Turning Right | 2\% |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 100\% |  | Unknown | - |  | Turning Left | 7\% |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | - | Impairment | Ill/Blackout | 0.2\% |  | Making U-turn | 0.03\% |
|  | Off Roadway | 0.2\% |  | Drowsy | 0.2\% |  | Backing Up | - |
|  | Left Turn Lane | 0.2\% |  | None | 96\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | 1\% |
|  | Unknown | - |  | Other | 2\% |  | Changing Lanes | 0.4\% |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | - |  | Unknown | 1\% |  | Merging | - |
|  | Intersection | 93\% | Gender | Male | 53\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | - |
|  | Intersection-Related | 3\% |  | Female | 47\% |  | Other | 0.5\% |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 2\% | Age | Younger < $=24$ | 32\% | Driver Avoidance Maneuver | Object In Road | - |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 2\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 55\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | - |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | 0.05\% |  | Older $>=65$ | 13\% |  | Animal In Road | - |
|  | Other/Unknown | 1\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle In Road | 7\% |
| Posted Speed Limit (mph) | <=20 | 1\% |  |  |  |  | Non-Motorist In Road | 0.004\% |
|  | 25 | 6\% |  |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 2\% |
|  | 30 | 13\% |  |  |  |  | No Driver Present | - |
|  | 35 | 33\% |  |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | - |
|  | 40 | 15\% |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 68\% |
|  | 45 | 22\% |  |  |  |  | None | 23\% |
|  | 50 | 4\% |  |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | - |
|  | $>=55$ | 5\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action <br> Attempted | No Driver Present | - |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | - |  |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 21\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 100\% |  |  |  |  | Braking | 6\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | - |  |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | - |  |  |  |  | Steering | 3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | 0.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 71\% |

Driver and vehicle statistics represent the violating light vehicle.

## Running Stop Sign

Driving Environment


Driver and vehicle statistics represent the violating light vehicle.

## Road Edge Departure With Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Driving Environment
Driver
Vehicle


Driver and vehicle statistics represent the light vehicle departing the road edge.

## Road Edge Departure Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Driving Environment


Driver and vehicle statistics represent the light vehicle departing the road edge.

## Road Edge Departure While Backing Up

Driving Environment

| Lighting | Daylight | 69\% | Alcohol | Yes | 8\% | Contributing Factors | Yes | 1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 18\% |  | No | 92\% |  | No | 73\% |
|  | Dark | 10\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 58\% |  | Unknown | 26\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 4\% |  | Vision Obscured | 3\% | Rollover | Yes | 1\% |
| Weather | Clear | 93\% |  | Unknown | 39\% |  | No | 99\% |
|  | Adverse | 7\% | Driver <br> Distracted | Inattention | 32\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | - |
| Road Surface | Dry | 85\% |  | Sleepy/Fell Asleep | 0.02\% |  | Going Straight | - |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 15\% |  | Not Distracted | 14\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
| RoadAlignment | Straight | 94\% |  | Unknown | 53\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Curve | 6\% | Speeding | Yes | 1\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | - |
| Road <br> Profile | Level | 83\% |  | No | 87\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Other | 17\% |  | Unknown | 12\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | - |
| Land Use | Rural | 49\% | Violation | Speeding | - |  | Parked in Travel Lane | - |
|  | Urban | 51\% |  | Reckless | 1\% |  | Leaving a Parked Position | 9\% |
| Day | Weekday | 70\% |  | None | 49\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | 3\% |
|  | Weekend | 30\% |  | Other | 32\% |  | Turning Right | - |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 5\% |  | Unknown | 19\% |  | Turning Left | - |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 85\% | Impairment | Ill/Blackout | - |  | Making U-turn | - |
|  | Off Roadway | 10\% |  | Sleepy/Drowsy | 1\% |  | Backing Up | 87\% |
|  | Left Turn Lane | - |  | None | 85\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | - |
|  | Unknown | 0.4\% |  | Other Impairment | 3\% |  | Changing Lanes | - |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 35\% |  | Unknown | 11\% |  | Merging | - |
|  | Intersection | 0.5\% | Gender | Male | 56\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | - |
|  | Intersection-Related | 3\% |  | Female | 44\% |  | Other | 1\% |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 59\% | Age | Younger <=24 | 34\% | Driver Avoidance Maneuver | Object in Road | - |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | - |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 57\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | - |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | 0.1\% |  | Older > $=65$ | 9\% |  | Animal in Road | - |
|  | Other/Unknown | 3\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | 1\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Posted } \\ \text { Speed Limit } \\ (\mathrm{mph}) \end{gathered}$ | <=20 | 31\% |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | - |
|  | 25 | 46\% |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 24\% |
|  | 30 | 9\% |  |  |  | No Driver Present | - |
|  | 35 | 8\% |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | - |
|  | 40 | 1\% |  |  |  | Unknown | 42\% |
|  | 45 | 1\% |  |  |  | None | 33\% |
|  | 50 | 1\% |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | 0.03\% |
|  | $>=55$ | 4\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action <br> Attempted | No Driver Present | - |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | 92\% |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 29\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 1\% |  |  |  | Braking | 0.4\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | 1\% |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | 6\% |  |  |  | Steering | 0.2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | 0.4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | 0.2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 70\% |

Driver and vehicle statistics represent the backing light vehicle.

## Animal Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Driving Environment

| Lighting | Daylight | 50\% | Alcohol | Yes | 2\% | Contributing <br> Factors | Yes | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 10\% |  | No | 98\% |  | No | 69\% |
|  | Dark | 35\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 32\% |  | Unknown | 31\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 4\% |  | Vision Obscured | - | Rollover | Yes | 5\% |
| Weather | Clear | 87\% |  | Unknown | 68\% |  | No | 95\% |
|  | Adverse | 13\% | Driver | Inattention | 3\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | - |
| Road Surface | Dry | 41\% |  | Sleepy | - |  | Going Straight | - |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 59\% |  | Not Distracted | 19\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
| Road Alignment | Straight | 89\% |  | Unknown | 77\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Curve | 11\% | Speeding | Yes | 1\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | - |
| Road Profile | Level | 80\% |  | No | 87\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Other | 20\% |  | Unknown | 12\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | 6\% |
| Land Use | Rural | 79\% | Violation | Speeding | - |  | Parked in Travel Lane | - |
|  | Urban | 21\% |  | Reckless | - |  | Leaving a Parked Position | 21\% |
| Day | Weekday | 68\% |  | None | 95\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | - |
|  | Weekend | 32\% |  | Other | 5\% |  | Turning Right | 1\% |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 83\% |  | Unknown | - |  | Turning Left | 1\% |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 2\% | Impairment | IIl/Blackout | - |  | Making U-turn | - |
|  | Off Roadway | 14\% |  | Sleepy/Drowsy | - |  | Backing Up | - |
|  | Left Turn Lane | - |  | None | 94\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | - |
|  | Unknown | 1\% |  | Other | 2\% |  | Changing Lanes | 3\% |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 90\% |  | Unknown | 4\% |  | Merging | - |
|  | Intersection | - | Gender | Male | 50\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | 14\% |
|  | Intersection-Related | 3\% |  | Female | 50\% |  | Other | 53\% |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 1\% | Age | Younger <= 24 | 24\% | Driver <br> Avoidance <br> Maneuver | Object in Road | - |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 4\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 70\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | - |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | - |  | Older > $=65$ | 5\% |  | Animal in Road | 19\% |
|  | Other/Unknown | 2\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | - |
| PostedSpeed Limit$(\mathrm{mph})$ | <=20 | 2\% |  |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | - |
|  | 25 | 9\% |  |  |  |  | Hit and Run | - |
|  | 30 | 4\% |  |  |  |  | No Driver Present | - |
|  | 35 | 5\% |  |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | - |
|  | 40 | 2\% |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 78\% |
|  | 45 | 9\% |  |  |  |  | None | 2\% |
|  | 50 | 23\% |  |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | 1\% |
|  | $>=55$ | 46\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action <br> Attempted | No Driver Present | - |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | 30\% |  |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 1\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 5\% |  |  |  |  | Braking | 0.1\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | - |  |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | 65\% |  |  |  |  | Steering | 18\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | 0.02\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 81\% |

Animal Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver
Driving Environment
Driver
Vehicle

| Lighting | Daylight | 24\% | Alcohol | Yes | 1\% | Contributing Factors | Yes | 0.1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 8\% |  | No | 99\% |  | No | 96\% |
|  | Dark | 58\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 87\% |  | Unknown | 4\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 9\% |  | Vision Obscured | 1\% | Rollover | Yes | 2\% |
| Weather | Clear | 91\% |  | Unknown | 13\% |  | No | 98\% |
|  | Adverse | 9\% | Driver Distracted | Inattention | 1\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | - |
| Road Surface | Dry | 82\% |  | Sleepy | - |  | Going Straight | 94\% |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 18\% |  | Not Distracted | 74\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | 0.4\% |
| Road Alignment | Straight | 89\% |  | Unknown | 25\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | 0.1\% |
|  | Curve | 11\% | Speeding | Yes | 2\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | 0.1\% |
| Road <br> Profile | Level | 74\% |  | No | 97\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | 0.3\% |
|  | Other | 26\% |  | Unknown | 1\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | - |
| Land Use | Rural | 79\% | Violation | Speeding | - |  | Parked in Travel Lane | - |
|  | Urban | 21\% |  | Reckless | 0.1\% |  | Leaving a Parked Position | - |
| Day | Weekday | 70\% |  | None | 97\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | - |
|  | Weekend | 30\% |  | Other | 3\% |  | Turning Right | - |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 90\% |  | Unknown | 0.1\% |  | Turning Left | - |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 0.4\% | Impairment | Ill/Blackout | - |  | Making U-turn | - |
|  | Off Roadway | 9\% |  | Drowsy | - |  | Backing Up | - |
|  | Left Turn Lane | - |  | None | 98\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | 5\% |
|  | Unknown | 0.1\% |  | Other | 0.3\% |  | Changing Lanes | - |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 97\% |  | Unknown | 2\% |  | Merging | - |
|  | Intersection | 1\% | Gender | Male | 61\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | - |
|  | Intersection-Related | 1\% |  | Female | 39\% |  | Other | - |
|  | Driveway/Alley | - | Age | Younger < $=24$ | 20\% | Driver <br> Avoidance <br> Maneuver | Object in Road | - |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 1\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 74\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | - |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | - |  | Older >= 65 | 5\% |  | Animal in Road | 17\% |
|  | Other/Unknown | 1\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | 0.03\% |
| Posted Speed Limit (mph) | <= 20 | 1\% |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | - |
|  | 25 | 5\% |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 0.3\% |
|  | 30 | 2\% |  |  |  | No Driver Present | - |
|  | 35 | 8\% |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | - |
|  | 40 | 4\% |  |  |  | Unknown | 69\% |
|  | 45 | 12\% |  |  |  | None | 13\% |
|  | 50 | 5\% |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | 0.1\% |
|  | $>=55$ | 62\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action <br> Attempted | No Driver Present | - |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | 91\% |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 8\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 1\% |  |  |  | Braking | 4\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | 0.02\% |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | 8\% |  |  |  | Steering | 10\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | 0.01\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 76\% |

Vehicle


## Pedestrian

| Location | Intersection - In crosswalk | $40 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Intersection - On roadway | $40 \%$ |
|  | Intersection - Other | $0.1 \%$ |
|  | Intersection - Unknown Location | $1 \%$ |
|  | Non-Intersection - In Crosswalk | $0.5 \%$ |
|  | Non-Intersection - On Roadway | $17 \%$ |
|  | Non-Intersection - Other | $1 \%$ |
| Non-Intersection - Unknown Location | $0.1 \%$ |  |
| In Crosswalk - Unknown if Intersection | - |  |
| Other Location | $0.5 \%$ |  |
| Unknown Location | $0.2 \%$ |  |
| No Action | $69 \%$ |  |
| Running Into Road | $6 \%$ |  |
| Improper Crossing of Roadway | $7 \%$ |  |
| Inattentive | - |  |
| Jogging | $0.2 \%$ |  |
|  | Pushing Vehicle | - |
|  | Walking With Traffic | $0.2 \%$ |
| Walking Against Traffic | $0.2 \%$ |  |
| Playing in Roadway | $9 \%$ |  |
| Other Action | $1 \%$ |  |
| Unknown Action | $7 \%$ |  |

## Pedestrian Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver

## Driving Environment

Driver
Vehicle


## Pedestrian

| Location | Intersection - In Crosswalk | 13\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Intersection - On Roadway | 25\% |
|  | Intersection - Other | 1\% |
|  | Intersection - Unknown Location | 1\% |
|  | Non-Intersection - In Crosswalk | 1\% |
|  | Non-Intersection - On Roadway | 57\% |
|  | Non-Intersection - Other | 1\% |
|  | Non-Intersection - Unknown Location | 0.1\% |
|  | In Crosswalk - Unknown if Intersection | - |
|  | Other Location | 1\% |
|  | Unknown Location | 1\% |
| Action | No Action | 17\% |
|  | Running Into Road | 36\% |
|  | Improper Crossing of Roadway | 26\% |
|  | Inattentive | 1.3\% |
|  | Jogging | 0.1\% |
|  | Pushing Vehicle | 0.04\% |
|  | Walking With Traffic | 2.4\% |
|  | Walking Against Traffic | 1.0\% |
|  | Playing in Roadway | 8\% |
|  | Other Action | 6\% |
|  | Unknown Action | 2\% |

## Pedalcyclist Crash With Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Driving Environment
Driver
Vehicle

| Lighting | Daylight | 78\% | Alcohol | Yes | 3\% | Contributing <br> Factors | Yes | 0.1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 14\% |  | No | 97\% |  | No | 82\% |
|  | Dark | 2\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 45\% |  | Unknown | 18\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 6\% |  | Vision Obscured | 11\% | Rollover | Yes | - |
| Weather | Clear | 97\% |  | Unknown | 44\% |  | No | 100\% |
|  | Adverse | 3\% | Driver Distracted | Inattention | 25\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | - |
| Road Surface | Dry | 93\% |  | Sleepy | - |  | Going Straight | - |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 7\% |  | Not Distracted | 35\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
| RoadAlignment | Straight | 91\% |  | Unknown | 40\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Curve | 9\% | Speeding | Yes | 0.1\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | - |
| Road Profile | Level | 83\% |  | No | 89\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Other | 17\% |  | Unknown | 11\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | 3\% |
| Land Use | Rural | 47\% | Violation | Speeding | - |  | Parked in Travel Lane | - |
|  | Urban | 53\% |  | Reckless | - |  | Leaving a Parked Position | 2\% |
| Day | Weekday | 81\% |  | None | 60\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | 0.1\% |
|  | Weekend | 19\% |  | Other | 26\% |  | Turning Right | 55\% |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 97\% |  | Unknown | 13\% |  | Turning Left | 34\% |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 1\% | Impairment | Ill/Blackout | - |  | Making U-turn | 1\% |
|  | Off Roadway | 1\% |  | Drowsy | - |  | Backing Up | - |
|  | Left Turn Lane | 0.2\% |  | None | 91\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | - |
|  | Unknown | 0.1\% |  | Other | 1\% |  | Changing Lanes | 0.4\% |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 2\% |  | Unknown | 7\% |  | Merging | 0.2\% |
|  | Intersection | 47\% | Gender | Male | 61\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | 0.1\% |
|  | Intersection-Related | 30\% |  | Female | 39\% |  | Other | 5\% |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 19\% | Age | Younger $<=24$ | 28\% | Driver <br> Avoidance <br> Maneuver | Object in Road | - |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 0.2\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 58\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | - |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | - |  | Older >= 65 | 14\% |  | Animal in Road | - |
|  | Other/Unknown | 2\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | 0.1\% |
| Posted Speed Limi (mph) | <= 20 | 8\% |  |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | 5\% |
|  | 25 | 30\% |  |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 15\% |
|  | 30 | 17\% |  |  |  |  | No Driver Present | - |
|  | 35 | 28\% |  |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | - |
|  | 40 | 6\% |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 56\% |
|  | 45 | 8\% |  |  |  |  | None | 24\% |
|  | 50 | 2\% |  |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | - |
|  | $>=55$ | 2\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action <br> Attempted | No Driver Present | - |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | 33\% |  |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 23\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 34\% |  |  |  |  | Braking | 4\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | 26\% |  |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | 8\% |  |  |  |  | Steering | 0.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | 0.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | 0.4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | 0.2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 72\% |

## Pedalcyclist

| Location | Intersection - In Crosswalk | 31\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Intersection - On Roadway | 43\% |
|  | Intersection - Other | 2\% |
|  | Intersection - Unknown Location | 1\% |
|  | Non-Intersection - In Crosswalk | 1\% |
|  | Non-Intersection - On Roadway | 19\% |
|  | Non-Intersection - Other | 0.3\% |
|  | Non-Intersection - Unknown Location | 0.4\% |
|  | In Crosswalk - Unknown If Intersection |  |
|  | Other Location | 1\% |
|  | Unknown Location | 1\% |
| Action | No Action | 48\% |
|  | Failing to Have Lights On | 1\% |
|  | Operating Without Required Equipment | 1\% |
|  | Improper Lane Changing |  |
|  | Failure to Keep in Proper Lane or Road | 0.4\% |
|  | Making Improper Entry/Exit | 0.2\% |
|  | Operating the Vehicle in Reckless Manner | 1\% |
|  | Failure to Yield Right-of-Way | 13\% |
|  | Failure to Obey Traffic Signs | 2\% |
|  | Making Other Improper Turn | 0.1\% |
|  | Driving on Wrong Side of Road | 24\% |
|  | Other Action | 6\% |
|  | Unknown Action | 4\% |

## Pedalcyclist Crash Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Driving Environment
Driver
Vehicle


## Pedalcyclist

| Location | Intersection - In Crosswalk | 6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Intersection - On Roadway | 47\% |
|  | Intersection - Other | 1\% |
|  | Intersection - Unknown Location | 0.1\% |
|  | Non-Intersection - In Crosswalk | 2\% |
|  | Non-intersection - On Roadway | 43\% |
|  | Non-Intersection - Other | 0.2\% |
|  | Non-Intersection - Unknown Location | 0.2\% |
|  | In Crosswalk - Unknown If Intersection | 0.1\% |
|  | Other Location | 0.1\% |
|  | Unknown Location | 0.5\% |
| Action | No Action | 19\% |
|  | Failing to Have Lights On | 3\% |
|  | Operating Without Required Equipment | 2\% |
|  | Improper Lane Changing | 2\% |
|  | Failure to Keep in Proper Lane or Road | 1\% |
|  | Making Improper Entry/Exit | 4\% |
|  | Operating the Vehicle in Reckless Manner | 2\% |
|  | Failure to Yield Right-of-Way | 46\% |
|  | Failure to Obey Traffic Signs | 1\% |
|  | Making Other Improper Turn | 1\% |
|  | Driving on Wrong Side of Road | 6\% |
|  | Other Action | 7\% |
|  | Unknown Action | 5\% |

## Backing Up Into Another Vehicle

Driving Environment
Driver
Vehicle


Driver and vehicle statistics represent the backing light vehicle.

## Vehicle(s) Turning - Vehicles Traveling in Same Direction

Driving Environment


Driver and vehicle statistics represent the turning light vehicle.

## Vehicle(s) Parking - Vehicles Traveling in Same Direction

Driving Environment


Driver and vehicle statistics represent the parking light vehicle.

## Vehicle(s) Changing Lanes - Vehicles Traveling in Same Direction

Driving Environment


Driver and vehicle statistics represent the light vehicle changing lanes.

## Vehicle(s) Drifting - Vehicles Traveling in Same Direction

Driving Environment

| Lighting | Daylight | 74\% | Alcohol | Yes | 4\% | Contributing Factors | Yes | 1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 18\% |  | No | 96\% |  | No | 88\% |
|  | Dark | 5\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 71\% |  | Unknown | 11\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 4\% |  | Vision Obscured | 1\% | Rollover | Yes | 1\% |
| Weather | Clear | 84\% |  | Unknown | 28\% |  | No | 99\% |
|  | Adverse | 16\% | Driver Distracted | Inattention | 10\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | 2\% |
| Road Surface | Dry | 78\% |  | Sleepy | 1\% |  | Going Straight | 68\% |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 22\% |  | Not Distracted | 43\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | 7\% |
| RoadAlignment | Straight | 87\% |  | Unknown | 47\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | 0.1\% |
|  | Curve | 13\% | Speeding | Yes | 8\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | 1\% |
| Road Profile | Level | 80\% |  | No | 84\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | 12\% |
|  | Other | 20\% |  | Unknown | 8\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | - |
| Land Use | Rural | 45\% | Violation | Speeding | - |  | Parked in Travel Lane | 1\% |
|  | Urban | 55\% |  | Reckless | 1\% |  | Leaving a Parked Position | - |
| Day | Weekday | 80\% |  | None | 70\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | - |
|  | Weekend | 20\% |  | Other | 21\% |  | Turning Right | - |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 99\% |  | Unknown | 9\% |  | Turning Left | - |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 0.01\% | Impairment | Ill/Blackout | 0.4\% |  | Making U-turn | - |
|  | Off Roadway | 0.3\% |  | Drowsy | 1\% |  | Backing Up | - |
|  | Left Turn Lane | 1\% |  | None | 91\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | 5\% |
|  | Unknown | 0.2\% |  | Other | 2\% |  | Changing Lanes | - |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 64\% |  | Unknown | 6\% |  | Merging | - |
|  | Intersection | 5\% | Gender | Male | 60\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | 2\% |
|  | Intersection-Related | 21\% |  | Female | 40\% |  | Other | 3\% |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 2\% | Age | Younger < $=24$ | 27\% | Driver <br> Avoidance <br> Maneuver | Object in Road | 1\% |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 5\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 64\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | 0.01\% |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | 1\% |  | Older > $=65$ | 9\% |  | Animal in Road | - |
|  | Other/Unknown | 3\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | 19\% |
| Posted Speed Limit (mph) | <=20 | 1\% |  |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | - |
|  | 25 | 5\% |  |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 8\% |
|  | 30 | 8\% |  |  |  |  | No Driver Present | 2\% |
|  | 35 | 22\% |  |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | 0.01\% |
|  | 40 | 9\% |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 47\% |
|  | 45 | 17\% |  |  |  |  | None | 24\% |
|  | 50 | 4\% |  |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | 0.2\% |
|  | $>=55$ | 33\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action <br> Attempted | No Driver Present | 2\% |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | 73\% |  |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 19\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 16\% |  |  |  |  | Braking | 3\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | 3\% |  |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | 8\% |  |  |  |  | Steering | 16\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | 2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | 0.03\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | 0.003\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | 0.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 59\% |

Driver and vehicle statistics represent all light vehicles involved.

## Vehicle(s) Making a Maneuver - Vehicles Traveling in Opposite Direction

Driving Environment

| Lighting | Daylight | 60\% | Alcohol | Yes | 16\% | Contributing Factors | Yes | 3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 18\% |  | No | 84\% |  | No | 81\% |
|  | Dark | 21\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 59\% |  | Unknown | 16\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 1\% |  | Vision Obscured | 7\% | Rollover | Yes | 4\% |
| Weather | Clear | 82\% |  | Unknown | 34\% |  | No | 96\% |
|  | Adverse | 18\% | Driver Distracted | Inattention | 18\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Road } \\ \text { Surface } \end{gathered}$ | Dry | 73\% |  | Sleepy | 2\% |  | Going Straight | - |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 27\% |  | Not Distracted | 35\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
| Road Alignment | Straight | 87\% |  | Unknown | 46\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Curve | 13\% | Speeding | Yes | 10\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | - |
| Road Profile | Level | 70\% |  | No | 83\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Other | 30\% |  | Unknown | 7\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | 34\% |
| Land Use | Rural | 53\% | Violation | Speeding | 0.3\% |  | Parked in Travel Lane | - |
|  | Urban | 47\% |  | Reckless | 1\% |  | Leaving a Parked Position | 6\% |
| Day | Weekday | 69\% |  | None | 51\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | 2\% |
|  | Weekend | 31\% |  | Other | 38\% |  | Turning Right | - |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 78\% |  | Unknown | 9\% |  | Turning Left | 1\% |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 3\% | Impairment | Ill/Blackout | 1\% |  | Making U-turn | - |
|  | Off Roadway | 14\% |  | Drowsy | 2\% |  | Backing Up | - |
|  | Left Turn Lane | 5\% |  | None | 87\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | - |
|  | Unknown | - |  | Other | 9\% |  | Changing Lanes | 12\% |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 81\% |  | Unknown | 2\% |  | Merging | 2\% |
|  | Intersection | 7\% | Gender | Male | 72\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | 16\% |
|  | Intersection-Related | 10\% |  | Female | 28\% |  | Other | 28\% |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 1\% | Age | Younger <= 24 | 65\% | Driver <br> Avoidance <br> Maneuver | Object in Road | - |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 0.1\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 29\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | - |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | - |  | Older >= 65 | 6\% |  | Animal in Road | - |
|  | Other/Unknown | 1\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | 26\% |
| Posted Speed Limit (mph) | <=20 | 1\% |  |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | - |
|  | 25 | 11\% |  |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 13\% |
|  | 30 | 9\% |  |  |  |  | No Driver Present | - |
|  | 35 | 18\% |  |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | - |
|  | 40 | 6\% |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 45\% |
|  | 45 | 20\% |  |  |  |  | None | 13\% |
|  | 50 | 6\% |  |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | 2\% |
|  | $>=55$ | 29\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action <br> Attempted | No Driver Present | - |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | 86\% |  |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 9\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 9\% |  |  |  |  | Braking | 3\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | 0.03\% |  |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | 5\% |  |  |  |  | Steering | 24\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | 0.04\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 63\% |

Driver and vehicle statistics represent the light vehicle making a maneuver.

## Vehicle(s) Not Making a Maneuver - Vehicles Traveling in Opposite Direction

Driving Environment

| Lighting | Daylight | 65\% | Alcohol | Yes | 6\% | Contributing Factors | Yes | 1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 11\% |  | No | 94\% |  | No | 90\% |
|  | Dark | 18\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 68\% |  | Unknown | 9\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 6\% |  | Vision Obscured | 5\% | Rollover | Yes | 3\% |
| Weather | Clear | 78\% |  | Unknown | 28\% |  | No | 97\% |
|  | Adverse | 22\% | Driver Distracted | Inattention | 8\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | 1\% |
| RoadSurface | Dry | 70\% |  | Sleepy | 2\% |  | Going Straight | 63\% |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 30\% |  | Not Distracted | 44\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | 1\% |
| Road Alignment | Straight | 58\% |  | Unknown | 46\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | 0.01\% |
|  | Curve | 42\% | Speeding | Yes | 7\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | 0.2\% |
| Road <br> Profile | Level | 65\% |  | No | 88\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | 3\% |
|  | Other | 35\% |  | Unknown | 5\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | - |
| Land Use | Rural | 66\% | Violation | Speeding | 0.2\% |  | Parked in Travel Lane | 0.2\% |
|  | Urban | 34\% |  | Reckless | 1\% |  | Leaving a Parked Position | - |
| Day | Weekday | 71\% |  | None | 71\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | - |
|  | Weekend | 29\% |  | Other | 22\% |  | Turning Right | - |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 81\% |  | Unknown | 6\% |  | Turning Left | - |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 2\% | Impairment | IIl/Blackout | 0.4\% |  | Making U-turn | - |
|  | Off Roadway | 17\% |  | Drowsy | 2\% |  | Backing Up | - |
|  | Left Turn Lane | 0.3\% |  | None | 88\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | 32\% |
|  | Unknown | 0.2\% |  | Other | 4\% |  | Changing Lanes | - |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 88\% |  | Unknown | 6\% |  | Merging | - |
|  | Intersection | 3\% | Gender | Male | 63\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | - |
|  | Intersection-Related | 7\% |  | Female | 37\% |  | Other | - |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 0.4\% | Age | Younger <= 24 | 29\% | Driver <br> Avoidance <br> Maneuver | Object in Road | 0.2\% |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 0.1\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 64\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | 0.001\% |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | 0.2\% |  | Older >= 65 | 7\% |  | Animal in Road | - |
|  | Other/Unknown | 1\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | 21\% |
| Posted Speed Limit (mph) | < $=20$ | 3\% |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | 0.1\% |
|  | 25 | 17\% |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 6\% |
|  | 30 | 11\% |  |  |  | No Driver Present | 1\% |
|  | 35 | 21\% |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | 0.1\% |
|  | 40 | 5\% |  |  |  | Unknown | 56\% |
|  | 45 | 13\% |  |  |  | None | 14\% |
|  | 50 | 3\% |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | 2\% |
|  | $>=55$ | 28\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action Attempted | No Driver Present | 1\% |
| Traffic Control Device | No Traffic Controls | 86\% |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 10\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 4\% |  |  |  | Braking | 5\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | 1\% |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | 9\% |  |  |  | Steering | 18\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | 2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | 0.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | 0.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 64\% |

Driver and vehicle statistics represent all light vehicles involved.

## Following Vehicle Making a Maneuver and Approaching Lead Vehicle

Driving Environment


Driver and vehicle statistics represent the striking light vehicle.

## Following Vehicle Approaching an Accelerating Lead Vehicle

Driving Environment
Driver

## Vehicle

| Lighting | Daylight | 78\% | Alcohol | Yes | 3\% | Contributing Factors | Yes | 1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 12\% |  | No | 97\% |  | No | 88\% |
|  | Dark | 5\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 71\% |  | Unknown | 11\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 5\% |  | Vision Obscured | 1\% | Rollover | Yes | 0.01\% |
| Weather | Clear | 91\% |  | Unknown | 28\% |  | No | 100\% |
|  | Adverse | 9\% | Driver Distracted | Inattention | 39\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | - |
| Road Surface | Dry | 89\% |  | Sleepy | 2\% |  | Going Straight | 54\% |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 11\% |  | Not Distracted | 21\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | 5\% |
| Road Alignment | Straight | 91\% |  | Unknown | 38\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | 3\% |
|  | Curve | 9\% | Speeding | Yes | 30\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | 34\% |
| Road <br> Profile | Level | 80\% |  | No | 64\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Other | 20\% |  | Unknown | 6\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | - |
| Land Use | Rural | 47\% | Violation | Speeding | - |  | Parked in Travel Lane | - |
|  | Urban | 53\% |  | Reckless | 1\% |  | Leaving a Parked Position | - |
| Day | Weekday | 78\% |  | None | 46\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | - |
|  | Weekend | 22\% |  | Other | 46\% |  | Turning Right | - |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 100\% |  | Unknown | 6\% |  | Turning Left | - |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 0\% | Impairment | Ill/Blackout | 0.4\% |  | Making U-turn | - |
|  | Off Roadway | 0\% |  | Drowsy | 2\% |  | Backing Up | - |
|  | Left Turn Lane | 0\% |  | None | 91\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | 3\% |
|  | Unknown | 0\% |  | Other | 1\% |  | Changing Lanes | - |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 16\% |  | Unknown | 5\% |  | Merging | - |
|  | Intersection | 6\% | Gender | Male | 53\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | - |
|  | Intersection-Related | 66\% |  | Female | 47\% |  | Other | - |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 1\% | Age | Younger <= 24 | 30\% | Driver <br> Avoidance <br> Maneuver | Object in Road | - |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 6\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 65\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | - |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | 1\% |  | Older $>=65$ | 5\% |  | Animal in Road | - |
|  | Other/Unknown | 4\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | 19\% |
| Posted Speed Limit (mph) | <=20 | 1\% |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | - |
|  | 25 | 4\% |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 6\% |
|  | 30 | 6\% |  |  |  | No Driver Present | - |
|  | 35 | 22\% |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | - |
|  | 40 | 10\% |  |  |  | Unknown | 64\% |
|  | 45 | 34\% |  |  |  | None | 12\% |
|  | 50 | 4\% |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | - |
|  | $>=55$ | 19\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action Attempted | No Driver Present | - |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | 21\% |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 12\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 58\% |  |  |  | Braking | 15\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | 16\% |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | 5\% |  |  |  | Steering | 4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | 0.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 69\% |

Driver and vehicle statistics represent the striking light vehicle.

## Following Vehicle Approaching Lead Vehicle Moving at Lower Constant Speed

Driving Environment


Driver and vehicle statistics represent the striking light vehicle.

## Following Vehicle Approaching a Decelerating Lead Vehicle

Driving Environment


Driver and vehicle statistics represent the striking light vehicle.

## Following Vehicle Approaching a Stopped Lead Vehicle

Driving Environment


Driver and vehicle statistics represent the striking light vehicle.

## Left Turn Across Path From Opposite Directions at Signalized Junctions

Driving Environment

| Lighting | Daylight | 24\% | Alcohol | Yes | 1\% | Contributing Factors | Yes | 0.1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 8\% |  | No | 99\% |  | No | 96\% |
|  | Dark | 58\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 87\% |  | Unknown | 4\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 9\% |  | Vision Obscured | 1\% | Rollover | Yes | 2\% |
| Weather | Clear | 91\% |  | Unknown | 13\% |  | No | 98\% |
|  | Adverse | 9\% | Driver Distracted | Inattention | 1\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | - |
| Road Surface | Dry | 82\% |  | Sleepy | - |  | Going Straight | 94\% |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 18\% |  | Not Distracted | 74\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | 0.4\% |
| Road Alignment | Straight | 89\% |  | Unknown | 25\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | 0.1\% |
|  | Curve | 11\% | Speeding | Yes | 2\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | 0.1\% |
| Road <br> Profile | Level | 74\% |  | No | 97\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | 0.3\% |
|  | Other | 26\% |  | Unknown | 1\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | - |
| Land Use | Rural | 79\% | Violation | Speeding | - |  | Parked in Travel Lane | - |
|  | Urban | 21\% |  | Reckless | 0.1\% |  | Leaving a Parked Position | - |
| Day | Weekday | 70\% |  | None | 97\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | - |
|  | Weekend | 30\% |  | Other | 3\% |  | Turning Right | - |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 90\% |  | Unknown | 0.1\% |  | Turning Left | - |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 0.4\% | Impairment | IIl/Blackout | - |  | Making U-turn | - |
|  | Off Roadway | 9\% |  | Drowsy | - |  | Backing Up | - |
|  | Left Turn Lane | - |  | None | 98\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | 5\% |
|  | Unknown | 0.1\% |  | Other | 0.3\% |  | Changing Lanes | - |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 97\% |  | Unknown | 2\% |  | Merging | - |
|  | Intersection | 1\% | Gender | Male | 61\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | - |
|  | Intersection-Related | 1\% |  | Female | 39\% |  | Other | - |
|  | Driveway/Alley | - | Age | Younger < $=24$ | 20\% | Driver <br> Avoidance <br> Maneuver | Object in Road | - |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 1\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 74\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | - |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | - |  | Older >= 65 | 5\% |  | Animal in Road | 17\% |
|  | Other/Unknown | 1\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | 0.03\% |
| Posted Speed Limit (mph) | <=20 | 1\% |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | - |
|  | 25 | 5\% |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 0.3\% |
|  | 30 | 2\% |  |  |  | No Driver Present | - |
|  | 35 | 8\% |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | - |
|  | 40 | 4\% |  |  |  | Unknown | 69\% |
|  | 45 | 12\% |  |  |  | None | 13\% |
|  | 50 | 5\% |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | 0.1\% |
|  | $>=55$ | 62\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action <br> Attempted | No Driver Present | - |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | 91\% |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 8\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 1\% |  |  |  | Braking | 4\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | 0.02\% |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | 8\% |  |  |  | Steering | 10\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | 0.01\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 76\% |

Driver and vehicle statistics represent the light vehicle turning left.

## Vehicle Turning Right at Signalized Junctions

Driving Environment

| Lighting | Daylight | 71\% | Alcohol | Yes | 5\% | Contributing <br> Factors | Yes | 1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 24\% |  | No | 95\% |  | No | 82\% |
|  | Dark | 3\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 60\% |  | Unknown | 18\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 2\% |  | Vision Obscured | 5\% | Rollover | Yes | - |
| Weather | Clear | 80\% |  | Unknown | 34\% |  | No | 100\% |
|  | Adverse | 20\% | Driver Distracted | Inattention | 16\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | - |
| Road Surface | Dry | 73\% |  | Sleepy | 0.02\% |  | Going Straight | 1\% |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 27\% |  | Not Distracted | 28\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
| RoadAlignment | Straight | 93\% |  | Unknown | 56\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Curve | 7\% | Speeding | Yes | 8\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | 2\% |
| Road Profile | Level | 81\% |  | No | 83\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Other | 19\% |  | Unknown | 8\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | - |
| Land Use | Rural | 46\% | Violation | Speeding | - |  | Parked in Travel Lane | - |
|  | Urban | 54\% |  | Reckless | 0.03\% |  | Leaving a Parked Position | - |
| Day | Weekday | 78\% |  | None | 53\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | - |
|  | Weekend | 22\% |  | Other | 38\% |  | Turning Right | 97\% |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 99\% |  | Unknown | 10\% |  | Turning Left | - |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | - | Impairment | IIl/Blackout | 0.02\% |  | Making U-turn | - |
|  | Off Roadway | - |  | Drowsy | - |  | Backing Up | - |
|  | Left Turn Lane | 1\% |  | None | 89\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | - |
|  | Unknown | - |  | Other | 2\% |  | Changing Lanes | - |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | - |  | Unknown | 9\% |  | Merging | - |
|  | Intersection | 54\% | Gender | Male | 58\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | - |
|  | Intersection-Related | 37\% |  | Female | 42\% |  | Other | - |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 4\% | Age | Younger <= 24 | 35\% | Driver Avoidance Maneuver | Object in Road | - |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 2\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 48\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | - |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | - |  | Older >= 65 | 16\% |  | Animal in Road | - |
|  | Other/Unknown | 3\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | 1\% |
| $\qquad$ | <=20 | 1\% |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | - |
|  | 25 | 10\% |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 12\% |
|  | 30 | 10\% |  |  |  | No Driver Present | - |
|  | 35 | 29\% |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | - |
|  | 40 | 16\% |  |  |  | Unknown | 69\% |
|  | 45 | 26\% |  |  |  | None | 19\% |
|  | 50 | 4\% |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | 0.01\% |
|  | $>=55$ | 6\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action <br> Attempted | No Driver Present | - |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | - |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 15\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 100\% |  |  |  | Braking | 1\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | - |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | - |  |  |  | Steering | 0.03\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 84\% |

Driver and vehicle statistics represent the light vehicle turning right.

## Left Turn Across Path From Opposite Directions at Non-Signalized Junctions

Driving Environment

| Lighting | Daylight | 80\% | Alcohol | Yes | 3\% | Contributing Factors | Yes | 0.2\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 12\% |  | No | 97\% |  | No | 95\% |
|  | Dark | 4\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 58\% |  | Unknown | 5\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 3\% |  | Vision Obscured | 16\% | Rollover | Yes | 1\% |
| Weather | Clear | 89\% |  | Unknown | 26\% |  | No | 99\% |
|  | Adverse | 11\% | Driver Distracted | Inattention | 26\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | - |
| Road Surface | Dry | 84\% |  | Sleepy | 0.01\% |  | Going Straight | 1\% |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 16\% |  | Not Distracted | 33\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
| Road Alignment | Straight | 93\% |  | Unknown | 41\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | 0.03\% |
|  | Curve | 7\% | Speeding | Yes | 1\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | 0.2\% |
| Road Profile | Level | 80\% |  | No | 97\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | 0.1\% |
|  | Other | 20\% |  | Unknown | 2\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | - |
| Land Use | Rural | 50\% | Violation | Speeding | 0.04\% |  | Parked in Travel Lane | - |
|  | Urban | 50\% |  | Reckless | 0.2\% |  | Leaving a Parked Position | 0.1\% |
| Day | Weekday | 83\% |  | None | 46\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | - |
|  | Weekend | 17\% |  | Other | 51\% |  | Turning Right | 0.1\% |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 99\% |  | Unknown | 3\% |  | Turning Left | 98\% |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 1\% | Impairment | Ill/Blackout | 0.1\% |  | Making U-turn | 0.1\% |
|  | Off Roadway | 0.1\% |  | Drowsy | 0.01\% |  | Backing Up | - |
|  | Left Turn Lane | 0.1\% |  | None | 96\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | 0.2\% |
|  | Unknown | - |  | Other | 2\% |  | Changing Lanes | - |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 0.3\% |  | Unknown | 2\% |  | Merging | 0.1\% |
|  | Intersection | 56\% | Gender | Male | 56\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | - |
|  | Intersection-Related | 2\% |  | Female | 44\% |  | Other | 0.1\% |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 40\% | Age | Younger $<=24$ | 30\% | Driver <br> Avoidance <br> Maneuver | Object in Road | - |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 0.4\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 55\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | - |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | - |  | Older > $=65$ | 15\% |  | Animal in Road | - |
|  | Other/Unknown | 2\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | 3\% |
| Posted Speed Limi (mph) | < $=20$ | 2\% |  |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | - |
|  | 25 | 11\% |  |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 4\% |
|  | 30 | 11\% |  |  |  |  | No Driver Present | - |
|  | 35 | 32\% |  |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | - |
|  | 40 | 15\% |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 73\% |
|  | 45 | 17\% |  |  |  |  | None | 21\% |
|  | 50 | 3\% |  |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | - |
|  | $>=55$ | 9\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action <br> Attempted | No Driver Present | - |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | 80\% |  |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 19\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 2\% |  |  |  |  | Braking | 1\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | 10\% |  |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | 9\% |  |  |  |  | Steering | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | 0.01\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | 0.02\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | 0.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 79\% |

Driver and vehicle statistics represent the light vehicle turning left.

Straight Crossing Paths at Non-Signalized Junctions
Driving Environment
Driver
Vehicle


Driver and vehicle statistics represent all light vehicles involved.

## Vehicle(s) Turning at Non-Signalized Junctions

Driving Environment
Driver
Vehicle


Driver and vehicle statistics represent all turning light vehicles involved.

## Vehicle Taking Evasive Action With Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Driving Environment

## Vehicle

| Lighting | Daylight | 66\% | Alcohol | Yes | 4\% | Contributing Factors | Yes | 0.1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark Lighted | 24\% |  | No | 96\% |  | No | 95\% |
|  | Dark | 6\% | Vision Obscured | No Obstruction | 61\% |  | Unknown | 5\% |
|  | Dawn/Dusk | 3\% |  | Vision Obscured | 2\% | Rollover | Yes | 1\% |
| Weather | Clear | 86\% |  | Unknown | 37\% |  | No | 99\% |
|  | Adverse | 14\% | Driver Distracted | Inattention | 12\% | Pre-Event <br> Movement | No Driver Present | 1\% |
| Road Surface | Dry | 77\% |  | Sleepy | - |  | Going Straight | 19\% |
|  | Wet/Slippery | 23\% |  | Not Distracted | 41\% |  | Decelerating in Traffic Lane | 3\% |
| Road Alignment | Straight | 86\% |  | Unknown | 47\% |  | Accelerating in Traffic Lane | - |
|  | Curve | 14\% | Speeding | Yes | 7\% |  | Starting in Traffic Lane | 1\% |
| Road Profile | Level | 85\% |  | No | 91\% |  | Stopped in Traffic Lane | 1\% |
|  | Other | 15\% |  | Unknown | 1\% |  | Passing Another Vehicle | 4\% |
| Land Use | Rural | 30\% | Violation | Speeding | - |  | Parked in Travel Lane | - |
|  | Urban | 70\% |  | Reckless | 1\% |  | Leaving a Parked Position | 4\% |
| Day | Weekday | 68\% |  | None | 75\% |  | Entering a Parked Position | - |
|  | Weekend | 32\% |  | Other | 19\% |  | Turning Right | 5\% |
| Relation to Roadway | On Roadway | 66\% |  | Unknown | 5\% |  | Turning Left | 10\% |
|  | Shoulder/Parking Lane | 4\% | Impairment | Ill/Blackout | - |  | Making U-turn | 1\% |
|  | Off Roadway | 28\% |  | Drowsy | - |  | Backing Up | 7\% |
|  | Left Turn Lane | - |  | None | 95\% |  | Negotiating a Curve | - |
|  | Unknown | 2\% |  | Other | 0.3\% |  | Changing Lanes | 4\% |
| Relation to Junction | Non-Junction | 34\% |  | Unknown | 5\% |  | Merging | 2\% |
|  | Intersection | 7\% | Gender | Male | 68\% |  | Prior Corrective Action | 9\% |
|  | Intersection-Related | 34\% |  | Female | 32\% |  | Other | 29\% |
|  | Driveway/Alley | 11\% | Age | Younger <= 24 | 32\% | Driver Avoidance Maneuver | Object in Road | - |
|  | Entrance/Exit Ramp | 8\% |  | Middle $=25$ to 64 | 60\% |  | Poor Road Conditions | - |
|  | Rail Grade Crossing | - |  | Older $>=65$ | 8\% |  | Animal in Road | - |
|  | Other/Unknown | 7\% |  |  |  |  | Vehicle in Road | 25\% |
| Posted Speed Limit (mph) | <=20 | 6\% |  |  |  |  | Non-Motorist in Road | - |
|  | 25 | 10\% |  |  |  |  | Hit and Run | 5\% |
|  | 30 | 7\% |  |  |  |  | No Driver Present | 1\% |
|  | 35 | 28\% |  |  |  |  | Other Avoidance Maneuver | - |
|  | 40 | 7\% |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 52\% |
|  | 45 | 21\% |  |  |  |  | None | 14\% |
|  | 50 | 6\% |  |  |  |  | Phantom Vehicle | 3\% |
|  | $>=55$ | 14\% |  |  |  | Corrective <br> Action Attempted | No Driver Present | 1\% |
| Traffic <br> Control <br> Device | No Traffic Controls | 58\% |  |  |  |  | No Avoidance Maneuver | 12\% |
|  | Traffic Signal | 25\% |  |  |  |  | Braking | 5\% |
|  | Stop/Yield Sign | 10\% |  |  |  |  | Releasing Brakes | - |
|  | Other | 7\% |  |  |  |  | Steering | 21\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Braked and Steered | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated | 1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerated and Steered | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | 0.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 59\% |

Driver and vehicle statistics represent all light vehicles involved.

## Vehicle Taking Evasive Action Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Driving Environment


Driver and vehicle statistics represent all light vehicles involved.

## Non-Collision Incident

Driving Environment
Driver
Vehicle


Driver and vehicle statistics represent all light vehicles involved.

## Vehicle Contacting Object With Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Driving Environment


## Vehicle Contacting Object Without Prior Vehicle Maneuver

Driving Environment


## Other

Driving Environment
Driver
Vehicle


Driver and vehicle statistics represent all light vehicles involved.
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